

# 1 Introduction

A domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is called **quasiconvex** if its intrinsic metric is comparable to the ambient Euclidean metric. Explicitly, this means that there exists a constant  $A \geq 1$  such that every two points  $z_1, z_2 \in \Omega$  have a rectifiable path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$  connecting them which satisfies

$$\text{Length}(\gamma) \leq A \cdot |z_1 - z_2|.$$

We call such a path  $\gamma$  a *quasiconvexity witness* for  $z_1, z_2$ .

If  $\Omega$  is the interior of a Jordan curve, then by [1, Corollary F] it is enough to find certificates for points  $z_1, z_2$  that are on the boundary curve  $\partial\Omega$ .

Our interest in quasiconvexity stems from its connection with the John property: If  $\Omega$  is a quasiconvex Jordan domain, then the interior of its complement is John. See [1, Corollary 3.4] for details.

We want to show that the exterior of the developed deltoid is quasiconvex.

We show that the exterior of the cauliflower,  $\mathcal{J}^{\text{exterior}}(z^2 + 1/4)$ , is quasiconvex. We then adapt our argument to the exterior of the developed deltoid.

## 1.1 Sketch of the argument

We show quasiconvexity by an explicit construction of paths connecting given points on the boundary.

We use the conjugation of  $f_c : z \mapsto z^2 + c$  on the exterior of the Julia set to the map  $z^2$  on the exterior of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}^*$ . We decompose  $\mathbb{D}^*$  into Carleson boxes invariant under  $z^2$  and connect points on the unit circle by traveling on the boundary of these boxes. Both the boxes and the path on their boundary respect the dynamics of  $z^2$  there, which allow us to transport these paths from  $\mathbb{D}^*$  to the exterior of  $\mathcal{J}(f_c)$ .

We construct two collections of curves, which we call "express" and "peripheral" tracks. We use the metaphor of a train traveling between the endpoints and switching between tracks. We stitch the quasiconvexity certificates out of these tracks.

The construction of the rail tracks is done first in the case of the exterior unit disk ( $c = 0$ ), and then transported to the  $c = 1/4$  case using the Böttcher coordinate.

For clarity of exposition, we first show how the method works in the hyperbolic case, in which the conformal elevator makes the argument simpler, and only then prove the parabolic case  $c = 1/4$ .

## 2 Power map

The exterior  $\mathbb{D}^* = \{|z| > 1\}$  of the unit disk is trivially quasiconvex by connecting points along the perimeter of the circle. However, these paths follow the boundary too closely and their length would blow up if we transport them to the exterior of  $\mathcal{J}(f_c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , via the Riemann map. Instead, we connect points by traveling along the boundaries of Carleson boxes which we now define.

**Definition.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $k \in \{0, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ . We call the set

$$B_{n,k} = \left\{ z : |z| \in \left( 2^{2^{-n}}, 2^{2^{-n-1}} \right], \quad \arg(z) \in \left( \frac{k}{2^n} 2\pi, \frac{(k+1)}{2^n} 2\pi \right] \right\}$$

an  **$f_0$ -Carleson box**.

Observe that for a fixed  $n$ , the union  $\bigsqcup_{k=0}^{2^n-1} B_{n,k}$  is a partition of the annulus

$$\left\{ 2^{2^{-n-1}} < |z| \leq 2^{2^{-n}} \right\}$$

into  $2^n$  equally-spaced sectors.

