

# Topos of Creative Measurement (measurement as creation)

Olivier Croissant  
*Emerging Pricing Technologies, Paris, France*

16 septembre 2025

## Guiding Idea

A quantum measurement is **not** a destructive “collapse” of information, but an **act of creation** : it **enriches** the universe of available truths by refining the logical context — **without** presupposing an external time. Formally, a measurement is modeled by a *geometric morphism* that transforms the topos of states *before* the measurement into a topos *after* the measurement, where the outcome becomes **decidable** (Boolean) in the relevant context. This operation is **atemporal** (no time parameter) and aligns with a reading of the Wheeler–DeWitt equation.

## 1 Starting Framework

- Quantum topos  $\mathcal{E}$  (e.g. contravariant presheaves on  $V(A)$ ) with :
  - spectral presheaf  $\Sigma$ ,
  - classifier  $\Omega$  (Heyting),
  - internal valuation  $\mu : \text{Sub}(\Sigma) \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\leftrightarrow}$  (internalized Born rule).
- A *measurement event* is represented by a *subobject*  $U \hookrightarrow \Sigma$  (the proposition “the outcome belongs to  $U$ ”).

## 2 Measurement = localization + slicing (creation of decidability)

One associates to  $U$  two *canonical* steps :

### 1) Slicing (internal conditioning)

Pass to the *slice topos*  $\mathcal{E}/U$ , which internalizes that the universe is considered *under the condition*  $U$ .

- The valuation is *conditioned* :  $\mu \rightsquigarrow \mu|_U$  on  $\text{Sub}(\Sigma)|_U$ .
- Truths become *contextual relative* to  $U$ .

### 2) Logical localization (sheafification via Lawvere–Tierney)

Choose an internal topology  $j_U : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  making the proposition  $U$  *decidable* (stable/closed).

- Form the *subtopos*  $\text{Sh}_{j_U}(\mathcal{E}/U)$  with *sheafification* functor  $a_{j_U} : \mathcal{E}/U \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{j_U}(\mathcal{E}/U)$  (left exact).
- In  $\text{Sh}_{j_U}(\mathcal{E}/U)$ , the proposition “ $U$ ” is **Boolean** (we have created decidability of the outcome).

**Definition (Creative measurement).** A *creative measurement* is the composite geometric morphism

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{/U} \mathcal{E}/U \xrightarrow{a_{jU}} \mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}} := \text{Sh}_{jU}(\mathcal{E}/U),$$

where  $j_U$  is chosen so that  $U$  becomes **decidable** in  $\mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$ .

**Expected properties.**

- **(CM1) Monotonicity.** If  $V \subseteq U$ , there is a canonical comparison morphism  $\mathcal{E}_V^{\text{meas}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$  compatible with forgetting; creation of decidability is monotone.
- **(CM2) Logical information created.** A measurement increases information :  $\Delta\mathcal{H}(U) = H(\mu) - H(\mu|_U) \geq 0$ ; for  $V \subseteq U$ ,  $\Delta\mathcal{I}(U) \geq \Delta\mathcal{I}(V)$ .
- **(CM3) Internal Born compatibility.**  $\mu|_U(X) = \mu(X \wedge U)/\mu(U)$ .
- **(CM4) Contextual locality.** The decidability created by  $j_U$  is *local* to the slice  $\mathcal{E}/U$ ; it does not produce a global point of  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- **(CM5) Naturalness (RG covariance).** Under change of frame (diffeomorphisms, region refinement, change of abelian context), the construction is *pseudonatural* (functorial) : it does not depend on a temporal background.

### 3 Creation of Information (independent of entropy)

**Logical information created.** The choice of an outcome  $U$  refines the internal Heyting algebra : we pass from an *open* truth value (“possible”) to a *decidable* value (yes/no) in  $\mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$ . We can quantify this gain (à la Shannon/algorithmic) by

$$\Delta\mathcal{I}(U) := -\log \mu(U)$$

(in bits, internally via  $[0, 1]^{\leftrightarrow}$ ). It is a *semantic* gain (refinement of truth), not a thermodynamic cost.

**Independence with respect to entropy.** The operation  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$  is logical/categorical. By itself, it does not entail any variation of the von Neumann entropy of a closed physical state.

### 4 Timeless reading and Wheeler–DeWitt

In a theory where states satisfy a *global constraint*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi = 0,$$

(*Wheeler–DeWitt*), “evolution” is not temporal but an *order of refinement* of truths :

- The internal universe of solutions is an object  $\mathcal{S} = \ker(\widehat{\mathcal{H}})$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- A creative measurement selects a *decidable subobject*  $\mathcal{S}_U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$  via  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$ .

**Moral.** The meaning of Wheeler–DeWitt is preserved : the fundamental dynamics is *timeless* ; what we call “becoming” is the ascent in the lattice of contexts (creative measurements) that increase the available logical information.

### 5 Interface with CFS

In CFS,  $\rho$  and the *closed chains*  $A_{xy}$  encode causality. With the creative measurement :

- The outcome  $U$  (a proposition about spectra/invariants) becomes **decidable** in  $\mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$ .
- Causal types (time-/space-/light-like) are *internal predicates* which, once localized, evaluate *unambiguously* for the measured context.
- The internal causal action  $\mathbf{S}[\mu]$  is evaluated *conditionally* and may be *re-optimized* in  $\mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$  (reading : informational *back-reaction*).

## 6 Minimal example (qubit, $\sigma_z$ )

- $\mathcal{E}$  : presheaves on the contexts  $\{\langle\sigma_x\rangle, \langle\sigma_y\rangle, \langle\sigma_z\rangle\}$ .
- Outcome  $U = \{\sigma_z = +1\} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ .
- Slice  $\mathcal{E}/U$  : we condition all propositions by  $U$ .
- $j_U$  : internal topology making  $U$  **decidable**.
- $\mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$  : topos where “ $\sigma_z = +1$ ” is **Boolean** (decidable), without manufacturing a global truth for  $\sigma_x, \sigma_y$ .
- Information created :

$$\Delta\mathcal{I}(U) = -\log \mu(U).$$

## Summary

A *creative measurement* is a geometric morphism  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_U^{\text{meas}}$  (slicing + localization) that **makes the outcome decidable** in the appropriate context, **increases logical information** (without presupposing entropy) and **respects atemporality** as expected in a constrained Wheeler–DeWitt-type theory.