


Slides developed by Mine Çetinkaya-Rundel of OpenIntro  
Translated from LaTeX to Google Slides by Curry W. Hilton of OpenIntro.  
The slides may be copied, edited, and/or shared via the [CC BY-SA license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

To make a copy of these slides, go to *File > Download as > [option]*, as shown below. Or if you are logged into a Google account, you can choose *Make a copy...* to create your own version in Google Drive.



# Inference for a Single Proportion

# Practice

Two scientists want to know if a certain drug is effective against high blood pressure. The first scientist wants to give the drug to 1000 people with high blood pressure and see how many of them experience lower blood pressure levels. The second scientist wants to give the drug to 500 people with high blood pressure, and not give the drug to another 500 people with high blood pressure, and see how many in both groups experience lower blood pressure levels. Which is the better way to test this drug?

- (a) All 1000 get the drug
- (b) 500 get the drug, 500 don't

# Practice

Two scientists want to know if a certain drug is effective against high blood pressure. The first scientist wants to give the drug to 1000 people with high blood pressure and see how many of them experience lower blood pressure levels. The second scientist wants to give the drug to 500 people with high blood pressure, and not give the drug to another 500 people with high blood pressure, and see how many in both groups experience lower blood pressure levels. Which is the better way to test this drug?

- (a) All 1000 get the drug
- (b) 500 get the drug, 500 don't*

# Results from the GSS

The GSS asks the same question, below is the distribution of responses from the 2010 survey:

|                            |     |
|----------------------------|-----|
| All 1000 get the drug      | 99  |
| 500 get the drug 500 don't | 571 |
| <hr/>                      |     |
| Total                      | 670 |

# Parameter and point estimate

We would like to estimate the proportion of all Americans who have good intuition about experimental design, i.e. would answer “500 get the drug 500 don't”? What are the parameter of interest and the point estimate?

# Parameter and point estimate

We would like to estimate the proportion of all Americans who have good intuition about experimental design, i.e. would answer “500 get the drug 500 don't”? What are the parameter of interest and the point estimate?

*Parameter of interest:* proportion of *all* Americans who have good intuition about experimental design.

$p$  a population proportion

# Parameter and point estimate

We would like to estimate the proportion of all Americans who have good intuition about experimental design, i.e. would answer “500 get the drug 500 don't”? What are the parameter of interest and the point estimate?

*Parameter of interest:* proportion of **all** Americans who have good intuition about experimental design.

$p$  a population proportion

*Point estimate:* proportion of **sampled** Americans who have good intuition about experimental design.

$\hat{p}$  a sample proportion



# Inference on a proportion

What percent of all Americans have good intuition about experimental design, i.e. would answer "500 get the drug 500 don't"?

# Inference on a proportion

What percent of all Americans have good intuition about experimental design, i.e. would answer "500 get the drug 500 don't"?

We can answer this research question using a confidence interval, which we know is always of the form

$$\text{point estimate} \pm ME$$

# Inference on a proportion

What percent of all Americans have good intuition about experimental design, i.e. would answer "500 get the drug 500 don't"?

We can answer this research question using a confidence interval, which we know is always of the form

$$\text{point estimate} \pm ME$$

And we also know that  $ME = \text{critical value times the } SE$  of the point estimate.

$$SE_{\hat{p}} = ?$$

# Inference on a proportion

What percent of all Americans have good intuition about experimental design, i.e. would answer "500 get the drug 500 don't"?

We can answer this research question using a confidence interval, which we know is always of the form

$$\text{point estimate} \pm ME$$

And we also know that  $ME = \text{critical value times the } SE$  of the point estimate.

$$SE_{\hat{p}} = ?$$

Standard error of a sample proportion

$$SE_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

# Sample proportions are also nearly normally distributed

## Central limit theorem for proportions

Sample proportions will be nearly normally distributed with mean equal to the population mean,  $p$ , and standard error equal to  $\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ .

$$\hat{p} \sim N\left(\text{mean} = p, SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}\right)$$

- But of course this is true only under certain conditions... any guesses?

# Sample proportions are also nearly normally distributed

## Central limit theorem for proportions

Sample proportions will be nearly normally distributed with mean equal to the population mean,  $p$ , and standard error equal to  $\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ .

$$\hat{p} \sim N\left(\text{mean} = p, SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}\right)$$

- But of course this is true only under certain conditions... any guesses?