The **Carleson  $f_0$ -box decomposition** is the partition of  $\mathbb{D}^*$  obtained by  $f_0$ -Carleson boxes:

$$\mathbb{D}^* = \{z : |z| > 2\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigsqcup_{k=0}^{2^n-1} B_{n,k}.$$

The crucial property of this partition is its invariance under  $f_0$ , stemming from the relation

$$f_0(B_{n+1,k}) = B_{n, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}.$$

**Definition.** The *central station* is the point  $s_{0,0} = 2$ . *Stations* are the iterated preimages of the central station under the map  $f_0 : z \mapsto z^2$ . We index them as

$$s_{n,k} = 2^{2^{-n}} \exp\left(\frac{k}{2^n} 2\pi i\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, 2^n - 1\}.$$

Stations are naturally structured in a binary tree, where the root is the central station 2 and the children of a node are its preimages. The  $2^n$  stations of generation  $n$  in the tree are equally spaced on the circle  $C_n = \{|z| = 2^{1/2^n}\}$ .

We next lay two types of "rail tracks" on the boundaries of Carleson boxes, which we use to travel between stations.

**Definition.** Let  $s = s_{n,k}$  be a station.

1. The *peripheral neighbors* of  $s$  are  $s_{n,(k\pm 1) \bmod 2^n}$ , the two stations adjacent to  $s_{n,k}$  on  $C_n$ .
2. Given a peripheral neighbor  $s'$  of  $s$ , the *peripheral track*  $\gamma_{s,s'}^{\text{peripheral}}$  between these stations is the short arc of the circle  $C_n$  connecting  $s$  to  $s'$ .
3. The *radial successor* of  $s$  is  $\text{RadicalSuccessor}(s) = s_{n+1,2k}$ , the unique station of generation  $n+1$  on the radial segment  $[0, s]$ .
4. The *Express track*  $\gamma_s^{\text{express}}$  from  $s$  is the radial segment  $[s, \text{RadicalSuccessor}(s)]$ .
5. A *train journey* is a concatenation of tracks. A journey is identified with its sequence of stations.

Notice that the tracks preserve the dynamics: applying  $z \mapsto z^2$  on a peripheral track between  $s, s'$  gives a peripheral track between the parents of  $s, s'$  in the tree, and likewise for an express track.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There is a family  $\{\eta_z : z \in \partial\mathbb{D}\}$  of journeys with the following properties:*

1. *Every  $\eta_z$  is a journey  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots)$  from the central station  $\sigma_0 = s_{0,0} = 2$  to  $\lim \sigma_k = z$ .*
2. *There are no two consecutive peripheral tracks in  $\eta_z$ .*
3.  *$\text{Length}(\sigma_k) \lesssim 2^{-k}$  uniformly in  $z$ .*
4. *The journeys are invariant under  $f_0$ , in the sense that*

$$f_0(\eta_z) = \eta_{f_0(z)} \cup [2, 4]$$




Figure 1: The central journey to a point  $z$ .

for every  $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z = z_1 = \exp(2\pi i\theta) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ . We choose the stations  $\sigma_i$  inductively in pairs, in a greedy manner. In each step we drive peripherally to the station closest to  $z_1$  and then drive to its radial successor. See Figure 1.  $\square$

*Proof.* For the first station  $\sigma_1$  we have no choice and we drive to the station  $\sigma_1 = s_{1,0} = \sqrt{2}$ .

Suppose that we already chose the stations  $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{2k-1})$ . Then from  $\sigma_{2k-1}$  we drive to the station  $\sigma_{2k}$  on the same circle,  $|\sigma_{2k-1}| = |\sigma_{2k}|$ , that minimizes the angular distance  $|\text{Arg}(z_1) - \text{Arg}(\sigma_{2k})|$ .

The minimizer  $\sigma_{2k}$  is adjacent peripherally to  $\sigma_{2k-1}$ , since the angular distance between stations on  $C_n$  is  $\frac{2\pi}{2^n}$  and we maintain the invariant  $|\text{Arg}(z_1) - \text{Arg}(\sigma_{2k})| \leq \frac{2\pi}{2^k}$  throughout the journey. Thus the length of the peripheral track  $\gamma_{\sigma_{2k-1}, \sigma_{2k}}^p$  is either  $r_n \cdot \frac{2\pi}{2^k} = 2^{1/2^k} \frac{2\pi}{2^k}$  or 0 (in case  $\sigma_{2k-1} = \sigma_{2k}$ ), and in any case the length is at most  $\lesssim \frac{1}{2^k}$  for a global hidden constant. The length of the  $k$ -th express track decays exponentially due to the invariance under  $f_0$ . Explicitly it is  $2^{1/2^k} - 2^{1/2^{k+1}} \leq 2^{2^{-k}} - 1 \lesssim 2^{-k}$  since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2^x - 1}{x} = \log 2$ .

