# Motives of Certain Hyperplane Sections of Milnor Hypersurfaces

Evan Marth\*

July 4, 2025

#### **Abstract**

We construct a hyperplane section Y of a Milnor hypersurface associated to a semisimple endomorphism  $\varphi$ . Exploiting its structure as a hyperplane section of a projective bundle and its natural torus action, we give a motivic decomposition of Y, which encodes both the cellular structure of Y and the arithmetic of the eigenvalues of  $\varphi$ . This decomposition is proven without using the "nipotence principle", that is to say there are no "phantoms".

### 1 Introduction

Let V be a vector space of dimension n + 1 over a field k. Consider the partial flag variety

$$E = \{W_1 \subseteq W_n \subseteq V : dim \, W_i = i\}$$

Let  $\varphi \in End(V)$  be an endomorphism with distinct eigenvectors, so in particular the subalgebra  $L := k[\varphi] \subseteq End(V)$  is étale of dimension n+1 over k. Now define the hyperplane section of E

$$Y = \{W_1 \subseteq W_n \subseteq V : \dim W_i = i, \quad \varphi(W_1) \subseteq W_n\}$$

The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 1.1.** If L is a finite product  $\prod_i K$ , for a fixed Galois extension K/k, and  $n \ge 1$ , then the motive of Y decomposes as

$$M(Y) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{i}=0}^{\mathfrak{n}-1} M(\mathbb{P}^{\mathfrak{n}}_k)(\mathfrak{i}) \oplus M(Spec\ L)$$

This gives a proof of a special case of the main theorem of [8] without "phantoms" – motives in the decomposition which become trivial after a field extension. In fact, this was the main inspiration for the present article and many of the results of [8] appear here, if only implicitly.

Our approach first considers the problem in the general setting of an  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -type divisor of a projective bundle in Section 2, where we obtain a criterion (Corollary 2.2) for a decomposition as in Theorem 1.1 to hold. The criterion is then verified for Y in Section 3 using equivariant methods for torus actions. As a consequence, we obtain a natural proof showing that if  $\varphi$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, L (or equivalently K) is an invariant of Y (Corollary 3.7).

**Notation and conventions:** A smooth variety over a field k is an equidimensional algebraic scheme which is smooth over k. For a vector space V over k and a k-scheme  $s: X \to \operatorname{Spec} k, \underline{V}$  is the trivial vector bundle  $s^*(\tilde{V})$  on X.  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  is the projective space of one-dimensional quotients of V, and similarly  $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$  is the projective bundle of rank one quotients of the vector bundle  $\mathscr{E}$ . A Cartier divisor linearly equivalent to the zero locus of a section of a line bundle  $\mathscr{L}$  is said to be of  $\mathscr{L}$ -type. The i-th power of the Tate motive is written  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{i})$ , and "twists" in the opposite direction of the Tate twist of  $\ell$ -adic cohomology.

<sup>\*</sup>supported by NSERC Discovery grant RGPIN-2022-03060 and an Ontario Graduate Scholarship

# 2 Chow groups of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -type divisors on a projective bundle

Let k be an arbitrary field. We consider the following situation: X is a smooth projective variety over k with a vector bundle  $\mathscr E$  of rank r+1 which is generated by global sections. Set  $E=\mathbb P(\mathscr E)=\operatorname{Proj}(\operatorname{Sym}\mathscr E)$  with projection map  $\pi$ . We will be concerned with sections  $s\in H^0(X,\mathscr E)=H^0(E,\mathscr O(1))$  such that the zero locus Z of s is smooth of codimension r+1 in X and Y, the divisor corresponding to s, is smooth. Letting U=X-Z,  $Y|_{U}:=Y\times_X U$  is a projective bundle of rank r-1 over U (corresponding to the cokernel  $\mathscr F$  of  $\mathscr O_X\overset{s}{\to}\mathscr E$ , restricted to U) and  $Y|_{Z}=E|_{Z}$  is a projective bundle of rank r over Z (corresponding to  $\mathscr E\otimes\mathscr O_Z$ ). The following commutative diagram summarises our notation for the inclusion maps:

 $E|_{Z} \xrightarrow{j'} E \longleftrightarrow E|_{U}$   $\downarrow^{j} \downarrow^{i'} \downarrow^{i'}$   $\uparrow^{i'} \downarrow^{i'}$   $\uparrow^{i'} \downarrow^{i'}$ 

