

# RASD: Requirement Analysis and Specification Document

Filippo Gentili Emanuele Greco Marco Grilli

Professor Matteo Rossi

Version 1.0 December 11, 2023 CONTENTS

# Contents

1	Intr	coduction	2	
	1.1	Purpose	2	
	1.2	Scope	4	
		1.2.1 Phenomena	5	
	1.3	Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations	5	
	2.0	1.3.1 Definitions	5	
		1.3.2 Acronyms	6	
		1.3.3 Abbreviations	6	
	1.4	Revision history	6	
	$1.4 \\ 1.5$	Reference Documents	6	
	1.6		6	
	1.0	Document Structure	Ü	
<b>2</b>	Ove	erall description	8	
	2.1	Product perspective	8	
		2.1.1 Scenarios	8	
		2.1.2 Domain class diagram	10	
	2.2	Product functions	11	
	2.3	User characteristics	13	
	2.4	Assumptions, dependencies and constraints	13	
	2.4	Assumptions, dependencies and constraints	10	
3	$\mathbf{Spe}$	ecific requirements	14	
	3.1	External interface Requirements	14	
		3.1.1 User Interfaces	14	
		3.1.2 Educator Interfaces	18	
		3.1.3 Student Interfaces	24	
		3.1.4 Hardware Interfaces	28	
		3.1.5 Software Interfaces	28	
		3.1.6 Communication Interfaces	28	
	3.2	Functional Requirements	29	
	J	3.2.1 Use Case	$\frac{29}{29}$	
		3.2.2 Requirements	$\frac{20}{57}$	
	3.3	Performance Requirements	58	
	3.4	Design Constraints		
	5.4	3.4.1 Standards Compliance	58	
		•	59	
	2.5	3.4.3 Any other constraints	59	
	3.5	Software System Attributes	59	
		3.5.1 Reliability	59	
		3.5.2 Availability	59	
		3.5.3 Security	59	
		3.5.4 Maintainability	59	
		3.5.5 Portability	59	
4	For	mal analysis using Alloy	60	
5	Effo	Effort spent 65		
6	Ref	erences	65	

## 1 Introduction

CKB (CodeKataBattle) is a user-friendly online platform designed to empower educators in fostering students' coding expertise. Rooted in the concept of "KATA", akin to the repetitive refinement of a task in karate, CKB provides a unique avenue for honing coding skills. In an era marked by the rising significance of AI and Big Data, the demand for coding proficiency is escalating. CKB addresses this need by offering a hands-on learning approach. Given that today's generations are born into a tech-centric environment, CKB revolutionizes the art of teaching coding by infusing elements of competition and achievement into the process.

## 1.1 Purpose

The aim of the CodeKataBattle platform is to help students around the world improve their software development skills, while also having fun, by working together with other students on coding battles to, eventually, compete in tournaments. The platform provides two different types of access: one for students and one for educators. Educators will be allowed to create code battles belonging to a specific tournament by using the CKB platform and following some mandatory steps:

- Uploading the code kata, including the description, project, test cases and the build automation scripts needed to run the tests
- Setting minimum and maximum number of students per team
- Setting a registration deadline
- Setting a final submission deadline
- Set additional configurations for scoring

When the submission deadline expires there is a consolidation stage in which, if manual evaluation is required, the educators can go through the sources produced by each team to assign the final score by using the CKB platform. Once all the battles are completed, an educator can close the tournament, letting the platform notify the students involved in that tournament. Educators can also define badges, which are rewards that represent the achievements of individual students. Each badge has a title (e.g., "Top Committer") and one or more rules that are defined by the educator who is creating the badge, as well as new variables associated with the rules. Rules must be fulfilled in order to achieve the badge, while variables can represent any piece of information relevant for scoring. In this way, each badge can be assigned to one or more students, depending on the rules checked at the end of the tournament. Lastly, badges can be visualized by both students and educators, who can see collected badges when they visualize the profile of a specific student. Students participate in teams, formed on the platform, to a battle; in particular, students can join a battle on their own or by being invited by others, while always respecting the minimum and maximum number of students per team set for that specific battle. Once the registration deadline expires, the platform creates a GitHub repository with all the material needed and sends the link to the subscribed students, who then have to fork it and set up an automated workflow through GitHub Actions, which then informs the CKB platform whenever a student pushes a new commit into the main branch of the repository. In this way, after each push, executed before the 1.1 Purpose 1 INTRODUCTION

final submission deadline, the CKB platform pulls the latest sources, analyzes them and runs the tests on the executables to calculate and, optionally, update the battle score of the team. At the end of the battle, the platform assigns scores to groups to create a competition rank. The score is a natural number between 0 and 100 determined by considering some mandatory factors evaluated in a fully automated way and the optional factors evaluated manually by educators. The mandatory automated evaluation criteria include:

- functional aspects, measured in terms of number of test cases that pass out of all test cases (the higher the better);
- timeliness, measured in terms of time passed between the registration deadline and the last commit (the lower the better);
- quality level of the sources, extracted through static analysis tools that consider multiple aspects such as security, reliability, and maintainability (the higher the better). Aspects are selected by the educator at battle creation time.

The CKB platform automatically updates the battle score of a team as soon as a new push on GitHub is performed; additionally, at the end of each battle the platform updates the personal tournament score of each student so that, for each tournament, there is a rank that measures how a student's performance compares to other students. This information can be seen by all users in a form of a list of ongoing tournaments with their corresponding tournament rank.

To sum it up, we can highlight the following project goals:

- [G1] Allow students to subscribe to a tournament.

  Students can participate in tournaments initiated by educators.
- [G2] Allow students to join a battle.

A group of students can participate in a battle, within the tournament, as long as they do so before the specified deadline.

[G3] Allow students to form teams.

Upon enrollment in a battle, students have the option to extend invitations to their peers, inviting them to join teams for the battle.

- [G4] Allow an educator to create a tournament.
  - Educators can establish tournaments, defining specific parameters such as registration and submission deadlines. Additionally, they can craft badges that students can earn upon successful task completion.
- [G5] Allow an educator to create a battle.

Educators must create battles inside a tournament and to do so they are required to upload the code kata, set up the number of students and set the deadlines, all of which must be done through their account on CKB.

[G6] Allow educators to modify the team score.

Upon the completion of a battle, the creator, who is an educator, gains access to the team's final score automatically generated by the platform based on the pre-selected criteria during battle setup. The educator can either confirm the assigned score or opt for manual modification. Facilitating the assessment process, the educator can swiftly review the team's written code by accessing their GitHub repository.

