Effect of Boundary Conditions on Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Test
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***Abstract* -** This paper investigates the effect of boundary conditions on the Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) test. Two soil types e.g. sand and lateritic soil samples collected from a highway construction site were compacted in the metal molds having diameters of 150, 200, and 300 mm. These disturbed samples were prepared near the optimum moisture content using a modified compaction effort. Results indicated that the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter for sand were higher than that for lateritic soil. This finding was also in good agreement with other study for a similar soil type. Caution must be exercised when testing the DCP in the metal mold due to the presence of boundary condition effect. Two soil types tested exhibited less significant on boundary condition effect.
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**Introduction**

Scala [1] developed the Scala penetrometer for assessing in situ California Bearing Ratio (CBR) of cohesive soils for over decades. The Scala penetrometer evolved into the Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) test for determining in situ CBR and modulus. The DCP is being used extensively in South Africa, the United Kingdom, the United States, Australia, and many other countries because it is simple, rugged, convenient and economical. The DCP has been successfully utilized to measure the instantaneous in-place strength index of various compacted earthen materials as well as exhibit potential for adaptation to earthwork quality control. A number of past studies [2]-[8] suggested that the DCP can be effectively used in companion with traditional moisture-density measurements in order to enhance the quality control during earthwork construction. The ultimate goals of utilizing the DCP with an independent moisture-density measurement are to achieve more uniform structural properties as well as to meet typical earthwork compaction acceptance criteria.

Although the DCP test can be conducted in laboratory and field, the results from the laboratory and the field can be different due to their boundary conditions and mold effects [9]-[13]. This study investigates the effect of boundary conditions on the DCP test. A series of DCP test were performed on two soil types (e.g. sand and lateritic soil) in the metal mold having diameters of 150, 200, and 300 mm. All samples were compacted near the optimum moisture content using a modified compaction effort. The effect of mold size on DCP test data for both sand and lateritic soil samples were investigated and compared with other study for a similar soil type.

**Materials and Method**

Disturbed samples were collected from a highway construction site in the western part of Thailand. Details were described in Sawangsuriya et al. [14]. Their basic properties, classification, and compaction characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The samples were compacted in the 175-mm high metal molds having diameters of 150, 200, and 300 mm. Each sample was compacted in five layers near the optimum moisture content using a modified compaction effort. The total number of blows, e.g. 25, 45, and 100 blows per layer were respectively applied for the 150-, 200-, and 300-mm diameter molds.

The DCP (ASTM D6951 [15]) was used for measuring the material resistance to penetration in terms of millimetres per blow while the cone of the device is being driven into the soil sample compacted in the molds. It consists of an 8-kg hammer that drops over a height of 575 mm, strikes an anvil, and drives a 60-degree 20-mm-base diameter cone tip vertically into the soil. The steel rod to which the cone is attached has a smaller diameter than the cone (16 mm) to reduce skin friction. The number of blows during operation was recorded with depth of penetration. The slope of the relationship between cumulative number of blows and penetration at a given linear depth segment was recorded as DCP Penetration Index (DPI) (in millimeters per blow). In this study, the penetration was recorded for each blow until the cone penetrated the full depth of the sample in the mold. The moisture content measurements were taken from the top, middle, and bottom of the sample in the mold. The average value was used as the representative moisture content of the sample. The DPIs determined near the optimum moisture content were reported as DPIopt.

Table 1: Properties of test materials.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Properties | Sand | Lateritic Soil |
| USCS | SP | GP |
| AASHTO | A-3 | A-2-4 |
| 25 mm (1 in.) | 100 | 100 |
| 19 mm (3/4 in.) | 100 | 100 |
| 9.5 mm (3/8 in.) | 97.8 | 88.3 |
| No.4 | 92.3 | 44.6 |
| No.10 | 71.1 | 8.8 |
| No.40 | 25.7 | 3.7 |
| No.200 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| D10 (mm) | 0.2 | 2.2 |
| D30 (mm) | 0.5 | 3.5 |
| D60 (mm) | 1.4 | 6.0 |
| LL | NP | 19.2 |
| PI | NP | 9.1 |
| wopt (%) | 9.3 | 6.5 |
| γd, max (kN/m3) | 20.7 | 22.4 |

**Results and Discussion**

To investigate the effect of boundary conditions on the DCP test, the ratio between the diameter of the metal mold (e.g. 150, 200, 300 mm) and the base diameter of the DCP cone tip (e.g. 20 mm) was used and expressed as the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter) herein. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the DPIopt values increased as the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter increased from 7.5 to 15 for both sand and lateritic soil samples used in this study. Sand sample exhibited larger DPIopt values than lateritic soil sample for a given ratio of mold-to-cone diameter. A slope of the relationship between DPIopt and mold-to-cone diameter ratio for sand sample was also steeper than that for lateritic soil sample. These results were compared with [13], which utilized similar lateritic soil sample having a LL of 52, a PI of 34 with a fine content of 45.8%, a gravel content of 13.8%, a wopt of 15.7%, and a d,max of 18.5 kN/m3 but prepared at compaction levels of 80%, 90%, and 100% of the modified Proctor maximum dry density and at moisture content near the optimum.

The DPIopt values in Fig. 1 were normalized by the DPIopt value obtained in a 150-mm diameter metal mold (i.e. conventional CBR mold). The normalized values were plotted against the ratio of mold-to-cone diameters as presented in Fig. 2. It was found that the normalized DPIopt increased as mold-to-cone diameter increased. The normalized curves for both lateritic soil samples tested in this study and those in [13] were remarkably close to each other for the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter ranging from 7.5 to 15. The normalized DPIopt became almost constant (~2.00) as the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter increased to 25. A slight difference in normalized curve was observed between sand and lateritic soil samples. Results suggested that the effect of soil type on the normalized DPIopt-ratio of mold-to-cone diameter could be less significant, which was similar to that of compaction level observed in [13].



Fig. 1: DPIopt vs. ratio of mold-to-cone diameter.



Fig. 2: Normalized DPIopt vs. ratio of mold-to-cone diameter.

**Conclusions and Recommendations**

The effect of boundary conditions (e.g. mold diameter) on the DCP test on sand and lateritic soil samples compacted near the optimum moisture content using a modified compaction effort was investigated in this study. The metal molds having diameters of 150, 200, and 300 mm were used in preparing samples for this purpose. Studies suggested that the DPIopt increased with the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter for both sand and lateritic soil samples. There existed slightly difference in increasing trends between sand and lateritic soil. Results obtained in this study were compared with [13], which also used the similar lateritic soil. For the case of the lateritic soil sample, the DPIopt values obtained in varied mold diameters divided by the DPIopt obtained in a 150-mm diameter metal mold (i.e. conventional CBR mold) increased as the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter increased, which was in good agreement with [13]. This study also confirmed the implication of the boundary condition effect on the DCP test and that the relationship between the normalized DPIopt and the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter gradually became almost constant (~2.00) at the ratio of mold-to-cone diameter exceeding about 25. Such implication could be applied for the other soil types. Further studies are necessary to confirm the study.
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