By the projective bundle theorem [4, Theorem 9.6], we have that  $A^{\bullet}(E)$  is a free  $A^{\bullet}(X)$ -module generated by  $H_{E}^{i}$ ,  $H_{E}=c_{1}(\mathscr{O}(1))$  and  $i=0,\ldots,r$ . Analoguous statements hold for  $A^{\bullet}(Y|_{U})$ ,  $A^{\bullet}(U)$  and  $H_{Y}=i'^{*}H_{E}$  (rank r), and  $A^{\bullet}(E|_{Z})$ ,  $A^{\bullet}(Z)$  and  $H=j'^{*}H_{E}$  (rank r+1). The former requires some explanation. By construction,  $Y|_{U}$ , as a U-scheme, is  $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F}|_{U})$ . The inclusion into  $E|_{U}=\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}|_{U})$  comes from the surjective homomorphism  $\text{Sym }\mathscr{E}|_{U} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Sym }\mathscr{F}|_{U}$  induced by the quotient map  $\mathscr{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{F}$ . Hence the line bundle  $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{F}|_{U})}(1)$  on  $Y|_{U}$  is the pullback of  $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}|_{U})}(1)$  by this inclusion (this follows from the local case as in [6, Proposition II.5.13.c]). Since  $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E}|_{U})}(1) = \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)|_{U}$ , the identity  $H_{Y}=i'^{*}H_{E}$  is the translation of the above for the first Chern classes of the line bundles.

Given a smooth projective variety S, we can take the data  $X, \mathscr{E}, s$  and associate to it the data  $X \times_k S, \mathfrak{p}_1^*\mathscr{E}, \mathfrak{p}_1^*(s)$ . Then, applying the above constructions to  $X \times_k S, \mathfrak{p}_1^*\mathscr{E}, \mathfrak{p}_1^*(s)$ , we see that the varities E, Z, Y are obtained from those constructed from  $X, \mathscr{E}, s$  by taking a product with S. The same holds for morphisms and classes in the Chow rings. All of these operations will simply be called "base change by S".

**Remark 2.0.1.** It is harmless to take  $Z_{red}$  in this setup instead of Z. Indeed, U remains the same, and all other data are unaffected. The description of the "base change" of Z will still work, since a smooth variety S is geometrically reduced, hence  $Z_{red} \times_k S = (Z \times_k S)_{red}$ . We will denote both by Z is the sequel.

Define a group homomorphism  $\phi: A^{\bullet}(E) \oplus A^{\bullet}(E|_Z) \to A^{\bullet}(Y)$  by  $\phi = (i^*, j_*)$ 

**Proposition 2.1.** With the same notations as above:

- **a.**  $\phi$  is surjective
- **b.** For every class  $\gamma \in A^{\bullet}(Y)$ , there exist  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1} \in A^{\bullet}(X)$  and  $\beta \in A^{\bullet}(Z)$  such that

$$\phi(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*\alpha_i \cdot H_E^i, \pi|_Z^*\beta) = \gamma$$

**c.** If  $j_* \circ (\pi|_Z)^*$  is injective, then such an element is unique.

*Proof.* **a.** By the right exact sequence  $A^{\bullet}(E|_Z) \xrightarrow{j_*} A^{\bullet}(Y) \to A^{\bullet}(Y|_U) \to 0$ , we are reduced to showing that  $i'^*$  is surjective.  $A^{\bullet}(Y|_U)$  is generated (as a ring) by  $(\pi|_U)^*A^{\bullet}(U)$  and  $H_Y$ . Clearly  $H_Y$  is in the image of  $i'^*$  and the commutativity of

$$A^{\bullet}(E) \xrightarrow{i'^*} A^{\bullet}(Y|_{U})$$

$$\uparrow^{\pi^*} \qquad \qquad \uparrow^{\pi|_{U}^*}$$

$$A^{\bullet}(X) \xrightarrow{} A^{\bullet}(U)$$

and the surjectivity of the restriction  $A^{\bullet}(X) \to A^{\bullet}(U)$  shows that  $(\pi|_{U})^*A^{\bullet}(U)$  is also in the image.

**b.** That we can eliminate positive powers of H follows from the equality  $j_*(H \cdot \alpha) = i^*(j_*'(\alpha))$ . This identity holds since  $i^* \circ j_*' = (i^* \circ i_*) \circ j_*$  and since Y is a divisor we have  $(i^* \circ i_*)(\beta) = i^*(H_E) \cdot \beta$  ([5, Proposition 2.6.c]). But by the projection formula,  $i^*H_E \cdot j_*(\alpha) = j_*(j^*(i^*(H_E)) \cdot \alpha) = j_*(H \cdot \alpha)$ .

