

Technische Universität Berlin

Institut für Softwaretechnik und Theoretische Informatik
Quality and Usability Lab

Fakultät IV
Franklinstrasse 28-29
10587 Berlin



Master Thesis

Predicting tap locations on touch screens in the field using accelerometer and gyroscope sensor readings

Emanuel Schmitt

Matriculation Number: 333772

Examined by:
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sebastian Möller
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Axel Kuüper

Supervised by:
Dr.-Ing. Jan-Niklas Antons

Thanks to all dem brothas

Hereby I declare that I wrote this thesis myself with the help of no more than the mentioned literature and auxiliary means.

Berlin, 01.01.2050

.....
(*Signature [your name]*)

Abstract

This template is intended to give an introduction of how to write diploma and master thesis at the chair 'Architektur der Vermittlungsknoten' of the Technische Universität $\frac{1}{2}$ t Berlin. Please don't use the term 'Technical University' in your thesis because this is a proper name.

On the one hand this PDF should give a guidance to people who will soon start to write their thesis. The overall structure is explained by examples. On the other hand this text is provided as a collection of LaTeX files that can be used as a template for a new thesis. Feel free to edit the design.

It is highly recommended to write your thesis with LaTeX. I prefer to use MikTeX in combination with TeXnicCenter (both freeware) but you can use any other LaTeX software as well. For managing the references I use the open-source tool jabref. For diagrams and graphs I tend to use MS Visio with PDF plugin. Images look much better when saved as vector images. For logos and 'external' images use JPG or PNG. In your thesis you should try to explain as much as possible with the help of images.

The abstract is the most important part of your thesis. Take your time to write it as good as possible. Abstract should have no more than one page. It is normal to rewrite the abstract again and again, so probably you won't write the final abstract before the last week of due-date. Before submitting your thesis you should give at least the abstract, the introduction and the conclusion to a native english speaker. It is likely that almost no one will read your thesis as a whole but most people will read the abstract, the introduction and the conclusion.

Start with some introductory lines, followed by some words why your topic is relevant and why your solution is needed concluding with 'what I have done'. Don't use too many buzzwords. The abstract may also be read by people who are not familiar with your topic.

Zusammenfassung

Contents

List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Objective	1
1.3 Outline	1
2 Related Work	3
2.1 Eavesdropping on Emanations	3
2.1.1 Acoustic Emanations	3
2.1.2 Optical Emanation	4
2.1.3 Electro-magnetic Emanation	5
2.1.4 Motion Emanation	5
2.2 Eavesdropping on touch screen user interactions	6
3 Machine Learning Fundamentals	11
3.1 Overview and Definition	11
3.2 Categorization of Methods	12
3.3 Support Vector Machines	14
3.4 Neural Networks	17
3.4.1 Neurons	17
3.4.2 Activation Functions	18
3.4.3 Feedforward neural networks	19
3.4.4 Backpropagation	20
4 Data Aquisition System	23
4.1 Overall System Architecture	23
4.2 Mobile Application	24
4.2.1 User Interface	24
4.3 Backend application	26
5 Inferring Tap Locations	27
5.1 Data Preprocessing	27
5.2 Convolution Neural Network	27
5.3 Recurrent Neural Network	27

6	Method	29
6.1	Overview	29
6.2	Hypothesis	29
6.3	Experimental Setup	29
6.3.1	Subjects	29
6.3.2	Devices	29
6.3.3	Experiment Settings	29
6.4	Analysis	29
7	Results	31
7.1	Hypothesis	31
7.2	Discussion	31
8	Conclusion	33
8.1	Further Outlook	33
	List of Acronyms	35
	Annex	37

List of Figures

3.1	The diagram shows an artificial neuron's model with it's individual components.	17
3.2	The figure shows a plot of the hyperbolic tangent activation function on the left and a plot of the ReLU activation function on the right.	18
3.3	The diagram shows the structure of a typical feedforward network. The network has two input units in the input layer L_1 and one output unit in the output layer L_k . Layers $L_2 \dots L_{k-1}$ the the so-called hidden layers. . .	19
4.1	The diagram shows the overall architecture of TapSensing.	23
4.2	The figure shows the tap input user interface with buttons aligned in a grid shape structure. The leftmost structure offers 4 buttons, the middle offers 12 buttons whereas displays 20 distinguishable buttons.	25
4.3	The figure displays question views with icons as answer possibilities. . . .	26

List of Tables

1 Introduction

This chapter should have about 4-8 pages and at least one image, describing your topic and your concept. Usually the introduction chapter is separated into subsections like 'motivation', 'objective', 'scope' and 'outline'.

1.1 Motivation

Start describing the situation as it is today or as it has been during the last years. 'Over the last few years there has been a tendency... In recent years...'. The introduction should make people aware of the problem that you are trying to solve with your concept, respectively implementation. Don't start with 'In my thesis I will implement X'.

1.2 Objective

1.3 Outline

The 'structure' or 'outline' section gives a brief introduction into the main chapters of your work. Write 2-5 lines about each chapter. Usually diploma thesis are separated into 6-8 main chapters.

This example thesis is separated into 7 chapters.

Chapter 2 is usually termed 'Related Work', 'State of the Art' or 'Fundamentals'. Here you will describe relevant technologies and standards related to your topic. What did other scientists propose regarding your topic? This chapter makes about 20-30 percent of the complete thesis.

Chapter 4 analyzes the requirements for your component. This chapter will have 5-10 pages.

Chapter 5 is usually termed 'Concept', 'Design' or 'Model'. Here you describe your approach, give a high-level description to the architectural structure and to the single components that your solution consists of. Use structured images and UML diagrams for explanation. This chapter will have a volume of 20-30 percent of your thesis.

Chapter 6 describes the implementation part of your work. Don't explain every code detail but emphasize important aspects of your implementation. This chapter will have

a volume of 15-20 percent of your thesis.

Chapter 7 is usually termed 'Evaluation' or 'Validation'. How did you test it? In which environment? How does it scale? Measurements, tests, screenshots. This chapter will have a volume of 10-15 percent of your thesis.

Chapter 8 summarizes the thesis, describes the problems that occurred and gives an outlook about future work. Should have about 4-6 pages.

2 Related Work

The utilization of motion sensors sidechannels in order to reconstruct user interactions can be seen as a form of eavesdropping. Hence, in order to shed light into this category of security breaches, this chapter will cover past research based on the usage of sidechannels emanations which can reveal confidential information. Therefore, The first section will cover different techniques that utilize acoustic, electromagnetic, optical and motion emanation for eavesdropping purposes while the second section shows related work aiming at inferring tap interactions on touchscreens.

2.1 Eavesdropping on Emanations

Eavesdropping is defined as the the practice of secretly listening to private conversations of others without their consent [?]. As this definition originally refers to conversations between humans, eavesdropping can also be seen in terms of human-computer-interaction. In this context, the computer is seen as one conversational partner whereas the user interacting with the device is seen as the other. As the channel of communication in a human conversation is the acoustic channel, the channel in which human-computer interaction takes place has various forms. Typically, a human may enter information on a peripheral device while the computer gives feedback through an image representation. However, speech interfaces and other forms of interaction are also possible. In order to spy on the human-computer conversation, a third party with malicious intent must apply different techniques in order to spy on these interactions. A subset of these techniques which involve the utilization of device emanations will be discussed in this chapter.

