# Day 2, Practical 1

### Helene Charlotte Wiese Rytgaard

## September 28, 2021

In this practical we implement the targeting step for estimation of the treatment-a specific mean, i.e., for a = 0, 1, the parameter

$$\Psi_a(P) = \mathbb{E}_P[\mathbb{E}_P[Y \mid A = a, X]] \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbb{E}_P[Y^a];$$

note that the equality marked by \* holds under the identifiability assumptions. Based on the targeted (TMLE) estimators  $\hat{\psi}_{1,n}^*$  and  $\hat{\psi}_{0,n}^*$  for  $\Psi_1(P)$  and  $\Psi_0(P)$  we then construct estimators for the average treatment effect (ATE), the risk ratio (RR) and the odds ratio (OR).

We will work with the simulation function defined in the first practicals of day 1.

**Task 1:** Use the simulation function from the first practicals from day 1 (Task 1) to draw a random dataset with sample size n = 1000.

#### 1 Implementing the targeting step for the treatment-specific mean $\Psi_a(P)$

**Task 2:** The goal of this task is to implement the targeting step based on the dataset simulated in **Task 1.** You should write a targeting function that takes as input a dataset on this form and a value of a ( $a \in \{0,1\}$ ). The function should follow the steps as outlined below.

1. Fit the models below for the outcome regression f and the propensity score  $\pi$ .

```
fit.f <- glm(Y~A+X1+X2+X3, family=binomial, data=sim.data)
fit.pi <- glm(A~X1+X2+X3, family=binomial, data=sim.data)</pre>
```

- 2. Use fit.f to predict the conditional expectations  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A = a]$ . Add these as columns to the dataset.
- 3. Use fit.pi to estimate the propensity score  $\pi(a \mid X) = P(A = a \mid X)$ . Add this as a column to the simulated dataset.
- 4. Compute the treatment-specific clever covariate:  $H^a(A, X) = \mathbb{1}\{A = a\}/\pi(a \mid X)$ . Add these as columns to the simulated dataset.
- 5. Run a logistic regression model with:
  - Y as outcome,
  - without intercept,
  - with offset logit  $\hat{f}_n(A, X)$ , where  $\hat{f}_n(A, X)$  is the prediction of  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A]$  from step 2.,

• with clever covariate  $H^a(A, X)$ .

Let  $\hat{\varepsilon}_n$  denote the estimated regression coefficient.

6. Update the estimators for  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A = a]$  by using the model from step 5. as follows:

$$\hat{f}_n^*(A, X) = \operatorname{expit} \left( \operatorname{logit} \hat{f}_n(A, X) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n H^a(A, X) \right),$$
  
$$\hat{f}_n^*(a, X) = \operatorname{expit} \left( \operatorname{logit} \hat{f}_n(a, X) + \hat{\varepsilon}_n H^a(a, X) \right).$$

- 7. Compute the estimate for the target parameter  $\hat{\psi}_{a,n}^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_n^*(a, X_i)$ .
- 8. Check that the targeted estimate solves the efficient influence curve equation, i.e., check that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} H^{a}(A_{i}, X_{i})(Y_{i} - \hat{f}_{n}^{*}(A, X)) + \hat{f}_{n}^{*}(a, X) - \hat{\psi}_{a, n}^{*} = 0.$$

9. Estimate the variance of the TMLE estimator based on an estimate of the efficient influence function, i.e.,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{a,n}^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( H^a(A_i, X_i) \left( Y_i - \hat{f}_n^*(A, X) \right) + \hat{f}_n^*(a, X) - \hat{\psi}_{a,n}^* \right)^2.$$

- 10. Return as output of your function the targeted estimate  $\hat{\psi}_{a,n}^*$  and the estimated variance  $\hat{\sigma}_{a,n}^2$ .
- **Task 3:** Run the function from **Task 2** on the simulated dataset from **Task 1** to compute the targeted estimates  $\hat{\psi}_{1,n}^*$  and  $\hat{\psi}_{0,n}^*$  for  $\Psi_1(P)$  and  $\Psi_0(P)$ .

**Task 4:** Add an argument to the function from **Task 2** to optionally change to steps 5. and 6. from above as follows:

- 5. Run a logistic regression model:
  - with Y as outcome,
  - without covariates (intercept-only)
  - with offset logit  $\hat{f}_n(A, X)$  where  $\hat{f}_n(A, X)$  is the prediction of  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A]$  from step 2.,
  - with the clever covariate  $H^a(A, X)$  as a weight (not a covariate).
- 6. Update the estimators for  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid A = a]$  using the model from step 5.:

$$\hat{f}_n^*(A, X) = \operatorname{expit}(\operatorname{logit}\hat{f}_n(A, X)),$$
  
 $\hat{f}_n^*(a, X) = \operatorname{expit}(\operatorname{logit}\hat{f}_n(a, X)).$ 

Note that we have here changed the pair of loss function and parametric submodel as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(O) = -H(A, X) (Y \log(f(A, X)) + (1 - Y) \log(1 - f(A, X))),$$

and,

$$f_{\varepsilon}(A, X) = \operatorname{expit}(\operatorname{logit}(f(A, X)) + \varepsilon),$$

for which it also holds that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \mathcal{L}(f_{\varepsilon})(O) = H(A, X)(Y - f(A, X)).$$

#### 2 Computing the variances of the ATE, the log RR and the log OR

**Task 5:** Use your targeted estimates  $\hat{\psi}_{1,n}^*$  and  $\hat{\psi}_{0,n}^*$  for  $\Psi_1(P)$  and  $\Psi_0(P)$  to compute estimates for the average treatment effect (ATE), the risk ratio (RR) and the odds ratio (OR).