Now let  $\alpha \in A^{\bullet}(X)$ , then  $i'^*(\pi^*(\alpha) \cdot H_E^r) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (\pi|_{U})^* \gamma_i \cdot H_Y^i$  by the projective bundle theorem, hence by the proof of **a**. there are elements  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1}$  such that  $i'^*(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^* \alpha_i \cdot H_E^i) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (\pi|_{U})^* \gamma_i \cdot H_Y^i$ . Hence  $i'^*(\pi^*\alpha \cdot H_E^r) - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*\alpha_i \cdot H_E^i) = 0$ , so  $i^*(\pi^*\alpha \cdot H_E^r) - i^*(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*\alpha_i \cdot H_E^i) \in \text{im } j_*$ . So there are  $\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_r$  such that  $\phi(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*\alpha_i \cdot H_E^i) - \sum_{j=0}^{r} (\pi|_Z)^* \beta_j \cdot H^j) = i^*(\pi^*\alpha \cdot H_E^r)$ . Eliminating the positive powers of H as above will then give an element of the desired form

**c.** Elements of the form given in **b.** form a subgroup in  $A^{\bullet}(E) \oplus A^{\bullet}(E|_{Z})$ , so we just need to prove  $\ker \phi$  meets this subgroup trivially. If  $\phi(x) = 0$ , then  $i_*(\phi(x)) = 0$ . We have  $i_*(i^*(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^* \alpha_i \cdot H_E^i)) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^* \alpha_i \cdot H_E^{i+1}$ . Let  $\hat{j}: Z \hookrightarrow X$  denote the inclusion of Z in X. We have  $i_*(j_*((\pi|_Z)^*\beta)) = j'_*((\pi|_Z^k\beta)) = \pi^*(\hat{j}_*\beta)$  since  $\pi$  is flat and

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
E|_{Z} & \xrightarrow{j'} & E \\
\downarrow^{\pi|_{Z}} & & \pi \\
7 & & \hat{j} & & X
\end{array}$$

is a fibre square (by definition!). Putting these two facts together, we find that

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^* \alpha_i \cdot H_E^i, (\pi|_Z)^* \beta\right) \in \ker \phi \implies \pi^*(\widehat{j}_* \beta) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^* \alpha_i \cdot H_E^{i+1} = 0$$

Since  $1, H_E, ..., H_E^r$  are a  $A^{\bullet}(X)$  basis, this implies  $\alpha_i = 0$  for i = 0, ..., r - 1. So we must have  $j_*(\pi|_7^*\beta) = 0$ , hence by hypothesis  $\beta = 0$ , so the intersection with the kernel is trivial as desired.

We will call this subgroup C, and note that  $\mathbf{c}$ . just says that C is mapped isomorphically onto  $A^{\bullet}(Y)$  by  $\phi$ . Turning to the motive of Y, we use the category defined in [7]. In the case of the Chow ring, known in the literature as the *category of effective Chow motives*. We also follow the notation of [7, §3] concerning the "Identity principle".

Now consider the full subcategory of the category of effective Chow motives whose objects are finite direct sums of motives  $\mathbf{M}(X)(i) = \mathbf{M}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(i)$ , with X a smooth projective variety . By means of direct sums, all hom-sets in this categoy can be obtained from correspondences in the graded groups  $A^{\bullet}(X \times_k Y)$  for X,Y smooth projective varieties. So we get a variant of Yoneda's lemma for this subcategory, where we only need consider these correspondences. More precisely, we will use the following consequence: if M,N are such motives, and  $\psi \in Hom(M,N)$ , then if for all smooth projective varieties S over  $k,\psi_S: Hom^{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}(S),M) \to Hom^{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}(S),N)$  is an isomorphism, then  $M \cong N$ .

Let  $x=i^*(H_E)\in A^1(Y)$ ,  $f=\pi\circ i$  and  $g=\pi|_Z.$  Then we have correspondences:

$$\begin{split} c_x \in & \operatorname{Hom}^1(M(Y), M(Y)), \qquad c_f \in \operatorname{Hom}(M(X), M(Y)), \\ c_g \in & \operatorname{Hom}(M(Z), M(E|_Z)), \qquad c_i^t \in \operatorname{Hom}^r(M(E|_Z), M(Y)) \end{split}$$

and we define for  $0\leqslant i\leqslant r-1$  correspondences

$$\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{i}} = c_{x}^{(\mathfrak{i})} \circ c_{\mathsf{f}} \in \mathsf{Hom}(M(\mathsf{X})(\mathfrak{i}), M(\mathsf{Y})), \qquad \mathsf{f}' = c_{\mathsf{j}}^{\mathsf{t}} \circ c_{\mathsf{g}} \in \mathsf{Hom}(M(\mathsf{Z})(\mathsf{r}), M(\mathsf{Y}))$$

and a morphism

$$\psi: M := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \textbf{M}(X)(i) \oplus \textbf{M}(Z)(r) \rightarrow \textbf{M}(Y), \qquad \psi = (f_0, \dots, f_{r-1}, f')$$

