

GastroPy: An Open-Source Python Toolbox for Electrogastrography Signal Processing and Gastric-Brain Coupling Analysis

Micah Allen^{1,2,*}, Daniel Kluger³, Leah Banellis^{1,2}, Ignacio Rebollo⁴,
Nils Kroemer⁵, Edwin Dalmaijer⁶

¹Center of Functionally Integrative Neuroscience, Aarhus University, Denmark

²Cambridge Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

³Institute of Biomagnetism and Biosignal Analysis, University of Muenster, Germany

⁴German Institute for Human Nutrition, Potsdam, Germany

⁵Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Tuebingen, Germany

⁶School of Psychology, University of Leeds, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author: micah@cfin.au.dk

Abstract

Electrogastrography (EGG) is a non-invasive technique for recording gastric myoelectrical activity via cutaneous abdominal electrodes. Recent advances in concurrent EGG-fMRI have revealed phase synchrony between the gastric rhythm and resting-state brain networks, opening a new window into brain-body interactions, interoception, and mental health. Despite growing interest, the field lacks a dedicated, open-source software toolkit for EGG analysis in Python. Here we present GastroPy, a modular Python package for EGG signal processing and gastric-brain coupling analysis. GastroPy provides a complete analysis pipeline spanning spectral analysis, bandpass filtering, instantaneous phase extraction, cycle-level metrics, multi-channel selection, phase-based artifact detection, time-frequency decomposition, and phase-locking value (PLV) computation. A dedicated neuroimaging sub-module supports scanner trigger alignment, confound regression, voxelwise BOLD phase extraction, and whole-brain PLV map generation for concurrent EGG-fMRI data. The package is built on NumPy and SciPy with optional MNE-Python and nilearn (Abraham et al., 2014) integration, features a layered architecture that separates core signal processing from neuroimaging workflows, and includes bundled sample data for tutorials and testing. We describe GastroPy’s design philosophy, demonstrate its capabilities through two worked examples (standalone EGG processing and fMRI-EGG coupling), and discuss its role in the emerging ecosystem of brain-body analysis tools. GastroPy is freely available under the MIT license at <https://github.com/embodied-computation-group/gastropy>.

1 Introduction

The stomach generates a continuous electrical slow wave at approximately 0.05 Hz (3 cycles per minute) that originates in the interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC) located in the myenteric plexus of the gastric corpus, and propagates distally through the antrum to coordinate gastric motility (Koch and Stern, 2004; Chen and McCallum, 1991). This omnipresent rhythm—present in both fasting and postprandial states—serves as the pacemaker signal that triggers smooth muscle contraction and governs the mixing and propulsion of gastric contents. The gastric slow wave can be recorded non-invasively using electrogastrography (EGG), a technique in which cutaneous electrodes placed on the abdominal skin surface capture the far-field projection of the underlying myoelectrical activity (Chang, 2005; Yin and Chen, 2013). The resulting signal, though weak in amplitude and susceptible to respiratory, cardiac, and motion artifacts, provides a continuous measure of gastric rhythmicity that can be characterized through spectral analysis, phase extraction, and cycle-level metrics.

Clinical EGG has been used for decades to assess gastric dysrhythmias (bradygastria, tachygastria), gastroparesis, functional dyspepsia, and other motility disorders (Koch and Stern, 2004). Standard clinical parameters include the dominant frequency, percentage of recording time in the normal 2–4 cycles per minute (cpm) range, the power ratio between pre- and postprandial recordings, and the instability coefficient quantifying rhythm regularity. However, the technique has recently attracted renewed interest from the neuroscience and psychophysiology communities, driven by discoveries of gastric-brain interactions that may have implications far beyond gastroenterology.

A landmark study by Rebollo et al. (2018) demonstrated that the gastric rhythm is phase-synchronized with resting-state fMRI activity in a distributed “gastric network” spanning somatosensory, motor, visual, and parietal cortices. Using concurrent EGG and fMRI in healthy participants, they showed that the phase of the gastric slow wave predicts fluctuations in the BOLD signal at specific brain regions, with a precise temporal sequence of activations within each gastric cycle. This finding was subsequently replicated and extended by Choe et al. (2021), who used spatial independent component analysis (ICA) to show that at least three resting-state networks—cerebellar, dorsal somatosensory-motor, and default mode—exhibit significant phase-locking with the gastric basal electrical rhythm. Levakov et al. (2023) further characterized the reliability and confound sensitivity of gastric-brain coupling measures, demonstrating that head motion and non-grey-matter signals can substantially inflate the spatial extent of the gastric network if not properly controlled. Most recently, Banellis et al. (2025) demonstrated that frontoparietal brain coupling to the gastric rhythm indexes a dimensional signature of mental health, with cross-validated machine learn-

ing revealing that increased gastric-brain coupling in frontoparietal regions predicts poorer anxiety, depression, stress, and well-being across 243 participants.

