

## M311S24 Problem Set 1.2 *Franchi-Pereira, Philip*

**Problem 5.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite and  $Y$  is non-empty then so is  $F(X, Y)$ , and  $|F(X, Y)| = |Y|^{|X|}$ .

**Definition 1** Let  $\epsilon : F(\{x\}, Y) \rightarrow Y$  by  $\epsilon(\{(\{x\}, y)\}) = y, y \in Y$ , and define an inverse  $\gamma : Y \rightarrow F(\{x\}, Y), \gamma(y) = \{(\{x\}, y)\}$ . It is clear these two are inverses, since  $(\gamma \circ \epsilon)(\{(a, b)\}) = \gamma(\epsilon(\{(a, b)\})) = \gamma(b) = \{(a, b)\}$  and  $\epsilon \circ \gamma(b) = \epsilon(\gamma(b)) = \epsilon(\{(a, b)\}) = b$  for  $a \in \{a\}$  and  $b \in Y$ .

**Definition 2** Let  $X = A \cup B$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then we have the restriction map  $\mathcal{C} : F(X, Y) \rightarrow F(A, Y) \times F(B, Y)$  given by  $\mathcal{C}(f) = (f|_A, f|_B)$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is bijective, which will be shown by defining an inverse  $\mathcal{D} : F(A, Y) \times F(B, Y) \rightarrow F(X, Y)$  such that  $\mathcal{D}((f|_A, f|_B)) = \{(a, f|_A(a)) : a \in A\} \cup \{(b, f|_B(b)) : b \in B\}$ , which will be labeled  $\delta_f$  for the remainder of the proof.

First we will show that  $(\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(f) = f$  for some  $f \in F(X, Y)$ . Note that  $(\mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{C})(f) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}(f)) = \mathcal{D}((f|_A, f|_B)) = \delta_f$ . To show that  $\delta_f = f$ , we will show that they are subsets of each other. For all  $x \in X$ ,  $x$  is either in  $A$  or  $B$ , since they are disjoint. If  $x \in A$ , then  $\delta_f(x) = f|_A(x) = f(x)$  and if  $x \in B$ , then  $\delta_f(x) = f|_B(x) = f(x)$  and so  $\delta_f \subseteq f$ . Next, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) = f|_A(x) = \delta_f(x)$  if  $x \in A$ , and  $f(x) = f|_B(x) = \delta_f(x)$  if  $x \in B$ . Therefore  $f \subseteq \delta_f$  and so  $f = \delta_f$ . Note that if  $A$  and  $B$  were not disjoint, then for an element  $x \in A \cap B$ ,  $f|_A(x)$  may or may not equal  $f|_B(x)$ , and so  $F(X, Y)$  may not be well ordered.

Next we will show that  $(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{D})((f|_A, f|_B)) = (f|_A, f|_B)$ . Note that  $(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{D})((f|_A, f|_B)) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}((f|_A, f|_B))) = \mathcal{C}(\delta_f) = (\delta_f|_A, \delta_f|_B)$ . To show that  $(\delta_f|_A, \delta_f|_B) = (f|_A, f|_B)$ , we must show that  $\delta_f|_A = f|_A$  and  $\delta_f|_B = f|_B$ . However, by definition of  $\delta_f$ , for all  $a \in A, \delta_f(a) = f|_A(a)$  and for all  $b \in B, \delta_f(b) = f|_B(b)$ . Therefore  $(\delta_f|_A, \delta_f|_B) = (f|_A, f|_B)$ ,  $(\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{D})((f|_A, f|_B)) = (f|_A, f|_B)$ , and so  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are inverses.

Finally, we will use induction to prove that for finite sets  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $Y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|F(X, Y)| = |Y|^{|X|}$ .