3

1.2 Scope 1 INTRODUCTION

#### [G7] Allow users to check rankings and scores.

Both students and educators can check scores of each individual student, tournament rankings and battle scores at any time. This makes the game catchier and stimulates competition between teams.

## 1.2 Scope

The platform is called CodeKataBattle and will help students to improve their software development skills by participating in battles.

Students can:

- Subscribe to a tournament.
- Join a battle, on his/her own or by being invited by other students.

#### Educators can:

- Create a new tournament
- Create a badge
- Create a new battle, which belong to a specific tournament.
- Grant to other colleagues the permission to create battles within the context of a specific tournament.
- Close a tournament.
- Evaluate the work of a student by assigning a personal score.

Both students and educators will be able to see the list of ongoing tournaments and the corresponding tournament rank. Moreover, every user has the possibility to visualize the profile of a student and all his/her badges.

#### 1.2.1 Phenomena

Phenomena	Controlled by	Shared
User registration	World	Yes
User login	World	Yes
Check username and password	Machine	No
Educator creates a tournament	World	Yes
Educator grants the access to a list of his/her colleagues	World	Yes
Notify a new tournament was created	Machine	Yes
Student subscribes to a tournament	World	Yes
Registration deadline expires	World	No
Notify of all upcoming battles of a tournament	Machine	Yes
Educator creates a battle	World	Yes
Educator uploads the code kata	World	Yes
Educator sets bounds for number of students per group	World	Yes
Educator sets a registration deadline	World	Yes
Educator sets a submission deadline	World	Yes
Educator sets additional configurations for scoring	World	Yes
Student joins a battle	World	Yes
Student invites other students	World	Yes
Create a GitHub repository	Machine	No
Send GitHub link to all subscribed students	Machine	Yes
Student forks GitHub repository	World	No
Student sets up an automated workflow	World	No
Student pushes a commit	World	No
Pull latest sources, analyze them, calculate the score and update it	Machine	No
Educator assigns a personal score	World	Yes
User visualizes the current rank of a battle	World	Yes
Submission deadline expires	World	No
Notify final battle rank is available	Machine	Yes
User visualizes the current rank of a tournament	World	Yes
User visualizes the list of ongoing tournaments	World	Yes
Educator closes a tournament	World	Yes
Notify final tournament rank is available	Machine	Yes
User visualizes the profile of a student	World	Yes

Table 1: Phenomena table

## 1.3 Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations

#### 1.3.1 Definitions

- Educator: The user that can create the tournament, battles and badges to improve his/her students' coding abilities.
- Student: The use that participates in tournaments and battles, either alone or with other students, to improve his/her coding abilities.
- User: An user can be either an Educator or a Student

- Notification: It is an alert indicating the occurrence of a specific event. It can come in a form of Push Notification inside the platform or as an email.
- **Tournament:** It is a set of battles created by the educator.
- Battle: It is a coding challenge between teams, all enrolled in the same tournament.
- **Team:** It is a group of student that work together to complete the battles within a tournament.

#### 1.3.2 Acronyms

• CKB: CodeKataBattle

• **DB**: Data Base

• DBMS: Data Base Managing System

• IDE: Integrated Development Environment

#### 1.3.3 Abbreviations

• ID: Identification Document

• Gn: goal number n

• **Dn**: domain assumption number n

• Rn: requirement number n

## 1.4 Revision history

• Version 1.0: First release

#### 1.5 Reference Documents

In this Requirements Analysis and Specification Document we have used the following referenced documents:

- Assignment RDD AY 2023-2024
- Course Slides from Software Engineering 2

#### 1.6 Document Structure

#### • Section 1: Introduction

This section offers a brief summary of the current issue and essential features, along with a compilation of definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations used throughout the document. The section concludes with a changelog documenting the revisions made, providing details on their content. Additionally, it provides an overview of the document's structure, outlining the main objectives of each section.

#### • Section 2: Overall Description

This section provides an overview of the overall structure of the system. This includes information about the system's organization and crucial interfaces for functionality. Additionally, the section provides a thorough examination of the application's features and delineates the diverse actors involved in engaging with and utilizing the system.

#### • Section 3: Specific Requirements

In this section, it is present a set of visual mock ups designed to illustrate the interfaces detailed in Section 2. Furthermore, the section provides a comprehensive exploration of functional requirements, explained through use cases, diagrams and mock ups. This detailed exposition enhances the comprehension of the operational dynamics within the system.

#### • Section 4: Formal Analysis through Alloy

## 2 Overall description

### 2.1 Product perspective

In this section, we include various scenarios and delve deeper into the intricacies of shared phenomena. We elaborate on the domain model providing a comprehensive view of the subject matter.

#### 2.1.1 Scenarios

#### • Giuseppe creates a tournament

Giuseppe is a teacher at a school and wants to teach his students how to learn to code in a fun and innovative way. He discovers CKB and creates a tournament between his pupils. As soon as he creates the tournament, he invites all the students attending his class to subscribe to the CKB platform. The platform sends to the students a notification via email, stating that they can enroll to the tournament until a given deadline. Once they are enrolled, they will be notified to subscribe to all upcoming battles. Giuseppe decides to set the programming language to Java and to grant access to the tournament to some of his colleagues.

#### • Giuseppe creates badges

Giuseppe wants to make sure that the students, who will participate in the tournament, can get some gratification even without winning the battles by adding badges that reward them if they complete specific tasks. So, he decides to create a "On Fire!" badge, which can be obtained by a student if he/she pushes 10 commits in the same day, and a "Lightning Fast" badge, which can be obtained by all the students composing the team that finishes the tournament first. To make these badges work, Giuseppe needs to create some variables that are then used to formalize the badge rules. For instance, he creates two counters "day\_n" and "commit\_day", which count the day in which a student is committing and the number of commits in that day respectively, and two Booleans "is\_first" and "new\_day" that state if the team finishing the tournament is first and if a new day starts, so that the "commit\_day" can be reset for all the students.