*independent observations, at least 10 successes and 10 failures*

# Sample proportions are also nearly normally distributed

## Central limit theorem for proportions

Sample proportions will be nearly normally distributed with mean equal to the population mean,  $p$ , and standard error equal to  $\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ .

$$\hat{p} \sim N\left(\text{mean} = p, SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}\right)$$

- But of course this is true only under certain conditions... any guesses?

*independent observations, at least 10 successes and 10 failures*

---

**Note:** If  $p$  is unknown (most cases), we use  $\hat{p}$  in the calculation of the standard error.

# Back to experimental design...

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Estimate (using a 95% confidence interval) the proportion of all Americans who have good intuition about experimental design?



# Back to experimental design...

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Estimate (using a 95% confidence interval) the proportion of all Americans who have good intuition about experimental design?

Given:  $n = 670$ ,  $\hat{p} = 0.85$ . First check conditions.

# Back to experimental design...

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Estimate (using a 95% confidence interval) the proportion of all Americans who have good intuition about experimental design?

Given:  $n = 670$ ,  $\hat{p} = 0.85$ . First check conditions.

1. *Independence*: The sample is random, and  $670 < 10\%$  of all Americans, therefore we can assume that one respondent's response is independent of another.

# Back to experimental design...

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Estimate (using a 95% confidence interval) the proportion of all Americans who have good intuition about experimental design?

Given:  $n = 670$ ,  $\hat{p} = 0.85$ . First check conditions.

1. *Independence*: The sample is random, and  $670 < 10\%$  of all Americans, therefore we can assume that one respondent's response is independent of another.
2. *Success-failure*: 571 people answered correctly (successes) and 99 answered incorrectly (failures), both are greater than 10.

# Practice

We are given that  $n = 670$ ,  $\hat{p} = 0.85$ , we also just learned that the standard error of the sample proportion is

$$SE_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

Which of the below is the correct calculation of the 95% confidence interval?

(a)  $0.85 \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{670}}$

(b)  $0.85 \pm 1.65 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{670}}$

(c)  $0.85 \pm 1.96 \times \frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{\sqrt{670}}$

(d)  $571 \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{571 \times 99}{670}}$

# Practice

We are given that  $n = 670$ ,  $\hat{p} = 0.85$ , we also just learned that the standard error of the sample proportion is

$$SE_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

Which of the below is the correct calculation of the 95% confidence interval?

(a)  $0.85 \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{670}} \rightarrow (0.82, 0.88)$

(b)  $0.85 \pm 1.65 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{670}}$

(c)  $0.85 \pm 1.96 \times \frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{\sqrt{670}}$

(d)  $571 \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{571 \times 99}{670}}$

# Choosing a sample size

How many people should you sample in order to cut the margin of error of a 95% confidence interval down to 1%.

# Choosing a sample size

How many people should you sample in order to cut the margin of error of a 95% confidence interval down to 1%.

$$ME = z^{\star} \times SE$$

# Choosing a sample size

How many people should you sample in order to cut the margin of error of a 95% confidence interval down to 1%.

$$ME = z^{\star} \times SE$$

$$0.01 \geq 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{n}} \rightarrow \text{Use estimate for } \hat{p} \text{ from previous study}$$



# Choosing a sample size

How many people should you sample in order to cut the margin of error of a 95% confidence interval down to 1%.

$$ME = z^{\star} \times SE$$

$$0.01 \geq 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{n}} \rightarrow \text{Use estimate for } \hat{p} \text{ from previous study}$$

$$0.01^2 \geq 1.96^2 \times \frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{n}$$

# Choosing a sample size

How many people should you sample in order to cut the margin of error of a 95% confidence interval down to 1%.

$$ME = z^{\star} \times SE$$

$$0.01 \geq 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{n}} \rightarrow \text{Use estimate for } \hat{p} \text{ from previous study}$$

$$0.01^2 \geq 1.96^2 \times \frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{n}$$

$$n \geq \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.85 \times 0.15}{0.01^2}$$

# Choosing a sample size

How many people should you sample in order to cut the margin of error of a 95% confidence interval down to 1%.

$$ME = z^{\star} \times SE$$

$$0.01 \geq 1.96 \times \sqrt{\frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{n}} \rightarrow \text{Use estimate for } \hat{p} \text{ from previous study}$$

$$0.01^2 \geq 1.96^2 \times \frac{0.85 \times 0.15}{n}$$

$$n \geq \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.85 \times 0.15}{0.01^2}$$

$$n \geq 4898.04 \rightarrow n \text{ should be at least } 4,899$$

# What if there isn't a previous study?

... use  $\hat{p} = 0.5$

why?