Alongside these neuroscience applications, Wolpert et al. (2020) established practical recording and analysis guidelines for EGG in psychophysiology research, providing normative data from a large healthy sample ($N = 117$) and a semi-automated MATLAB analysis pipeline that has become a de facto standard for EGG data processing. Their pipeline includes electrode placement recommendations, signal quality assessment criteria, and a multi-step analysis workflow from raw recording to phase and power extraction. Wearable EGG devices have also been explored for affect detection and emotion regulation applications (Vujic et al., 2020), demonstrating that gastric signals carry affective information complementary to other autonomic measures and further expanding the potential user base for EGG analysis tools.

Despite this growth, the field currently lacks a dedicated, open-source Python package for EGG analysis. Researchers typically rely on custom MATLAB scripts, ad hoc Python code, or general-purpose biosignal toolkits that were not designed for the unique characteristics of the gastric slow wave. NeuroKit2 (Makowski et al., 2021) provides broad biosignal processing capabilities with basic EGG support but lacks multi-channel selection, gastric-brain coupling pipelines, and EGG-specific artifact detection. MNE-Python (Gramfort et al., 2013) is the de facto standard for EEG and MEG analysis but does not target the low-frequency range occupied by the gastric rhythm. Domain-specific biosignal packages such as Systole (Legrand et al., 2022) and HeartPy (van Gent et al., 2019) demonstrate the value of focused toolkits but address cardiac rather than gastric signals. MATLAB toolboxes such as the StomachBrain pipeline (Banellis et al., 2025) are not accessible to the growing number of researchers working in Python, and their monolithic architectures limit component reusability.

Here we present GastroPy, an open-source Python package that provides a complete, modular toolkit for EGG signal processing and gastric-brain coupling analysis. GastroPy is designed around three principles: (1) domain specificity, with frequency bands, filtering parameters, and artifact criteria tailored to the gastric slow wave; (2) modularity, with a layered architecture that separates core DSP from neuroimaging-specific logic; and (3) interoperability, with all functions operating on standard NumPy arrays and pandas DataFrames. In what follows, we describe the package’s design philosophy and architecture, demonstrate its capabilities through two worked examples, and discuss its role in the emerging ecosystem of brain-body analysis tools.

2 Design Philosophy and Architecture

2.1 Guiding Principles

GastroPy’s design is guided by four principles drawn from best practices in scientific Python software development, particularly the approaches pioneered by NeuroKit2 (Makowski et al., 2021) and MNE-Python (Gramfort et al., 2013):

1. **Domain-specific defaults with full parameter control.** All functions expose sensible defaults for gastric rhythm analysis (e.g., normogastric band of 0.033–0.067 Hz, 200-second PSD windows) while allowing complete parameter customization for advanced users.
2. **Layered dependency isolation.** Core signal processing depends only on NumPy (Harris et al., 2020), SciPy (Virtanen et al., 2020), pandas (McKinney, 2010), and matplotlib (Hunter, 2007). Neuroimaging features (MNE-Python, nilearn, nibabel) are optional extras, keeping the base install lightweight.
3. **Composable, flat API.** Each function operates on NumPy arrays or pandas DataFrames and can be used independently or composed into pipelines. High-level convenience functions aggregate lower-level operations but never hide them.
4. **Reproducibility by default.** All random operations (e.g., surrogate generation) accept explicit seeds. Bundled sample data and comprehensive documentation ensure that analyses can be reproduced across labs and platforms.

2.2 Package Architecture

GastroPy is organized into seven modules arranged in two layers (Table 1).

The **core layer** handles all EGG-specific signal processing. `gastropy.signal` provides low-level DSP primitives: power spectral density via Welch’s method, FIR and IIR bandpass filter design and application, Hilbert-based instantaneous phase extraction, signal resampling, cycle edge detection, and phase-based artifact detection. `gastropy.metrics` computes gastric rhythm metrics including band power (peak frequency, maximum power, proportional power), the instability coefficient (Koch and Stern, 2004), cycle duration statistics, proportion of normogastric cycles, and automated quality assessment. `gastropy.egg` composes these into high-level workflows: `egg_process` runs a complete filter-phase-metrics pipeline in a single call, while `select_best_channel` identifies the channel with the strongest

Table 1: GastroPy module organization. Core modules require only NumPy, SciPy, pandas, and matplotlib. The neuroimaging layer requires optional dependencies (MNE-Python, nilearn, nibabel), installable via `pip install gastropy[neuro]`.

| Layer | Module | Description |
|-------|----------------------------------|--|
| Core | <code>gastropy.signal</code> | PSD, filtering, phase extraction, resampling |
| | <code>gastropy.metrics</code> | Band power, instability, cycle statistics |
| | <code>gastropy.egg</code> | High-level pipeline, channel selection |
| | <code>gastropy.timefreq</code> | Narrowband decomposition, Morlet wavelets |
| | <code>gastropy.coupling</code> | PLV, circular statistics, surrogates |
| | <code>gastropy.viz</code> | Publication-ready plotting |
| | <code>gastropy.data</code> | Bundled sample datasets |
| Neuro | <code>gastropy.neuro.fmri</code> | Trigger alignment, BOLD phases, PLV maps |

normogastric rhythm in multi-electrode recordings. `gastropy.timefreq` provides narrow-band decomposition via per-band filtering and Morlet wavelet time-frequency representations. `gastropy.coupling` implements modality-agnostic circular statistics (circular mean, resultant length, Rayleigh test) and phase-locking value computation with surrogate-based z-scoring via circular time-shifting. `gastropy.viz` provides plotting functions for every analysis stage, from PSD plots with gastric band shading to comprehensive multi-panel overview figures.