#### • Simone creates a battle

Simone is a teacher who works together with Giuseppe and is in charge of creating the first battle of the tournament, previously set up by Giuseppe. Given that the tournament language is set to be Java, Simone opts for the Gradle platform to create his project and the automation scripts, since Gradle integration with the most important Java IDEs is state of the art. He writes down all the information needed for the students to complete the project, writes the code frame to handle and all the test cases used later on by CKB to evaluate the score. Lastly, he writes the automation scripts, which will be used to run the test cases once the software project is pushed on GitHub by the teams subscribed to the battle. Once all is done, Simone uploads the code kata to the CKB platform and starts tweaking with the main battle settings such as minimum and maximum number of students per group allowed, he sets the battle registration deadline and, subsequently, the final submission deadline. To evaluate more precisely the teams' projects, Simone sets, as a mandatory and automated evaluation criteria, that projects must have a good reliability and should as well have good maintainability. Eventually, he also sets

some additional configurations which might be used by him, or other educators, in the consolidation stage of the battle to assign the final score to the team analyzed. He decides to give some additional score to teams composed by fewer students, which completed the project in a competitive amount of time, to compensate the gap between them and teams having the maximum number of students.

#### • Laura evaluates the teams' projects

Laura, a senior teacher well known for her knowledge in Java language, has been given by Giuseppe the access to the tournament and the role to evaluate teams' projects after the final deadline is reached, for every battle she created in the tournament. During the battle's progression, she regularly checks the score of all the teams enrolled, which are updated automatically by the CKB platform as soon as new push actions are performed on GitHub. Reached the final submission deadline, the consolidation stage begins and Laura, following the rules imposed by Simone in the battle creation, finds that some groups that did not have the maximum number of students still managed to perform well, having the last commit within a good range and with a reliable software project. So, after checking all the sources and the material produced by those teams, she decides to reward them by assigning some additional points, thus improving their final score. After she's done, she closes the consolidation stage and the CKB platform publishes the final battle rank and notifies all the enrolled students.

#### • Andrea joins tournament

Andrea is a student who likes to work with other people, likes competitions but does not have a good knowledge of programming languages. His teacher, although, has created a Java tournament and he decides to participate to have some fun and to learn something new with his friends. He receives the tournament enrollment email and subscribes to the CKB platform; later on, he decides to join the first battle and invites some of his friends, who know Java programming better than him, to create a team. As soon as his friends receive the invitation link, sent by Andrea, they all join the team, which is now at its maximum capacity, and wait for the battle to start.

#### • Davide forks GitHub

Davide, Andrea's friend who has previously worked with the GitHub platform, is asked by his teammates to set up and manage the interaction between the IDE chosen by the team and GitHub. Following the instructions given by the educators and the link received by email, he forks the GitHub repository, which contains the code kata designed for that specific battle, and, by using GitHub actions, he sets up the automated workflow between the CKB platform and the IDE so that, using some API calls defined in CKB, it triggers the CKB platform every time a student pushes new commits into the main branch of the repository.

#### • Michele adds a friend

Michele wants to create a team with his mates to compete on a big tournament on CKB. In order to do so, he logs in to the platform and, by navigating to the "Friends" tab on the left of the UI, he searches, one by one, all his friend's usernames. Then he clicks on the button on the side to add them, so that he can access their profiles quickly and add them to the team during the battle creation.

#### • Franco checks a friends profile

Franco, a CKB subscriber and student, wants to view the profile of one of his friends. He accesses the friends section by using the left sidebar. Within this section, he has the option to either select a profile from his friends list or search for a friend who is not currently in his list.

#### 2.1.2 Domain class diagram

In [Fig.1] is represented the domain class diagram related to CodeKataBattle. It represents the main elements that the system uses, and shows the interactions between them.

- A User can be either a Student or an Educator. It contains all the useful informations to identify a user.
- A student can submit a tournament registration or a battle registration, which are linked to respectively to the Tournament and to the Battle.
- Each student is part of a Team, identified by a name and the link to the GitHub repo, which is linked to a Battle and have a Last Submission, that represent the last version of the code pushed on GitHub
- The Tournament contains the title, the registration deadline and the coding language, and can include some Badges
- The Battle contains the name, the number of students allowed and the registration and submission deadline




Figure 1: UML

#### 2.2 Product functions

#### • Sign Up

This functionality allows Users to sign up on the CKB platform in order to use it. Once on the registration page, the user is asked if he/she wants to register as a student or as an educator. In the case of a student, the form requires an email address, which could be personal or institutional, a password, a GitHub account and other generic credentials to identify him/her (name, surname, student ID). Otherwise, the form asks the educator for his/her institutional email address, a password and other credentials to identify him/her (name, surname, educator ID for that specific school). The credentials then get verified and, if correct, the platform sends a confirmation email to the provided email address in order con confirm the registration. Once that's done, the user is redirected to the login page.

#### • Tournament creation

This functionality allows educators to create a tournament through the CKB platform. From the main panel, educators can click the top-right "New Tournament" button and they get presented the dedicated pop-up page. Here the educator can set all the main parameters of the tournament like the name, the designated programming language (from a scroll-down menu), the deadline using a calendar view-like menu and an option tournament image. They proceed to the invitation form, where they can choose other educators to grant access to by searching their email address from a search bar and then pressing the "+" button on the side. Furthermore, they can scroll down and, by clicking the "Add Badge" button on the right of the "badge list" (supposed initially empty), can create custom badges for the specific tournament through a pop-up menu. Once the badge is created, it is shown in the "badge list", which is a table showing the badge icon, badge name, rules and variables. When the creation is finished, the educator can click on "Save Changes" button on the bottom-left corner of the pup-up page. If there are no errors, the tournament is successfully created and published and the students get notified.

#### • Battle Creation

This functionality allows educators to create a battle for a tournament. From his/her personal main panel, an educator sees a list of all the open tournaments to which he/she has access; by clicking on a specific tournament's "Options" button, the educator is redirected to the Tournament Dashboard page, where he/she is presented with plenty of information such as a battle list, a scoreboard and much more. The educator then clicks on the "New Battle" button and is shown the battle creation pop-up page. Here the educator is asked to insert the battle name and number of students as well as, through some upload forms, all the files, information and test cases; CKB also asks for the two deadlines, inserted with the help of two calendarview like menus, and for the quality aspects to keep track of during the evaluation of the projects proposed by the teams. Lastly, the educator clicks the "Save Changes" button in the bottom-left of the page and, if there are no errors, the educator is redirected to the Tournament Dashboard and a notification is sent to the students enrolled in that tournament, informing them of the newly created battle.