In this context, a frequently discussed practice throughout academia involves the use of leaking emanations for eavesdropping purposes. These emanations can be monitored in order to carefully reconstruct the contained information. As these emanations occur in various formats, a categorization has been done based on the sensory channel they transpire. Therefore, the following sections will cover eavesdropping techniques based on acoustic, optical, electromagnetic and motion emanations.

2.1.1 Acoustic Emanations

One way of spying on electrical devices is by utilizing the acoustic channel [? ? ?]. Many electronic devices deploy tiny mechanics that generate sounds as a byproduct during interactions or during operation. These distinct sounds can differ in their characteristics making them adequate to identify the original information currently being

processed by the machine. In these scenarios an eavesdropper targets a microphone in near proximity of the target device to capture the audio signals the device is exposing. A learning algorithm is then applied to the audio signals to reconstruct information.

In 2010, [?] examined the problem of acoustic emanations of dot matrix printers, which where, at that time, still commonly used in banks and medical offices. By using a simple consumer-grade microphone, the researchers were able to recover whole sentences the printer was printing based. [?] processed the audio samples in order to extracted frequency-domain features. These features worked as input for a hidden markov model, a technology commonly used in audio speech recognition. As a result, the recognition system was able to reconstruct individual characters based on the sound inputs. To demonstrate a potential attack, the researchers deployed the system in a medical office being able to obtain up to 72% of the sentences being printed on medical subscriptions [?].

Being inspired by the findings concerning the dot matrix printer, [?] investigated acoustic emanations produced by hitting keystrokes on a desktop and a notebook keyboard. Following their hypothesis claiming that each keystroke has a macroscopic difference in it's construction mechanics, as well as a distinct reverberation caused by the position in the board, individual keystrokes were recorded [?]. Researchers then extracted frequency domain features from the audio signals and passed them into a neural network. In an experiment performing 300 keystrokes, 79% of the characters could be correctly recognized [?]. As this technique required substantial training before recognition, other studies have reached similar accuracies using an unsupervised approach [?] on the one hand and by using acoustic dictionaries [?] on the other.

2.1.2 Optical Emanation

Besides acoustic emanations, optical emanations can also pose a valuable source of information for a potential eavesdropper. Most electronic devices, such as notebook, smartphones and tablet computers, provide graphical user interfaces through their own built-in screens. Even though these screens are meant to target the human eye, they can reflect off other surfaces. The reflections can be caught by high resolution camera sensors, which can then display the image revealing secret information.

One example of the use of optical emanations has been developed by [?] aiming to eavesdrop on cathode-ray-tube(CRT) monitors at distance. The researcher has shown that the information displayed on the monitor can be reconstructed from its distorted or even diffusely reflected light. In an experiment, [?] targeted a screen displaying an image against a wall while the reflections of the screen were captured using a photomultiplier. The experiment showed that enough high-frequency content remained in the emitted light for a computer to reconstruct the original image [?]. A similar approach that comprises reflections has been shown by [?], however focusing on LCD displays. In this experiment, the researchers caught reflections in various objects that are commonly to

be found in close proximity to a computer screen. Such objects included eyeglasses, tea pots, spoons and even plastic bottles. This work was later extended to additionally capture screens based on the reflections on the human eye's cornea [?].

2.1.3 Electro-magnetic Emanation

As electric currents flow through computer components, they emit electromagnetic waves to their near surrounding. These electromagnetic radiations can be picked up as a side channel using sensitive equipment in order to retrieve data. Electromagnetic emanations have been a research topic that has been present for several decades while the first research conducted reaches far in time.

Back in 1943, a research group under the codename TEMPEST, a subdivision of the NSA¹, were able to infer information from the infamous Bell Telephone model 131-B2, a teletype terminal which was used for encrypting wartime communication [?]. Using an oscilloscope, researchers could capture leaking electromagnetic signals from the device and by carefully examining the peaks of the recorded signals, the plain message the device was currently processing could be reconstructed [?]. This technique was later advanced and used in the the Vietnam war. Through similar electric emanation the US military could detect approaching Viet Cong trucks giving them an immense competitive advantage [?]. Today, TEMPEST is a security standard for electronic devices ensuring that certified devices do not accidentally emanate confidential information [?].

A second prominent finding of eavesdropping on electromagnetic emanations was done by the researcher ? , who discovered that cathode-ray-tube monitors could be spied upon from a distance [?]. By using general market equipment, such as antennas ? and standard receivers, signals emitted from the cable connecting the computer to the monitor could be received. Since these cables only transmit the video signal for visualization, the researcher could display the visual output of the target monitor revealing a full screen cast of the original image. This attack is referred to in literature as *Van Eck Phreaking* [? ?].

Furthermore, research has shown that side-band electromagnetic emanations are present in keyboards [?], computer screens [? ?], printers [?], computer interfaces, such as USB 2 [?] and the parallel port [?] and in Smart Cards[?].

2.1.4 Motion Emanation

In the past decade modern devices are increasingly equipped with highly responsive sensors, such as the gyroscope and accelerometer enabling the devices to sense rich interactions with their environment. As user interactions, such as typing the keyboard

¹National Security Agency

or tapping on touchscreens, require the user to apply a certain force while entering information, this motion can be captured by motion sensors in order to be used for a side-channel attack [10].

[10] conducted an experiment where an Apple iPhone that captures accelerometer motion was placed next to a desktop keyboard. Subjects then had to enter sentences while the application was monitoring the user's motion. The researchers could decode the accelerometer signals by mapping the certain vibration caused the typing motion to their keystrokes. The decoded characters were then matched based on a dictionary containing a frequency distribution of commonly used words. As a result, words could be successfully obtained with an accuracy of up to 80% [10].

As motion emanations are highly relevant for the work in this thesis, the next section will be dedicated to further work regarding this topic.

2.2 Eavesdropping on touch screen user interactions

As we have seen in the previous section, user interactions with peripheral devices, such as the keyboard or PIN pads, can be obtained by either the acoustic channel, by electromagnetic leakage or by capturing the motion of the user. However, with the rise in soft keyboard usage, the same discussed methods that extract information from keyboard do not apply to tap interactions on a touchscreen surface. Since a touchscreen does not embody fine mechanics producing sounds nor does it have emanating cables, the research community has developed nouvelle methods to spy on user inputs based on the smartphone embodied motion sensors.

The general idea behind the three approaches that are going to be discussed in the following is that a tap, or to be more precise the magnitude of the force of a tap, on a specific touch screen location creates an identifiable pattern on the motion sensors that can be sufficient to infer the initial tap location. This is particularly interesting since motion sensors are not considered as being privacy-sensitive and therefore lack access restrictions by the operating systems of the devices.