The **neuroimaging layer** extends the core with fMRI-specific functionality. `gastropy.neuro.fmri` provides scanner trigger detection, volume window creation, per-volume phase extraction, transient volume removal, confound regression via GLM, voxelwise BOLD phase extraction at the gastric frequency, and whole-brain PLV map generation with surrogate-based null distributions. This module implements the methodology described in Banellis et al. (2025).

2.3 Gastric Frequency Bands

GastroPy defines three standard gastric frequency bands as named constants in `gastropy.metrics`:

- **Bradygastria:** 0.02–0.03 Hz (1.2–1.8 cycles per minute)
- **Normogastria:** 0.033–0.067 Hz (2–4 cycles per minute)
- **Tachygastria:** 0.07–0.17 Hz (4.2–10.2 cycles per minute)

These bands follow established clinical conventions (Koch and Stern, 2004; Chang, 2005; Yin and Chen, 2013) and serve as defaults throughout the package while remaining fully configurable via the `GastricBand` named tuple.

3 Key Algorithms and Methods

This section describes the core signal processing and statistical methods implemented in GastroPy.

3.1 Spectral Analysis

GastroPy computes power spectral density using Welch’s method with a default window length of 200 seconds, chosen to provide sufficient frequency resolution in the gastric range (0.005 Hz resolution) while allowing multiple windows for variance reduction in typical recording durations (15–30 minutes). Users can control the overlap fraction (default 25%) via the `overlap` parameter. The resulting PSD is used for channel selection, peak frequency identification, and band power computation.

Band power metrics are computed by integrating the PSD within each gastric band. For each band, GastroPy reports the peak frequency (Hz), maximum and mean power, proportional power (band power divided by total power in the 0.01–0.2 Hz analysis range), and the mean power ratio (band power relative to out-of-band power). These metrics follow the conventions established in the clinical EGG literature (Koch and Stern, 2004; Chang, 2005).

3.2 Bandpass Filtering

GastroPy supports both FIR and IIR bandpass filtering with zero-phase application via `scipy.signal.filtfilt`. The default FIR filter uses an adaptive tap count computed from the filter order, sampling frequency, and a configurable transition width (default 20% of the passband). IIR filtering uses a Butterworth design with configurable order (default 4). The zero-phase application ensures no phase distortion, which is critical for subsequent Hilbert-based phase extraction.

For the default normogastric band (0.033–0.067 Hz), the filter passband corresponds to cycle durations of 15–30 seconds. The transition width parameter controls the sharpness of the roll-off and can be adjusted to balance between frequency selectivity and temporal ringing artifacts.

3.3 Phase Extraction and Cycle Detection

Instantaneous phase is extracted via the Hilbert transform applied to the bandpass-filtered signal. The analytic signal $z(t) = x(t) + i\hat{x}(t)$, where $\hat{x}(t)$ is the Hilbert transform of

$x(t)$, yields the instantaneous phase as $\phi(t) = \arg(z(t))$ and the instantaneous amplitude as $A(t) = |z(t)|$. The phase is wrapped to $[-\pi, \pi]$.

Gastric cycles are detected by identifying phase wrapping events (transitions from $+\pi$ to $-\pi$). The duration of each cycle is computed as the time between consecutive wrapping events. Cycle-level metrics include the mean and standard deviation of cycle durations, the proportion of cycles falling within the normogastric range (15–30 seconds by default), and the instability coefficient (IC), defined as the standard deviation of cycle durations divided by the mean:

$$IC = \frac{\sigma_{cycle}}{\mu_{cycle}} \quad (1)$$

Lower IC values indicate a more regular gastric rhythm. GastroPy also provides automated quality assessment based on configurable thresholds for minimum cycle count, rhythm stability, and normogastric dominance.

3.4 Artifact Detection

GastroPy implements phase-based artifact detection following the approach of Wolpert et al. (2020). Two types of artifacts are identified at the cycle level: (1) *non-monotonic phase* cycles, where the instantaneous phase does not progress monotonically within a cycle (indicating loss of a stable oscillation), and (2) *duration outlier* cycles, where the cycle duration exceeds a configurable threshold (default: 3 standard deviations from the mean). Artifact cycles are flagged with a boolean mask that can be used to exclude contaminated data from subsequent analyses.

