Master 1 Statistique & Data Science, Ingénierie Mathématique

Apprentissage pour l'image Machine learning for image processing

Presentation of TP1

Emile Pierret Jeudi 9 mars 2023



Objectives

At the end of the course:


- Know what is a CNN (Convolutional Neural Network)
- Implement the training of a CNN for classification with Pytorch

For this session:

- Train the last layer of a multilayer network for classification with numpy.
- Apply a Stochastic gradient.
- For the moment, no images, no convolutions.

Reminder - What is a multilayer network?


,containsverbatim]



- Inter-connection of several artificial neurons (also called nodes or units).
- Each level in the graph is called a layer:
 - Input layer,
 - Hidden layer(s),
 - Output layer.
- Each neuron in the hidden layers acts as a classifier / feature detector.
- Feedforward NN (no cycle)
 - first and simplest type of NN,
 - information moves in one direction.
- Recurrent NN (with cycle)
 - used for time sequences,
 - such as speech-recognition.

Reminder - What is a multilayer network?

,containsverbatim]



$$h_1 = g_1 \left(w_{11}^1 x_1 + w_{12}^1 x_2 + w_{13}^1 x_3 + b_1^1 \right)$$

$$h_2 = g_1 \left(w_{21}^1 x_1 + w_{22}^1 x_2 + w_{23}^1 x_3 + b_2^1 \right)$$

$$h_3 = g_1 \left(w_{31}^1 x_1 + w_{32}^1 x_2 + w_{33}^1 x_3 + b_3^1 \right)$$


$$h_4 = g_1 \left(w_{41}^1 x_1 + w_{42}^1 x_2 + w_{43}^1 x_3 + b_4^1 \right)$$

$$y_1 = g_2 \left(w_{11}^2 h_1 + w_{12}^2 h_2 + w_{13}^2 h_3 + w_{14}^2 h_4 + b_1^2 \right)$$

$$y_2 = g_2 \left(w_{21}^2 h_1 + w_{22}^2 h_2 + w_{23}^2 h_3 + w_{24}^2 h_4 + b_2^2 \right)$$

Reminder - What is a multilayer network?

,containsverbatim



$$h_{1} = g_{1} \left(w_{11}^{1} x_{1} + w_{12}^{1} x_{2} + w_{13}^{1} x_{3} + b_{1}^{1} \right)$$

$$h_{2} = g_{1} \left(w_{21}^{1} x_{1} + w_{22}^{1} x_{2} + w_{23}^{1} x_{3} + b_{2}^{1} \right)$$

$$h_{3} = g_{1} \left(w_{31}^{1} x_{1} + w_{32}^{1} x_{2} + w_{33}^{1} x_{3} + b_{3}^{1} \right)$$


$$h_{4} = g_{1} \left(w_{41}^{1} x_{1} + w_{42}^{1} x_{2} + w_{43}^{1} x_{3} + b_{4}^{1} \right)$$

$$h = g_{1} \left(W_{1} x + b_{1} \right)$$

$$y_1 = g_2 \left(w_{11}^2 h_1 + w_{12}^2 h_2 + w_{13}^2 h_3 + w_{14}^2 h_4 + b_1^2 \right)$$
$$\frac{y_2 = g_2 \left(w_{21}^2 h_1 + w_{22}^2 h_2 + w_{23}^2 h_3 + w_{24}^2 h_4 + b_2^2 \right)}{\mathbf{y} = g_2 \left(\mathbf{W}_2 \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}_2 \right)}$$

4

Artificial neural network / Multilayer perceptron




How to train a network ?

- Consider a training data set
- $oldsymbol{2}$ Consider a loss L(W)
- $oldsymbol{3}$ Minimize L applying a stochastic gradient

Machine learning - ANN

In real-life



In real-life, all the network have to be trained. The feature transform is trained with its linear separation.


For this session, we will just train the last layer. We suppose that we have a good feature transform to have linearly separable data.

Feature transform

ullet We apply a feature transform $arphi:\mathbb{R}^p o\mathbb{R}^D$ to each $oldsymbol{x_n}$:

$$\varphi_n = \varphi(\boldsymbol{x_n}), \quad n = 1, \dots, N.$$

- Depending on context it allows to increase (D > p) or decrease (D < p) the dimension in a way to favor class discrimination (e.g. PCA...).
- This is a non linear map that should make the classes linearly separable.