Touchlogger

The first paper regarding this security threat was published by [11]. In their proof-of-concept study they created an Android² application which displays a 10-digit PIN-pad. During interactions with the PIN-pad, the accelerometer signals were monitored and used for later data analysis. Having observed that a tap movement affects the rotation angle of the screen, the researchers handcrafted features based on the path of the *pitch*³ and

²Android operating system for smartphones by Google Inc.

³The pitch-angle corresponds to the x-axis of the accelerometer.

the *roll*⁴ angles of the accelerometer. These were intersected to find a dominating edge on where the tap had presumably taken place. By using a probability density function for a Gaussian distribution the researchers were able to achieve an average accuracy of 70% for interred PIN-pad digits. The training set size involved 449 pin strokes [?]. Even though *Touchlogger* was a promising first step, due to its low granularity of only 10 distinguishable large screen areas, it remained unclear if the attack can be carried over to a full software keyboard. Furthermore, since the inference was performed on only a single smartphone model, the question is left open whether other smartphones or tablet computers are similarly vulnerable.

ACCessory

In order to show the feasibility for a full software keyboard, ? performed a second attempt to the problem by creating *ACCessory*. *ACCessory* is an Android application with functionalities similar to the previously mentioned *Touchlogger*. However, the application significantly differ in its tap area granularity providing two separate modes for tap inputs: *area mode* and *character mode*. *area mode* consists of tap areas arranged in a 60-cell grid, whereas a QWERTY keyboard within landscape orientation was displayed in *character mode*. Having extracted features mainly from the time-domain, a classification using the Random Forests algorithm reached an accuracy of 24.5% for the 60-cell grid. Here, the corresponding dataset consisted of 1300 keystrokes collected from 4 participants. As the *area mode* experiment focused on recognizing individual keystrokes, the *character mode* experiment focused on cracking passwords. By combining the keystrokes into a sequence and assuming recognition errors in individual characters, the researchers could create a ranked list of candidate passwords by running a maximum likelihood search for the most probable classification errors for an obtained password. Here, 6 out of 99 password could be inferred under 4.5 median trials given that one trials refers to traversing down one item of the candidate list. Furthermore, the majority of 59 out of 99 passwords could be inferred within 2^{15} median trials. As general result, even though the overall accuracy of the learning system scored low, the researchers could significantly reduce the search space for reconstructing a password indicating that accelerometer readings can in deed yield confidential information.

TapPrints

The most comprehensive study to date regarding the topic was conducted by ? and differs from *ACCessory* and *Touchlogger* in many important ways. While both previous studies are both evaluated on the Android smartphones, *TapPrints* investigates the tap inference on both iOS and Android operating systems including tablets and smartphones alike. Another important point of differentiation is the used learning system. In order to raise the level of entropy, *TapPrints* combines readings from the accelerometer and gyroscope for a more sophisticated feature extraction. Here, time-domain and frequency-domain features, as well as the correlation and angles between individual

⁴The roll angle corresponds to the y-axis of the accelerometer.

sensor components are considered. For classification purposes, the researchers use an ensemble method combining decision tress, support vector machines, k-nearest neighbors and multinomial logistic regression in a winner-takes-it-all⁵ voting fashion. The dataset collected in this experiments contains over 40.000 individual taps collected from 10 different users. In addition, the researchers also requested user to use different input modalities while typing including the usage of the index finger and thumb.

The *TapPrints* undertaking consists of two separate experiments: The first is a icon tapping experiment where icons are arranged in a 20 cell grid and the second one being a letter tapping experiment involving the standard software keyboard offered by the operating system. In the first experiment, an average accuracy of 78% was achieved for the iPhone whereas 67% of icon taps could be correctly inferred on the Android device. In the letter tapping experiment users were asked to enter pangram⁶ sentences on the OS soft-keyboard. Results showed that on both iPhone and Android an average of 43% of the letters could be correctly classified. Even though the average accuracy for individual letters were not particularly high, ? could show that when pangrams were repeatedly entered, a majority vote could be applies to individual character recognitions allowing to recover the whole pangram in approx. 15 trials. To conclude, *TapPrints* could demonstrate that motion sensor can be used to obtain passwords on multiple platforms and formats and with different input modalities.

Comparison to similar studies

Since the data used in *TapPrints* and in the other related work was collected in a controlled environment [? ? ?], it is not possible to tell if the feasibility of tap inference will also apply to data collected in a field environment. As this has not been investigated, this will form the central research question in this thesis. Additional questions will be discussed in the hypothesis section.

To draw the border between this study and the previous mentioned ones, this study will differ or relate as follows:

- **User interface:** For data acquisition, the user interface will cover tap area grids, as we have seen in *ACCessory* and *Touchlogger*, with 4, 12 and 20 distinguishable classes.
- **Devices:** Unlike *Touchlogger* and *ACCessory*, the devices used for this study are on the iOS Platform. Apple iPhone 6, 6s and 7 will be considered due to their mutual screen size.
- **Motion sensors:** *TapPrints* has shown that the gyroscope yields more information than the accelerometer [?], therefore both sensors will be monitored.

⁵This implies that all classifiers classify separately and the classifier with the highest prediction score wins.

⁶A pangram is a sentence using every letter of a given alphabet at least once.

Furthermore, sensors will be read at a frequency of 100Hz, since this had been proven to deliver best results [? ?].

- **Dataset:** In comparison to related studies, a dataset containing data points collected in a laboratory environment and the field environment will be acquired from a total of 27 users. This data will contain the index finger and thumb as input modalities and standing and sitting as body postures while input.
- **Feature extraction:** As *TapPrints* shows a deliberate list of features [?], that will be partially adopted. All features will be covered except features concerning the frequency domain. A more detailed look will be covered in section Feature Extraction
- **Classification:** A feed-forward neural network, as well as a support vector machine with radial kernel will be used for classification. More will be covered in the classification section.

3 Machine Learning Fundamentals

As machine learning techniques will be used for the classification of individual tap locations on a smartphone touchscreen, the following chapter will give a brief overview of the fundamental concepts evolving around statistical learning. Furthermore, the methods that going to be applied to the acquired sensor data will be discussed.

3.1 Overview and Definition

Ever since computers were invented, there has been a desire to enable them to learn [?]. This desire has grown into the field of machine learning which seeks to answer questions on how to build systems that automatically improve with experience, and what the fundamental laws of learning processes are. Today, state-of-the-art ML covers a large set of methods and algorithms designed to accomplish tasks where conventional hard-coded routines have brought insufficient results. From speech recognition to email spam detection or recommendation systems, ML methods find broad usage in a variety of problem domains.

In order to understand what the principle of machine learning is, we will start with a definition by Samuel [?]:

Machine learning is the field of study that gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed.

In this definition, special emphasis is to be put on the last part of this definition. A computer is only then able to learn when he can perform a task without being explicitly instructed. Thus, in order to learn, the computer must somehow be able to instruct itself without the influence of an outer . As this definition lacks a more detailed view on what computer learning is, we will dive into a definition by Tom Mitchell [?]:

A learning system is said to learn from experience E with respect to some class of tasks T and performance measure P , if its performance at tasks in T , as measured by P , improves with experience E .