# What if there isn't a previous study?

... use  $\hat{p} = 0.5$

why?

- if you don't know any better, 50-50 is a good guess

# What if there isn't a previous study?

... use  $\hat{p} = 0.5$

why?

- if you don't know any better, 50-50 is a good guess
- $\hat{p} = 0.5$  gives the most conservative estimate -- highest possible sample size

# CI vs. HT for proportions

Success-failure condition:

- CI: At least 10 *observed* successes and failures
- HT: At least 10 *expected* successes and failures, calculated using the null value

Standard error:

- CI: calculate using observed sample proportion:

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

- HT: calculate using the null value:

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}$$

# Practice

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Do these data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition about experimental design?



# Practice

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Do these data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition about experimental design?

$$H_0 : p = 0.80 \quad H_A : p > 0.80$$

# Practice

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Do these data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition about experimental design?

$$H_0 : p = 0.80 \quad H_A : p > 0.80$$

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.80 \times 0.20}{670}} = 0.0154$$

# Practice

The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Do these data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition about experimental design?

$$H_0 : p = 0.80 \quad H_A : p > 0.80$$

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.80 \times 0.20}{670}} = 0.0154$$

$$Z = \frac{0.85 - 0.80}{0.0154} = 3.25$$

# Practice


The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Do these data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition about experimental design?

$$H_0 : p = 0.80 \quad H_A : p > 0.80$$

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.80 \times 0.20}{670}} = 0.0154$$

$$Z = \frac{0.85 - 0.80}{0.0154} = 3.25$$

$$p\text{-value} = 1 - 0.9994 = 0.0006$$



# Practice


The GSS found that 571 out of 670 (85%) of Americans answered the question on experimental design correctly. Do these data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition about experimental design?

$$H_0 : p = 0.80 \quad H_A : p > 0.80$$

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.80 \times 0.20}{670}} = 0.0154$$

$$Z = \frac{0.85 - 0.80}{0.0154} = 3.25$$

$$p\text{-value} = 1 - 0.9994 = 0.0006$$



Since the p-value is low, we reject  $H_0$ . The data provide convincing evidence that more than 80% of Americans have a good intuition on experimental design.

# Practice

11% of 1,001 Americans responding to a 2006 Gallup survey stated that they have objections to celebrating Halloween on religious grounds. At 95% confidence level, the margin of error for this survey is  $\pm 3\%$ . A news piece on this study's findings states: "More than 10% of all Americans have objections on religious grounds to celebrating Halloween." At 95% confidence level, is this news piece's statement justified?

- (a) Yes
- (b) No
- (c) Can't tell

# Practice

11% of 1,001 Americans responding to a 2006 Gallup survey stated that they have objections to celebrating Halloween on religious grounds. At 95% confidence level, the margin of error for this survey is  $\pm 3\%$ . A news piece on this study's findings states: "More than 10% of all Americans have objections on religious grounds to celebrating Halloween." At 95% confidence level, is this news piece's statement justified?

- (a) Yes
- (b) No
- (c) Can't tell

# Recap - inference for one proportion

Population parameter:  $p$ , point estimate:  $\hat{p}$



# Recap - inference for one proportion

Population parameter:  $p$ , point estimate:  $\hat{p}$

## Conditions

- independence
  - random sample and 10% condition
- at least 10 successes and failures
  - if not  $\rightarrow$  randomization

# Recap - inference for one proportion

Population parameter:  $p$ , point estimate:  $\hat{p}$

Conditions

- independence
  - random sample and 10% condition
- at least 10 successes and failures
  - if not  $\rightarrow$  randomization

Standard error:  $SE = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$

- for CI: use  $\hat{p}$
- for HT: use  $p_0$

Find more resources at [openintro.org/os](https://openintro.org/os), including

- Slides
- Videos
- Statistical Software Labs
- Discussion Forums (free support for students and teachers)
- Learning Objectives

Teachers only content is also available for [Verified Teachers](#), including

- Exercise solutions
- Sample exams
- Ability to request a free desk copy for a course
- Statistics Teachers email group

Questions? [Contact us](#).