**Base Case** Let  $|X| = 1, X = \{x\}$ . Since there exists a bijection  $\epsilon(F(\{x\}, Y) = Y$ ,  $|F(\{x\}, Y)| = |Y|$ , and since  $|Y|^{|X|} = |Y|^{|1|} = |Y|$ ,  $|F(\{x\}, Y)| = |Y|^{|X|} = |Y|$ .

**Inductive Proposition** Let  $A$  and  $Y$  be finite sets, with  $Y \neq \emptyset$  and  $|A| = n$ . Assume  $|A| = n$  implies  $|F(A, Y)| = |Y|^{|A|} = |Y|^n$ , Then for some set  $X$  with  $|X| = n + 1$ ,  $|F(X, Y)| = |Y|^{|X|} = |Y|^{n+1}$ .

**Proof** Let  $A$  and  $Y$  be finite sets, with  $Y \neq \emptyset$  and  $|A| = n$ . Let  $A = X - \{x\}$ , and  $B = \{x\}$ . It is clear that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , and that  $X = A \cup B$ . Then by Definition 2 there exists a map  $\mathcal{C} : F(X, Y) \rightarrow F(A, Y) \times F(B, Y)$  and its inverse  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  has an inverse  $\mathcal{D}$ , it is a bijection and therefore  $|F(X, Y)| = |F(A, Y) \times F(B, Y)|$ . By Corollary 2.2.17 in the class notes,  $|F(A, Y) \times F(B, Y)| = |F(A, Y)| \cdot |F(B, Y)| = |F(X, Y)|$ . Since  $|B| = 1, |F(B, Y)| = |Y|$ , and by the inductive hypothesis  $|A| = n, |F(A, Y)| = |Y|^n$ , then  $|F(X, Y)| = |Y|^n \cdot |Y|^1 = |Y|^{n+1}$ . Therefore,  $|F(X, Y)| = |Y|^{n+1} = |Y|^{|X|}$ .

**Problem 6.** An Alternate Proof of  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = 2^{|X|}$ .

For a positive integer  $n$  we denote the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ . We have a map  $\Sigma : F(X, \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X), f \mapsto f^{-1}(1)$ . Given  $A \subseteq X$  we define  $\chi_A \in F(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  by  $\chi_A(x) = 1$  for  $x \in A$  and  $\chi_A(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin A$ . Last, define the map  $\Xi : (\mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow F(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  by  $\Xi(A) = \chi_A$ .

First we will show that  $\Xi$  and  $\Sigma$  are inverses. Consider first  $(\Sigma \circ \Xi)(A), A \subseteq X$ .  $(\Sigma \circ \Xi)(A) = \Sigma(\Xi(A)) = \Sigma(\chi_A) = \chi_A^{-1}(1)$ . To show that  $\chi_A^{-1}(1) = A$ , we will show that  $\chi_A^{-1}(1) \subseteq A$  and then  $A \subseteq \chi_A^{-1}(1)$ . First, for all  $x \in \chi_A^{-1}(1), \chi_A(x) = 1$ , and so  $x \in A$ , therefore  $\chi_A^{-1}(1) \subseteq A$ . Next, for all  $a \in A, \chi_A(a) = 1$ , and so  $a \in \chi_A^{-1}(1)$ . Therefore,  $A \subseteq \chi_A^{-1}(1)$  and so  $A = \chi_A^{-1}(1)$  and  $(\Sigma \circ \Xi)(A) = A$ .

Next, consider  $(\Xi \circ \Sigma)(f) = \Xi(\Sigma(f)) = \Xi(f^{-1}(1)) = \chi_{f^{-1}(1)}$ . First we show that  $f \subseteq \chi_{f^{-1}(1)}$ . For all  $x \in X$ , if  $(x, 1) \in f, x \in f^{-1}(1)$ , and so  $(x, 1) \in \chi_{f^{-1}(1)}$ . In the case instead where  $(x, 0) \in f$  the  $x \notin f^{-1}(1)$  and so  $(x, 0) \notin \chi_{f^{-1}(1)}$ . Therefore  $f \subseteq \chi_{f^{-1}(1)}$ . To show that  $\chi_{f^{-1}(1)} \subseteq f$ , note that