Recall of the notations

- K classes C_1, \ldots, C_K
- ullet $(oldsymbol{x}_n,t_n)$ the dataset such that $oldsymbol{x}_n\in C_{t_n}$, $oldsymbol{x}_n\in \mathbb{R}^d$
- ullet $(oldsymbol{arphi}_n,t_n)$ the dataset after feature transform. $oldsymbol{arphi}_n\in\mathbb{R}^D$
- We suppose that $P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp({m w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp({m w}_j^T \varphi)}$
- $y_k(\varphi_n) = \frac{\exp(w_k^T \varphi_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(w_j^T \varphi_n)}$

$$P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi)}$$

How to learn the weights $({m w}_j)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant K}$?

• The loss is the cross entropy, deriving from ?

$$P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi)}$$

How to learn the weights $(\boldsymbol{w}_j)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant K}$?

- The loss is the cross entropy, deriving from ?
- The maximization of the log-likelihood!

$$P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi)}$$

How to learn the weights $(w_j)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant K}$?

- The loss is the cross entropy, deriving from ?
- The maximization of the log-likelihood!
- More precisely, we would like to maximize :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}}\in C_{t_{n}}\mid W\right)$$

$$P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi)}$$

How to learn the weights $(w_j)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant K}$?

- The loss is the cross entropy, deriving from ?
- The maximization of the log-likelihood!
- More precisely, we would like to maximize :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}}\in C_{t_{n}}\mid W\right)$$

$$-\log \left(\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n} \in C_{t_{n}} \mid W \right) \right) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} w_{t_{n}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n} + \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n}) \right)$$

$$P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi)}$$

How to learn the weights $(w_j)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant K}$?

- The loss is the cross entropy, deriving from ?
- The maximization of the log-likelihood!
- More precisely, we would like to maximize :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}}\in C_{t_{n}}\mid W\right)$$

or minimize

$$-\log\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}}\in C_{t_{n}}\mid W\right)\right) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N}w_{t_{n}}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}} + \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K}\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}})\right)$$

• How to minimize it ?

$$P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi)}$$

How to learn the weights $(w_j)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant K}$?

- The loss is the cross entropy, deriving from ?
- The maximization of the log-likelihood!
- More precisely, we would like to maximize :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n} \in C_{t_{n}} \mid W\right)$$

$$-\log\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}}\in C_{t_{n}}\mid W\right)\right) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N}w_{t_{n}}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}} + \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K}\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}})\right)$$

- How to minimize it ?
- With the gradient descent!

$$P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi)}$$

How to learn the weights $(w_j)_{1\leqslant j\leqslant K}$?

- The loss is the cross entropy, deriving from ?
- The maximization of the log-likelihood!
- More precisely, we would like to maximize :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi_n} \in C_{t_n} \mid W\right)$$

$$-\log \left(\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n} \in C_{t_{n}} \mid W \right) \right) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} w_{t_{n}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n} + \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n}) \right)$$

- How to minimize it ?
- With the gradient descent !
- What do we need?

$$P(C_k|\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi)}$$

How to learn the weights $(w_j)_{1 \leq j \leq K}$?

- The loss is the cross entropy, deriving from ?
- The maximization of the log-likelihood!
- More precisely, we would like to maximize :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi_n} \in C_{t_n} \mid W\right)$$

$$-\log\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}}\in C_{t_{n}}\mid W\right)\right) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N}w_{t_{n}}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}} + \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K}\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varphi_{n}})\right)$$

- How to minimize it ?
- With the gradient descent!
- What do we need ?
- The gradient!

Computation of the gradient

$$L(\boldsymbol{W}) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} w_{t_n}^T \varphi_n + \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi_n) \right)$$

• Linear part: Partial gradient with respect to column w_{ℓ} , $\ell \in \{1, \dots, K\}$:

$$\nabla_{w_{t_n}} \left[w_{t_n}^T \varphi_n \right] = \varphi_n$$

$$\nabla_{w_{\ell}} \left[w_{t_n}^T \varphi_n \right] = 0 \text{ if } \ell \neq t_n$$

ullet Partial gradient of $abla_{oldsymbol{w}_\ell} \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(oldsymbol{w}_j^T arphi_n)
ight)$?

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}} \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{T} \varphi_{n}) \right) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}} \log \left(\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}^{T} \varphi_{n}) + \text{constant} \right)$$

$$=?$$

Multivariate logistic regression

A point on the gradients (reminder of the course "optimization"?)