Thus the total length of the journey is bounded uniformly in  $z$ .  $\square$

We call  $\eta_z$  the *central journey* of  $z$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *The domain  $\mathbb{D}^*$  is quasiconvex with quasiconvexity certificates that are journeys.*




Figure 2: A quasiconvexity certificate between two points  $z_1, z_2$ .

*Proof.* Fix two points (“terminal stations”)  $z_1, z_2 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\eta_{z_1} = (\sigma_n^1)_{n=0}^\infty, \eta_{z_2} = (\sigma_n^2)_{n=0}^\infty$  be their central journeys, connecting each terminal to the central station.

Let  $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N)$  be the maximal common prefix of  $\eta_{z_1}$  and  $\eta_{z_2}$ . Let  $\eta_{z_i}^{\text{truncated}} = (\sigma_N, \sigma_{N+1}^i, \dots)$  be the truncated paths. By the maximality of  $N$ , we have that  $\eta_{z_1}^{\text{truncated}}$  and  $\eta_{z_2}^{\text{truncated}}$  are two journeys with a common starting point, so we can concatenate them to obtain a bi-infinite journey

$$\eta_{z_1, z_2} = (\dots \sigma_{N+2}^2, \sigma_{N+1}^2, \sigma_N, \sigma_{N+1}^1, \sigma_{N+2}^1, \dots)$$

connecting  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

We conclude the proof by showing that  $\text{Length}(\eta_{z_1, z_2}) \lesssim |z_1 - z_2|$ .

As  $|z_1 - z_2| \asymp |\theta_1 - \theta_2|$  and  $\text{Arg}(z_i) \propto \theta_i$ , it is equivalent to show

$$\text{Length}(\eta_{z_1, z_2}) \lesssim |\text{Arg}(z_1) - \text{Arg}(z_2)|.$$

By the choice of  $N$ ,

$$|\text{Arg}(z_1) - \text{Arg}(z_2)| \leq \frac{2\pi}{2^N}.$$

Thus it is enough to prove that  $\text{Length}(\eta_{z_1, z_2}) \lesssim 2^{-N}$ . But

$$\text{Length}(\eta_{z_1, z_2}) = \text{Length}(\eta_{z_1}^{\text{truncated}}) + \text{Length}(\eta_{z_2}^{\text{truncated}}),$$

so it is enough to observe that

$$\text{Length}(\eta_{z_i}^{\text{truncated}}) \lesssim \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \lesssim 2^{-N}$$

by part (3) of the previous lemma.

□

### 3 Rails for other maps

Let  $c \in [-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$ , and denote by  $\psi$  the Böttcher coordinate of  $f : z \mapsto z^2 + c$  at infinity. This means that  $\psi$  is the unique conformal map  $\mathbb{D}^* \rightarrow \text{Exterior}(\mathcal{J})$  which fixes  $\infty$  and satisfies the conjugacy

$$f \circ \psi = \psi \circ f_0.$$

Since the Julia set  $\mathcal{J}$  is a Jordan domain, the map  $\psi$  extends to a homeomorphism between the circle  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  and the Julia set  $\mathcal{J}(f)$  by Carathéodory's theorem.