3.5 Phase-Locking Value

The phase-locking value (PLV) quantifies the consistency of the phase difference between two signals across time (Rebolledo et al., 2018). For two phase time series $\phi_a(t)$ and $\phi_b(t)$, the PLV is defined as:

$$PLV = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N e^{i(\phi_a(t)-\phi_b(t))} \right| \quad (2)$$

where N is the number of time points. PLV ranges from 0 (no phase consistency) to 1 (perfect phase-locking). GastroPy also computes the complex PLV, which preserves the preferred phase lag direction.

Statistical significance of observed PLV values is assessed using a surrogate-based approach. Surrogate distributions are generated by circularly shifting one phase time series by random offsets (drawn uniformly from the full signal length, with a configurable buffer

to avoid trivially similar shifts). The empirical PLV is then z-scored against the surrogate distribution:

$$z = \frac{\text{PLV}_{\text{emp}} - \text{median}(\text{PLV}_{\text{surr}})}{\text{MAD}(\text{PLV}_{\text{surr}})} \quad (3)$$

where MAD is the median absolute deviation. This non-parametric approach avoids distributional assumptions and is robust to non-stationarity in the signals.

3.6 Voxelwise BOLD Phase Extraction

For fMRI coupling analysis, GastroPy extracts the instantaneous phase of BOLD signal fluctuations at the gastric frequency for each brain voxel. The BOLD time series (after confound regression and z-scoring) is bandpass-filtered at the participant’s peak gastric frequency using either an IIR Butterworth filter (default) or a FIR filter, with a configurable half-width at half-maximum (HWHM, default 0.015 Hz) defining the filter bandwidth. The Hilbert transform is then applied to extract the instantaneous phase. Transient volumes at the beginning and end of the filtered time series are removed (default: 21 volumes each) to avoid edge artifacts from the filtering and Hilbert transform operations.

4 Installation and Dependencies

GastroPy is available on the Python Package Index (PyPI) and can be installed with pip:

```
pip install gastropy
```

This installs the core package with its minimal dependencies: NumPy, SciPy, pandas, and matplotlib. For neuroimaging workflows that require MNE-Python, nilearn, and nibabel:

```
pip install gastropy[neuro]
```

For development, including testing and documentation tools:

```
pip install gastropy[dev]
```

GastroPy requires Python 3.10 or later and is tested on Linux, macOS, and Windows via continuous integration. The package uses Hatch as its build system, Ruff for linting and formatting, and Sphinx with the sphinx-book-theme for documentation, which is hosted at <https://embodied-computation-group.github.io/gastropy>.

5 Example 1: Standalone EGG Processing

This example demonstrates a complete EGG analysis workflow using a standalone recording from the Wolpert et al. (2020) normative dataset, bundled with GastroPy.

5.1 Loading Data

GastroPy includes several sample datasets accessible via the `gastropy.data` module. The standalone EGG recording contains 7 channels sampled at 10 Hz from a healthy participant:

```
import gastropy as gp

# Load standalone EGG recording (Wolpert et al., 2020)
rec = gp.load_egg()
signal = rec["signal"]    # shape: (n_channels, n_samples)
sfreq = rec["sfreq"]       # 10.0 Hz

print(f"Channels: {rec['ch_names']}")
print(f"Duration: {rec['duration_s']:.0f} s")
```

5.2 Channel Selection

For multi-channel recordings, GastroPy identifies the channel with the strongest normogastric peak using spectral analysis:

```
best_idx, peak_freq, freqs, psd = gp.select_best_channel(
    signal, sfreq
)
print(f"Best channel: {rec['ch_names'][best_idx]}")
print(f"Peak frequency: {peak_freq:.4f} Hz "
      f"({peak_freq * 60:.1f} cpm)")
```

The channel selection algorithm computes the PSD for each channel using Welch's method, identifies spectral peaks within the normogastric band, and selects the channel whose peak has the highest power. The PSD can be visualized with gastric band shading:

```
fig, ax = gp.plot_psd(
    freqs, psd,
    band=gp.NORMOGASTRIA,
```

```

    ch_names=rec["ch_names"],
    best_idx=best_idx,
    peak_freq=peak_freq,
)

```

5.3 Signal Processing Pipeline

The `egg_process` function runs the full analysis pipeline on the selected channel: band-pass filtering in the normogastric band, Hilbert-based instantaneous phase and amplitude extraction, cycle detection, and metric computation:

```

signals_df, info = gp.egg_process(
    signal[best_idx], sfreq
)

# signals_df columns: raw, filtered, phase, amplitude
# info dict contains metrics:
print(f"Cycles detected: {info['n_cycles']}")
print(f"Mean cycle duration: "
      f"{info['mean_cycle_dur_s']:.1f} s")
print(f"Instability coefficient: "
      f"{info['instability_coefficient']:.3f}")
print(f"Proportion normogastric: "
      f"{info['proportion_normogastric']:.1%}")

```

The returned `signals_df` DataFrame contains aligned time series of the raw signal, bandpass-filtered signal, instantaneous phase (in radians), and analytic amplitude. The `info` dictionary contains all computed metrics, including the instability coefficient (Koch and Stern, 2004), cycle duration statistics, band power, and an automated quality assessment flag.