**Task 6:** The RR parameter is given as  $\Psi_{RR}(P) = \frac{\Psi_1(P)}{\Psi_0(P)}$ , so that the log(RR) is

$$\log \Psi_{RR}(P) = \log \Psi_1(P) - \log \Psi_0(P) = h(\Psi_1(P)) - h(\Psi_0(P)),$$

with  $h(\psi) = \log \psi$ . We can use the delta method to compute the efficient influence function of  $\log \Psi_1(P)$  and  $\log \Psi_0(P)$  (and thus  $\log \Psi_{RR}(P)$ ) based on the treatment-specific efficient influence functions  $\tilde{\phi}_1^*(f,\pi)(O)$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_0^*(f,\pi)(O)$ . That is, we compute the (regular) derivative of h,  $h'(\psi) = \frac{d}{d\psi}h(\psi) = 1/\psi$ , and use that the efficient influence function of  $\log \Psi_{RR}(P)$  is

$$\phi_{RR}^*(P) = h'(\Psi_1(P))\phi_1^*(P) - h'(\Psi_0(P))\phi_0^*(P).$$

If time permits, repeat these steps for the log(OR).

**Task 7:** Change your function from **Task 2** so that it returns a vector of the values of the treatment-specific efficient influence function:

$$\tilde{\phi}_a^*(\hat{f}_n^*, \hat{\pi}_n)(O_i) = H^a(A_i, X_i) (Y_i - \hat{f}_n^*(A, X)) + \hat{f}_n^*(a, X) - \hat{\psi}_{a, n}^*,$$

across all rows i = 1, ..., n of the dataset.

**Task 8:** Compute estimates for the variances of the ATE, the log(RR) and the log(OR) estimators based on the vectors  $\tilde{\phi}_1^*(\hat{f}_n^*, \hat{\pi}_n)(O_i)$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_0^*(\hat{f}_n^*, \hat{\pi}_n)(O_i)$ :

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{ATE},n}^{2} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \tilde{\phi}_{1}^{*}(\hat{f}_{n}^{*}, \hat{\pi}_{n})(O_{i}) - \tilde{\phi}_{0}^{*}(\hat{f}_{n}^{*}, \hat{\pi}_{n})(O_{i}) \right)^{2},$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{RR},n}^{2} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{1}^{*}(\hat{f}_{n}^{*}, \hat{\pi}_{n})(O_{i})}{\hat{\psi}_{1,n}^{*}} - \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{0}^{*}(\hat{f}_{n}^{*}, \hat{\pi}_{n})(O_{i})}{\hat{\psi}_{0,n}^{*}} \right)^{2},$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{OR},n}^{2} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{1}^{*}(\hat{f}_{n}^{*}, \hat{\pi}_{n})(O_{i})}{\hat{\psi}_{1,n}^{*}(1 - \hat{\psi}_{1,n}^{*})} - \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{0}^{*}(\hat{f}_{n}^{*}, \hat{\pi}_{n})(O_{i})}{\hat{\psi}_{0,n}^{*}(1 - \hat{\psi}_{0,n}^{*})} \right)^{2}.$$

Task 9: Compare your results from Task 8 to what you get using the TMLE software:

#### 3 Large-sample properties (simulation study)

The point of this part of the exercise is to explore validity of TMLE inference. Note that double robust (debiased) estimation gives:

- Protection in form of consistency against misspecification.
- If the propensity score model  $\pi$  is correctly specified, then the double robust estimator will have smaller variance than the IP-weighted estimator.
- If the outcome regression model f is correctly specified, then the TMLE may have larger empirical variance than the regression estimator, BUT it has the double robust protection property that the regression estimator does not have.

On the other hand, the inference based on standard errors obtained with the estimate of the efficient influence function is only valid when both the propensity score  $\pi$  and the outcome regression f are correctly specified.

**Task 10:** We will explore the large-scale properties and the validity of TMLE inference in a simulation study. You can use the tmle function, instead of your own implementation. Across all simulation repetitions, you should save the estimate and the estimated variance. Repeat the following steps:

- 0. Use your simulation function from **Task 1** to draw a (new) random dataset.
- 1. Compute the TMLE estimate for the ATE based on the models for the outcome regression f and the propensity score  $\pi$  as in **Task 2**. You should save the estimate and the standard error (or the variance).

2. Compute the TMLE estimate for the ATE based on the models for f where  $X_1^2$  is included rather than  $X_1$ :

For step 3 below. The so-called discrete super learner can be used for initial estimation by adding the arguments Q.discrete.SL=TRUE and g.discrete.SL=TRUE and further specifying libraries of learners for the outcome regression (Q.SL.library) and for the propensity score (g.SL.library). Note that the discrete super learner simply picks an algorithm from its library with loss-based cross-validation. If the arguments Q.discrete.SL=TRUE and g.discrete.SL=TRUE are not specified, a weighted average of predictions from the algorithms will be used rather than predictions from a single picked out algorithm.

3. Compute the TMLE estimate for the ATE based on simple super learners for the outcome regression f and for the propensity score  $\pi$ . That is, you could for example call tmle as follows:

Note that you can see available models for the super learner here: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/SuperLearner/vignettes/Guide-to-SuperLearner.html, however, beware that some algorithms make the function call very slow.

**Task 11:** Make histograms that show the distribution of each estimator across the simulation repetitions. Mark the true value of the ATE with a red dotted vertical line. Compute (empirically) the bias and variance for each estimator. Compute coverage<sup>1</sup> for each estimator. Comment on the results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Coverage of confidence intervals computed as  $\hat{\psi}_n \pm 1.96 \, \text{SE}(\hat{\psi}_n)$ .