We apply  $\psi$  on the rails that we constructed in  $\mathbb{D}^*$  to obtain corresponding rails in  $\text{Exterior}(\mathcal{J})$ . In particular, we obtain the following:

**Definition.** 1. The  $c$ -stations are the points  $s_{n,k,c} = \psi(s_{n,k})$ . 2. The  $c$ -express tracks are the curves of the form  $\psi\gamma_s^{\text{express}}$ . These tracks lie on external rays of the filled Julia set  $\mathcal{K}$ . 3. The  $c$ -peripheral tracks are the curves of the form  $\gamma_{s,s',c}^{\text{peripheral}} = \psi(\gamma_{s,s'}^{\text{peripheral}})$ . These tracks lie on the level sets of  $\psi$ , or equivalently on the equipotentials of  $\mathcal{K}$ . 4. The  $c$ -central journeys are  $\eta_{z,c} = \psi(\eta_z)$ . Every  $c$ -central journey is a  $c$ -journey  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots)$  from the central station  $\sigma_0 = f(s_{0,0})$  to  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k = f(z)$ .

We observe that the  $c$ -central station is still on the real line:

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\psi((1, \infty)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . In particular,  $\psi(s_{0,0}) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* This is true by the symmetry of  $\mathbb{D}^*$  and  $\text{Exterior}(\mathcal{J})$  with respect to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Formally,  $\bar{\psi}(\bar{z})$  is another conformal conjugacy between  $f$  and  $f_0$  which fixes infinity, so by uniqueness of the Böttcher coordinate we obtain  $\psi(z) = \bar{\psi}(\bar{z})$ , hence  $\psi(z) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

### 4 Quasiconvexity for a hyperbolic Map

A rational map is said to be *hyperbolic* if every critical point converges to an attracting cycle, and no critical point is on the Julia set.

Hyperbolic maps enjoy the principle of the conformal elevator, which roughly says that any ball centered on the Julia set can be blown up to a definite size.

**Proposition 4.1** (The Principle of the Conformal Elevator). *Let  $f$  be a rational hyperbolic map, let  $z \in \mathcal{J}$  be a point on the Julia set of  $f$  and let  $r > 0$ . Consider the ball  $B = B(z, r)$ . Then there exists some forward iterate  $f^{\circ n}$  of  $f$  which is injective on  $B(z, 2r)$  and under whom  $\text{diam} f^{\circ n}(B(z, r))$  is bounded below, uniformly in  $z$  and  $r$ .*

See [2] for a stronger version, details and a proof.

We need a corollary of this principle:

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $f$  be a rational hyperbolic map. There exists a constant  $\epsilon$  such that for every two points  $z, w \in \mathcal{J}(f)$ , there is a forward iterate  $f^{\circ n}$  for which  $|f^{\circ n}(z) - f^{\circ n}(w)| > \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Apply proposition 4.1 to a ball centered on the Julia set which contains  $z, w$  on its boundary at roughly antipodal points. After blowing up we get points  $f^{\circ n}z, f^{\circ w}$  which are a definite distance apart by Koebe's distortion theorem. /TODO: make this correct/  $\square$

We are now ready to show the analogue of theorem 2.2 for a hyperbolic map.

**Theorem 4.3.** *a. Let  $\zeta \in \partial D$ , and decompose its central journey into tracks,*

$$\eta_\zeta = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots$$

*Then we have the estimate*

$$\text{Length}(\psi(\gamma_k)) \lesssim \theta^{-k}$$

*uniformly in  $\zeta$ , for some constant  $\theta = \theta(c) > 1$ .*

*b. The domain  $\text{Exterior}(\mathcal{J})$  is quasiconvex.*

*Proof.* The map  $f$  has some iterate  $f^{\circ N}$  that is pointwise expanding on the Julia set  $\mathcal{J}(f)$ , where  $N$  is independent of  $z$ . By compactness of  $\mathcal{J}$ , the map  $f^{\circ N}$  is also uniformly expanding there, i.e. there are a constant  $\theta > 1$  and a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{J}(f)$  on which  $|(f^{\circ n})'| = |\prod f'(f^{\circ k})| > \theta$ .