#### Evaluation

This functionality is one of the most important in the CKB platform. Every time that a new push is detected, CKB pulls the latest version of the project from the GitHub repository and submits it to the uploaded test cases, which are run one by one by the automated scripts. To evaluate the project, which must receive a score between 0 and 100, CKB mostly uses automated evaluation criteria (which are mandatory): first of all, it measures how many test cases are passed successfully by the project, then it proceeds to measure how much time has passed between the registration deadline (same for all teams) and the last commit date. After that, based on the parameters selected by the educator when creating the battle, CKB must assert the quality level of the project's sources by making use of the static analysis tools integrated in the system; lastly, if selected, during the consolidation stage, an educator can modify the project's score on his/her own through the Evaluation Page. Once that is done, the CKB platform updates the final score for the team and the personal tournament score of each student accordingly.

#### • Badge Awarding

This functionality of the CKB platform grants a gamification aspect, useful to increase the feeling of achievement for the students participating in a tournament. The platform keeps track of various variables, defined by educators, throughout the whole tournament; these variables are used by the CKB platform to perform checks and verify if the rules, needed to achieve a certain badge, are satisfied. In case of all rules satisfied, the platform awards the badge to the corresponding student(s) by adding it to his/her/their personal page and by showing it on his/her/their public page. Students also get notified when they are awarded with a badge.

#### • Notification

This functionality is helpful for all Users, since it can provide various kind of information in a short time frame to a large number of receivers. Firstly, notifications are sent to users when they sign up to the platform, since they need to verify the profile through the link received by the email. Also educators when they get granted access to a tournament; students are also notified when a tournament is created and, if they subscribe to a tournament, get notified for every upcoming battle created in that tournament. Some students might get a notification if they are invited by their friends to join their team. CKB sends all subscribed students a notification when the GitHub repository is created and also, every time that a team pushes a new commit for a battle, notifies the students composing that team if their score has been improved. Something similar happens after the consolidation stage, only if the score of the team has been changed by an educator. At the end of each battle, the platform notifies subscribed students with their new tournament score and, lastly, at the end of the tournament, the students are notified as well. Students can, occasionally, get notifications if they are awarded with a badge.

#### 2.3 User characteristics

#### • Student

A student is a person who is enrolled in a school, has a device to connect to the internet and, more specifically, to the CKB platform. He/She uses the platform to join tournaments and battles, to form teams (according to the rules set by the educators) and, eventually, to see his/her score at the end of each battle. He/She also has the ability to invite his/her friends to join the same team to work together.

#### • Educator

An educator is an adult who works in a school as a teacher and, thus, has some students enrolled on his courses. He/She has a device able to connect to internet and to the CKB platform so that he/she can create tournaments and/or battles, with all the required rules. He/She is also able to grant access to other educators to the tournament's rules, so that they can help set it up and manage it. An educator can also inspect each team's project, during the consolidation stage, to evaluate the project thoroughly in all its aspects. Moreover, an educator can create custom badges to assign to certain students who reach set achievements.

#### • User

A user can be either a student or an educator. He/She is able to register and to login onto the CKB platform in order to access its functions. If he/she is a "student", the user is notified when the tournament is created and when its score is updated; if he/she is an "educator", the user receives the notifications whenever the consolidation stage begins and manual evaluation is needed, as well as when the deadlines are reached.

## 2.4 Assumptions, dependencies and constraints

The following assumptions are properties and/or conditions that the CKB platform takes for granted and that are necessary to reach the goals set.

- [D1]: Users must have a device able to connect to the internet.
- [D2]: Users give consent to the platform to receive notifications.
- [D3]: Students must have GitHub accounts.
- [D4]: Students must be enrolled in a school.
- [D5]: Students must provide correct and non fraudulent information during the registration and the login phase.
- [D6]: Students must grant access to their and only their GitHub account.
- [D7]: Student must correctly fork the GitHub repository.

# 3 Specific requirements

## 3.1 External interface Requirements

#### 3.1.1 User Interfaces

The following illustration depicts the platform's initial web page, which offers users the choice to either log in or sign up. [Fig.2]




Figure 2: Landing page

If the user opts to create an account, they will be prompted to input various details, including their username, email address, password, institutional id and an indication of their role as either an educator or a student. [Fig.3]




Figure 3: Sign up page - Educator

In the case of a student, there is an additional requirement to furnish information about their GitHub account. [Fig.4]




Figure 4: Sign up page - Student

For users who already have an account, accessing the platform is as simple as providing their username and password. Additionally, there's an option to enable the "Remember me" feature, which eliminates the need for repeated logins in the future. [Fig.5]




Figure 5: Login page

#### 3.1.2 Educator Interfaces

The educator dashboard, depicted in the illustration below [Fig. 6], provides a comprehensive view. Here, educators can effortlessly access useful information such as the total number of enrolled students, badges awarded, and a complete list of administered tournaments and their main parameters. Notably, educators also have the capability to seamlessly create new tournaments, see a specific tournament's page or close an open tournament, enhancing their control and management capabilities.




Figure 6: Educator Dashboard

The illustrations below [Fig.7] demonstrate the user-friendly process through which educators can effortlessly initiate a new tournament. Within this intuitive interface, educators have the flexibility to select the tournament's name, coding language requirements for students, registration deadline, tournament picture, badge list, and even invite specific educators to access and contribute to the tournament formation.




Figure 7: Tournament Creation

In the domain of badge creation, educators have the ability showcased in [Fig. 8]. Here, they can craft badges to be awarded to the students who accomplish them. With a few clicks, educators choose the badge's icon and name, while also defining the specific criteria students must fulfill to earn the badge. It's a seamless process that adds a touch of recognition to student achievements.




Figure 8: Badge Creation

The illustrations below [Fig.9] shows the tournament dashboard that the educator who created that tournament and the colleagues who have the permission can access. It provides tons of information like the battle list with respective parameters and options, the scoreboard and much more.




Figure 9: Educator Tournament Dashboard

Educators take charge of crafting individual battles within the tournament, as depicted in the illustrative image below [Fig. 10]. During battle creation, they wield the power to determine the battle's name, set the minimum and maximum number of students per group, specify registration and submission deadlines, and furnish a comprehensive battle description. At the bottom of the interface, educators can fine-tune the evaluation process by selecting specific aspects (such as Security, Reliability, Maintainability) to consider, and they have the option to manually assess scores post-battle. To streamline the evaluation, educators can effortlessly upload test cases and the script, automating the assessment based on the provided test cases.




Figure 10: Battle Creation

Finally, educators can access the view presented in [Fig. 11], showcasing individual battles undertaken by students. Within this page, they gain insights into group performance through informative charts, detailing Timeliness and the aspects considered during battle creation. The platform autonomously generates a final score, and if the manual scoring option was selected, educators can manually adjust the scores. For informed decision-making, a click of a button seamlessly directs them to the GitHub repository, allowing a thorough review of the code written by the student teams.