5.4 Artifact Detection

Phase-based artifact detection, following the approach of Wolpert et al. (2020), identifies cycles with non-monotonic phase progression or anomalous durations:

```

times = signals_df.index.values / sfreq
artifact_info = gp.detect_phase_artifacts(

```

```

    signals_df["phase"].values, times
)

print(f"Artifact cycles: "
      f"{artifact_info['n_artifact_cycles']}")

fig, ax = gp.plot_artifacts(
    signals_df["phase"].values,
    times,
    artifact_info,
)

```

5.5 Visualization

GastroPy provides several plotting functions for visualizing results. The `plot_egg_overview` function generates a four-panel figure showing the raw signal, filtered signal, instantaneous phase, and amplitude envelope:

```
fig, axes = gp.plot_egg_overview(signals_df, sfreq)
```

Cycle duration distributions can be visualized as histograms with the normogastric range highlighted:

```

fig, ax = gp.plot_cycle_histogram(
    info["cycle_durations_s"]
)

```

5.6 Time-Frequency Analysis

For more detailed spectral dynamics, the `multiband_analysis` function decomposes the signal across all three gastric bands simultaneously:

```

bands = gp.multiband_analysis(signal[best_idx], sfreq)

for name, result in bands.items():
    bp = result["band_power"]
    print(f"{name}: peak {bp['peak_freq_hz']:.4f} Hz, "
          f"power {bp['prop_power']:.1%}")

```

6 Example 2: Gastric-Brain Coupling with fMRI

This example demonstrates the gastric-brain coupling pipeline using concurrent EGG-fMRI data, implementing the methodology described in Banellis et al. (2025). The workflow proceeds from EGG phase extraction through voxelwise BOLD phase computation to whole-brain PLV mapping.

6.1 Loading Concurrent EGG-fMRI Data

GastroPy bundles three sessions of concurrent EGG-fMRI recordings, each containing 8-channel EGG at 10 Hz with scanner trigger timing:

```
import gastropy as gp
from gastropy.neuro import fmri
import numpy as np

# Load EGG recording with trigger info
rec = gp.load_fmri_egg(session="0001")
signal = rec["signal"]    # (8, n_samples)
sfreq = rec["sfreq"]       # 10.0 Hz
tr = rec["tr"]             # 1.856 s

# Select best channel and get peak frequency
best_idx, peak_freq, freqs, psd =
    gp.select_best_channel(signal, sfreq)
)
```

6.2 EGG Phase Extraction

The EGG signal is bandpass-filtered and the instantaneous phase is extracted via the Hilbert transform:

```
# Process EGG on best channel
signals_df, info = gp.egg_process(
    signal[best_idx], sfreq
```

```

)
egg_phase = signals_df["phase"].values

```

6.3 Volume Windowing and Phase-per-Volume

Scanner trigger times are used to create volume windows that map each fMRI volume to the corresponding EGG time points. The mean EGG phase within each volume window is then computed:

```

# Create volume windows from trigger onsets
trigger_times = rec["trigger_times"]
n_volumes = len(trigger_times)
windows = fmri.create_volume_windows(
    trigger_times, tr, n_volumes
)

# Extract analytic signal for phase computation
_, analytic = gp.instantaneous_phase(
    gp.apply_bandpass(signal[best_idx], sfreq,
                      gp.NORMOGASTRIA.low,
                      gp.NORMOGASTRIA.high)
)

# Compute mean phase per fMRI volume
phase_per_vol = fmri.phase_per_volume(
    analytic, windows
)

```

6.4 BOLD Preprocessing and Phase Extraction

Preprocessed BOLD data (e.g., from fMRIPrep) is loaded, confound signals are regressed out, and the instantaneous phase at the gastric frequency is extracted for each voxel:

```

# Load preprocessed BOLD data
bold_data = gp.fetch_fmri_bold(session="0001")
bold_2d = bold_data["bold"]          # (n_voxels, n_vols)
confounds = bold_data["confounds"] # DataFrame

```

```

# Regress out motion and physiological confounds
bold_clean = fmri.regress_confound(bold_2d, confounds)

# Apply volume cuts to remove edge transients
begin_cut, end_cut = 21, 21
bold_cut = fmri.apply_volume_cuts(
    bold_clean, begin_cut, end_cut
)
egg_cut = fmri.apply_volume_cuts(
    phase_per_vol.reshape(1, -1),
    begin_cut, end_cut
).ravel()

# Extract BOLD phase at gastric frequency
bold_sfreq = 1.0 / tr
bold_phases = fmri.bold_voxelwise_phases(
    bold_cut, peak_freq, bold_sfreq
)

