6 Structural Equation Modeling 3: Multisample Analysis

References:

• Beaujean (2014). Chapter 4.

6.1. Introduction

- When we have data form different groups (e.g., gender, countries, organizations), an interesting question to ask is whether a structural model would work the same for these groups.
- Universality vs. specificity

- Statistically, suppose we have G populations (groups) with covariance matrices Σ_1 , Σ_2 , ..., Σ_G . Our task is to examine to what extent these covariance matrices share the same structure in terms of some latent variable models. We call this procedure multisample structural equation modeling (MSEM) analysis.
- For each individual group g, we have $\Sigma_g = \Sigma_g(\theta_g)$, g = 1, 2, ..., G.
- If we treat these G groups separately, our task is
- (1) to estimate $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_G$.
- (2) to evaluate if the hypothesized model, $\Sigma_g = \Sigma_g(\theta_g)$, adequately fits the data in each group, g = 1, 2, ..., G.
- In multisample SEM, however, our interest is to establish some kinds of universality (invariance) across the groups.

6.2. Types of Invariance in MSEM

Table 4.1 Types of Measurement Invariance.

| | Type of Invariance | Constraints | Between-Groups Comparisons Allowed |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Configural | Same model. No parameter constraints. | None |
| 2 | Weak | 1 + all loadings constrained to be equal between groups (but can vary within a group). Latent (co)variances allowed to vary between groups. | Latent (co)variances [weak evidence] |
| 3 | Strong | 2 + all intercepts are constrained to be equal between groups (but can vary within a group). Latent means allowed to vary between groups. | Latent means, latent (co)variances [strong evidence] |
| 4 | Strict | 3 + error variances are constrained to be the same between groups (but can vary within a group). | |

Models with larger numbers are nested within the models with smaller numbers.

(source: Beaujean, 2014)

6.3. Some Common Steps in MSEM

6.3.1. Step 1: Testing configural (structural form) invariance

- Want to know if the groups share a common structure
- The hypothesis of interest is

$$H_0: \Sigma_1 = \Sigma(\theta_1), \Sigma_2 = \Sigma(\theta_2), ..., \Sigma_G = \Sigma(\theta_G)$$

• Once configural invariance is established, we can proceed to the next step.

6.3.2. Step 2: Testing weak factorial (measurement) invariance

- Want to know if the factor loadings are equal across the groups
- The hypothesis of interest is

$$H_0: \Lambda^{(1)} = ... = \Lambda^{(G)}$$

• Once factorial invariance is established, we can proceed to the comparisons of structural coefficients.

6.3.3. Step 3: Comparing ctructural coefficients

- Step 3a: Testing equality of factors'/variables' variances and/or covariances
- The hypothesis of interest is

$$H_0: \Psi_f^{(1)} = \dots = \Psi_f^{(G)}$$

- Step 3b: Testing equality of structural/path coefficients
- The hypothesis of interest is

$$H_0: B^{(1)} = \dots = B^{(G)}$$

• Moderation effect exists if the path coefficients are different across the groups.

6.3.4. Step 4: Comparing error/disturbance variances/covariances

- In general, this is of less theoretical importance
- Step 4a: Testing equality of error variances and covariances
- The hypothesis of interest is

$$H_0: \Theta^{(1)} = ... = \Theta^{(G)} \text{ (or } H_0: \Psi_e^{(1)} = ... = \Psi_e^{(G)} \text{ in path analysis)}$$

- Step 4b: Testing equality of disturbance variances and covariances
- The hypothesis of interest is

$$H_0: \Psi_d^{(1)} = \dots = \Psi_d^{(G)}$$

- Acceptance of Steps 1-4 would imply that all of the parameters in the common model are equal across groups.
- This is a highly restrictive hypothesis (all covariance matrices as well as the structural models across groups are identical).
- We can also use MSEM to test the equality of (unstructured) population covariance matrices:

$$H_0$$
: $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \dots = \Sigma_G$

6.4 Goodness-of-fit Measures

• The chi-square goodness-of-fit statistic is

$$T = (N_1 - 1)F(\widehat{\theta}_1) + (N_2 - 1)F(\widehat{\theta}_2) + \dots + (N_G - 1)F(\widehat{\theta}_G)$$

• Under H_0 , $T \stackrel{\text{a}}{\sim} \chi^2(p^*-q+c)$, where

 p^* = no. of sample variances and covariances in all groups q = no. of free parameters in all groups

c = no. of within- and between-group equality constraints

• Multiple group models are hard to fit because a single model misspecification in any one sample would result in a large overall test statistic

6.5 An Example: Sales/Marketing and Administration (SMA) Ability

• Group 1: Males Officers (N = 265, filename = $sma_male.cov$)

```
sales1 63
sales2 70 110
admin1 41 52 60
admin2 30 37 36 32
```

• Group 2: Females Officers (N = 300, filename = $sma_female.cov$)

```
sales1 67
sales2 72 107
admin1 40 55 63
admin2 28 38 39 35
```

• Model 1: Testing if the two groups have equal factorial structure (configural invariance)

• Model 2: Model 1 + Equal factor loadings (weak measurement invariance)

