

STAT6106, Fall 2017

Mid-term Solution

Problem 1 (10 points)

Uncertainty of any distribution can be quantified by the variance of the distribution, thus we compare the prior variance with the posterior variance:

1. According to $Var(\theta) = Var(E(\theta|y)) + E(Var(\theta|y))$, we know that the prior variance $Var(\theta)$ is no less than the expected posterior variance $E(Var(\theta|y))$.

2. If the prior distribution agrees with the data likelihood (i.e., the two curves have similar shape and center), the posterior variance $Var(\theta|y)$ will be smaller than the prior variance $Var(\theta)$. This denotes the reduced uncertainty after cumulating consistent data/evidence.

3. If the prior distribution is contradictive with the data likelihood (e.g., the two curves have very different center), the posterior variance $Var(\theta|y)$ can be bigger than the prior variance $Var(\theta)$. This denotes that putting the unmatched prior and data together causes more confusion.

Problem 2 (50 points)

(a)(15points) We can introduce the following conjugate prior distribution of μ to incorporate the knowledge about HKU students' weight and the situation among the universities in Hong Kong:

$$\mu \sim N(180, 40^2) \tag{1}$$

The likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x_1, \dots, x_{10} | \mu) &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} p(x_i | \mu) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \cdot 400}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2 \cdot 400}} \\
 &= (2\pi \cdot 400)^{-10/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2 \cdot 400} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \mu)^2\right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The posterior distribution of μ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\mu | x_1, \dots, x_{10}) &\propto p(\mu) \cdot p(x_1, \dots, x_{10} | \mu) \\
 &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{(\mu - 180)^2}{2 \cdot 40^2}\right\} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2 \cdot 400} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \mu)^2\right\} \\
 &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{(\mu - \mu_*)^2}{2\sigma_*^2}\right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\mu_* = \frac{\frac{180}{40^2} + \frac{10 \cdot 150}{400}}{\frac{1}{40^2} + \frac{10}{400}} = 150.7317$, $\sigma_*^2 = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{40^2} + \frac{10}{400}} = 39.02439$.

Thus, the Bayesian inference for the mean weight of CUHK studentd would conclude that:

$$\mu | x_1, \dots, x_{10} \sim N(150.7317, 39.02439) \tag{4}$$

(b)(20points) This is asking us to calculate the posterior predictive distribution of a new student's weight conditional on the observed sample:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x_* | x_1, \dots, x_{10}) &= \int p(x_* | \mu) \cdot p(\mu | x_1, \dots, x_{10}) d\mu \\
 &\Downarrow
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$x_* | x_1, \dots, x_{10} \sim N(150.7317, 39.02439 + 400) = N(150.7317, 439.02439)$$

Thus, the prediction of this new weight is the normal distribution $x_* | x_1, \dots, x_{10} \sim N(150.7317, 39.02439 + 400) = N(150.7317, 439.02439)$.

(c)(15points)

The 95% posterior interval for the mean weight of CUHK students is (138.4879, 162.9755);
the 95% interval for the new weight is (109.6648, 191.7986).

The new weight has big uncertainty.

Problem 3 (40 points)

(a)(10points)

The likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_1, \dots, x_{10}|p) &= \prod_{i=1}^{10} p(1-p)^{x_i-1} = p^{10}(1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i - 10} \\ &= p^{10}(1-p)^{30} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Thus, the conjugate prior distribution for \mathbf{p} should have the below form:

$$p(\mathbf{p} = p) \propto p^a(1-p)^b \tag{7}$$

this is Beta distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} X &\sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta) \\ f(x; \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1} \\ 0 &< x < 1, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

(b)(5points) Without any prior knowledge, we can set the prior distribution about \mathbf{p} to be uniform:

$$\mathbf{p} \sim U(0, 1) = \text{Beta}(1, 1) \tag{9}$$

(c)(10points)

The posterior distribution for \mathbf{p} :

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{p} = p|x_1, \dots, x_{10}) &\propto p^{10}(1-p)^{30} \\ \mathbf{p} &\sim \text{Beta}(11, 31) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

(d)(15points) this is asking you to calculate the posterior predictive distribution of a new observation

conditional on the observed 10 data points:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x_*|x_1, \dots, x_{10}) &= \int p(x_*|p) \cdot p(p|x_1, \dots, x_{10}) dp \\
&= \int_0^1 p(1-p)^{x_*-1} \frac{\Gamma(42)}{\Gamma(11)\Gamma(31)} p^{10}(1-p)^{30} dp \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(42)}{\Gamma(11)\Gamma(31)} \int_0^1 p^{12-1}(1-p)^{30+x_*-1} dp \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(42)}{\Gamma(11)\Gamma(31)} \frac{\Gamma(12)\Gamma(30+x_*)}{\Gamma(42+x_*)}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$