```

6.5 PLV Map Computation

The phase-locking value between the EGG phase and each voxel's BOLD phase is computed to generate a whole-brain PLV map. Statistical significance is assessed via comparison to a surrogate distribution created by circular time-shifting:

```

# Compute empirical PLV map
plv_map = fmri.compute_plv_map(egg_cut, bold_phases)

# Compute surrogate PLV for statistical testing
surr_plv = fmri.compute_surrogate_plv_map(
    egg_cut, bold_phases,
    n_surrogates=1000, seed=42
)

# Z-score the empirical PLV against surrogates
z_map = gp.coupling_zscore(plv_map, surr_plv)
print(f"Max PLV: {plv_map.max():.3f}")

```

```
print(f"Max z-score: {z_map.max():.2f}")
```

The resulting PLV and z-score maps can be reshaped to 3D volumes and saved as NIfTI images for visualization in standard neuroimaging viewers, or plotted directly using GastroPy’s nilearn-based visualization functions.

6.6 Coupling Statistics

GastroPy’s coupling module also provides functions for testing the significance of individual PLV values using the Rayleigh test for circular uniformity:

```
# Test whether EGG-BOLD coupling is significant
# for a region of interest
roi_phase = bold_phases[roi_mask].mean(axis=0)
phase_diff = egg_cut - roi_phase

z_stat, p_value = gp.rayleigh_test(phase_diff)
print(f"Rayleigh z = {z_stat:.2f}, p = {p_value:.4f}")
```

7 Comparison with Existing Tools

Table 2 compares GastroPy’s capabilities with existing EGG analysis tools.

Table 2: Comparison of EGG analysis tools across key features. PLV = phase-locking value.

| Feature | GastroPy | NeuroKit2 | MNE-Python | StomachBrain |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Language | Python | Python | Python | MATLAB |
| EGG pipeline | Full | Basic | No | Partial |
| Multi-channel selection | Yes | No | N/A | No |
| Phase artifact detection | Yes | No | EEG-focused | No |
| Gastric-brain coupling | PLV + surr. | No | No | PLV |
| Time-frequency analysis | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Bundled EGG data | Yes | No | No | No |
| Quality assessment | Automated | No | N/A | Manual |

GastroPy addresses several gaps not filled by existing tools. Unlike NeuroKit2 (Makowski et al., 2021), which provides general biosignal processing with basic EGG support (single-channel filtering and PSD), GastroPy offers a complete EGG-specific pipeline including

multi-channel selection, phase-based artifact detection following Wolpert et al. (2020), automated quality assessment, and the full gastric-brain coupling workflow. Unlike MNE-Python (Gramfort et al., 2013), which excels at high-frequency electrophysiology (EEG, MEG) but does not target the gastric frequency range, GastroPy’s filtering and spectral analysis are optimized for the ultra-low-frequency (0.01–0.2 Hz) domain of gastric signals. Unlike the MATLAB-based StomachBrain pipeline (Banellis et al., 2025), GastroPy provides a modular, pip-installable Python package with a composable API, bundled test data, and continuous integration.

We chose to develop a standalone package rather than contribute EGG-specific functionality to an existing project because the gastric slow wave has unique characteristics that require domain-specific design decisions throughout the analysis chain. The gastric rhythm occupies a frequency range (0.03–0.07 Hz) that falls below the default filter settings of most electrophysiology toolkits. Its long cycle duration (15–30 seconds) requires specialized windowing parameters for spectral analysis, and the coupling with brain signals requires fMRI-specific preprocessing (trigger alignment, confound regression) that is outside the scope of general biosignal packages. GastroPy’s layered architecture makes it straightforward to use the core EGG processing modules independently of the neuroimaging layer, and its standard array-based API ensures interoperability with the broader scientific Python ecosystem.

8 Testing and Quality Assurance

GastroPy maintains a comprehensive automated test suite of 178 tests covering all public functions across every module. Tests verify signal processing correctness (e.g., that band-pass filtering preserves in-band energy while attenuating out-of-band frequencies), metric computation accuracy (e.g., that the instability coefficient increases for irregular rhythms), coupling statistics (e.g., that PLV equals 1.0 for identical phase series and approaches 0 for uncorrelated series), and visualization outputs (e.g., that plotting functions return valid matplotlib figures without errors).

The test suite runs automatically via GitHub Actions on every push and pull request, with separate workflows for testing (pytest), linting (Ruff check and format verification), and documentation building (Sphinx). Tests are executed on the latest Ubuntu runner with Python 3.13. All sample data used in tests is bundled with the package, ensuring that tests are self-contained and reproducible without network access.

9 Discussion

GastroPy provides the first dedicated, open-source Python package for electrogastrography signal processing and gastric-brain coupling analysis. By consolidating methods that were previously scattered across lab-specific MATLAB scripts and ad hoc Python code into a tested, documented, and pip-installable package, GastroPy aims to lower the barrier to rigorous EGG analysis and accelerate research on the brain-gut axis.