For any smooth projective variety S, we can factor  $\psi_S$  through the  $\phi$  of Proposition 2.1 applied to varieties, bundle, section, etc "base changed" by S, such that  $Hom^{\bullet}(M(S), M)$  maps isomorphically onto the distinguished subgroup  $C \subseteq A^{\bullet}(E \times_k S) \oplus A^{\bullet}(E|_{Z} \times_k S)$ . Using Proposition 2.1 c. we obtain

**Corollary 2.2.** If  $(j \times id_S)_* \circ (\pi|_Z \times id_S)^*$  is injective for all smooth projective varieties S, then  $\psi$  is an isomorphism.

# 3 Application to the hyperplane section

Let k be a field and V a vector space over k of dimension n + 1,  $n \ge 1$ . We being by describing more precisely the varieties from the introduction:

The natural pairing  $V \times V^* \to k$  defines a hypersurface  $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times_k \mathbb{P}(V)$ , called a Milnor hypersurface. Concretely, fixing a basis  $y_0, \ldots, y_n$  of V and corresponding dual basis  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  of  $V^*$ , E is defined by the equation  $\sum_{i=0}^n x_i y_i = 0$ , or what is the same, E is the divisor given by the section  $\sum_{i=0}^n x_i \otimes y_i \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(V) \times_k \mathbb{P}(V^*), p_1^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(1) \otimes p_2^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1))$ . Restricting the first projection map  $p_1$  to  $E \to \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times_k \mathbb{P}(V)$ , we obtain a projective bundle  $\pi : E \to \mathbb{P}(V^*)$  (the restriction of  $p_2$  will be denoted  $\pi'$ ). Indeed, one sees  $E \cong \mathbb{P}(V/\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(-1))$ , with  $\mathscr{O}(1) = \pi'^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$ , via the inclusion  $E \to \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times_k \mathbb{P}(V)$ . Consequently, with  $\mathscr{E} = (V/\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(-1)) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(1)$ , we also have  $E \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})$  over  $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$  and  $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1) = \pi^* \mathscr{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)} \otimes \pi'^* \mathscr{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$  (see [6, Lemma II.7.9]).

Y is then defined by additionally imposing the equation coming from the twisted pairing  $V \times V^* \to k$ ,  $(v,f) \mapsto f(\phi(v))$ , so in particular, intersecting with a divisor of type  $\mathscr{O}(1,1) = \mathfrak{p}_1^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V^*)}(1) \otimes \mathfrak{p}_2^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Y is a smooth effective divisor in E corresponding to a global section  $s \in H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1))$ .

Note that this implies Y is very ample, hence the terminology "hyperplane section".

*Proof.* By the construction of Y, if it is a divisor on E, then it is of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{E})}(1)$ -type. Let  $\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_n$  be the n+1 distinct eigenvectors of  $\varphi$ . We may assume k algebraically closed, so in particular we have  $\alpha_i \in k$ . Choosing a basis  $y_0,\ldots,y_n \in V$  diagonalizing  $\varphi$ , and letting  $x_0,\ldots,x_n \in V^*$  be the dual basis of the  $y_i$ , we see that Y is cut out by the polynomials

$$\sum_{i=0}^n x_i y_i = 0, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i x_i y_i = 0$$

in  $P = \mathbb{P}(V^*) \times_k \mathbb{P}(V)$ .