• Model 3: Model 2 + Equal factor variances

• Model 4: Model 3 + Equal factor correlations

• Model 5: Model 4 + Equal error variances

• Model 6: Equality of unstructured covariance matrices ($\Sigma_M = \Sigma_F$)

| Model | χ_1^2 | χ_2^2 | χ^2 (df) | p | NNFI | CFI | RMSEA | SRMR | $\Delta \chi^2(\Delta df)$ | p |
|-------|------------|------------|---------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
| 1 | 0.763 | 0.188 | 0.950 (2) | .622 | 1.004 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | | |
| 2 | 1.806 | 1.114 | 2.921 (4) | .571 | 1.002 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 1.971 (2) | 0.373 |
| 3 | 2.035 | 1.310 | 3.346 (6) | .764 | 1.003 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.027 | 0.425 (2) | 0.808 |
| 4 | 2.849 | 2.084 | 4.933 (7) | .668 | 1.002 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.023 | 1.587 (1) | 0.208 |
| 5 | 7.342 | 5.326 | 12.668 (11) | .316 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 7.735 (4) | 0.102 |
| 6 | ? | ? | ? | | | | | | | |

• R script file: sma.R

```
# Example: Sales/Marketing and Administration Ability
# set work directory
setwd("c:/users/wchan/google drive/stat6108/data")
# load the lavaan package
library(lavaan)
# data preparation
varname <- c("sales1", "sales2", "admin1", "admin2")</pre>
cov1 <- scan("sma male.cov")</pre>
male.cov <- getCov(cov1, names=varname)</pre>
cov2 <- scan("sma female.cov")</pre>
female.cov <- getCov(cov2, names=varname)</pre>
# specify Model 1 (configural invariance)
model1 <- "
# measurement model
S M = \sim sales1 + sales2
ADM =~ admin1 + admin2
# factor Variances and covariance
S M ~~ 1*S M
ADM ~~ 1*ADM
S M ~~ ADM
# error Variances
sales1 ~~ sales1
sales2 ~~ sales2
admin1 ~~ admin1
admin2 ~~ admin2
# Fit Model 1 to data
fit1 <-lavaan(model1, sample.cov=list(Group1=male.cov, Group2=female.cov), sample.nobs=c(265, 300))
```

```
# specify Model 2 (weak factorial invariance)
model2 <- "
# measurement model
S_M = c(eq1, eq1) *sales1 + c(eq2, eq2) *sales2
ADM =~ c(eq3, eq3)*admin1 + c(eq4, eq4)*admin2
# factor Variances and covariance
S M \sim c(1, NA) * S M
ADM ~~ c(1, NA) *ADM
S M ~~ ADM
# error Variances
sales1 ~~ sales1
sales2 ~~ sales2
admin1 ~~ admin1
admin2 ~~ admin2
# Fit Model 2 to data
fit2 <-lavaan(model2, sample.cov=list(Group1=male.cov, Group2=female.cov), sample.nobs=c(265, 300))</pre>
# specify Model 3 (Model 2 + equal factor variances)
mode13 <- "
# measurement model
S_M = c(eq1, eq1) *sales1 + c(eq2, eq2) *sales2
ADM =~ c(eq3, eq3)*admin1 + c(eq4, eq4)*admin2
# factor Variances and covariance
S M \sim c(1,1) * S M
ADM \sim\sim c(1,1)*ADM
S M ~~ ADM
# error Variances
sales1 ~~ sales1
sales2 ~~ sales2
admin1 ~~ admin1
admin2 ~~ admin2
# Fit Model 3 to data
fit3 <-lavaan(model3, sample.cov=list(Group1=male.cov, Group2=female.cov), sample.nobs=c(265, 300))
```

```
# specify Model 4 (Model 3 + equal factor correlation)
model4 <- "
# measurement model
S M = c(eq1, eq1) *sales1 + c(eq2, eq2) *sales2
ADM =~ c(eq3, eq3)*admin1 + c(eq4, eq4)*admin2
# factor Variances and covariance
S M \sim c(1,1) * S M
ADM \sim c(1,1)*ADM
S M ~~ c(eq5,eq5) *ADM
# error Variances
sales1 ~~ sales1
sales2 ~~ sales2
admin1 ~~ admin1
admin2 ~~ admin2
# Fit Model 4 to data
fit4 <-lavaan(model4, sample.cov=list(Group1=male.cov, Group2=female.cov), sample.nobs=c(265, 300))
# specify Model 5 (Model 4 + equal error variances)
mode15 <- "
# measurement model
S_M = c(eq1, eq1) *sales1 + c(eq2, eq2) *sales2
ADM =~ c(eq3, eq3)*admin1 + c(eq4, eq4)*admin2
# factor Variances and covariance
S_M \sim c(1,1) * S_M
ADM \sim\sim c(1,1)*ADM
S_M \sim c(eq5, eq5)*ADM
# error Variances
sales1 ~~ c(eq6,eq6)*sales1
sales2 ~~ c(eq7,eq7)*sales2
admin1 ~~ c(eq8, eq8) *admin1
admin2 ~~ c(eq9,eq9) *admin2
# Fit Model 5 to data
fit5 <-lavaan(model5, sample.cov=list(Group1=male.cov, Group2=female.cov), sample.nobs=c(265, 300))
```

```
# specify Model 6 (equality of unstructured covariance matrices)
model6 <- "
# measurement model
F1 =~ 1*sales1
F2 =~ 1*sales2
F3 =~ 1*admin1
F4 =~ 1*admin2
# factor Variance
F1 ~~ c(eq1,eq1)*F1
F2 ~~ c(eq2,eq2)*F2
F3 ~~ c(eq3,eq3) *F3
F4 ~~ c(eq4,eq4)*F4
# factor covariance
F2 ~~ c(eq5,eq5)*F1
F3 ~~ c(eq6,eq6)*F1
F3 \sim c(eq7, eq7) *F2
F4 ~~ c(eq8,eq8)*F1
F4 \sim c(eq9, eq9) *F2
F4 ~~ c(eq10,eq10) *F3
# Fit Model 6 to data
fit6 <-lavaan(model6, sample.cov=list(Group1=male.cov, Group2=female.cov), sample.nobs=c(265, 300))
# save the output
sink("sma.out", split=TRUE)
writeLines("\n Example: Sales/Marketing and Administration Ability\n")
writeLines("\n Output for Model 1 (Configural Invariance)\n")
summary(fit1, fit.measures=TRUE, standardized=TRUE)
writeLines("\n Output for Model 2 (Weak Factorial Invariance)\n")
summary(fit2, fit.measures=TRUE, standardized=TRUE)
writeLines("\n Output for Model 3 (Model 2 + equal factor variances)\n")
summary(fit3, fit.measures=TRUE, standardized=TRUE)
writeLines("\n Output for Model 4 (Model 3 + equal factor correlation)\n")
summary(fit4, fit.measures=TRUE, standardized=TRUE)
writeLines("\n Output for Model 5 (Model 4 + equal error variances)\n")
```

```
summary(fit5, fit.measures=TRUE, standardized=TRUE)
writeLines("\n Model Comparisons\n")
lavTestLRT(fit1, fit2, fit3, fit4, fit5)
writeLines("\n Output for Model 6 (equality of unstructured covariance matrices)\n")
summary(fit6, fit.measures=TRUE, standardized=TRUE)
sink()
```

