COMP30026 Models of Computation Assignment 2

Emmanuel Macario - 831659

October, 2018

Challenge 4

a. Let D be a DFA $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ that recognises regular language, R. We can transform D into an NFA N that recognises $drop(\mathsf{a}, R)$ by replacing every transition that consumes input symbol a with an epsilon transition.

   More formally, we can define N to be the five-tuple $N = (Q, \Sigma_\epsilon \setminus \{\mathsf{a}\}, \delta', q_0, F)$ with transition function,

$$\delta'(q, x) = \begin{cases} \{\delta(q, x)\} & \text{if } q \in Q \text{ and } x \in \Sigma \setminus \{\mathsf{a}\} \\ \{\delta(q, \mathsf{a})\} & \text{if } q \in Q \text{ and } x = \epsilon \end{cases}$$

   Therefore, since $drop(\mathsf{a}, R)$ can be recognised by NFA N, then $drop(\mathsf{a}, R)$ is a regular language.

b. $L = \{a^m b^n c^n \mid m, n \geq 0\}$

Challenge 5

Let A be an arbitrary regular language. Since A is regular, there exists some DFA D that acts as a recogniser for A.

We can systematically translate DFA $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ into a PDA $P = (Q', \Sigma', \Gamma, \delta', q_0', F')$ with only three states, that recognises A.

The general premise of this translation is to let the PDA use its stack to keep track of the current state in the DFA, with respect to the previous input consumed.. Additionally, we will only allow the PDA to accept an input string if the state on top of the stack is an original accept state in the DFA. The three state PDA can be seen as follows.

$x, q_i \to q_{i+1}$ $\left(\text{Where } x \in \Sigma \text{ and } \delta(q_i, x) = q_{i+1}\right)$

$\epsilon, \epsilon \to q_0 \$$

q_I

q_R

$\epsilon, q_f \to \epsilon$

q_A

$\left(\text{Where } q_f \in F\right)$

We can formally define P to be the six-tuple $P = (\{q_I, q_R, q_A\}, \Sigma, Q, \delta', q_I, \{q_A\})$ with transition function,

$$\delta'(q, x, s) = \begin{cases} \{(q_R, q_0\$)\} & \text{if } q = q_A,\ x = \epsilon \text{ and } s = \epsilon \\ \{(q_R, q_n)\} & \text{if } q = q_R,\ x \in \Sigma \text{ and } \delta(s, x) = q_n \\ \{(q_A, \epsilon)\} & \text{if } q = q_R,\ x = \epsilon \text{ and } s \in F \end{cases}$$

Challenge 6

We will show that $L(G) = A$ in two parts. Firstly, we will show $L(G) \subseteq A$ by using structural induction on the form of G. Then, we will show $A \subseteq L(G)$ by induction on the length of strings in A.

Proof: $L(G) \subseteq A$

Let $w \in L(G)$ such that $S \Rightarrow^* w$. That is, let w be an arbitrary string in $L(G)$ that is derived from start symbol, S. We will use structural induction to show that $w \in A$.

Consider the base case $w = \epsilon$. Clearly, w does not contain the substring 001.

For the first recursive case, let $w = w'0$ where $w' \in L(G)$. By the inductive hypothesis, w' does not contain the substring 001. Hence, in this case w cannot contain substring 001. This is because any new substrings generated will have 0 as the end symbol, whereas 001 has 1 as its end symbol.

For the second recursive case, let $w = 1w'$ where $w' \in L(G)$. By the inductive hypothesis, w' does not contain the substring 001. Hence, in this case w cannot contain the substring 001. This is because any new substrings generated will have 1 as the start symbol, whereas 001 has 0 as its start symbol.

For the final recursive case, let $w = 01w'$ where $w' \in L(G)$. By the inductive hypothesis, w' does not contain the substring 001. Hence, in this case w cannot contain the substring 001. This is because any newly generated substrings of length three will be of the form $01x$ or $1xx$, where $x \in \Sigma_\epsilon$. Clearly, none of these substrings are equal to 001.

Hence, in no case does w contain substring 001. Therefore, $L(G) \subseteq A$.

Proof: $A \subseteq L(G)$

We would like to prove that for any binary string s such that $s \in A, then s \in L(G)$. We can argue the case using induction on the length of s.

For the basis step, observe that any arbitrary string $s \in A$ for which $|s| = 0$, $|s| = 1$ or $|s| = 2$, then $s \in L(G)$ is also true. This can trivially be seen for all possible strings in A of length ≤ 2 including ϵ, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10 and 11.

For the inductive step, assume that $k \geq 2$ and that all strings $s \in A$ of length 0 to k are included in $L(G)$. Now, consider string $s' \in A$ of length $k + 1$. If s' ends with a 0, then $s' \in L(G)$ since the prefix of s' of length k is also in $L(G)$, by the inductive hypothesis. Similarly, if s' starts with a 1, then $s' \in L(G)$ since the suffix of s' of length k is also in $L(G)$. Finally, if s' starts with 01, then $s \in L(G)$ since the suffix of s' of length $k - 1$ is also included in $L(G)$, by the inductive hypothesis.

Thus, we have shown that for any arbitrary length string s such that $s \in A$, then $s \in L(G)$. It follows that $A \subseteq L(G)$.

Since we have proven that both $L(G) \subseteq A$ and $A \subseteq L(G)$, it follows that $L(G) = A$.