Figure 11: Evaluation Page

#### 3.1.3 Student Interfaces

The student dashboard, as depicted in the visual aid [Fig. 12], provides a comprehensive snapshot of the student's journey. Through intuitive pie charts, it showcases the tournaments and battles the student is currently enrolled in, along with those successfully completed. Additionally, the dashboard highlights the number of badges achieved and the quantity of code lines written by the student. For seamless continuity, the student can easily navigate to their GitHub profile and navigate the tournaments directly from the displayed list.




Figure 12: Student Dashboard

Given that students require an invitation from the educator to enroll in the tournaments, their participation is limited to joining individual battles. As depicted in [Fig. 13], students enrolled in a tournament gain access to a comprehensive list of battles within the tournament, complete with registration and submission deadlines, along with a scoreboard indicating the top performing students for that tournament.




Figure 13: Tournament Dashboard

As shown in [Fig. 14], students enrolled in a tournament can join an open battle, either alone of by inviting friends and, thus, creating a new team for that battle.




Figure 14: Student joining a battle

The visual representation in [Fig. 15] illustrates the students' engagement in battles. It presents a detailed description of the battle, including its constraints. To aid students, there are examples and starter lines of code. The required programming language is highlighted, and informative charts display the number of passed and failed test cases, as well as the student's coding activity, including lines written and deleted. With a simple button press, students can seamlessly transition to their GitHub repository to commence coding and invite other students to join their group. At the bottom, students can seamlessly navigate through the battles within the tournament.




Figure 15: Battle Description

#### 3.1.4 Hardware Interfaces

The platform exclusively operates online, serving as a web-based platform where users engage through their personal computers or a mobile device. It does not offer any hardware interface.

#### 3.1.5 Software Interfaces

As a software interface, the system instructs students to fork the GitHub repository of the code kata. It also facilitates the configuration of an automated workflow utilizing GitHub Actions. This workflow is designed to interact with the CKB platform through appropriate API calls whenever students make new commits to the main branch of their repository. This interface ensures seamless coordination between students, GitHub Actions, and the CKB platform, streamlining the process of code analysis. Through the static analysis tools the platform automatically evaluates the team score following the criteria chosen by the evaluator.

#### 3.1.6 Communication Interfaces

Regarding communication interfaces, the system establishes a directional connection between GitHub Actions and the CKB platform. This communication enables GitHub Actions to effectively transmit the necessary data and notifications to the CKB platform whenever new commits occur from students. Communication between these components is crucial for ensuring a smooth flow of information and effective management of scoring and analysis within the system.

# 3.2 Functional Requirements

## 3.2.1 Use Case

[UC1] User Registration			
Actors	User		
Entry condition	User has navigated to the landing page.		
Input	The system asks for User username, User email, User password,		
	User institutional ID.		
Event flow	The user clicks on the top-right "Sign Up" button. The platform displays the "Sign Up" page and the user has to choose whether to sign up as a "Student" or as an "Educator". After that, he/she proceeds to insert all the needed data in the respective text boxes, displayed by the system. Once the user has inserted all the mandatory data, he/she clicks on the "Sign up" button on the bottom of the page. The platform displays the acceptance of registration and invites the user to confirm the registration by clicking the link provided in the email, sent by the platform.		
Exit condition	User inserted data are valid and correct. User registration has been successful.		
Output	The user's data are stored in the system's database and the user receives the confirmation email.		
Exceptions	<ul> <li>If the username input by the user upon registration is already taken by someone else, the platform aborts the registration, prompts an error to the user and asks him/her to insert a different username.</li> <li>If the inserted institutional ID is invalid, has wrong length or belongs to a different type of user (i.e. a "student" tries to register using an educator's ID), the platform aborts the registration, prompts an error to the user and asks him/her to insert a valid institutional ID.</li> <li>If the inserted email address is invalid or has already been used, the platform aborts the registration, prompts an error to the user and asks him/her to insert a valid email address.</li> </ul>		




Figure 16: Diagram for [UC1]

[UC2] User Login		
Actors	User	
Entry condition	User has navigated to the landing page	
Input		
	• Username	
	• Password	
Event flow	The User clicks on the top-right "Log in" button. The platform displays the "Log In" page and the user insert all the needed data in the respective text boxes, displayed by the system. Once the user has inserted all the mandatory data, he/she clicks on the "Log In" button on the bottom of the page. The system checks the correctness of the credentials inserted and redirect to the home page.	
Exit condition	User is logged in	
Exception		
	• If the username inserted is not registered in the platform's database, the platform prompts an error to the user and asks him/her to insert a registered username.	
	• If the password inserted doesn't match with the one associated with the inserted username in the platform's database, the platform prompts an error to the user and asks him/her to insert the correct password.	




Figure 17: Diagram for [UC2]

[UC3] Educator creates a tournament		
Actors	Educator	
Entry condition	Educator, who is already logged in, has navigated to the "Educator	
	dashboard" page.	
Input	Optionally, other educators' email addresses	
Event flow	The Educator clicks on the "New Tournament" button on the top-	
	right side of the page. The "New Tournament" pop-up page is	
	displayed by the platform. The educator inserts the tournament	
	name in the designed text-box and chooses the programming lan-	
	guage of the tournament from the drop-down menu; also, from the	
	calendar-view menu, he/she selects the registration deadline. The	
	educator can as well upload an image and make it the tournament	
	picture. Optionally, the educator can grant permission to other	
	educators by typing their email addresses inside the text-box and	
	clicking the button on the right. If the address is incorrect, or the educator changes his/her mind, it can be deleted by clicking the	
	"x" button. Moreover, the educator can insert one or more badges	
	by clicking the "+" button inside the "Badge List". From there,	
	he/she can click on the "Choose Existing" button to add an ex-	
	isting badge or create a new one from scratch by clicking "New	
	Badge". The educator clicks on the "Save Changes" button on the	
	bottom-left of the pop-up page.	
Exit condition	All mandatory data are inserted. Inserted data are correct and valid.	
Output		
•	• The tournament is created	
	• The tournament invitation link is sent by email to all the students.	
	• Optionally, permission-granting emails are sent to the selected educators.	
Exception		
	• If the name inserted has already been assigned to another	
	tournament, the platform prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to insert a valid name.	
	• If the registration deadline set by the educator is prior to the current date detected by the platform, the platform prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to insert a valid deadline.	