• R output file: sma.out

Example: Sales/Marketing and Administration Ability

Output for Model 1 (Configural Invariance)

lavaan 0.6-5 ended normally after 30 iterations

| Estimator Optimization method Number of free parameters | ML NLMINB 18 |
|---|--------------------|
| Number of observations per group: Group1 Group2 | 265 300 |
| Model Test User Model: | |
| Test statistic | 0.950 |
| Degrees of freedom | 2 |
| P-value (Chi-square) | 0.622 |
| Test statistic for each group: | |
| Group1 | 0.763 |
| Group2 | 0.188 |
| Model Test Baseline Model: | |
| Test statistic | 1739.457 |
| Degrees of freedom | 12 |
| P-value | 0.000 |
| User Model versus Baseline Model: | |
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) | 1.000 |
| Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) | 1.004 |
| | |

Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:

| Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6993.431 Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -6992.956 Akaike (AIC) 14022.862 Bayesian (BIC) 14100.925 Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 14043.783 | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| Root Mean Square RMSEA 90 Percent conf 90 Percent conf P-value RMSEA < | | | | | | |
| Standardized Root SRMR | . Mean Squar | e Residua | 1: | 0.002 | | |
| Parameter Estimates: Information Expected Information saturated (h1) model Structured Standard errors Standard Group 1 [Group1]: | | | | | | |
| Latent Variables: | | | | | | |
| | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M =~ sales1 sales2 ADM =~ admin1 admin2 | 7.465 9.342 7.033 5.099 | 0.387 0.526 0.387 0.284 | 17.745 18.151 | 0.000 | 9.342 7.033 | 0.892 0.910 |
| Covariances: | | | | | | |
| S M ~~ | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| ADM | 0.781 | 0.031 | 25.260 | 0.000 | 0.781 | 0.781 |

| Variances: | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ADM | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | 7.038 | 2.098 | 3.355 | 0.001 | 7.038 | 0.112 |
| .sales2 | 22.314 | 3.693 | 6.043 | 0.000 | 22.314 | 0.204 |
| .admin1 | 10.304 | 2.086 | 4.941 | 0.000 | 10.304 | 0.172 |
| .admin2 | 5.878 | 1.114 | 5.277 | 0.000 | 5.878 | 0.184 |
| Group 2 [Group2]: | | | | | | |
| Latent Variables: | | | | | | |
| | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M =~ | | | | | | |
| sales1 | 7.238 | 0.390 | 18.571 | 0.000 | 7.238 | 0.886 |
| sales2 | 9.915 | 0.472 | 21.002 | 0.000 | 9.915 | 0.960 |
| ADM =~ | | | | | | |
| admin1 | 7.489 | 0.369 | 20.306 | 0.000 | 7.489 | 0.945 |
| admin2 | 5.190 | 0.285 | 18.228 | 0.000 | 5.190 | 0.879 |
| Covariances: | | | | | | |
| | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M ~~ | | | | | | |
| ADM | 0.738 | 0.032 | 22.839 | 0.000 | 0.738 | 0.738 |
| Variances: | | | | | | |
| | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ADM | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | 14.390 | 2.206 | 6.524 | 0.000 | 14.390 | 0.215 |
| .sales2 | 8.346 | 3.568 | 2.339 | 0.019 | 8.346 | 0.078 |
| .admin1 | 6.705 | 2.197 | 3.051 | 0.002 | 6.705 | 0.107 |
| .admin2 | 7.945 | 1.211 | 6.562 | 0.000 | 7.945 | 0.228 |