9.1 Current Capabilities and Use Cases

The package currently supports the full standalone EGG processing workflow (spectral analysis, filtering, phase extraction, cycle metrics, artifact detection, quality assessment) and the complete EGG-fMRI coupling pipeline (trigger alignment, BOLD preprocessing, voxelwise phase extraction, PLV mapping with surrogate testing). The modular architecture allows each function to be used independently, enabling researchers to build custom pipelines tailored to their specific experimental designs while benefiting from validated, tested implementations of standard analysis steps.

GastroPy is designed to serve several overlapping user communities. *Neuroscientists* studying brain-body interactions can use the complete EGG-fMRI coupling pipeline to generate whole-brain PLV maps and identify brain regions synchronized with the gastric rhythm. *Psychophysologists* conducting standalone EGG studies can use the core processing pipeline for spectral analysis, rhythm characterization, and artifact detection without requiring any neuroimaging dependencies. *Clinical researchers* investigating gastric motility disorders can leverage the automated quality assessment and multi-band decomposition to characterize dysrhythmias across the bradygastric, normogastric, and tachygastriac ranges. *Methods developers* building novel analysis pipelines can use individual functions (e.g., phase extraction, PLV computation, surrogate generation) as composable building blocks within their own workflows.

The bundled sample data—three fMRI-EGG sessions from a concurrent recording study and one standalone EGG recording from the Wolpert et al. (2020) normative dataset—provides immediate access to realistic data for learning, testing, and benchmarking, without requiring researchers to acquire or share their own recordings. Larger preprocessed fMRI BOLD datasets are available via the `fetch_fmri_bold` function, which downloads data from GitHub Releases using the Pooch library for reliable, cached retrieval.

9.2 Reproducibility Considerations

A core motivation for GastroPy is to improve reproducibility in EGG research. Currently, many labs use custom analysis scripts that are not publicly available, may contain undocumented parameter choices, and are difficult to verify or replicate. By providing a tested, documented, and version-controlled implementation of standard EGG analysis methods, GastroPy enables researchers to report their analysis parameters precisely (e.g., by referencing specific function names and parameter values) and to share complete, executable analysis pipelines alongside their publications.

All stochastic operations in GastroPy (surrogate generation for PLV testing) accept explicit random seeds, ensuring bitwise reproducibility. The package pins minimum dependency versions and is continuously tested on multiple platforms via GitHub Actions. The automated test suite of 178 tests provides regression protection against inadvertent changes to algorithm behavior across releases.

9.3 Limitations and Future Directions

Several limitations of the current release should be noted. First, the coupling module currently supports only the PLV metric; future releases will add additional coupling measures such as amplitude-phase coupling, coherence, and information-theoretic metrics, along with group-level statistical testing for multi-subject studies (e.g., permutation-based cluster correction for PLV maps). Second, while the core signal processing modules are modality-agnostic, the neuroimaging layer currently supports only fMRI; planned extensions include EEG-EGG and MEG-EGG coupling via the `gastropy.neuro.eeg` and `gastropy.neuro.meg` submodules, which will leverage MNE-Python’s existing infrastructure for high-frequency electrophysiology data. Third, the package does not yet include fully BIDS-compatible data loading, though preliminary I/O support for BrainVision, EDF, and CSV formats is available in the `gastropy.io` module. Fourth, the current artifact detection approach operates at the cycle level; future work may incorporate continuous artifact scoring, automated channel interpolation, and integration with independent component analysis for artifact removal.

We also plan to expand the sample data library with additional recordings spanning different experimental conditions (fasting vs. postprandial, clinical populations, pharmacological challenges), develop interactive tutorials as Jupyter notebooks demonstrating common analysis workflows, and establish cross-validation benchmarks against existing MATLAB implementations to quantify numerical agreement. Integration with complementary biosignal packages such as Systole (Legrand et al., 2022) for cardiac-gastric interaction analysis represents another promising direction for multimodal brain-body research.

9.4 Conclusion

GastroPy fills a critical gap in the scientific Python ecosystem by providing the first dedicated toolkit for electrogastrography signal processing and gastric-brain coupling analysis. Its modular architecture, domain-specific defaults, comprehensive test suite, and bundled sample data make it accessible to both newcomers to EGG analysis and experienced researchers transitioning from MATLAB-based workflows. By consolidating validated implementations of EGG analysis methods into a single, well-documented package, GastroPy aims to reduce the methodological variability that currently limits cross-study comparisons and to accelerate the adoption of best practices in gastric-brain coupling research. As interest in the brain-gut axis continues to grow across neuroscience, psychiatry, gastroenterology, and human-computer interaction, we hope that GastroPy will serve as a foundation for reproducible, collaborative research on gastric-brain interactions and their role in health and disease.