Figure 18: Diagram for [UC3]

[UC4] Educato	[UC4] Educator creates a badge		
Actors	Educator		
Entry condition	Educator, who is already logged in, is creating a tournament		
Input			
	• Badge Name		
	• Rules		
	• Image		
Event flow	The Educator clicks on the button "+" and clicks on the "New Badge" button. He/she inserts the name of the new badge and defines the rules and the relative number of variables by clicking the "+" button. The educator can either select an existing rule or create a new one. If the educator wants to change a rule, he/she can click on "Modify" and change the number of the variables. A rule can be removed by clicking on the "Remove" button. Finally, the educator can add an icon to represent the badge. When all the information are inserted, the educator clicks on "Create Badge".		
Exit condition	The system displays the previous page of creation of the tournament with the new badge added.		
Output	The system stores the new badge.		
Exception	If the name inserted already belongs to another badge, the system		
	highlights the textbox of the name and asks to insert a different		
	one.		




Figure 19: Diagram for [UC4]

[UC5] Educator grants permission to a colleague					
Actors	Educator				
Entry condition	Educator, who is already logged in, has navigated to the "Educator				
	dashboard" page.				
Input	Other educators' email addresses				
Event flow	The educator who created the tournament clicks on the "Options"				
	button, placed in the "Tournament List". From the now opened				
	"Tournament Dashboard", the educator inserts the email addresses				
	in the shown text-box one by one and clicks on the button on the				
	right of the text-box. Also here, If the address is incorrect, or the				
	educator changes his/her mind, it can be deleted by clicking the				
	"x" button.				
Exit condition	The educator clicks on the "Save Changes" button.				
Output	Permission-granting emails are sent to the selected educators.				
Exception	If the email address inserted by the educator is incorrect, or is no				
	associated to any educator, the platform display an error message				
	and asks the educator to insert a valid address.				




Figure 20: Diagram for [UC5]

[UC6] Student enrolls in a tournament					
Actors	Student				
Entry condition	The Educator created a tournament				
Event flow	The student clicks on the link provided in the email sent by the				
	platform. He/she clicks on the link and, if there are no connection				
	error and he/she does so before the registration deadline, he/she				
	gets enrolled in the tournament.				
Exit condition	Student is successfully enrolled in the tournament.				
Output	Student receives a notification whenever a new battle in the tour-				
	nament is created.				
Exception	If the registration deadline has been reached, the platform prompts				
	an error message, denying the tournament registration.				




Figure 21: Diagram for [UC6]

[UC7] Educato	or creates a battle
Actors	Educator
Entry condition	Educator, who is already logged in, has navigated to the "Educator dashboard" page.
Event flow	The educator clicks on the "Options" button, located in the "Tournament List". The platform displays the "Tournament Dashboard" page. The educator click on the top-right "New Battle" button. The "New Battle" pop-up page is shown. Firstly, the educator writes down the battle name in the designated field, then he/she chooses the minimum and maximum number of students allowed for each team. The educator proceed with writing the description of the battle and uploads the test cases and the automation scripts, by making use of the upload forms displayed. From the calendar-view menus he/she sets both the registration and submission deadlines and, lastly, selects from the checkboxes the quality aspects that the platform has to consider when evaluating a project, as well as the optional manual review.
Exit condition	All mandatory data are inserted. Inserted data are correct and valid.
Output	
	• The battle is created
	• The platform sends, to every student enrolled in the tournament for which the battle was created, a notification
Exception	
	• If the battle's name has already been taken, the platform prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to insert a valid name.
	• If the maximum number of students is inferior to the minimum number, or viceversa, the platform prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to insert valid values.
	• If the one or both the deadlines are set before the current date, detected by the platform, the platform prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to insert a valid deadline.
	• If the submission deadline is set prior to the registration deadline, the platform prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to insert a valid deadline.
	• If one or more mandatory files to upload are missing, the platform prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to upload the missing files.




Figure 22: Diagram for [UC7]

[UC8] Student enrolls to a battle						
Actors	Student					
Entry condition	Student, who is already logged in, has navigated to the "Tourna-					
	ment dashboard" page.					
Event flow	The student clicks on the "Join battle" button and decides whether					
	if he/she wants to join alone the battle or if he/she wants to invite					
	some friends. In both cases the student must insert a name for					
	his/her team. Once submitted the name, if the student is alone,					
	he/she doesn't have to insert other information. Otherwise the					
	student can specify the email addresses of the friends he/she wants					
	to invite. The invitations can be also removed by clicking the "x" symbol payt to each email address. The friends who receive the					
	symbol next to each email address. The friends who receive the invitation can join the battle as specified in [UC10]					
Exit condition	The student clicks on the "Join" button					
Output	The system stores the new team and the partecipants.					
Exceptions	The system stores the new team and the participants.					
	• The student wants to join the battle with a number of team-					
	mates that is not allowed. In this case the system tells the					
	user how many students for each team are allowed, and let					
	the user change the invitations.					
	• The student insert a team name which already belongs to					
	another team. In this case the system highlights the textbox					
	of the name and asks to insert a different name.					




Figure 23: Diagram for [UC8]

[UC9] Student invites a friend to join his/her team					
Actors	Student				
Entry condition	Student, who is already logged in, has navigated to the "Tourna-				
	ment dashboard" page.				
Event flow	The Student selects a battle from the battle list to see the details.				
	He/she insert on the textbox at the bottom of the page the email				
	address of the friend he/she wants to invite and clicks on the icon				
	on the right. Yellow colored email addresses belong to the students				
	who accepted the invitation and are effectively part of the team; the				
	grey colored are the email addresses of the students who received				
	the invitation but that didn't accepted yet.				
Exit condition	The student clicks on the icon at the right of the textbox				
Exception	The student inserts the email address of a friend who is already				
	part of another team in the same battle. In this case the system				
	highlights in red the textbox and inform the user that he/she can't				
	invite the user he/she mentioned				




Figure 24: Diagram for [UC9]

[UC10] Student receives an invitation					
Actors	Student				
Entry condition	A student invites a friend to join a battle in his/her team				
Event flow	The student clicks on the link in the email he/she received or in				
	the in-platform notification. If there are no connection error and				
	he/she does so before the registration deadline, he/she gets enrolled				
	in the battle and joins the team.				
Exit condition	Student joins successfully the team and is enrolled in the battle.				
Output					
	• Student can participate in the battle				
	• Student has access to team's GitHub				




Figure 25: Diagram for [UC10]