Output for Model 2 (Weak Factorial Invariance)

lavaan 0.6-5 ended normally after 38 iterations

| Estimator Optimization method Number of free parameters Number of equality constraints Row rank of the constraints matrix | ML NLMINB 20 4 4 |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of observations per group: | 265 |
| Group1 Group2 | 265 300 |
| Model Test User Model: | |
| Test statistic Degrees of freedom | 2.921 4 |
| P-value (Chi-square) | 0.571 |
| Test statistic for each group: Group1 Group2 | 1.806 1.114 |
| Model Test Baseline Model: | |
| Test statistic | 1739.457 |
| Degrees of freedom | 12 |
| P-value | 0.000 |
| User Model versus Baseline Model: | |
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) | 1.000 |
| Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) | 1.002 |
| Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) | -6994.416 |
| Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) Akaike (AIC) | -6992.956 14020.832 |

| _ | Bayesian (BIC) 14090.221 Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 14039.429 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|--|
| Root Mean Square Error of Approximation: RMSEA 0.000 90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.000 90 Percent confidence interval - upper 0.078 P-value RMSEA <= 0.05 0.816 | | | | | | | | |
| Standardized SRMR | l Root | Mean Squar | e Residua | 1: | 0.015 | | | |
| Parameter Es | timate | s: | | | | | | |
| Information Expected Information saturated (h1) model Structured Standard errors Standard Group 1 [Group1]: | | | | | | | | |
| Latent Varia | Latent Variables: | | | | | | | |
| a w - | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all | |
| S_M =~ sales1 sales2 ADM =~ admin1 admin2 | (eq1) (eq2) (eq3) (eq4) | 9.589 | 0.366 0.492 0.366 0.263 | 19.477 19.449 | | 7.306 9.589 7.113 5.035 | 0.905 | |
| Covariances: | Covariances: | | | | | | | |
| S M ~~ | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all | |
| ADM | | 0.783 | 0.031 | 25.436 | 0.000 | 0.783 | 0.783 | |

Variances:

| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
|--------------|--------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| S_M | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ADM | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | | 8.258 | 1.840 | 4.488 | 0.000 | 8.258 | 0.134 |
| .sales2 | | 20.420 | 3.416 | 5.977 | 0.000 | 20.420 | 0.182 |
| .admin1 | | 9.731 | 1.924 | 5.057 | 0.000 | 9.731 | 0.161 |
| .admin2 | | 6.180 | 1.019 | 6.065 | 0.000 | 6.180 | 0.196 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Group 2 [Gro | oup2]: | | | | | | |
| Latent Varia | bles: | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | $\mathtt{Std.lv}$ | Std.all |
| S_M =~ | | | | | | | |
| sales1 | (eq1) | 7.306 | 0.366 | 19.938 | 0.000 | 7.423 | 0.898 |
| sales2 | (eq2) | 9.589 | 0.492 | 19.477 | 0.000 | 9.744 | 0.949 |
| ADM =~ | | | | | | | |
| admin1 | (eq3) | 7.113 | 0.366 | 19.449 | 0.000 | 7.424 | 0.940 |
| admin2 | (eq4) | 5.035 | 0.263 | 19.117 | 0.000 | 5.255 | 0.885 |
| | _ | | | | | | |
| Covariances: | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | $\mathtt{Std.lv}$ | Std.all |
| S_M ~~ | | | | | | | |
| ADM | | 0.787 | 0.104 | 7.572 | 0.000 | 0.742 | 0.742 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Variances: | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M | | 1.032 | 0.135 | 7.666 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ADM | | 1.089 | 0.144 | 7.572 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | | 13.274 | 2.026 | 6.553 | 0.000 | 13.274 | 0.194 |
| .sales2 | | 10.439 | 3.069 | 3.401 | 0.001 | 10.439 | 0.099 |
| .admin1 | | 7.306 | 1.940 | 3.767 | 0.000 | 7.306 | 0.117 |
| .admin2 | | 7.655 | 1.116 | 6.859 | 0.000 | 7.655 | 0.217 |