Thus the length of peripheral tracks decays exponentially at rate  $\theta$ , and likewise for express tracks.

TODO:  
We use more than hyperbolicity, also that the Julia set is a Jordan domain  
TODO:  
Make this correct

b. Let  $z_1, z_2$  be two points on  $\mathcal{J}(f)$ . We construct a quasiconformality certificate curve connecting  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . We use the obvious candidate: let  $\zeta_i = \psi^{-1}(z_i) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ , then we have a quasiconformality certificate for them  $\eta_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2}$  from the  $c = 0$  case. We choose  $\eta_{z_1, z_2, c} = \psi(\eta_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2})$  to be the certificates. By the invariance of the construction, this is a journey on the  $f$ -Carleson decomposition which can similarly be described directly in terms of a common ancestor in the tree structure, since  $\psi$  is a bijective correspondence between the two decompositions. Since we already know that the lengths of tracks in the journey decay exponentially, with rate  $\theta > 1$ , the same proof of the case  $c = 0$  also shows quasiconvexity in this case.  $\square$

We give a second proof, relying on the previous claim on the separation of points under iteration. This proof will better prepare us for the parabolic  $c = 1/4$  case, in which we don't have uniform expansion of  $f$  on its Julia set.

*Proof.* By the claim, there exists some  $\epsilon$  such that any two points are  $\epsilon$ -apart under some iteration of  $f$ . Let  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{J}(f)$ . If  $|z_1 - z_2| \geq \epsilon$  then there is nothing to prove, since we may just concatenate  $\eta_{z_1}$  and  $\eta_{z_2}$  and absorb this bounded length into the quasiconvexity constant  $A$ . Explicitly, if  $\text{Length}(\eta_z) \leq L$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{J}$  then we take  $A \geq \frac{2L}{\epsilon}$  and then automatically  $\text{Length}(\eta_{z_1} + \eta_{z_2}) \leq A|z_1 - z_2|$ .

If, on the other hand,  $|z_1 - z_2| < \epsilon$ , then we may use the claim to find an iterate  $f^{\circ n}$  such that  $|f^{\circ n}(z_0) - f^{\circ n}(z_1)| \geq \epsilon$ . Then there is a certificate journey  $\eta_{f^{\circ n}(z_0), f^{\circ n}(z_1), c}$  between them, and we take the certificate  $\eta_{z_0, z_1}$  between the original points to be the component of  $f^{\circ -n}(\eta_{f^{\circ n}(z_0), f^{\circ n}(z_1), c})$  that connects the points  $z_0, z_1$ .

A distortion estimate:

$$\text{Length}(\eta_{z_0, z_1, c}) \asymp \frac{\text{Length}(\eta_{f^{\circ n}(z_0), f^{\circ n}(z_1), c})}{|(f^{\circ n})'(\zeta)|}$$

for some point  $\zeta$  on  $\mathcal{J}$ . The denominator grows with  $n$  exponentially at rate  $\theta$ , while the numerator has a bound of the form

$$\text{Length}(\eta_{f^{\circ n}(z_0), f^{\circ n}(z_1), c}) \lesssim |f^{\circ n}(z_0) - f^{\circ n}(z_1)| \lesssim \theta^n |z_1 - z_2|$$

so altogether

$$\text{Length}(\eta_{z_0, z_1, c}) \lesssim \frac{\theta^n |z_1 - z_2|}{\theta^n} = |z_1 - z_2|$$



so  $\eta_{z_0, z_1, c}$  is a quasiconformality certificate.

□

## References

- [1] Hakobyan, Hrant, and Herron, David A.. "Euclidean quasiconvexity.." *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. Mathematica* 33.1 (2008): 205-230.
- [2] Mario Bonk, Mikhail Lyubich, Sergei Merenkov, Quasisymmetries of Sierpiński carpet Julia sets, *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 301, 2016, Pages 383-422, ISSN 0001-8708, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2016.06.007>.