for all  $x \in X$ , if  $\chi_{f^{-1}(1)}(x) = 1$ , then  $x \in f^{-1}(1)$  and therefore  $(x, 0) \in f$ . If  $\chi_{f^{-1}(1)}(x) = 0$ , then  $x \notin f^{-1}(1)$  and so  $(x, 0) \in f$ . Therefore  $f \subseteq \chi_{f^{-1}(1)}$ , so  $f = \chi_{f^{-1}(1)}$ ,  $(\Xi \circ \Sigma)(f) = f$ , and so  $\Xi$  and  $\Sigma$  are inverses.

Finally, since  $\Xi$  and  $\Sigma$  are inverses, then  $\Sigma$  is bijective, and so  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |F(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)|$ . By the proof demonstrated in Problem 5,  $|F(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)| = |\mathbb{Z}_2|^{|X|}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is known to only have the elements  $\{0, 1\}$ ,  $|\mathbb{Z}_2| = 2$ , and so  $|F(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)| = 2^{|X|}$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |F(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)| = 2^{|X|}$ .

**Problem 7.**  $\#(Gl(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)) = (p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1}) = p^{n^2} (1 - \frac{1}{p^n})(1 - \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}) \dots (1 - \frac{1}{p})$ .

Since the size of the group  $Gl(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices is the number of the possible ordered basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , we will show that the number of orderings of a span of  $k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n = (p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{k-1})$ . We will induce on  $k$ , limiting the induction such that  $k \leq n$ , since if the span contains more than  $n$  vectors they are by definition no longer independent.

**Base Case**  $k = 1$

Each vector has  $n$  components, each with  $p$  possible values. The choice of  $v_k$  could be any of the  $p^n$  possible vectors, except for the 0 vector. So there are  $p^n - 1$  choices for  $v_0$ . The number of orderings of the span are therefore  $p^n - p^{k-1} = p^n - p^{1-1} = p^n - 1$ .

**Base Case**  $k = 2$

There are  $p^n$  possible choices for  $v_2$ , but it cannot be a scalar multiple of  $span(v_1)$ . Since there is only one vector in the  $span(v_1)$ , then there are  $p$  scalar multiples of existing vectors in the span that  $v_2$  cannot be chosen, in order to maintain independence. There are then  $(p^n - p)$  choices for  $v_2$ , and therefore  $(p^n - p)(p^n - 1)$  possible orderings of the span.

**Inductive Proposition.**

Assume the number of orderings of a  $span(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) = (p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{k-1})$ . Then for any number  $a \in \mathbb{N}, a \leq n, a = k + 1$ , the number of orderings of vectors in  $span(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_a) = (p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{a-1})$ .

Again, the choice of the next vector  $v_a$  could be one of any  $p^n$  vectors. However, we cannot pick any scalar multiple of a vector already in  $\text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{a-1})$ . There are  $a-1$  vectors in the span, and so there are  $p^{a-1}$  vectors that cannot be chosen, which makes the number of choices for  $v_a = (p^n - p^{a-1} = p^n - p^k$ , since  $a = k+1, k = a-1$ . By the inductive hypothesis, the total number of orderings of the previous  $\{a-1\}$  vectors,  $\text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k)$  is assumed to be  $(p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{k-1})$ . Therefore the orderings of the span, including  $v_a$  are

$$\begin{aligned} (p^n - p^k) \times (p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{k-1}) = \\ (p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{k-1})(p^n - p^k) = \\ (p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{a-2})(p^n - p^{a-1}). \end{aligned}$$

which proves the inductive proposition.

Finally, since the size of  $Gl(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is equal to the number of orderings of basis in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ , there are  $n$  vectors in the span and so  $\#(Gl(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)) = (p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1})$ .