Output for Model 3 (Model 2 + equal factor variances)

lavaan 0.6-5 ended normally after 27 iterations

| Estimator Optimization method Number of free parameters Number of equality constraints Row rank of the constraints matrix | ML NLMINB 18 4 4 |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of observations per group: | |
| Group1 | 265 |
| Group2 | 300 |
| Model Test User Model: | |
| Test statistic | 3.346 |
| Degrees of freedom | 6 |
| P-value (Chi-square) | 0.764 |
| Test statistic for each group: | |
| Group1 | 2.035 |
| Group2 | 1.310 |
| Model Test Baseline Model: | |
| Test statistic | 1739.457 |
| Degrees of freedom | 12 |
| P-value | 0.000 |
| User Model versus Baseline Model: | |
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) | 1.000 |
| Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) | 1.003 |
| Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: | |
| Loglikelihood user model (H0) | -6994.629 |
| Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) | -6992.956 |
| Akaike (AIC) | 14017.257 |

| Bayesian (Sample-siz | 4077.973 4033.530 | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Root Mean Square Error of Approximation: RMSEA 0.000 90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.000 90 Percent confidence interval - upper 0.053 P-value RMSEA <= 0.05 0.940 | | | | | | | |
| Standardized SRMR | Root | Mean Squar | e Residua | 1: | 0.027 | | |
| Parameter Estimates: Information Expected Information saturated (h1) model Structured Standard errors Standard | | | | | | | |
| Group 1 [Gro | up1]: | | | | | | |
| Latent Variables: Estimate Std.Err z-value P(> z) Std.lv Std.all | | | | | | | |
| S_M =~ sales1 sales2 ADM =~ | (eq1) (eq2) | 7.37 4 9.678 | 0.274 0.352 | | 0.000 0.000 | 7.374 9.678 | 0.932 0.906 |
| admin1 admin2 | (eq3) (eq4) | 7.280 5.151 | 0.267 0.201 | | 0.000 | | 0.920 0.901 |
| Covariances: Estimate Std.Err z-value P(> z) Std.lv Std.all | | | | | | | |
| S_M ~~ ADM | | 0.788 | 0.029 | | 0.000 | 0.788 | 0.788 |
| Variances: | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |

| S_M ADM .sales1 .sales2 .admin1 .admin2 | | 1.000 1.000 8.269 20.417 9.673 6.165 | 1.837 3.409 1.938 1.028 | 4.500 5.990 4.992 5.999 | 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 | 1.000 1.000 8.269 20.417 9.673 6.165 | 1.000 1.000 0.132 0.179 0.154 0.189 |
|--|--------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Group 2 [Gro | oup2]: | | | | | | |
| Latent Varia | ables: | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M =~ | | | | | | | |
| sales1 | (eq1) | 7.374 | 0.274 | 26.890 | 0.000 | 7.374 | 0.897 |
| sales2 | (eq2) | 9.678 | 0.352 | 27.501 | 0.000 | 9.678 | 0.949 |
| ADM =~ | | | | | | | |
| admin1 | (eq3) | 7.280 | 0.267 | 27.231 | 0.000 | 7.280 | 0.937 |
| admin2 | (eq4) | 5.151 | 0.201 | 25.641 | 0.000 | 5.151 | 0.881 |
| G | | | | | | | |
| Covariances: | ; | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S M ~~ | | ESCIMACE | Sta.EII | z-varue | P (/ Z) | Sta.IV | Stu.all |
| ADM | | 0.738 | 0.031 | 23.899 | 0.000 | 0.738 | 0.738 |
| ADM | | 0.758 | 0.031 | 23.033 | 0.000 | 0.758 | 0.758 |
| Variances: | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ADM | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | | 13.278 | 2.028 | 6.547 | 0.000 | 13.278 | 0.196 |
| .sales2 | | 10.422 | 3.071 | 3.394 | 0.001 | 10.422 | 0.100 |
| .admin1 | | 7.415 | 1.914 | 3.874 | 0.000 | 7.415 | 0.123 |
| .admin2 | | 7.638 | 1.105 | 6.915 | 0.000 | 7.638 | 0.223 |

Output for Model 4 (Model 3 + equal factor correlation)

lavaan 0.6-5 ended normally after 28 iterations

| Number of observations per group: 265 Group1 265 Group2 300 Model Test User Model: 4.933 Degrees of freedom 7 P-value (Chi-square) 0.668 Test statistic for each group: 2.849 Group1 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: 1.000 Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: 1.001 Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -6992.956 | Estimator Optimization method Number of free parameters Number of equality constraints Row rank of the constraints matrix | ML NLMINB 18 5 5 |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| Group2 300 Model Test User Model: Test statistic 4.933 Degrees of freedom 7 P-value (Chi-square) 0.668 Test statistic for each group: Group1 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Number of observations per group: | |
| Model Test User Model: Test statistic 4.933 Degrees of freedom 7 P-value (Chi-square) 0.668 Test statistic for each group: Group1 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Group1 | 265 |
| Test statistic 4.933 Degrees of freedom 7 P-value (Chi-square) 0.668 Test statistic for each group: Group1 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Group2 | 300 |
| Test statistic 4.933 Degrees of freedom 7 P-value (Chi-square) 0.668 Test statistic for each group: Group1 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Model Test User Model: | |
| P-value (Chi-square) 0.668 Test statistic for each group: Group1 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Test statistic | 4.933 |
| P-value (Chi-square) 0.668 Test statistic for each group: Group1 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Degrees of freedom | 7 |
| Test statistic for each group: Group1 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | - | 0.668 |
| Group2 2.849 Group2 2.084 Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Test statistic for each group: | |
| Model Test Baseline Model: Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | | 2.849 |
| Test statistic 1739.457 Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Group2 | 2.084 |
| Degrees of freedom 12 P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Model Test Baseline Model: | |
| P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Test statistic | 1739.457 |
| P-value 0.000 User Model versus Baseline Model: Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Degrees of freedom | 12 |
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | _ | 0.000 |
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) 1.000 Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | User Model versus Baseline Model: | |
| Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) 1.002 Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | | 1.000 |
| Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | <u> </u> | |
| Loglikelihood user model (H0) -6995.422 | Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: | |
| | | -6995.422 |
| | | |
| Akaike (AIC) 14016.844 | | |