The example that Mitchell notes, is one from the games of checkers [?]. In this case checkers is the task T that the computer is aiming to learn. In order for the computer

to learn, information on previously played matches is required. Since the computer does not know how to evaluate if a particular match was either good or bad, we set the performance to be defined based on how many matches were actually won. If a computer program can raise the amount of games won (*performance measure P*) with the help of the experience from previously played matches (*experience E*) then it can learn to play checkers (*task T*).

To break this down into a more practical perspective, the challenge lies in finding an appropriate model in order to learn from data, which is the most common format to represent past experience. By learning, the computer adjusts parameters on the model based on the data that we feed the system with. Once the model has been adjusted, it can perform tasks with new incoming experience.

3.2 Categorization of Methods

As machine learning algorithms and methods differ from their approach to learning and underlying concepts, it is common practice to separate these into the following categories [? ?] : Supervised learning, unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning and evolutionary learning. In the following sections I will briefly outline these.

Supervised learning, which is also named learning from example, is presumably the most prominent category of ML algorithms. The algorithm is given a training set of examples $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$, which are also known as *features* and the correct target values $\{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$ mapped to each set of features, which is the answer that the algorithm should produce. The algorithm then generalizes based on the training set in order to respond with sensible outputs on all possible input values. Outputs, if they are discrete labels, correspond to a classification task whereas outputs on a continuous scale refer to a regression task (see [?]).

An example for supervised learning is the classification of malignant or benign tumors as seen in cancer diagnosis. Let's assume we have a dataset with different properties of a tumor, such as the size or the color of the cells. These properties form our features x . Each set of features is mapped to an output label y stating if the tumor is malignant or benign. The first step is to use the pairs (x, y) of the training set to teach the algorithm the correct mapping of the problem space. As x is linked to the output y in the training set, learning the conjunction of these two values is done under supervision since the output label y is given. Once learned, the algorithm is generalized to map unseen inputs to the correct output label.

Practical applications are for example digit and handwriting recognition [?], spam filtering [?] for e-mails or network anomaly detection [?].

Presumably the most widely known machine learning techniques belong to this category, such as Support Vector Machines (SVMs), Artificial Neural Networks,

Bayesian Statistics, Random Forests and Decision Trees [?].

Unsupervised learning is the task of learning structures from input values that are not explicitly labeled. In comparison to supervised learning, where correct output values are provided for each input, unsupervised algorithms learn to identify similarities in the input data and can therefore group these [?]. These grouping problems are referred to as *clustering*. The underlying idea here, is that humans learn by not explicitly being told what the right answer should be [?]. If a human sees different species of snakes, for instance, he or she is able to identify them all as snakes. Hence, the human is aware that there are differences in each specific type of snake without specifically knowing a correct label.

A prominent example where unsupervised learning is heavily used, is in recommender systems for online retail shops. Amazon.com, for instance, uses a technique called *collaborative filtering*, which measures similarity in customers based that they have previously bought [?]. Having identified similar customers utilizing the cosine similarity, the algorithm can then recommend items that similar users have bought. This technique is also used for music recommendations [?] or social network recommendations [?].

The field of unsupervised learning is closely related to density estimation in statistics, as with the density of inputs, we are able to group them. The K-means algorithm is the most prominent in this field [?].

Reinforcement learning falls in between supervised and unsupervised learning methods. Whereas supervised learning tries to bridge the gap between input and corresponding output values and unsupervised methods detect groupings in incoming data, reinforcement learning is based on learning with a *critic* [?]. The algorithm tries different solution strategies to a problem and is told whether or not the answer provided was correct. An important fact here, is that the algorithm is not told how to correct itself. This practice of "trying-out" is based on the concept of *trial-and-error learning* which is known as the *Law-of-effect* [?]. A good example is a child that tries to stand up and learn walking. The child tries out many different strategies for staying upright and receives feedback from the field based on how long it can stand without falling down again. The method that previously worked best is then repeated in order to find the optimal solution resulting in the child learning to walk [?].

In more mathematical terms, the reinforcement learning problem is formalized with an agent and his environment. The environment in which the agent is set provides a set of *states* on which the agent can perform *actions* to maximize a certain *reward*. By performing actions the state changes and a new reward is calculated. The reward then tells the agent if the action was a good choice. Goal of the algorithm is to maximize the reward [?].

Reinforcement learning is a practical computational tool for constructing autonomous systems that improve themselves with experience. These applications have ranged from robotics, to industrial manufacturing, to combinatorial search problems such as computer game playing [?]. Prominent methods of this category are Q-learning, Monte Carlo methods and Hidden Markov Models [?].

Evolutionary learning is inspired by strongly inspired by nature. As biological evolution improves the survival of a species, the strategy of adaptation to improve survival rates and the chance of offspring has inspired researchers to craft genetic algorithms (GA) [?].

Genetic algorithms are a family of adaptive search procedures which have derived their name from the fact that they are based on models of genetic change in a population of individuals. These models have their foundation in three basic ideas: (1) Each evolutionary state of a population can be evaluated on a *fitness* scale. This is done since biological evolution has a natural bias towards animals that are fitter than others. These animals tend to live longer, are more attractive and generate healthier and happier offspring, an idea which was originated in Charles Darwin's *The Origin of Species*; (2) Each population can be mated to generate offspring using a *mating operator*. (3) The third component are *genetic operator*, such as *crossover* and *mutation*, which determine how the offspring solution is composed of the genetic material of the parents [?].

Evolutionary learning is often considered when other methods fail to find a reasonable answer. Algorithms find applications in search and mathematical optimization, but also in arts and simulation [?].

In this section we have seen several different problems that we can solve with the help of algorithmic learning. For our use case, as we want to predict the locations on smartphone screens using sensory data. As this is a supervised learning problem, we will cover one supervised approach in more detail in the following section: Artificial neural networks.

3.3 Support Vector Machines

A SVM is a non-linear kernel based extension of the so-called maximum margin classifier. Originating from binary classification problems, where $y \in \{1, -1\}$, the general idea of a maximum margin classifier is to find a separating hyperplane in the p -dimensional feature space.

This hyperplane separates the training examples leading to a maximum distance between the observations of the two classes [?]. This distance is referred to as margin M measuring the smallest distance of a training observation towards the defined hyperplane.

In order to find a suitable hyperplane only a subset of the training examples are sufficient.

Despite all that, linear separability is unlikely to be applicable to most real world problems. However, when a problem is linear separable, the constraint to the support vectors induce an undesirable sensitivity to individual observations, which can lead to high variance of the classifier. For this reason, the maximum margin classifier can be extended to it's more robust successor, the support vector classifier. Still being a linear classifier, the SVM allows for violations in fitting the margin.

