

Modelling Birds Population

Ignacio Alvarez

Fall 2013

1 Introduction

According to (REF, Etterson) one of the basic research goals in Ecology consist on understand the distribution and abundance of the animal population. In this work, the particular goal will be to explore alternatives to model the time trends in Western Great Lakes Birds population over 1994 to 2011.

1.1 Data description

Next table shows total bird count on year 2007 for the most abundant species, just to compare it with the online annual report. After the table the overall trend for the raw counts over the year are plotted.

Specie	Chequamegon	Chippewa	Superior
OVEN	1003	835	1168
REVI	823	997	771
BTNW	254	177	219
NAWA	240	348	867
BLJA	222	199	230
CSWA	211	330	375
RBGR	208	70	181
WTSP	180	387	940
HETH	175	249	265
AMRO	156	102	154
LEFL	155	368	120
YBSA	132	150	129
COYE	126	209	71
VEER	91	402	264
WIWR	48	50	195

Forest 9090 (NAMES) is consistently higer than the other two in terms of overall abundance, also it seems to be an increment of the total bird population over time regardless specie.

Figure 1: Raw trend in the data

1.2 Initial models

Perhaps the simplest model we could be a quadratic regression separatedly for each specie and forest.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{tfs} &= \beta_{0fs} + \beta_{1fs}t + \beta_{2fs}t^2 + \epsilon_{tfs} \\
\epsilon_{tfs} &\sim N(0, \sigma_{fs}^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where Y_{tfs} represent the average bird count on year t in the forest f for the specie s . There are 73 species and 3 forest in the data set so there are 219 models in total.

Table ?? shows the summary statistics for each coefficient and figure ?? presents histograms for each one of the model coefficients.

Figure 2: Densities for coefficients of model 1.

Figure 3: Bivariate relation among coefficients of model 1.

forestN	parameter	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
Chequamegon	b0	-5.797	-3.453	-2.328	-2.463	-1.691	1.009
Chequamegon	b1	-0.143	-0.004	0.030	0.036	0.072	0.211
Chequamegon	b2	-0.045	-0.011	-0.004	-0.007	0.000	0.012
Chequamegon	sigma	0.110	0.250	0.364	0.443	0.625	1.099
Chippewa	b0	-5.859	-3.474	-2.637	-2.658	-1.507	0.741
Chippewa	b1	-0.104	0.012	0.048	0.044	0.077	0.245
Chippewa	b2	-0.023	-0.010	-0.005	-0.006	-0.002	0.010
Chippewa	sigma	0.127	0.294	0.451	0.482	0.646	1.057
Superior	b0	-6.177	-4.310	-2.846	-3.005	-1.567	0.815
Superior	b1	-0.150	0.000	0.028	0.028	0.061	0.248
Superior	b2	-0.022	-0.006	-0.003	-0.002	0.000	0.024
Superior	sigma	0.033	0.270	0.392	0.458	0.615	1.199

A second model considered is a regression using data from all 73 species in each forest and including random terms for the species coefficients.

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(Y_{tfs}) &= \beta_{0fs} + \beta_{1fs}t + \beta_{2fs}t^2 + \epsilon_{tfs} \\
\beta_{0fs} &\sim N(\beta_{0f}, \sigma_{0f}^2) \\
\beta_{1fs} &\sim N(\beta_{1f}, \sigma_{1f}^2) \\
\beta_{2fs} &\sim N(\beta_{2f}, \sigma_{2f}^2) \\
\epsilon_{tfs} &\sim N(0, \sigma_f^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

forest	parameter	mean	variance
9020	b0	-2.900	1.462
9020	b1	0.119	0.126
9020	b2	-0.007	0.008
9020	residual	0.000	0.487
9030	b0	-3.035	1.523
9030	b1	0.108	0.000
9030	b2	-0.005	0.001
9030	residual	0.000	0.526
9090	b0	-3.207	1.727
9090	b1	0.056	0.116
9090	b2	-0.002	0.006
9090	residual	0.000	0.523

2 Statistical Model

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(Y_{tfs}) &= \beta_{0fs} + \beta_{1fs}t + \beta_{2fs}t^2 + \epsilon_{tfs} \\
\beta_{fs} &= \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{0fs} \\ \beta_{1fs} \\ \beta_{2fs} \end{pmatrix} \sim N(0, \Sigma_{fs}) \\
\Sigma_{fs} &\sim \text{inv-gamma, scaled inv-gamma, ...} \\
\epsilon_{tfs} &\sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2) \\
\sigma_\epsilon^2 &\sim \text{inv-gama}(\alpha, \gamma)
\end{aligned}$$

(3)