| Bayesian (BIC) | 14073.223 |
|--|------------|
| Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) | 14031.954 |
| Post Marco Green Brown S Brown Smith | |
| Root Mean Square Error of Approximation: | 0.000 |
| RMSEA | 0.000 |
| 90 Percent confidence interval - lower | 0.000 |
| 90 Percent confidence interval - upper | 0.058 |
| P-value RMSEA <= 0.05 | 0.916 |
| Standardized Root Mean Square Residual: SRMR | 0.023 |
| Parameter Estimates: | |
| Information | Expected |
| Information saturated (h1) model | Structured |
| Standard errors | Standard |
| Group 1 [Group1]: | |
| Latent Variables: | |

| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
|--------------|-------|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|----------|
| S_M =~ | | | | | | | |
| sales1 | (eq1) | 7.375 | 0.275 | 26.866 | 0.000 | 7.375 | 0.933 |
| sales2 | (eq2) | 9.662 | 0.351 | 27.502 | 0.000 | 9.662 | 0.906 |
| ADM =~ | | | | | | | |
| admin1 | (eq3) | 7.276 | 0.267 | 27.212 | 0.000 | 7.276 | 0.921 |
| admin2 | (eq4) | 5.153 | 0.201 | 25.609 | 0.000 | 5.153 | 0.901 |
| Covariances: | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M ~~ | | ESCIMACE | Stu.Eff | z-value | F (/ Z) | Std.IV | Scu. all |

0.022

34.245

0.000

0.760

0.760

Variances:

ADM

(eq5)

0.760

| S_M ADM .sales1 .sales2 .admin1 .admin2 | | Estimate 1.000 1.000 8.074 20.407 9.483 6.141 | 1.888 3.508 1.994 1.059 | z-value 4.276 5.817 4.757 5.797 | P(> z) 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 | Std.lv 1.000 1.000 8.074 20.407 9.483 6.141 | Std.all 1.000 1.000 0.129 0.179 0.152 0.188 |
|---|-------|---|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Group 2 [Grou | .p2]: | | | | | | |
| Latent Variab | oles: | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | $\mathtt{Std.lv}$ | Std.all |
| S_M =~ | | | | | | | |
| sales1 | (eq1) | 7.375 | 0.275 | 26.866 | 0.000 | 7.375 | 0.897 |
| sales2 | (eq2) | 9.662 | 0.351 | 27.502 | 0.000 | 9.662 | 0.946 |
| ADM =~ | | | | | | | |
| admin1 | (eq3) | 7.276 | 0.267 | 27.212 | 0.000 | 7.276 | 0.934 |
| admin2 | (eq4) | 5.153 | 0.201 | 25.609 | 0.000 | 5.153 | 0.882 |
| Covariances: | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M ~~ | | | | | | | |
| ADM | (eq5) | 0.760 | 0.022 | 34.245 | 0.000 | 0.760 | 0.760 |
| Variances: | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ADM | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | | 13.179 | 1.974 | 6.676 | 0.000 | 13.179 | 0.195 |
| .sales2 | | 10.858 | 2.953 | 3.677 | 0.000 | 10.858 | 0.104 |
| .admin1 | | 7.692 | 1.850 | 4.158 | 0.000 | 7.692 | 0.127 |
| .admin2 | | 7.607 | 1.079 | 7.047 | 0.000 | 7.607 | 0.223 |
| | | | | | | | |

Output for Model 5 (Model 4 + equal error variances)

lavaan 0.6-5 ended normally after 21 iterations

| Estimator Optimization method Number of free parameters Number of equality constraints Row rank of the constraints matrix | ML NLMINB 18 9 9 |
|---|------------------------------|
| Number of observations per group: | |
| Group1 | 265 |
| Group2 | 300 |
| Model Test User Model: | |
| Test statistic | 12.668 |
| Degrees of freedom | 11 |
| P-value (Chi-square) | 0.316 |
| Test statistic for each group: | |
| Group1 | 7.342 |
| Group2 | 5.326 |
| Model Test Baseline Model: | |
| Test statistic | 1739.457 |
| Degrees of freedom | 12 |
| P-value | 0.000 |
| User Model versus Baseline Model: | |
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) | 0.999 |
| Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) | 0.999 |
| Loglikelihood and Information Criteria: | |
| Loglikelihood user model (H0) | -6999.290 |
| Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) | -6992.956 |
| Akaike (AIC) | 14016.579 |

| Bayesian (BIC) Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) | 14055.611 14027.040 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Root Mean Square Error of Approximation: RMSEA 90 Percent confidence interval - lower 90 Percent confidence interval - upper P-value RMSEA <= 0.05 | 0.023 0.000 0.069 0.792 |
| Standardized Root Mean Square Residual: SRMR | 0.024 |
| Parameter Estimates: Information Information saturated (h1) model Standard errors | Expected Structured Standard |

