

Optical Music Recognition for MIDI-LilyPond File Generation

Evan Matthews

evanmm3@illinois.edu

University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

ABSTRACT

TODO: Abstract

ACM Reference Format:

Evan Matthews. 2024. Optical Music Recognition for MIDI-LilyPond File Generation. In . ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

TODO: Introduction [1–5]

In the world of music or audio transcription/arrangement, the complexity of sound and score data has allowed human performance to remain the current state-of-the-art. Several factors contribute to this circumstance: the number of potential audio and score file types, ambiguity on how performance qualities are notated, and overall inconsistencies between recordings and their respective scores. Machine learning models, in turn, have been a crucial step towards reducing these inconsistencies. Their ability to learn the nonlinearities and artistic qualities that otherwise plague audio computation have allowed for noteworthy advancements such as the WaveNet [5]. The remaining issue in the process of sound generation is the amount of data available to train with. Worthwhile audio data remains difficult to collect due to its large size and potential copyright issues. In particular, trying to condition off another medium is incredibly difficult as current datasets lack the correlations necessary to streamline the audio transcription process. Datasets such as MAESTRO are close to ideal results, but some intermediate steps are needed to learn correlations between audio files and musical scores.

With the following conditions in mind, I propose an Image-to-MIDI model to serve a few purposes. First, this model serves to convert image data to relative musical data to a high degree of accuracy. The process of converting MIDI to an image is trivial, but the opposite is a known Optical Music Recognition (OMR) problem in that the correlation is nonexistent. Second, a highly reliable model of this type is capable of serving as an intermediate step in future audio computation endeavors, as the nontrivial nature of OMR is a

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

barrier for reliable model training between scores and other types of audio data. Finally, a conversion of this type allows for more flexible datasets because MIDI can be converted into a number of other audio file types.

This model generally references existing OMR research and serves as an attempt to construct a completely new CNN model. Existing MIDI datasets have been narrowed down to strictly two-stave piano works by Johann Sebastian Bach to maintain rhythmic and compositional uniformity, although a generalized model for various instruments and number of staves can theoretically be produced given a large enough dataset.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 Optical Music Recognition

TODO: OMR background

2.2 Lilypond, MIDI

3 EXPERIMENT

3.1 Key resources

The Image-to-MIDI convolutional neural network will be built from scratch while referencing promising results from OMR and image-to-text research, (should the CNN need fine-tuning or other enhancement). Currently, the model dataset is the complete Bach Midi Index and will be narrowed down to fit additional constraints: two staves, single instrument, rhythmic quantization at the 16th or 32nd- note level. Model testing will focus primarily on self-trained work, but I am interested in comparing against pre-trained models if I have extra time. For hardware, training will take place on a Linux GPU cluster, courtesy of Paris Smaragdis and the UIUC-CS Audio Computing Lab. Programming work is using a combination of Python with Pytorch for the CNN, and Bash scripts with Lilypond to prepare and normalize the dataset.

3.2 Dynamic quantization

TODO: quantization stuff

3.3 CRNN Model

TODO: CRNN model

4 RESULTS

5 DISCUSSION

TODO: discussion

6 CONCLUSIONS

TODO: conclusions

REFERENCES

[1] María Alfaro-Contreras, JoséM. Iñesta, and Jorge Calvo-Zaragoza. 2023. Optical music recognition for homophonic scores with neural networks and synthetic music generation. *International Journal of Multimedia Information Retrieval* 12, 1 (2023), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13735-023-00278-5>

[2] Andrea, Paoline, and Amalia Zahra. 2021. Music note position recognition in optical music recognition using convolutional neural network. *International Journal of Arts and Technology* 13, 1 (2021), 45–60. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJART.2021.115764>

[3] Jiří Mayer, Milan Straka, Jan Hajič jr. au2, and Pavel Pecina. 2024. Practical End-to-End Optical Music Recognition for Pianoform Music. arXiv:2403.13763 [cs.CV]

[4] Antonio Ríos-Vila, David Rizo, JoséM. Iñesta, and Jorge Calvo-Zaragoza. 2023. End-to-end optical music recognition for pianoform sheet music. *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition (IJDAR)* 26, 3 (2023), 347–362. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10032-023-00432-z>

[5] Aaron van den Oord, Sander Dieleman, Heiga Zen, Karen Simonyan, Oriol Vinyals, Alex Graves, Nal Kalchbrenner, Andrew Senior, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. 2016. WaveNet: A Generative Model for Raw Audio. arXiv:1609.03499 [cs.SD]