

# Assessing Knowledge Through Written Reviews

EMIL FOLINO Blekinge Tekniska Högskola  
emil.folino@bth.se

March 22, 2017

## Abstract

*In this paper a method of qualitative assessment of programming student is investigated.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Evaluating students in programming and computer science courses it is often easy to do a quantitative evaluation and assessment. Have the student completed the assigned tasks? However assessing the comprehension and understanding of the completed assignments and doing a qualitative evaluation of the student is harder [1].

By assigning students a written review assignment together with programming assignments it is easier to assess the students comprehension and understanding of the completed assignments[2].

In [2] Lister et al. conclude that the experienced programmers answered with SOLO relational responses compared to the novice programmers multistructural responses.

## II. METHOD

In our daily teaching at Webbprogramming (db-webb.se) at BTH the students do programming assignments each week. Together with the exercises they hand in a written review, answering 3-5 questions centered around the topics of the week. At the end of each study period, a 10 week period, the students hand in a project together with an extended review text. The weekly review text have given the students practice in writing the final project review text that the students are graded on. The following webpages from the program's website explains how the students are graded on their review texts according to the SOLO Taxon-

omy: [3] and [4].

I will only use the review texts of the projects for three courses. One in each study period: htmlphp, javascript1 and linux. These are the only review texts that the students are graded on together with the project assignment.

The SOLO grading of the review texts will be done by the author. To ensure an even level of grading the other teachers of the courses are going to do a similar grading and evaluation of a subset of the review texts. After the SOLO grading I will compare the final grade of the course to the SOLO grade. By comparing the final grade given in the course to the SOLO grading I will look for a correlation between the understanding of the programming and how that translates to the grades.

In this project the students are given a grade of 1-5 according to the five levels of the SOLO Taxnomy. The SOLO grading will be done manually by reading the review texts, the SOLO grading will be done anonymously, but traceable.

I have built a web scraper that automatically gets the review texts and stores the text in a database. Together with the web scraper I will build a web interface where I will see the review text and a form for SOLO grading the students' text. After the data collection and SOLO grading I will plot the findings to look for trends.

## III. RESULTS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam

**Table 1:** *Example table*

Name		
First name	Last Name	Grade
John	Doe	7.5
Richard	Miles	2

nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

$$e = mc^2 \quad (1)$$

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

## IV. DISCUSSION

### i. Subsection One

A statement requiring citation. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

### ii. Subsection Two

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Biggs JB, Collis KF. Evaluation the Quality of Learning: The SOLO Taxonomy (structure

of the Observed Learning Outcome). Educational psychology. Academic Press; 1982. Available from: <https://books.google.se/books?id=ZqxSMwEACAAJ>.

- [2] Lister R, Simon B, Thompson E, Whalley JL, Prasad C. Not seeing the forest for the trees: novice programmers and the SOLO taxonomy. ACM SIGCSE Bulletin. 2006;38(3):118–122.
- [3] Roos M. Att skriva en bra redovisningstext;. Accessed: 2017-02-10. <https://dbwebb.se/kunskap/att-skriva-en-bra-redovisningstext>.
- [4] Roos M. Varför regnar det på bergssidan - ett exempel på SOLO taxonomin;. Accessed: 2017-02-10. <https://dbwebb.se/kunskap/varfor-regnar-det-pa-bergssidan-ett-exempel-pa-solo-taxonomin>.