We proceed by applying the Jacobian criterion to  $Y\subseteq P$  away from  $x_iy_j=0$ . For  $0\leqslant i\neq j\leqslant n$ , let  $U_{ij}\subseteq P$  denote the open set of points where  $x_iy_j\neq 0$ . It is affine since  $\mathscr{O}(1,1)$  is very ample. Thus  $U_{ij}\cong \mathbb{A}^{2n-2}$ , with coordinate ring  $k[x_0',\ldots,x_n',y_0',\ldots,y_n']$ ,  $x_1'=\frac{x_1}{x_i},y_1'=\frac{y_1}{y_j}$ . The  $U_{ij}$  cover Y since if there is only one i with  $x_i$  or  $y_i$  non-zero at a point of Y, then  $\sum_{l=0}^n x_ly_l\neq 0$ .  $Y\cap U_{ij}$  is given by the equations  $\sum_{l=0}^n x_l'y_l'$  and  $\sum_{l=0}^n \alpha_i x_l'y_l'$  so the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_j' & x_i' \\ \alpha_j y_j' & \alpha_i x_i' \end{pmatrix}$$

appears as a  $2 \times 2$  submatrix of the Jacobian matrix, (with  $y_j' \neq 0$  and  $x_i' \neq 0$  by definition). Distinctness of the  $\alpha_l$  shows that this matrix is non-singular. Thus the rank of the Jacobian matrix is 2, so Y is a smooth divisor on E.

**Lemma 3.2.** The reduced zero locus Z of the s of the previous lemma in  $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$  is isomorphic to Spec L.

*Proof.* Over an algebraic closure  $\bar{k}/k$ , the closed points of the zero locus of s are those which have a fibre of dimension n-1. With homogeneous coordinates  $x_i, y_j$  as before, these are the points  $[c_0, \ldots, c_n]$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^n c_i y_i$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i c_i y_i$  are linearly dependent. Since the  $\alpha_i$  are distinct, this happens precisely when  $c_i=0$  for all but one value of i. In coordinate-free terms, these correspond to the eigenspaces of  $\phi \otimes 1$  in  $V \otimes_k \bar{k}$ , which are already defined in  $\mathbb{P}(V^*)(K)$ . Since K is separable over k and we assume K reduced, K is reduced, hence K is a reduced finite algebra over K with K is implies in turn that K is a direct product of field extensions of K, K is a reduced finite algebra over K with K is an inclusion into K. By definition of K, each eigenvalue of K has dimK conjugates. Since eigenspaces of conjugate eigenvalues are conjugate, this implies the orbit of any point of K under the action of K has cardinality K. Hence, K is K for all K for all K is K in K

If the eigenvalues of  $\phi$  are in k, then V decomposes into one-dimensional eigenspaces  $V_i$ ,  $0 \le i \le n$ . This gives a torus  $T \subseteq GL(V)$  consisting of the elements which send the  $V_i$  into themselves, which acts on  $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  via the trivial and dual representations, respectively. These actions are then such

that E is T-stable under the induced T-action on  $\mathbb{P}(V^*) \times_k \mathbb{P}(V)$ , and so is Y since  $\phi \otimes 1$  commutes with the elements of  $T(\bar{k})$ . From Lemma 3.2, we see that  $E|_Z$  consists of n+1 copies of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_k$ , each of which is T-stable. These are precisely the fibres  $E_i = \pi^{-1}([V_i])$ ,  $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n \subseteq Y$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** For  $0 \le i, j \le n$ , let  $\gamma_i = [E_i] \in A^{n-1}(Y)$ . Then  $deg(\gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j) = \delta_{ij}(-1)^{n-1}$ .

Since the degree of a class in the zeroth Chow group of a proper variety is invariant under change of base field, we may assume that k is algebraically closed. This allows for the use of *localisation* ([2, Corollary 2.3.2]) for T-equivariant Chow groups to prove the proposition. To this end, we first gather some facts about the T-action on Y.

For each  $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ , we have a homomorphism  $t_i: T \to GL(V_i) = \mathbb{G}_m$ . The  $t_i$  generate the character group M of T, and we write  $\chi_{ij}$  for  $t_j - t_i \in M$ . We let  $R = Sym_{\mathbb{Z}}M = \mathbb{Z}[t_0, \ldots, t_n]$  and Q be the field of fractions of R. For  $0 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n$ , let  $z_{ij} = ([V_i], [\bigoplus_{0 \leqslant l \neq j \leqslant n} V_l]) \in E$ . These are also contained in Y and  $E|_Z$  and are the T-fixed points of these varieties.

**Lemma 3.4.** The weights of the T-module  $\operatorname{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(Y)$  are  $\chi_{lj}$  and  $\chi_{il}$  for  $0 \le l \le n$ ,  $l \ne i, j$ . and the submodule  $\operatorname{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(E|_Z) \subseteq \operatorname{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(Y)$  is spanned by the weight spaces of  $\chi_{lj}$ ,  $0 \le l \le n, l \ne i, j$ .