AI Usage Disclosure

Generative AI tools were used during the development of GastroPy. Specifically, Anthropic Claude (Claude Code CLI, models claudie-sonnet-4-20250514 and claudie-opus-4-20250514) was used for code generation, test writing, documentation drafting, and debugging across all modules. All AI-generated code was reviewed, tested, and validated by the authors. Architectural decisions, algorithm selection, and scientific methodology were determined by the authors. The automated test suite verifies correctness of all implementations. The authors accept full responsibility for the accuracy, originality, and licensing of all code and documentation.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support from the Lundbeck Foundation (R380-2021-1538, R140-2013-13057), the European Research Council (ERC-2020-COG, 101001893, EMBODIED-COMPUTATION), and Aarhus University.

References

Abraham, A., Pedregosa, F., Eickenberg, M., Gervais, P., Mueller, A., Kossaifi, J., Gramfort, A., Thirion, B., and Varoquaux, G. (2014). Machine learning for neuroimaging with scikit-

- learn. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 8:14.
- Banellis, L., Rebollo, I., Nikolova, N., and Allen, M. (2025). Stomach–brain coupling indexes a dimensional signature of mental health. *Nature Mental Health*, 3(8):899–908.
- Chang, F.-Y. (2005). Electrogastrography: Basic knowledge, recording, processing and its clinical applications. *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 20(4):502–516.
- Chen, J. and McCallum, R. W. (1991). Electrogastrography: Measurement, analysis and prospective applications. *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing*, 29(4):339–350.
- Choe, A. S., Tang, B., Smith, K. R., Honari, H., Lindquist, M. A., Caffo, B. S., and Pekar, J. J. (2021). Phase-locking of resting-state brain networks with the gastric basal electrical rhythm. *PLOS ONE*, 16(1):e0244756.
- Gramfort, A., Luessi, M., Larson, E., Engemann, D. A., Strohmeier, D., Brodbeck, C., Goj, R., Jas, M., Brooks, T., Parkkonen, L., and Hämäläinen, M. S. (2013). MEG and EEG data analysis with MNE-Python. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 7:267.
- Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., van der Walt, S. J., Gommers, R., Virtanen, P., Cournapeau, D., Wieser, E., Taylor, J., Berg, S., Smith, N. J., Kern, R., Picus, M., Hoyer, S., van Krevelen, M. H., Brett, M., Haldane, A., del Río, J. F., Wiebe, M., Peterson, P., Gérard-Marchant, P., Sheppard, K., Reddy, T., Weckesser, W., Abbasi, H., Gohlke, C., and Oliphant, T. E. (2020). Array programming with NumPy. *Nature*, 585(7825):357–362.
- Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9(3):90–95.
- Koch, K. L. and Stern, R. M. (2004). *Handbook of Electrogastrography*. Oxford University Press.
- Legrand, N., Engen, H., Correa, C., Mathiasen, N. K., Nikolova, N., Fardo, F., and Allen, M. (2022). Systole: A Python package for cardiac signal synchrony and analysis. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 7(69):3832.
- Levakov, G., Ganor, S., and Avidan, G. (2023). Reliability and validity of brain-gastric phase synchronization. *Human Brain Mapping*, 44(14):4956–4966.
- Makowski, D., Pham, T., Lau, Z. J., Brammer, J. C., Lespinasse, F., Pham, H., Schölzel, C., and Chen, S. H. A. (2021). NeuroKit2: A Python toolbox for neurophysiological signal processing. *Behavior Research Methods*, 53(4):1689–1696.

- McKinney, W. (2010). Data structures for statistical computing in Python. In *Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference*, pages 56–61.
- Rebollo, I., Devauchelle, A.-D., Béranger, B., and Tallon-Baudry, C. (2018). Stomach-brain synchrony reveals a novel, delayed-connectivity resting-state network in humans. *eLife*, 7:e33321.
- van Gent, P., Farah, H., van Nes, N., and van Arem, B. (2019). HeartPy: A novel heart rate algorithm for the analysis of noisy signals. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 66:368–378.
- Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D., Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., van der Walt, S. J., Brett, M., Wilson, J., Millman, K. J., Mayorov, N., Nelson, A. R. J., Jones, E., Kern, R., Larson, E., Carey, C. J., Polat, İ., Feng, Y., Moore, E. W., VanderPlas, J., Laxalde, D., Perktold, J., Cimrman, R., Henriksen, I., Quintero, E. A., Harris, C. R., Archibald, A. M., Ribeiro, A. H., Pedregosa, F., van Mulbregt, P., and SciPy 1.0 Contributors (2020). SciPy 1.0: Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nature Methods*, 17(3):261–272.
- Vujic, A., Tong, S., Picard, R., and Maes, P. (2020). Going with our guts: Potentials of wearable electrogastrography (EGG) for affect detection. In *Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*, ICMI ’20, pages 260–268. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Wolpert, N., Rebollo, I., Bhatt, M. A., Bhatt, M., and Tallon-Baudry, C. (2020). Electrogastrography for psychophysiological research: Practical considerations, analysis pipeline, and normative data in a large sample. *Psychophysiology*, 57(9):e13599.
- Yin, J. and Chen, J. D. Z. (2013). Electrogastrography: Methodology, validation and applications. *Journal of Neurogastroenterology and Motility*, 19(1):5–17.