# 9

#### 12 13 14 15

11

#### 16 17 18 19

20

21

## 22 23 24 25 27

#### 31 32 33 34 35

28

29

30

## 36 37 38 39 41

#### 42 43 44 45 46

# 47 48

# 49

#### 50 51 52 53

## 54 55

#### 56 57

# Optical Music Recognition for LilyPond File Generation

Evan Matthews evanmm3@illinois.edu University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

#### ABSTRACT

TODO: Abstract

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

Evan Matthews. 2024. Optical Music Recognition for LilyPond File Generation. In . ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https: //doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnn

#### INTRODUCTION

TODO: Introduction [1, 2, 4–6]

In the world of music or audio transcription/arrangement, the complexity of sound and score data has allowed human performance to remain the current state-of-the-art. Several factors contribute to this circumstance: the number of potential audio and score file types, ambiguity on how performance qualities are notated, and overall inconsistencies between recordings and their respective scores. Machine learning models, in turn, have been a crucial step towards reducing these inconsistencies. Their ability to learn the nonlinearities and artistic qualities that otherwise plague audio computation have allowed for noteworthy advancements such as the WaveNet [6]. The remaining issue in the process of sound generation is the amount of data available to train with. Worthwhile audio data remains difficult to collect due to its large size and potential copyright issues. In particular, trying to condition off another medium is incredibly difficult as current datasets lack the correlations necessary to streamline the audio transcription process. Datasets such as MAESTRO are close to ideal results, but some intermediate steps are needed to learn correlations between audio files and musical scores.

With the following conditions in mind, I propose an Imageto-MIDI model to serve a few purposes. First, this model serves to convert image data to relative musical data to a high degree of accuracy. The process of converting MIDI to an image is trivial, but the opposite is a known Optical Music Recognition (OMR) problem in that the correlation is nonexistent. Second, a highly reliable model of this type is capable of serving as an intermediate step in future audio computation endeavors, as the nontrivial nature of OMR is a

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnnn

barrier for reliable model training between scores and other types of audio data. Finally, a conversion of this type allows for more flexible datasets because MIDI can be converted into a number of other audio file types.

60 61

62

63

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

This model generally references existing OMR research and serves as an attempt to construct a completely new CNN model. Existing MIDI datasets have been narrowed down to strictly two-stave piano works by Johann Sebastian Bach to maintain rhythmic and compositional uniformity, although a generalized model for various instruments and number of staves can theoretically be produced given a large enough dataset.

#### **BACKGROUND** $\mathbf{2}$

#### **Optical Music Recognition**

TODO: OMR background

#### 2.2 Lilypond

Lilypond was chosen for dataset file representation as it maintains accurate translation between digital (MIDI) and visual (image) notation systems [3]. In particular, translation from Lilypond to MIDI is trivial, and Lilypond provides a more intuitive representation of musical information compared to raw bytes of MIDI data. This notation language consists of multiple layers to separate score components:

- **Document level:** components related to page layout and high-level musical details, (number of instruments/tracks, score engraving information).
- Music level: components related to low-level musical details, (notes, rhythms, durations, key/time signatures, tempo).

At the document level, aspects of a score that stay mostly or completely constant throughout a piece are indicated by specific, indent-sensitive keywords. For example, the number of voices/instruments and respective number of staves are initialized with the  $\Voice$  and  $\context$  commands, while high-level musical information is initialized by commands such as  $\forall time$  for time signature,  $\forall key$  for key signature, and  $\t$ tempo for performance speed in beats per minute.

At the music level, rhythmic musical symbols are notated according to their pitches and relative time duration. pitches are all characters a, b, c, d, e, f, g, r, where r is a "rest" meaning no pitch occurs. To ascend or descend in pitch beyond a single musical "octave," the ' and , characters are appended to indicate one or more octave ascendings or descendings, respectively. Pitches are also proceeded by a rhythmic value representing its duration in time. These values are typically powers of two, (but can technically be any positive floatingpoint value), and they dictate how long a pitch is played

```
trackBchannelB = \relative c {
    r16 c' d e f d e c g'8 c b32 a b16 c8
    | % 2
    d16 g, a b c a b g d'8 g f32 e f16 g8
    | % 3
}
```

Figure 1: Lilypond (.ily) code and rendering, (time signature = 4/4)

with respect to the piece's time signature. For example, a score with time signature =2/4 indicates that each measure has two beats, and each beat is the length of a quarter note (hard-coded in the denominator). In this case, the line "c4d4" would represent two quarter (4) notes or a single measure in the provided time signature. Additionally, notes without defined durational values take on the previous note's duration in a line, so a series of equal-duration notes is represented by one durational value. Finally, separations between measures are made with "|%n", marking the end of measure n. Figure 1 compares the rendering of a single staff of music with its relative Lilypond notation .

#### 3 EXPERIMENT

#### 3.1 Key resources

TODO: Remove this section? The Image-to-MIDI convolutional neural network will be built from scratch while referencing promising results from OMR and image-to-text research, (should the CNN need fine-tuning or other enhancement). Currently, the model dataset is the complete Bach Midi Index and will be narrowed down to fit additional constraints: two staves, single instrument, rhythmic quantization at the 16th or 32nd- note level. Model testing will focus primarily on self-trained work, but I am interested in comparing against pre-trained models if I have extra time. For hardware, training will take place on a Linux GPU cluster, courtesy of Paris Smaragdis and the UIUC-CS Audio Computing Lab. Programming work is using a combination of Python with Pytorch for the CNN, and Bash scripts with Lilypond to prepare and normalize the dataset.

#### 3.2 Dynamic quantization

TODO: quantization stuff

#### 3.3 CRNN Model

TODO: CRNN model

#### 4 RESULTS

#### 5 DISCUSSION

TODO: discussion

#### 6 CONCLUSIONS

TODO: conclusions

#### REFERENCES

- María Alfaro-Contreras, JoséM. Iñesta, and Jorge Calvo-Zaragoza. 2023. Optical music recognition for homophonic scores with neural networks and synthetic music generation. *International Journal* of Multimedia Information Retrieval 12, 1 (2023), 12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13735-023-00278-5
- [2] Andrea, Paoline, and Amalia Zahra. 2021. Music note position recognition in optical music recognition using convolutional neural network. *International Journal of Arts and Technology* 13, 1 (2021), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJART.2021.115764
- [3] Lilypond 2024. Lilypond music notation for everyone. https://lilypond.org/doc/v2.25/Documentation/web/index
- [4] Jiří Mayer, Milan Straka, Jan Hajič jr. au2, and Pavel Pecina. 2024. Practical End-to-End Optical Music Recognition for Pianoform Music. arXiv:2403.13763 [cs.CV]
- [5] Antonio Ríos-Vila, David Rizo, JoséM. Iñesta, and Jorge Calvo-Zaragoza. 2023. End-to-end optical music recognition for pianoform sheet music. International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition (IJDAR) 26, 3 (2023), 347–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10032-023-00432-z
- [6] Aaron van den Oord, Sander Dieleman, Heiga Zen, Karen Simonyan, Oriol Vinyals, Alex Graves, Nal Kalchbrenner, Andrew Senior, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. 2016. WaveNet: A Generative Model for Raw Audio. arXiv:1609.03499 [cs.SD]