*Proof.* For fixed  $i \neq j$ , for any  $l \neq i,j$ , the codimension 2 subspace  $\bigoplus_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant n,s \neq j,l} V_s \subseteq V$  corresponds to a T-stable line  $L_{lj} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$ . Clearly  $C_{lj} = \{[V_i]\} \times L_{lj} \subseteq Y$  is T-stable and it is an easy computation that T acts on  $\text{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(C_{lj})$  by  $\chi_{lj}$ . Similarly, one defines a line  $L_{il} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V^*)$  corresponding to  $V_i \oplus V_l$ , and sets  $C_{il} = L_{il} \times \{[\bigoplus_{0 \leqslant s \neq j \leqslant n} V_s]\} \subseteq Y$ . Once again, it is easily verified that T acts on  $\text{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(C_{il})$  by  $\chi_{il}$ . These are all weights of  $\text{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(Y)$  by the canonical inclusions of the tangent spaces of the T-stable curves, and they make up all weights since  $\dim_k \text{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(Y) = 2n - 2$ . The characterisation of  $\text{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(E|_Z)$  follows since  $\dim_k \text{Tan}_{z_{ij}}(E|_Z) = n - 1$  and each of the  $C_{lj}$  is contained in  $E|_Z$ .

We denote the T-equivariant Chow ring of a smooth T-variety X by  $A_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(X)$  (for a general reference on equivariant intersection theory, see [3]). We write  $\bar{\alpha}$  for the image of an element  $\alpha$  under the forgetful map  $A_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(X) \to A^{\bullet}(X)$ . If X is proper over k with structure morphism p, we have the equivariant Poincaré pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_T : A_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(X) \times A_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(X) \to A_{\mathbf{T}}^{\bullet}(\operatorname{Spec} k) = R$  defined by  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto p_*(\alpha \cdot \beta)$ . Notice that  $\overline{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_T} = \deg(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta})$  by the naturality of the forgetful map (we extend deg to all of  $A^{\bullet}(X)$  by setting it to 0 for cycles of dimension greater than 0. This extension is of course just  $p_*$ ).

Let  $x \in X$  be a T-fixed point such the weights  $\chi_1,\ldots,\chi_m$  of  $\mathrm{Tan}_x(X)$  are non-zero. Following [2, Theorem 4.2], we define the *equivariant multiplicity* of a cycle  $\alpha \in A^{\bullet}_T(X)$   $e_{x,X}(\alpha)$  to be the image of  $\alpha$  by the unique R-linear map  $e_{x,X}:A^{\bullet}_T(X)\to Q$  such that  $e_{x,X}([x])=1$  and  $e_{x,X}([X'])=0$  for any T-invariant subvariety  $X'\subseteq X$  which does not contain x. The smoothness of X implies that  $e_{x,X}([X])=(\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m}\chi_i)^{-1}$ . Moreover, for smooth X',  $e_{x,X}([X'])=e_{x,X'}([X'])$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $\alpha \in A_T^{\bullet}(Y)$  and  $0 \le i \ne j \le n$ , let  $\alpha_{ij}$  be the pullback of  $\alpha$  by the inclusion  $\{z_{ij}\} \hookrightarrow Y$ . We have the following identities:

$$e_{z_{ij},Y}(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\prod_{1 \neq i,j} \chi_{i1} \chi_{lj}}$$
(1)

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{T} = \sum_{0 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} \frac{\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ij}}{\prod_{l \neq i, j} \chi_{il} \chi_{lj}}$$
 (2)

*Proof.* For (1), let  $\iota_{ij}: \{z_{ij}\} \hookrightarrow Y$ ,  $\iota: Y^T \hookrightarrow Y$  be the obvious inclusion maps. By [2, Corollary 4.2] and Lemma 3.4,

$$[Y] = \sum_{0 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} \frac{1}{\prod_{l \neq i, j} \chi_{il} \chi_{lj}} [z_{ij}], \qquad \alpha = \sum_{0 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} e_{z_{ij}, Y}(\alpha) [z_{ij}]$$

in  $A_T^{\bullet}(Y) \otimes_R Q$ . Using the identification  $A_T^{\bullet}(Y^T) \otimes_R Q = \bigoplus_{0 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} Q$  coming from the inclusion of each fixed point into  $Y^T$ , we can rewrite these equalities as

$$[Y] = \iota_* \left( \frac{1}{\prod_{l \neq i,j} \chi_{il} \chi_{lj}} \right)_{ij}, \qquad \alpha = \iota_* \left( e_{z_{ij},Y}(\alpha) \right)_{ij}$$

But  $\alpha = \alpha \cdot [Y]$ , so by the projection formula we have

$$\iota_* \left( \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\prod_{l \neq i,j} \chi_{il} \chi_{lj}} \right)_{ij} = \iota_* \left( e_{z_{ij},Y}(\alpha) \right)_{ij}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The T-stable curves used in the proof are given in [1, §3.1] in the case where E is any adjoint variety.