Recall that for $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$d(g \circ f)(x)(h) = dg(f(x))(df(x))(h).$$

Here,

$$d(g \circ f)(x)(h) = \nabla(g \circ f)(x)^{T} h$$
$$dg(x)(h) = g'(x)h$$
$$df(x)(h) = \nabla f(x)^{T} h$$

Consequently,

$$\nabla (g \circ f)(x)^T h = g'(f(x)) \nabla f(x)^T h$$

So,

$$\nabla(g \circ f)(x) = g'(f(x))\nabla f(x)$$

Multivariate logistic regression

Gradient of log-likelihood:

Recall that for $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, for $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\nabla (g \circ f)(x) = g'(f(x))\nabla f(x).$$

Here,

$$g(t) = \log(\exp(t) + c)$$
 $g'(t) = \frac{\exp(t)}{\exp(t) + c}$
 $f(\mathbf{w}_{\ell}) = \mathbf{w}_{\ell}^{T} \varphi_{n}$ $\nabla f(\mathbf{w}_{\ell}) = \varphi_{n}.$

So,

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}} \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{T} \varphi_{n}) \right) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}^{T} \varphi_{n})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_{j}^{T} \varphi_{n})} \varphi_{n} = \boldsymbol{y}_{\ell}(\varphi_{n}) \varphi_{n}$$

since

$$\mathbf{y}_k(\varphi) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_k^T \varphi)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\mathbf{w}_j^T \varphi)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K.$$

Multivariate logistic regression

Gradient of log-likelihood:

$$L(\boldsymbol{W}) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} w_{t_n}^T \varphi_n + \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \varphi_n) \right)$$

• For each column $w_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$ of \boldsymbol{W} , $\ell \in \{1, \dots, K\}$,

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}_{\ell}}L(\boldsymbol{W}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\delta_{\ell,t_n} - \boldsymbol{y}_{t_n}(\varphi_n))\varphi_n \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$$

OK with intuition ?

One word on the stochastic gradient descent

We would like to minimize w.r.t $oldsymbol{W}$,

$$L\left(\boldsymbol{W}, (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n, t_n)_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N}\right) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{w}_{t_n}^T \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n + \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\boldsymbol{w}_j^T \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ell\left(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n, t_n\right)$$

Algorithm: (stochastic) gradient descent for L(w)

- Initialize W randomly
- Repeat until convergence
 - For all (φ_n, t_n) , $1 \leqslant n \leqslant N$
 - For each w_k , $1\leqslant k\leqslant K$ Update: $w_k\leftarrow w_k-\gamma \nabla_{w_k}\ell\left(W,\varphi_n,t_n\right)$
- ullet γ is called the learning rate.

Comparaison with constant step gradient descent

Algorithm: Constant step gradient descent for $f(\boldsymbol{x})$

- ullet Initialize $oldsymbol{x}$ randomly
- Repeat until convergence
 - ullet Update $oldsymbol{x} \leftarrow oldsymbol{x} rac{\gamma}{\gamma}
 abla_{oldsymbol{x}} f(oldsymbol{x})$
- Why "stochastic" previously ?

Comparaison with constant step gradient descent

Algorithm: Constant step gradient descent for $f(\boldsymbol{x})$

- ullet Initialize x randomly
- Repeat until convergence
 - Update $oldsymbol{x} \leftarrow oldsymbol{x} \frac{\mathbf{\gamma}}{\mathbf{\nabla}} \nabla_{oldsymbol{x}} f(oldsymbol{x})$
- Why "stochastic" previously ?
- Because we suppose that the data follow a distribution \mathbb{P}_{data} and we would like to minimize

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{data}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_k \mid W) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{data}}} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}_k} \mid W \right]$$

w.r.t $oldsymbol{W}$

 In our context, we suppose that our dataset are samples that represents the distribution of the distribution of the features.

Theoretical stochastic gradient descent

We would like to minimize:

$$L(\boldsymbol{W}) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\ell(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{u}))$$

Algorithm: (stochastic) gradient descent for L(W)

- ullet Initialize $oldsymbol{W}$ randomly
- Repeat until convergence
 - ullet Sample $u\sim \mathbb{P}_u$ independent from the previous samples Update : $W\leftarrow W-\gamma
 abla_u\ell(W,u)$
- In practice, γ is not constant.
- There exists theoretical results of convergence.

What is it necessary to code?

- A toy dataset
- The gradient of the loss
- The gradient descent
- An evaluation of the outputs.