[UC11] Educator evaluates a team's project						
Actors	Educator					
Entry condition	Educator, who has permission to create battles, is already logged in					
	and has navigated to the "Tournament dashboard" page. Educator					
	must have created the battle that he/she is evaluating for.					
Event flow	The educator clicks on the "Evaluate" button from the "Battle					
	List". From the "Evaluation" pop-up page, the educator selects					
	the team that he/she wants to evaluate from a scroll-down menu.					
	The educator is presented with some useful information that tell					
	him/her how the team achieved a certain score. If the educator is					
	satisfied with the score given automatically by CKB, he/she clicks					
	on "Confirm Score" button. Otherwise, the educator can check the					
	GitHub repository by clicking the "Go to GitHub Repository" but-					
	ton and, eventually, by clicking "Modify Score", he/she can modify					
	the team's score.					
Exit condition	Educator confirms or modifies and confirms the team's score.					
Output						
	• Student's personal score is updated					
	• Team's final score is updated					
Exception	If the score assigned by the educator is $< 0$ or $> 100$ , the platform					
	prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to insert a valid					
	score.					




Figure 26: Diagram for [UC11]

[UC12] User checks a student profile					
Actors	User				
Entry condition	The user is logged in to the system.				
Event flow	The student clicks on the sidebar, on the icon of the users, this can				
	be done in every moment since the side bar is always present. Once				
	the student is in the page, the platform displays a search bar where				
	he/she can search the student's username he/she wants to check				
	out. A list of possible usernames is then displayed to the user. By				
	clicking the "Show Profile" icon on the right, the platform redirects				
	the user to the student's public profile page.				
Exit condition	User lands correctly on a student profile page.				
Output					
	• User can search every student				
	• User can view the public information of a student				
Exception	If the username inserted by the User does not exist, or if it belongs				
	to an Educator, the platform displays an error message and asks				
	the User to insert a valid username.				




Figure 27: Diagram for [UC12]

[UC13] Student sends a friend request					
Actors	Student				
Entry condition	The student is logged in to the system.				
Event flow	The student clicks on the sidebar, on the icon of the users, this				
	can be done in every moment since the side bar is always present.				
	Once the student is in the page, the platform displays a search bar				
	where he/she can search the friend(s) he/she wants to add. A list				
	of possible usernames is then displayed to the student. By clicking				
	the "Add Friend" icon on the right, the platform sends the request.				
Exit condition	One or multiple friend requests have been sent successfully.				
Output					
	• Students can search every student				
	• One or more friend requests are send to to the students				
Exception	If the username input by the student doesn't exists, the platform				
	prompts an error to the student and asks him/her to insert a valid				
	username.				




Figure 28: Diagram for [UC13]

[UC14] Educator closes a tournament						
Actors	Educator					
Entry condition	Student, who is already logged in, has navigated to the "Educator					
	dashboard" page.					
Event flow	The educator clicks on the "Close" button, placed in the "Tourna-					
	ment List".					
Exit condition	Tournament is successfully closed					
Output						
	• Tournament is closed					
	• Badges are assigned to students					
	• Final scores and rankings are updated					
Exception	If there are on-going battles in the tournament, the platform					
	prompts an error to the educator and asks him/her to wait for					
	those battles to end.					




Figure 29: Diagram for [UC14]

Requirement	Use Case	
R1	UC6	
R2	UC8	
R3	UC6	
R4	UC9, UC12, UC13	
R5	UC9	
R6	UC8, UC9	
R7	UC3	
R8	UC3	
R9	UC3, UC5	
R10	UC3, UC4	
R11	UC7	
R12	UC11	
R13	UC12, UC13	

Table 2: Traceability Matrix

#### 3.2.2 Requirements

This subsection provides a concise overview of the goals outlined in Section 1.1, delineating the specific requirements and domain assumptions associated with each goal.

#### • [G1]: Allow students to subscribe to a tournament

- R1: The system allows a registered student to accept a tournament invitation.
- **D1:** Users must have a device able to connect to the internet.
- **D2:** Users give consent to the platform to receive notifications.

#### • [G2]: Allow students to join a battle

- R2: The system allows a registered student to select a battle to join.
- R3: The system allows a registered student retrieve a battles' list for each tournament.
- D1: Users must have a device able to connect to the internet.
- **D2:** Users give consent to the platform to receive notifications.
- D3: Students must have GitHub accounts.

# • [G3]: Allow students to form teams

- R4: The system allows a registered user to retrieve a list of students.
- R5: The system allows a registered student to invite a student in his/her team.
- R6: The system allows a registered student to create a team.
- **D1:** Users must have a device able to connect to the internet.
- D2: Users give consent to the platform to receive notifications.

# • [G4]: Allow an educator to create a tournament

- R7: The system generates a tournament invitation link for all students.
- R8: The system allows a registered educator to set up the tournament parameters.
- **R9:** The system allows a registered educator to grant access to other educators.
- R10: The system allows a registered educator to create badges
- **D1:** Users must have a device able to connect to the internet.

## • [G5]: Allow an educator to create a battle

- R11: The system allows a registered educator to set up the battle parameters.
- **D1:** Users must have a device able to connect to the internet.

## • [G6]: Allow educators to modify a team's score

- R12: The system displays the automated evaluation score, calculated for the team's project.
- D1: Users must have a device able to connect to the internet.

# • [G7]: Allow users to check rankings and scores

- R4: The system allows a registered user to retrieve a list of students.
- R13: The system allows a registered user to see a student's public profile.
- **D1:** Users must have a device able to connect to the internet.

# 3.3 Performance Requirements

The system is expected to exhibit a swift response time to prevent any delays that might cause students to miss their deadlines. It is projected that the system will shoulder a manageable workload, as code composition will not occur directly within the platform. Additionally, seamless interaction with the GitHub API is vital to ensure an optimal workflow.

# 3.4 Design Constraints

#### 3.4.1 Standards Compliance

The system ensures the web platform's compliance with essential open web standards, including HTML, CSS, and JavaScript, in order to guarantee cross-browser compatibility and accessibility. It also mandates adherence to data protection and privacy regulations like GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation) and CCPA (California Consumer Privacy Act) for the handling of user data. Additionally, the platform is designed to meet accessibility standards, such as WCAG (Web Content Accessibility Guidelines), ensuring its usability for individuals with disabilities. Moreover, it's imperative that the web platform functions flawlessly and maintains visual consistency across a variety of web browsers, such as Chrome, Firefox, Safari, and Edge.