By [2, Corollary 2.2],  $\iota_*$  is an isomorphism after tensoring with Q. Since  $A_T^{\bullet}(Y^T)$  is free as an R-module, the desired equality follows.

For (2), since  $R \subseteq Q$ , it is enough to compute after localising. By (1),

$$\alpha\beta = \sum_{0 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} \frac{\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ij}}{\prod_{l \neq i,j} \chi_{il} \chi_{lj}} \iota_{ij*}(1)$$

Now,  $p_* \circ \iota_{ij*}$  is the identity on Q since  $p \circ \iota_{ij}$  is a map of a point to itself. Thus, by linearity we have

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_T = p_*(\alpha\beta) = \sum_{0 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} \frac{\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ij}}{\prod_{l \neq i,j} \chi_{il} \chi_{lj}}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. It is enough to show that for  $0 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n$ ,  $\langle [E_i],[E_j] \rangle_T = \delta_{ij}(-1)^{n-1}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $e_{z_{ij},Y}([E_i]) = (\prod_{l \neq i,j} \chi_{lj})^{-1}$ . Hence by Lemma 3.5,  $\langle [E_i],[E_j] \rangle_T = 0$  when  $i \neq j$  (since  $E_i$ and E<sub>i</sub> share no fixed points) and

$$\langle [E_i], [E_i] \rangle_T = \sum_{s \neq i} \frac{\prod_{l \neq i, s} \chi_{il}}{\prod_{l \neq i, s} \chi_{ls}}$$

This is seen to be  $(-1)^{n-1}$  by the following observation in [8, Lemma 4.2]: treating R as a polynomial ring in  $t_i$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[t_0,\ldots,\hat{t}_i,\ldots,t_n]$ , by Lagrange interpolation it is enough to show that the polynomial

$$f(t_i) = \sum_{s \neq i} \frac{\prod_{l \neq i, s} \chi_{il}}{\prod_{l \neq i, s} \chi_{ls}}$$

of degree at most n-1 evaluated at  $t_j$  for each  $j \neq i$  is  $(-1)^{n-1}$ . Clearly,  $\prod_{l \neq i,s} \chi_{il}$  evaluated at  $t_j$  is 0 if  $j \neq s$ , thus

$$f(t_j) = \frac{\prod_{l \neq i, j} \chi_{jl}}{\prod_{l \neq i, j} \chi_{lj}} = (-1)^{n-1}$$

for  $j \neq i$ . 

**Proposition 3.6.** If all of the eigenvalues of  $\varphi$  are in k, the map  $(j \times id_S)_* \circ (\pi|_Z \times id_S)^*$  is injective for any smooth projective variety S over k.

*Proof.* We have that  $A^{\bullet}(Z \times_k S) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq n} A^{\bullet}(S)$ , with the images of the classes of the irreducible components of  $Z \times_k S$  under  $(j \times id_S)_* \circ (\pi|_Z \times id_S)^*$  being the classes  $E|_i \times_k S$  in  $A^{\bullet}(Y \times_k S)$ , i.e.  $\gamma_i \times 1_S$  $(1_S = [S])$ ,  $0 \le i \le n$ . Note that the homomorphism is  $A^{\bullet}(S)$ -linear, so it suffices to show that the  $\gamma_i$  are  $A^{\bullet}(S)$ -linearly independent in  $A^{\bullet}(Y \times_k S)$ . We define a relative Poincaré pairing  $A^{\bullet}(Y \times_k S) \times A^{\bullet}(Y \times_k S)$ (x,y) (x,ythis is  $A^{\bullet}(S)$  bilinear. Then for  $0 \le i, j \le n$ ,  $\langle \gamma_i \times 1_S, \gamma_j \times 1_S \rangle_S = \deg(\gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j) \cdot 1_S = \delta_{ij} (-1_S)^{n-1}$  by Proposition 3.3. Linear independence follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying base change by K/k, we obtain the commutative diagram of Cartesian squares:

$$(Z \times_{k} K) \times_{K} (S \times_{k} K) \longleftarrow (E|_{Z} \times_{k} K) \times_{K} (S \times_{k} K) \longrightarrow (Y \times_{k} K) \times_{K} (S \times_{k} K)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$Z \times_{k} S \longleftarrow_{\pi|_{Z} \times id_{S}} E|_{Z} \times_{k} S \longrightarrow_{j \times id_{S}} Y \times_{k} S$$