Mathematically, the support vector classifier can be described via following optimization problem [?]:

$$\max_{\beta, \epsilon} M \quad (3.1)$$

$$\text{subject to } \sum_{s=1}^p \beta_s^2 = 1 \quad (3.2)$$

$$g(x_i) = y_i(\beta_0, \beta_1 x_1, \dots, \beta_p x_p) \geq M(1 - \epsilon) \quad (3.3)$$

$$\epsilon \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \leq C \quad (3.4)$$

The objective of this optimization problem is to maximize the margin M while choosing appropriate vector parameters β and ϵ . In this context, the parameter vector β contains the coefficients of the hyperplane and the vector ϵ includes so-called slack variables that account for instances which are located on the wrong side of the margin and the hyperplane. These can be expressed as follows assuming that M is positive [?]:

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} 0 & g(x_i) \geq M \\ > 0 & M < g(x_i) < 0 \\ > 1 & g(x_i) > 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

The hyperparameter C is a non-negative variable, which can be seen as a budget variable that allows for a certain sum of ϵ_i observations to be on the wrong side of the margin or hyperplane, respectively [?]. C manages the bias-variance tradeoff, since a low C tries to find a maximum margin hyperplane that almost exactly separates the two classes, resulting in low bias classifier for the available data set but in a high variance classifier for test data. Concurrently, allowing high budget C results in a high bias classifier that widens the margin, introducing more violations ϵ_i while reducing the variance of the classifier (Ibid.). Thereby C also controls the number of considered support vectors in dependence of the margin width.

Extending the support vector classifier to non-linear decision boundaries brings us to the SVM. Instead of extending the predictor space using higher order polynomials and interactions, SVM uses the so called “kernel trick” [?]. In order to apply the “kernel trick” , i.a. ? show that the optimization problem above can be rewritten as

$$\hat{f}(x) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i \langle x, x_i \rangle \quad (3.6)$$

where $i \in S$ defines the subset of support vectors and $\langle x, x_i \rangle$ is the dot product of all pairs in the support vector. Thus, the parameters β_0 and $\sum_{i \in S}$ can be estimated with the help of least squares via simply computing the inner products of each pair in the support vector [?]. The Expression in $\langle x, x_i \rangle$ can be generalized by a kernel function

$$K(x_i, x_{i'}) = \sum_{s=1}^p x_{is} x_{i's} \quad (3.7)$$

indicating the linear kernel that quantifies the distance between each pair in the data set [?]. Accordingly, the equation above can be rewritten as

$$\hat{f}(x) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i K(x, x_i) \quad (3.8)$$

but in spite of restricting $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ to (3.7), we can now choose an arbitrary kernel function that maps our data into a high dimensional space where it is linearly separable. With this “kernel trick” the SVM can work in an implicitly enlarged predictor space, just by computing $\binom{n}{2}$ kernel functions $K(\cdot, \cdot)$, as opposed to an explicitly augmented predictor space, which is in fact computationally intractable [?]. In this work, we will be using a radial kernel function:

$$K(x_i, x_{i'}) = \exp(-\gamma(\sum_{s=1}^p x_{is} x_{i's})^2), \quad (3.9)$$

where γ is a tuning parameter. After the parameters are learned on the basis of the training set, a new observation with the feature vector x_0 is classified via the following decision rule

$$\hat{f}(x) = \text{sign}(\beta_0 + \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i K(x_i, x_{i'})). \quad (3.10)$$

In view of the task at hand, an extension to multi-class classification of the SVM is utilized via one-versus-one classification. Given C classes, $\binom{C}{2}$ binary classifiers are learned in such a fashion that the C -th class is coded as +1 and the $C0$ -th class as 1. Consequently, a new instance is assigned to the class to which it was classified most frequently.

3.4 Neural Networks

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

3.4.1 Neurons

A neuron is fundamental processing unit of an artificial neural network. The diagram shows a model [?] of a neuron which will be explained in the following:

- A set of *connecting links* or *synapses* which have a certain weight defined as the vector \vec{w} . The signal, represented as \vec{x} , flows through the *synapse* and is multiplied by its weight w_i .
- A *adder* for summing the input signals and weights of the incoming synapses. These operations constitute a linear combiner.
- An *activation function* φ for limiting the amplitude of the output signal. This function is often referred to as the *squashing function* since it squashes the possible output range [?].
- An externally applied *bias* b which has the ability to lower or rise the net input to the activation function depending if the bias is negative or positive.




Figure 3.1: The diagram shows an artificial neuron's model with its individual components.

Mathematically, a neuron k can be expressed with the following equation [?]

$$u_k = \sum_{j=1}^m w_{kj} x_j \quad (3.11)$$

$$h_k = \varphi(u_k + b_k) \quad (3.12)$$

where x_1, \dots, x_n are the input signals; w_{k1}, \dots, w_{kn} refer to the synaptic weights of the neuron; u_k is the linear combiner output of the summation on which the bias b is added. The output of the neuron is expressed as h_k .

3.4.2 Activation Functions

The activation function $\varphi(u_k)$ denotes the output of the neuron k and forms the junction between the neuron's input x_k and output h_k . In order for the network to learn any complex non-linear function, each neuron in the networks requires a non-linear activation function [?]. In this context, one commonly used function is the s-shaped *sigmoid function* of which the *logistic function* [?] is an example:

$$\varphi(v) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-v)} \quad (3.13)$$

The logic function, as in 3.2, is well suited for classification as it is a non-linear function and it transforms the output values to either side of the curve. This results in a clear distinction between classes. However, the function has a compact domain range, meaning that the logistic function squashes output values into ranges $(0, 1)$. Consequently, when the inputs of a neuron become large, the function saturates at 0 or 1 with a derivative in these points being close to 0. As the derivatives are used for training the network¹, the network trains slower if the weights or biases are high. This is referred to in literature as the *vanishing gradient problem* [?].




Figure 3.2: The figure shows a plot of the hyperbolic tangent activation function on the left and a plot of the ReLU activation function on the right.

In order to avoid saturation problems, a commonly used non-linear activation function is the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) function [?], which can be seen in figure 3.2:

$$\varphi(v) = \max(0, v) \quad (3.14)$$

¹The training is performed via the backpropagation algorithm which is explained in section 3.4.4

ReLU's are non-saturating which results in a neuron always learning if the input is positive. Networks with ReLU's train several times faster and have become, as of 2015, the standard activation function for deep neural networks [?].

3.4.3 Feedforward neural networks

A feedforward neural network, or multilayer perceptron (MLP), is an ANN which consists of layers L of neural units. The goal of a feedforward network is to approximate some function f^* [?]. In terms of a classifier, $y = f^*(x)$ maps an input x to a label y . Consequently, a neural network with m input nodes and 1 output node serves as a function with m inputs and 1 output.




Figure 3.3: The diagram shows the structure of a typical feedforward network. The network has two input units in the input layer L_1 and one output unit in the output layer L_k . Layers $L_2 \dots L_{k-1}$ are the so-called hidden layers.

The network is named feedforward as the information flowing through the network passes with the outermost input layer and ends at the output unit of the output layer [?]. All units in a layer are fully connected to the succeeding layer, however, there is no interconnection between units in the same layer. Figure 3.3 shows a typical feedforward architecture with three layers including a single hidden layer L_{k-1} .