#### 3.4.2 Hardware Limitation

In terms of hardware necessities, a computer with an internet connection is sufficient.

#### 3.4.3 Any other constraints

The sole additional necessity, which only applies to the students, for platform usage is the possession of a GitHub account.

# 3.5 Software System Attributes

#### 3.5.1 Reliability

The system must minimize downtime to allow users the flexibility to learn coding at their convenience and meet their deadlines without disruption.

#### 3.5.2 Availability

The system's availability should be maximized, aiming for a minimum uptime of 97%.

#### 3.5.3 Security

Communication between parties is secured through encryption and utilizes a secure channel via the SSL protocol. Additionaly it assures compliance with security standards like OWASP (Open Web Application Security Project) guidelines to protect against common web application vulnerabilities, including cross-site scripting (XSS), SQL injection, and cross-site request forgery (CSRF). Interaction with the GitHub API is also fortified to ensure fault tolerance. Additionally, the database is designed to ensure that all operations are authorized, preventing unauthorized modifications, such as a student altering a grade assigned by an educator.

#### 3.5.4 Maintainability

The system is designed to facilitate the effortless integration of future functionalities with minimal effort. The chosen design techniques must prioritize and ensure a high level of re-usability.

#### 3.5.5 Portability

The system is engineered to support smooth portability in case of changes to the hosting databases or individual hardware components.

# 4 Formal analysis using Alloy

```
open util/integer
abstract sig User {
   username: one String,
   password: one String,
   email: one String,
   institutionalID: one Int
}
sig DateTime {
   day: one Int,
   month: one Int,
   year: one Int
sig TournamentRegistration{
   tournament: one Tournament,
   date: one DateTime
}
sig BattleRegistration{
   battle: one Battle,
   date: one DateTime
}
sig Student extends User{
   gitHub: one String,
   tournaments: set TournamentRegistration,
   battles: set BattleRegistration
sig Educator extends User{}
sig Team{
   name: one String,
   members: some Student,
   repository: one String,
   lastSub: one LastSubmission
}
sig LastSubmission{
   name: one String,
   code: one File,
   date: one DateTime
sig File {}
sig Tournament{
```

```
creator: one Educator,
    collaborators: set Educator,
    title: one String,
    regDeadline: one DateTime,
    language: one String,
    badges: set Badge,
    battles: set Battle,
}{
    //The tournament creator cannot be a collaborator
    not creator in collaborators
 }
 sig Badge{
    name: one String,
    rules: some Rule,
tournament: one Tournament
}
sig Rule{
    variables: some Int,
badge: one Badge
}
 sig Battle{
    name: one String,
    minNumber: one Int,
    maxNumber: one Int,
    regDeadline: one DateTime,
    subDeadline: one DateTime,
    enrolledTeams: set Team,
    creator: one Educator,
tournament: one Tournament
}{
    //The minimum number for the team members must be smaller or equal

    → than the maximum number

    minNumber <maxNumber
    //The registration deadline must be before the submission deadline
    regDeadline.day <subDeadline.day
    //The same team cannot participate at the same battle more than once
    no disj t1, t2:enrolledTeams |t1.name = t2.name
 //FACTS
// Each user has a unique username
fact{
    all u1, u2: User |u1 \neq u2 \text{ implies } u1.\text{username } \neq u2.\text{username}
 }
```

```
// Each user has a unique email address
fact{
   all u1, u2: User |u1 \neq u2 \text{ implies } u1.\text{email } \neq u2.\text{email}
// Each user has a unique institutional ID
   all u1, u2: User |u1 \neq u2| implies u1.institutionalID \neq u2.institutionalID
//Every student must register to a battle before the registration deadline
   all r : BattleRegistration |r.date.day <r.battle.regDeadline.day</pre>
// Every student must have a unique GitHub account
fact{
   no disj s1, s2 :Student |s1.gitHub =s2.gitHub
//Each team has a unique GitHub repository
   no disj t1, t2 :Team | t1.repository =t2.repository
//A student cannot participate in the same team more than once
   all t : Team | no disj m1, m2 :t.members | m1.institutionalID =
       → m2.institutionalID
// A student cannot register himself in the same tournament more than once
fact{
   all s: Student | no disj t1, t2:s.tournaments | t1.tournament =
       //Every student must register to a tournament before the registration
   \hookrightarrow deadline
fact{
   all s : Student |all r : s.tournaments |r.date.day <
       → r.tournament.regDeadline.day
//Each battle belongs to a single tournament
fact {
   all disj t1, t2 :Tournament |no b1 :Battle |b1 in t1.battles and b1 in
       \hookrightarrow t2.battles
}
```

```
//Every battle in a tournament has a reference to that tournament
   all t : Tournament | all b : Battle | b.tournament = t iff b in t.battles
   }
   //Every badge in a tournament has a reference to that tournament
   fact{
  all t: Tournament |all b: Badge | b.tournament =t iff b in t.badges
   }
   //Every rule can only be associated with one badge
   fact{
  all r : Rule | all b : Badge | r.badge =b iff r in b.rules
   //Each student can participate to a battle with a single team
    fact {
       all s: Student, b: Battle | no disj t1, t2: b.enrolledTeams | s in
           → t1.members and s in t2.members
    //Every team's last submission must be before the submission deadline
       all b : Battle, t : Team | t.lastSub.date.day <b.subDeadline.day
   //Each tournament has a unique title
       no disj t1, t2 :Tournament |t1.title =t2.title
   //Each battle in a tournament has a unique name
       all t : Tournament | no disj b1, b2 :t.battles | b1.name = b2.name
    //Each badge in a tournament has a unique name
       all t : Tournament | no disj b1, b2 :t.badges | b1.name = b2.name
    }
pred show{
  #Student >1
  #Tournament >1
  #Battle>1
  #Educator>1
  #Badge >1
  #Team >1
run show for 10 but exactly 10 String
```

}




Figure 30: Alloy Diagram

# 5 Effort spent

Student	Section 1	Section 2	Section 3	Section 4
Filippo Gentili	3h	7h	15h	7h
Emanuele Greco	3h	8h	14h	7h
Marco Giulio Grilli	3h	9h	13h	7h

# 6 References

In the RASD-Document we have used the following references:

Websites that have a similar use case:

- LeetCode
- $\bullet$  HackerRank
- $\bullet$  CodeWars
- GitHub

Websites used for the mock ups:

- Moqups
- Designs
- Looka

Websites used for the diagrams:

• Draw