which induces the commutative diagram on Chow rings

$$A^{\bullet}(Z_{K} \times_{K} S_{K}) \xrightarrow{} A^{\bullet}((E|_{Z})_{K} \times_{K} S_{K}) \xrightarrow{} A^{\bullet}(Y_{K} \times_{K} S_{K})$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$$

$$A^{\bullet}(Z \times_{k} S) \xrightarrow{(\pi|_{Z} \times id_{S})^{*}} A^{\bullet}(E|_{Z} \times_{k} S) \xrightarrow{(j \times id_{S})_{*}} A^{\bullet}(Y \times_{k} S)$$

where we write  $S_K$  for  $S \times_k K$ . The lefthand vertical map is injective. Indeed, by Lemma 3.2,  $Z \cong \operatorname{Spec} L$ . Since  $K \otimes_k L \cong K \otimes_k (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} K) \cong \prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} K$ , we need only check that the obvious map  $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} A^{\bullet}(S_K) \to \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq n} A^{\bullet}(S_K)$  is injective, which is clear. Thus, injectivity of the composite of the top row implies injectivity of the composite of the bottom row, i.e.  $(j \times id_S)_* \circ (\pi|_Z \times id_S)^*$ . The injectivity of the top row is exactly Proposition 3.6, in the case of  $V \otimes_k K$  and  $\varphi \otimes 1 \in \operatorname{End}(V \otimes_k K)$ , since by definition the eigenvalues of  $\varphi \otimes 1$  are in K. The theorem then follows from Corollary 2.2.

**Corollary 3.7.** Suppose  $\varphi, \varphi' \in End(V)$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. If the associated varieties Y and Y' are isomorphic, then  $L = k[\varphi]$  and  $L' = k[\varphi']$  are isomorphic k-algebras.

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $L = \prod_i K$  and  $L' = \prod_j K'$ , K'/k Galois. Since  $\dim_k L = \dim_k L'$  it is the same to show  $Y \cong Y' \iff K \cong K'$ . First, assume  $Y \cong Y'$ . Then  $Hom(M(Spec K')(n-1), M(Y)) \cong Hom(M(Spec K'), M(Y))$  as abelian groups. The motive  $M(\mathbb{P}^n_k)$  decomposes as  $\bigoplus_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \mathbb{Z}(i)$ , so  $Hom(M(Spec K)(n-1), M(\mathbb{P}^n_k)(m)) = Hom(M(Spec K)(n-1), \mathbb{Z}(n-1)) = \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $m \geqslant 0$ . Hence by Theorem 1.1,  $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \oplus Hom(M(Spec K'), M(Spec L)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \oplus Hom(M(Spec K'), M(Spec L'))$ . By definition, Hom(M(Spec K'), M(Spec L')) is  $A^0(Spec K' \times_k Spec L') = A^0(\coprod_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n} Spec K') = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ . Thus,  $Hom(M(Spec K'), M(Spec L)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ . But this means  $Spec L \times_k K'$  has n+1 irreducible components, but since  $\dim_k L = n+1$ , this must mean Spec L has a K'-point, i.e. there is an embedding  $K \hookrightarrow K'$ . By reversing the roles of K and K', we see there is also an embedding  $K' \hookrightarrow K$ , whence  $K \cong K'$ .

### References

- 1. Bennedetti, V. & Perrin, N. *Cohomology of hyperplane sections of (co)adjoint varieties* (preprint). https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.02089. 2022.
- 2. Brion, M. Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions. Transformation Groups 2, 225–267 (1997).
- 3. Edidin, D. & Graham, W. Equivariant intersection theory. *Inventiones mathematicae* **131**, 595–634 (1998).
- 4. Eisenbud, D. & Harris, J. 3264 and all that: Intersection theory in algebraic geometry (Cambridge University Press, 2016).
- 5. Fulton, W. Intersection Theory 2nd ed. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 2 (Springer-Verlag, 1998).
- 6. Hartshorne, R. Algebraic Geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52 (Springer-Verlag, 1977).
- 7. Manin, Y. Correspondences, motifs and monoidal transformations. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik* **6,** 439–470 (1968).
- 8. Xiong, R. & Zainoulline, K. *Motivic Lefschetz Theorem for Twisted Milnor Hypersurfaces*. (preprint). https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.07314. 2024.