

# ASEN 5519 - ALGORITHMIC MOTION PLANNING

FALL 2021

## HOMEWORK 8

Assigned October 15; Due October 23

**Exercise 1.** Extend your implementation of the GoalBiasRRT planner in **Homework 7** to plan for  $m$  disk robots translating and rotating in a workspace  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  using a coupled (centralized) approach. Every robot  $A^i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  is a disk with radius  $R$ . The initial position of robot  $i$  (center of disk) is at  $x_{\text{start}}^i$ , and its goal is (center of disk)  $x_{\text{goal}}^i$ . Further assume that all  $m$  robots move at the same speed. That is, 1 step for robot  $A^i$  is equal to 1 step for robot  $A^j$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Your planner should take a step size  $r$ , a goal bias probability  $p_{\text{goal}}$ , maximum number of iterations  $n$ , workspace obstacles, workspace boundaries,  $m$  robots and their corresponding initial position  $x_{\text{start}}^i$  and goal position  $x_{\text{goal}}^i$ , and radius  $\epsilon$  (centered at  $x_{\text{goal}}^i$ ) for the termination condition at goal as input and return a valid path for every robot from  $x_{\text{start}}^i$  to  $x_{\text{goal}}^i$ , the size of the RRT tree, and the computation time.

- Consider a 2D rectangular workspace  $W = [0, 16] \times [0, 16]$ , with obstacle space  $WO \subset W$ . Let  $WO = \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \overline{WO}_i$ , where each  $\overline{WO}_i$  is a rectangle in  $W$  with the following vertices:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{WO}_1: \quad & v_1^1 = (4, 6), \quad v_1^2 = (6, 6), \quad v_1^3 = (6, 7), \quad v_1^4 = (4, 7) \\ \overline{WO}_2: \quad & v_2^1 = (4, 6), \quad v_2^2 = (5, 6), \quad v_2^3 = (5, 10), \quad v_2^4 = (4, 10) \\ \overline{WO}_3: \quad & v_3^1 = (4, 9), \quad v_3^2 = (6, 9), \quad v_3^3 = (6, 10), \quad v_3^4 = (4, 10) \\ \overline{WO}_4: \quad & v_4^1 = (10, 6), \quad v_4^2 = (12, 6), \quad v_4^3 = (12, 7), \quad v_4^4 = (10, 7) \\ \overline{WO}_5: \quad & v_5^1 = (11, 6), \quad v_5^2 = (12, 6), \quad v_5^3 = (12, 10), \quad v_5^4 = (11, 10) \\ \overline{WO}_6: \quad & v_6^1 = (10, 9), \quad v_6^2 = (12, 9), \quad v_6^3 = (12, 10), \quad v_6^4 = (10, 10) \end{aligned}$$

- Consider a set of  $m = 6$  disk robots, where  $R = 0.5$  with the following start and goal configurations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\text{start}}^1 &= (2, 2), & x_{\text{goal}}^1 &= (14, 14) \\ x_{\text{start}}^2 &= (2, 14), & x_{\text{goal}}^2 &= (14, 2) \\ x_{\text{start}}^3 &= (8, 14), & x_{\text{goal}}^3 &= (8, 2) \\ x_{\text{start}}^4 &= (2, 8), & x_{\text{goal}}^4 &= (14, 8) \\ x_{\text{start}}^5 &= (11, 2), & x_{\text{goal}}^5 &= (5, 14) \\ x_{\text{start}}^6 &= (11, 14), & x_{\text{goal}}^6 &= (5, 2) \end{aligned}$$

(a) Answer the following questions:

- What is the C-space of each individual disk robot?
- What is the C-space of the meta-agent (composed space)?
- Describe how the C-space grows with respect to the number of agents.

- iv. Is the growth rate a concern for scaling to larger number of agents? Justify your answer.
- (b) Solve planning problem for  $m = 2$  using the first two robots ( $i = \{1, 2\}$ ). Let  $n = 7,500$ ,  $r = 0.5$ ,  $p_{\text{goal}} = 0.05$ , and  $\epsilon = 0.25$ . Plot the solution in the workspace showing *both* agents reaching their respective goals.
- (c) Use 100 runs to benchmark your implementation in two categories: computation time and size of the tree. Show your results using boxplots. Additionally save the data in whatever format you choose – it will be needed later.
- (d) Repeat parts (b) and (c) for all  $m = 3, 4, 5$ , and 6 by incrementally adding agents from the list in logical order (i.e.  $m = 3$  uses agents 1, 2, and 3 etc.). Show your results for every benchmark using boxplots. Be sure to save the data separately for your own use later on.
- (e) Compute the average computation time and average size of tree over the 100 runs for each benchmark in (c) and (d). Use the 6 average computation times (1 for every value of  $m$ ) and 6 average tree sizes to produce two plots:
  - i. an average computation time ( $y$ -axis) vs. number of agents  $m$  ( $x$ -axis), and
  - ii. an average size of tree ( $y$ -axis) vs. number of agent  $m$  ( $x$ -axis).

Comment on what these plots tell us about increasing the number of agents.

**Exercise 2.** Implement a priority based planning framework (decoupled/decentralized approach) using your GoalBiasRRT planner. Your program should take an ordered list of  $m$  start/goal configuration pairs, a fully defined GoalBiasRRT planner and a timing function as input and return a set of paths for  $m$  robots and computation time.

- (a) Consider the multi-robot motion planning problem described in Exercise 1.
  - i. What is the C-space used for planning in the priority based planning framework?
  - ii. Describe how the C-space grows with respect to the number of agents.
  - iii. How does this differ from Exercise 1 part (a)?
- (b) Solve the planning problem for  $m = 2$  using the first two agents in the list. Let the underlying GoalBiasRRT planner be defined by  $n = 7,500$ ,  $r = 0.5$ ,  $p_{\text{goal}} = 0.05$ , and  $\epsilon = 0.25$ . Describe the timing function used and plot the solution in the workspace showing *both* agents reaching their respective goals.
- (c) Use 100 runs to benchmark your implementation on computation time. Show your results using a boxplot. Additionally save the data in whatever format you choose – it will be needed later.
- (d) Repeat parts (b) and (c) for all  $m = 3, 4, 5$ , and 6 by incrementally adding agents from the list in logical order (i.e.  $m = 3$  uses agents 1, 2, and 3 etc.). Show your results for every benchmark using boxplots. Be sure to save the data separately for your own use later on.
- (e) Compute the average computation time over the 100 runs for each benchmark. Use the 6 average computation times (1 for every value of  $m$ ) to create a computation time vs.  $m$  plot. Comment on the differences between this scatter plot and the analogous one from Exercise 1 part (e).