Group 1 [Group1]:

Latent Variables:

| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> Z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
|--------------|-------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| S_M =~ | | | | | | | |
| sales1 | (eq1) | 7.341 | 0.276 | 26.645 | 0.000 | 7.341 | 0.911 |
| sales2 | (eq2) | 9.646 | 0.352 | 27.400 | 0.000 | 9.646 | 0.928 |
| ADM =~ | | | | | | | |
| admin1 | (eq3) | 7.280 | 0.268 | 27.208 | 0.000 | 7.280 | 0.929 |
| admin2 | (eq4) | 5.145 | 0.202 | 25.490 | 0.000 | 5.145 | 0.889 |
| Covariances: | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M ~~ | | | | | | | |
| ADM | (eq5) | 0.758 | 0.022 | 33.724 | 0.000 | 0.758 | 0.758 |

Variances:

| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
|--------------|--------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| S_M | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ADM | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | (eq6) | 11.004 | 1.520 | 7.237 | 0.000 | 11.004 | 0.170 |
| .sales2 | (eq7) | 14.978 | 2.531 | 5.917 | 0.000 | 14.978 | 0.139 |
| .admin1 | (eq8) | 8.374 | 1.530 | 5.473 | 0.000 | 8.374 | 0.136 |
| .admin2 | (eq9) | 6.998 | 0.834 | 8.391 | 0.000 | 6.998 | 0.209 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Group 2 [Gro | un21 · | | | | | | |
| GIOUP Z [GIO | upz]. | | | | | | |
| Latent Varia | bles: | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M =~ | | | | | | | |
| sales1 | (eq1) | 7.341 | 0.276 | 26.645 | 0.000 | 7.341 | 0.911 |
| sales2 | (eq2) | 9.646 | 0.352 | 27.400 | 0.000 | 9.646 | 0.928 |
| ADM =~ | | | | | | | |
| admin1 | (eq3) | 7.280 | 0.268 | 27.208 | 0.000 | 7.280 | 0.929 |
| admin2 | (eq4) | 5.145 | 0.202 | 25.490 | 0.000 | 5.145 | 0.889 |
| Covariances: | | | | | | | |
| covariances. | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-v21110 | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S M ~~ | | ESCIMACE | SCG.EII | z-varue | F (> 2) | Sca. IV | Scu.aii |
| ADM | (eq5) | 0.758 | 0.022 | 33.724 | 0.000 | 0.758 | 0.758 |
| ADM | (eqJ) | 0.758 | 0.022 | 33.724 | 0.000 | 0.758 | 0.758 |
| Variances: | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| S_M | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| ADM | | 1.000 | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | (eq6) | 11.004 | 1.520 | 7.237 | 0.000 | 11.004 | 0.170 |
| .sales2 | (eq7) | 14.978 | 2.531 | 5.917 | 0.000 | 14.978 | 0.139 |
| .admin1 | (eq8) | 8.374 | 1.530 | 5.473 | 0.000 | 8.374 | 0.136 |
| .admin2 | (eq9) | 6.998 | 0.834 | 8.391 | 0.000 | 6.998 | 0.209 |

Model Comparisons

Chi-Squared Difference Test

| | | Df | AIC | BIC | Chisq | Chisq diff | Df diff | Pr(>Chisq) |
|----|----|----|-------|-------|---------|------------|---------|------------|
| fi | t1 | 2 | 14023 | 14101 | 0.9504 | | | |
| fi | t2 | 4 | 14021 | 14090 | 2.9205 | 1.9701 | 2 | 0.3734 |
| fi | t3 | 6 | 14017 | 14078 | 3.3459 | 0.4253 | 2 | 0.8084 |
| fi | t4 | 7 | 14017 | 14073 | 4.9327 | 1.5869 | 1 | 0.2078 |
| fi | t5 | 11 | 14017 | 14056 | 12.6678 | 7.7351 | 4 | 0.1018 |

Output for Model 6 (equality of unstructured covariance matrices)

lavaan 0.6-5 ended normally after 100 iterations

| Estimator Optimization method Number of free parameters Number of equality constraints Row rank of the constraints matrix | ML NLMINB 20 10 10 |
|---|---|
| Number of observations per group: Group1 Group2 | 265 300 |
| Model Test User Model: | |
| Test statistic Degrees of freedom P-value (Chi-square) Test statistic for each group: Group1 Group2 | 11.750 10 0.302 6.579 5.171 |
| Model Test Baseline Model: | |
| Test statistic Degrees of freedom P-value | 1739.457 12 0.000 |
| User Model versus Baseline Model: | |
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) | 0.999 0.999 |

Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:

| Loglikelihood user model (H0) | -6998.831 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) | -6992.956 |
| Akaike (AIC) | 14017.662 |
| Bayesian (BIC) | 14061.030 |
| Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) | 14029.285 |

Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:

| RMSEA | 0.025 |
|--|-------|
| 90 Percent confidence interval - lower | 0.000 |
| 90 Percent confidence interval - upper | 0.072 |
| P-value RMSEA <= 0.05 | 0.764 |

Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:

SRMR 0.024

Parameter Estimates:

Information Expected Information saturated (h1) model Structured Standard errors Standard

Group 1 [Group1]:

Latent Variables:

| | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | $\mathtt{Std.lv}$ | Std.all |
|-----------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| F1 =~ | | | | | | |
| sales1 F2 =~ | 1.000 | | | | 8.056 | 1.000 |
| sales2 F3 =~ | 1.000 | | | | 10.393 | 1.000 |

| admin1 F4 =~ | | 1.000 | | | | 7.834 | 1.000 | | | |
|-------------------|--------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| admin2 | | 1.000 | | | | 5.786 | 1.000 | | | |
| Covariances | : | | | _ | | | | | | |
| F1 ~~ | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all | | | |
| F2 | (eq5) | 70.811 | 4.613 | 15.350 | 0.000 | 0.846 | 0.846 | | | |
| F3 | (eq6) | 40.326 | 3.151 | 12.799 | 0.000 | 0.639 | 0.639 | | | |
| F2 ~~ | (equ) | 40.520 | 3.131 | 12.755 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.033 | | | |
| F3 | (eq7) | 53.404 | 4.097 | 13.036 | 0.000 | 0.656 | 0.656 | | | |
| F1 ~~ | , • | | | | | | | | | |
| F4 | (eq8) | 28.835 | 2.306 | 12.506 | 0.000 | 0.619 | 0.619 | | | |
| F2 ~~ | | | | | | | | | | |
| F4 | (eq9) | 37.398 | 2.979 | 12.553 | 0.000 | 0.622 | 0.622 | | | |
| F3 ~~ | | | | | | | | | | |
| F4 | (eq10) | 37.460 | 2.474 | 15.142 | 0.000 | 0.826 | 0.826 | | | |
| Variances: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all | | | |
| F1 | (eq1) | 64.894 | 3.861 | 16.808 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | | | |
| F2 | (eq2) | 108.023 | 6.427 | 16.808 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | | | |
| F3 | (eq3) | 61.375 | 3.652 | 16.808 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | | | |
| F4 | (eq4) | 33.474 | 1.992 | 16.808 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | | | |
| .sales1 | | 0.000 | | | | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | |
| .sales2 | | 0.000 | | | | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | |
| .admin1 | | 0.000 | | | | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | |
| .admin2 | | 0.000 | | | | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | |
| a 0 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Group 2 [Group2]: | | | | | | | | | | |

Latent Variables:

Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|) Std.lv Std.all

F1 =~

| sales1 F2 =~ | | 1.000 | | | | 8.056 | 1.000 |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| sales2 F3 =~ | | 1.000 | | | | 10.393 | 1.000 |
| admin1 F4 =~ | | 1.000 | | | | 7.834 | 1.000 |
| admin2 | | 1.000 | | | | 5.786 | 1.000 |
| Covariances | : | | | _ | | • • | |
| -1 | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| F1 ~~ | / a == E \ | 70 011 | 4 (12 | 15 250 | 0 000 | 0.046 | 0.046 |
| F2 | (eq5) | 70.811 | 4.613 | 15.350 | 0.000 | 0.846 | 0.846 |
| F3 | (eq6) | 40.326 | 3.151 | 12.799 | 0.000 | 0.639 | 0.639 |
| F2 ~~ | (7) | F2 404 | 4 007 | 12 026 | 0 000 | 0 656 | 0 656 |
| F3 | (eq7) | 53.404 | 4.097 | 13.036 | 0.000 | 0.656 | 0.656 |
| F1 ~~ F4 | (0) | 28.835 | 2.306 | 12.506 | 0.000 | 0.619 | 0.619 |
| F2 ~~ | (eq8) | 20.033 | 2.306 | 12.506 | 0.000 | 0.619 | 0.619 |
| F2 ~~ F4 | (0.00) | 37.398 | 2.979 | 12.553 | 0.000 | 0.622 | 0.622 |
| F3 ~~ | (eq9) | 37.398 | 2.313 | 12.555 | 0.000 | 0.022 | 0.022 |
| F4 | (eq10) | 37.460 | 2.474 | 15.142 | 0.000 | 0.826 | 0.826 |
| Variances: | | | | | | | |
| | | Estimate | Std.Err | z-value | P(> z) | Std.lv | Std.all |
| F1 | (eq1) | 64.894 | 3.861 | 16.808 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| F2 | (eq2) | 108.023 | 6.427 | 16.808 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| F3 | (eq3) | 61.375 | 3.652 | 16.808 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| F4 | (eq4) | 33.474 | 1.992 | 16.808 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| .sales1 | | 0.000 | | | | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| .sales2 | | 0.000 | | | | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| .admin1 | | 0.000 | | | | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| .admin2 | | 0.000 | | | | 0.000 | 0.000 |