Considering equation (3.11) from the neuron section, we can now compute the input to the output node, given by

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k h_k \quad (3.15)$$

where h_k is the output of the k th hidden node and α_k being the weight from the k th hidden to the output node. The output unit's activation function is then applied to this value, transforming the output to the given equation

$$y = \varphi \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \varphi \left(\sum_{i=1}^m w_{ik} x_i + b \right) \right). \quad (3.16)$$

3.4.4 Backpropagation

The purpose of the backpropagation algorithm [?] is to adjust the synaptic weights of the network to approximate the function mapping between the inputs x of the training data with the corresponding output labels y . The algorithm describes the process of training. The result of this algorithm is a neural network configured to minimize the error when solving a supervised learning task.

As a first step, the weights of the network are initialized which is usually done in a random manner or based on a certain heuristic. Then, each input pattern p , with features $p = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and label y , is then sequentially processed, layer by layer, by the network in two phases. In the first phase, the *forward phase*, the output of the network is computed. The error function for the output nodes j are then calculated as follows, where \hat{y}_j denotes the output node's generated output and y_j is the desired output:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j (\hat{y}_j - y_j)^2. \quad (3.17)$$

Continuing in the *backward phase*, the network measures how much each neuron in the output layer L_k has contributed to each output neuron's error. Furthermore, as to measure the error contributions coming from each neuron in the previous layer, this step is repeated until the input layer is reached and all error contributions, the gradients, are computed. To put this in other words, the *backwards phase* measures the error gradients across all connection weights in the network by propagating the error gradients back into the network.

In this iterative process, the error gradients of the error function are calculated based on the partial derivative with respect to each connecting weight. If we define o_j as output of a neural unit with

$$o_j = \varphi(net_j) \quad (3.18)$$

and the units input as

$$net_j = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i w_{ij}, \quad (3.19)$$

the chain rule can be applied in order to compute the partial derivatives as follows:

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta w_{ij}} = \frac{\delta E}{\delta o_j} \frac{\delta o_j}{\delta net_j} \frac{\delta net_j}{\delta w_{ij}} \quad (3.20)$$

Given the partial derivative in respect to the weight w_{ij} , the change of the weight Δw_{ij} can be determined. Here, the weight update equation (3.22) is computed depending on two cases. Either if the node j is in the hidden layer or in the output layer:

$$\Delta w_{ij} = -\eta \frac{\delta E}{\delta w_{ij}} = -\eta \delta_j o_i \quad (3.21)$$

$$\delta_j = \begin{cases} \varphi'(net_j)(o_j - \hat{y}_j) & \text{node } j \in L_k \\ \varphi'(net_j) \sum_k \delta_k w_{jk} & \text{node } j \notin L_k \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

In equation (3.23), η denotes the *learning rate*, which defines to which amount the reverse gradients are applied to the weight update; k refers to a node in the successor layer of the node j .

Once the weights are updated, the global error of the network is calculated and the forward and the backward phase are repeated for the rest of the training patterns. One pass through all of the training patterns is called a *training epoch*. Several strategies exist to stopping the described training process. One condition is to stop after to a fixed number of training epoches, a second can be a stop once the change in the weights reaches a certain low-end threshold. After the process, the final values of the weights are saved and can be used for predicting new incoming patterns.

4 Data Aquisition System

For labeled data acquisition a system was required that is able to function in a laboratory environment, as well as recieve the data coming from the field study. For this purpose TapSensing was created. TapSensing is an iOS application that collects touch events including their sensory information to then send them to a backend server application. In the following sections we will outline the different components of TapSensing.

- Introduce TapSensing - Introduction of the chapter

4.1 Overall System Architecture

The TapSensing application consists of two main components: the mobile and the server-side application. In brief, the mobile client provides the ability for a user to generate tap information including the motion sensor recordings. For the data to be stored in a centralized manner, the server-side application provides HTTP endpoints as a gateway to the database. The architecture, as illustrated in figure 4.1, consists of various components which are outlined in the following.




Figure 4.1: The diagram shows the overall architecture of TapSensing.

- **Mobile application:** The mobile application provides interfaces for the user to generate taps with corresponding sensor information. Furthermore, the application is capable of sending the acquired data to the backend application. More features of the mobile application are presented in section 4.2.
- **NGINX:** For accepting and routing incoming HTTP requests, an NGINX reverse proxy/load balancer is used. The reverse proxy forwards the incoming requests to the server-side application and is capable of serving static content, such as images, HTML, CSS and JavaScript files of the form application.
- **Gunicorn:** Gunicorn is a Python Web Server Gateway Interface (WSGI) HTTP server which runs the source code of the backend application.
- **Backend Application:** The backend application provides HTTP API endpoints which inherit the server-side logic. The backend provides authentication and persistence functionalities. More information on the backend application is to be found in section 4.3
- **PostgreSQL:** TapSensing uses a PostgreSQL¹ database for storing the application state, user related information, the survey data and the retrieved tap and sensor information.
- **Form application:** The form application provides a web user interface where study participants can answer survey questions.

4.2 Mobile Application

Mobile

4.2.1 User Interface

Login

When the application is opened for the first time, a login screen appears. As in standard login screens, the interface asks for credentials including username and password. Authenticating users, has the advantage, that the generated data can be mapped to individual users.

The source code of the of the question view is to be found in `LoginViewController.swift`.

Start Screen

During the trial the user is asked to generate taps once a day. In order to indicate if the user is eligible to perform a tap generation trial, the start screen shows a button that is

¹PostgreSQL is a general purpose and object-relational database management system.

either active or inactive. This switch depends on 4 distinct conditions:

When data is collected in the laboratory environment, the app is set to *lab mode*. In *lab mode* the button is always active and trials can be performed. When a user has not performed a trial today, the button is inactive. In contrast, when a user has performed a trial today, the button is inactive and a further trial can be performed the next day. Once all field trials are performed, the app confirms that all data is collected and the button remains inactive.

The source code of the of the question view is to be found in `StartViewController.swift`.

Tap Input




Figure 4.2: The figure shows the tap input user interface with buttons aligned in a grid shape structure. The leftmost structure offers 4 buttons, the middle offers 12 buttons whereas displays 20 distinguishable buttons.

To acquire individual user taps the mobile application offers a user interface where buttons are aligned in a grid shape structure. The structure is calculated based on a specific configuration set where the amount the vertical and horizontal buttons in the interface can be set. Figure 4.2 shows the interface, where 4, 12 and 20 distinguishable buttons are configured.

For the user to tap on every location of the screen exactly once, a red button indicating the next button to tap is highlighted guiding the user through the interaction process. While the user is tapping the grid, the gyroscope and accelerometer information is recorded. After all buttons have been tapped, either a new grid is loaded or the tap acquisition phase ends proceeding with the question interface.

The source code of the of the tap input view is to be found in `GridViewController.swift`.

Questions

To furthermore label the data acquired in the tap input interface, the application provides screens for the user to answer several questions. These question regard the various condition in which the user has generated taps. In the table below the asked questions with corresponding answer choices are to be found.

Question	Answer Choices
Which body posture was used during the interaction ?	Standing, Sitting
Which finger did you use while tapping?	Index Finger, Thumb
Which hand did you use to tap?	Left, Right
What is your current mood?	1 - 5

To enable fast interactions, each answer possibility to a question is represented in the interface with an icon. Once an icon has been pressed, the application transits to the next question until all questions have been answered.




Figure 4.3: The figure displays question views with icons as answer possibilities.

The source code of the of the question view is to be found in `QuestionViewController.swift`.

Upload

After all taps and questions are gathered, the acquired data is sent to the server. The interface at this points displays a spinning wheel for the user to acknowledge that the mobile phone is processing the data. In case an upload fails, the application provides a manual upload screen, where past sessions can be uploaded.

4.3 Backend application

Backend

5 Inferring Tap Locations

5.1 Data Preprocessing

5.2 Convolution Neural Network

5.3 Recurrent Neural Network

6 Method

6.1 Overview

6.2 Hypothesis

6.3 Experimental Setup

6.3.1 Subjects

6.3.2 Devices

6.3.3 Experiment Settings

Lab

Field

6.4 Analysis

7 Results

7.1 Hypothesis

7.2 Discussion

8 Conclusion

8.1 Further Outlook

List of Acronyms

3GPP	3rd Generation Partnership Project
AJAX	Asynchronous JavaScript and XML
API	Application Programming Interface
AS	Application Server
CSCF	Call Session Control Function
CSS	Cascading Stylesheets
DHTML	Dynamic HTML
DOM	Document Object Model
FOKUS	Fraunhofer Institut fuer offene Kommunikationssysteme
GUI	Graphical User Interface
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSM	Global System for Mobile Communication
HTML	Hypertext Markup Language
HSS	Home Subscriber Server
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
I-CSCF	Interrogating-Call Session Control Function
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
IM	Instant Messaging
IMS	IP Multimedia Subsystem
IP	Internet Protocol
J2ME	Java Micro Edition
JDK	Java Developer Kit
JRE	Java Runtime Environment
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation
JSR	Java Specification Request
JVM	Java Virtual Machine
NGN	Next Generation Network
OMA	Open Mobile Alliance
P-CSCF	Proxy-Call Session Control Function
PDA	Personal Digital Assistant
PEEM	Policy Evaluation, Enforcement and Management
QoS	Quality of Service
S-CSCF	Serving-Call Session Control Function
SDK	Software Developer Kit
SDP	Session Description Protocol
SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
SMS	Short Message Service

SMSC	Short Message Service Center
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
SWF	Shockwave Flash
SWT	Standard Widget Toolkit
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
Telco API	Telecommunication API
TLS	Transport Layer Security
UMTS	Universal Mobile Telecommunication System
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
VoIP	Voice over Internet Protocol
W3C	World Wide Web Consortium
WSDL	Web Service Description Language
XCAP	XML Configuration Access Protocol
XDMS	XML Document Management Server
XML	Extensible Markup Language

Bibliography

- D. Asonov and R. Agrawal. Keyboard acoustic emanations. In *IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, 2004. Proceedings. 2004*, pages 3–11, May 2004. doi: 10.1109/SECPRI.2004.1301311.
- M. Backes, M. Dürmuth, and D. Unruh. Compromising reflections-or-how to read lcd monitors around the corner. In *2008 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (sp 2008)*, pages 158–169, May 2008. doi: 10.1109/SP.2008.25.
- M. Backes, T. Chen, M. Duermuth, H. P. A. Lensch, and M. Welk. Tempest in a teapot: Compromising reflections revisited. In *2009 30th IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*, pages 315–327, May 2009. doi: 10.1109/SP.2009.20.
- Michael Backes, Markus Dürmuth, Sebastian Gerling, Manfred Pinkal, and Caroline Sporleder. Acoustic side-channel attacks on printers. In *Proceedings of the 19th USENIX Conference on Security*, USENIX Security’10, pages 20–20, Berkeley, CA, USA, 2010. USENIX Association. ISBN 888-7-6666-5555-4. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1929820.1929847>.
- Yigael Berger, Avishai Wool, and Arie Yeredor. Dictionary attacks using keyboard acoustic emanations. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, CCS ’06, pages 245–254, New York, NY, USA, 2006. ACM. ISBN 1-59593-518-5. doi: 10.1145/1180405.1180436. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1180405.1180436>.
- H.C. Black and J.R. Nolan. *Black’s Law Dictionary: Definitions of the Terms and Phrases of American and English Jurisprudence, Ancient and Modern*. Black’s Law Dictionary. West Publishing Company, 1990. ISBN 9780314762719. URL <https://books.google.de/books?id=LqH24dwKIsUC>.
- Liang Cai and Hao Chen. Touchlogger: Inferring keystrokes on touch screen from smartphone motion. In *Proceedings of the 6th USENIX Conference on Hot Topics in Security*, HotSec’11, pages 9–9, Berkeley, CA, USA, 2011. USENIX Association. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2028040.2028049>.
- Kenneth De Jong. Learning with genetic algorithms: An overview. *Machine learning*, 3(2):121–138, 1988.
- Richard O. Duda, Peter E. Hart, and David G. Stork. *Pattern Classification (2Nd Edition)*. Wiley-Interscience, 2000. ISBN 0471056693.

- Bradley Efron and Trevor Hastie. *Computer Age Statistical Inference: Algorithms, Evidence, and Data Science*. Institute of Mathematical Statistics Monographs. Cambridge University Press, 2016. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781316576533.
- Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. *Deep Learning*. MIT Press, 2016. <http://www.deeplearningbook.org>.
- Thiago S Guzella and Walmir M Caminhas. A review of machine learning approaches to spam filtering. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(7):10206–10222, 2009.
- Simon Haykin. *Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation*. Prentice Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 1998. ISBN 0132733501.
- Vanmala Hiranandani. Under-explored threats to privacy: See-through-wall technologies and electro-magnetic radiations. *Surveillance Society*, 8(1):93–98, 2010. ISSN 1477-7487. URL <https://ojs.library.queensu.ca/index.php/surveillance-and-society/article/view/3476>.
- Gareth James, Daniela Witten, Trevor Hastie, and Robert Tibshirani. *An Introduction to Statistical Learning: With Applications in R*. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2014. ISBN 1461471370, 9781461471370.
- Leslie Pack Kaelbling, Michael L Littman, and Andrew W Moore. Reinforcement learning: A survey. *Journal of artificial intelligence research*, 4:237–285, 1996.
- Henry Kautz, Bart Selman, and Mehul Shah. Referral web: combining social networks and collaborative filtering. *Communications of the ACM*, 40(3):63–65, 1997.
- Robert Koch and Gabi Dreo Rodosek. The role of cots products for high security systems. In *Cyber Conflict (CYCON), 2012 4th International Conference on*, pages 1–14. IEEE, 2012.
- M. G. Kuhn. Optical time-domain eavesdropping risks of crt displays. In *Proceedings 2002 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*, pages 3–18, 2002. doi: 10.1109/SECPRI.2002.1004358.
- Markus G Kuhn. Electromagnetic eavesdropping risks of flat-panel displays. In *Privacy Enhancing Technologies*, volume 3424, pages 88–107. Springer, 2004.
- Yann LeCun, Bernhard E Boser, John S Denker, Donnie Henderson, Richard E Howard, Wayne E Hubbard, and Lawrence D Jackel. Handwritten digit recognition with a back-propagation network. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 396–404, 1990.
- Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton. Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553):436–444, 2015.

- Kyumin Lee, James Caverlee, and Steve Webb. Uncovering social spammers: social honeypots+ machine learning. In *Proceedings of the 33rd international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, pages 435–442. ACM, 2010.
- Greg Linden, Brent Smith, and Jeremy York. Amazon. com recommendations: Item-to-item collaborative filtering. *IEEE Internet computing*, 7(1):76–80, 2003.
- Philip Marquardt, Arunabh Verma, Henry Carter, and Patrick Traynor. (sp)iphone: Decoding vibrations from nearby keyboards using mobile phone accelerometers. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, CCS '11*, pages 551–562, New York, NY, USA, 2011. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-0948-6. doi: 10.1145/2046707.2046771. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2046707.2046771>.
- Stephen Marsland. *Machine Learning: An Algorithmic Perspective*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1st edition, 2009. ISBN 1420067184, 9781420067187.
- Emiliano Miluzzo, Alexander Varshavsky, Suhrid Balakrishnan, and Romit Roy Choudhury. Tapprints: Your finger taps have fingerprints. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services, MobiSys '12*, pages 323–336, New York, NY, USA, 2012. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-1301-8. doi: 10.1145/2307636.2307666. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2307636.2307666>.
- Tom Michael Mitchell. *The discipline of machine learning*, volume 3. Carnegie Mellon University, School of Computer Science, Machine Learning Department, 2006.
- Vinod Nair and Geoffrey E. Hinton. Rectified linear units improve restricted boltzmann machines. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML'10*, pages 807–814, USA, 2010. Omnipress. ISBN 978-1-60558-907-7. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3104322.3104425>.
- Bernard C Nalty. The war against trucks aerial interdiction in southern laos 1968-1972. Technical report, OFFICE OF AIR FORCE HISTORY WASHINGTON DC, 2005.
- NATO. Tempest equipment selection process. URL <http://www.ia.nato.int/niapc/tempest/certification-scheme>.
- Leszek Nowosielski and Marian Wnuk. Compromising emanations from usb 2 interface. In *PIERS Proceedings*, 2014.
- NSA. Tempest: a signal problem, 2007. URL <https://www.nsa.gov/news-features/decclassified-documents/cryptologic-spectrum/assets/files/tempest.pdf>.

- Emmanuel Owusu, Jun Han, Sauvik Das, Adrian Perrig, and Joy Zhang. Accessory: Password inference using accelerometers on smartphones. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems & Applications, HotMobile '12*, pages 9:1–9:6, New York, NY, USA, 2012. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-1207-3. doi: 10.1145/2162081.2162095. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2162081.2162095>.
- Javier Pérez-Marcos and Vivian López Batista. Recommender system based on collaborative filtering for spotify’s users. In *International Conference on Practical Applications of Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, pages 214–220. Springer, 2017.
- Rafal Przesmycki. Measurement and analysis of compromising emanation for laser printer. In *PIERS Proceedings*, pages 2661–2665, 2014.
- Jean-Jacques Quisquater and David Samyde. Electromagnetic analysis (ema): Measures and counter-measures for smart cards. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Research in Smart Cards: Smart Card Programming and Security, E-SMART '01*, pages 200–210, London, UK, UK, 2001. Springer-Verlag. ISBN 3-540-42610-8. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=646803.705980>.
- David E Rumelhart, Geoffrey E Hinton, Ronald J Williams, et al. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *Cognitive modeling*, 5(3):1, 1988.
- Arthur L Samuel. Some studies in machine learning using the game of checkers. *IBM Journal of research and development*, 44(1.2):206–226, 2000.
- Peter Smulders. The threat of information theft by reception of electromagnetic radiation from rs232 cables. 9:53–58, 02 1990.
- Wim van Eck. Electromagnetic radiation from video display units: An eavesdropping risk? *Comput. Secur.*, 4(4):269–286, December 1985. ISSN 0167-4048. doi: 10.1016/0167-4048(85)90046-X. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-4048\(85\)90046-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0167-4048(85)90046-X).
- Martin Vuagnoux and Sylvain Pasini. Compromising electromagnetic emanations of wired and wireless keyboards. In *Proceedings of the 18th Conference on USENIX Security Symposium, SSYM'09*, pages 1–16, Berkeley, CA, USA, 2009. USENIX Association. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1855768.1855769>.
- Li Zhuang, Feng Zhou, and J. D. Tygar. Keyboard acoustic emanations revisited. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst. Secur.*, 13(1):3:1–3:26, November 2009. ISSN 1094-9224. doi: 10.1145/1609956.1609959. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1609956.1609959>.

Annex

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<widget>
  <debug>off</debug>
  <window name="myWindow" title="Hello Widget" visible="true">
    <height>120</height>
    <width>320</width>
    <image src="Resources/orangebg.png">
      <name>orangebg</name>
      <hOffset>0</hOffset>
      <vOffset>0</vOffset>
    </image>
    <text>
      <name>myText</name>
      <data>Hello Widget</data>
      <color>#000000</color>
      <size>20</size>
      <vOffset>50</vOffset>
      <hOffset>120</hOffset>
    </text>
  </window>
</widget>
```

Listing 1: Sourcecode Listing

```

INVITE sip:bob@network.org SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 100.101.102.103:5060;branch=z9hG4bKmp17a
Max-Forwards: 70
To: Bob <sip:bob@network.org>
From: Alice <sip:alice@ims-network.org>;tag=42
Call-ID: 10@100.101.102.103
CSeq: 1 INVITE
Subject: How are you?
Contact: <sip:xyz@network.org>
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: 159
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 100.101.102.103
s=Phone Call
t=0 0
c=IN IP4 100.101.102.103
m=audio 49170 RTP/AVP 0
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000

SIP/2.0 200 OK
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy.network.org:5060;branch=z9hG4bK83842.1
;received=100.101.102.105
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 100.101.102.103:5060;branch=z9hG4bKmp17a
To: Bob <sip:bob@network.org>;tag=314159
From: Alice <sip:alice@network.org>;tag=42
Call-ID: 10@100.101.102.103
CSeq: 1 INVITE
Contact: <sip:foo@network.org>
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: 159
v=0
o=bob 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 200.201.202.203
s=Phone Call
c=IN IP4 200.201.202.203
t=0 0
m=audio 49172 RTP/AVP 0
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000

```

Listing 2: SIP request and response packet[?]