101020 2018-09-07 #3

Analysing algorithms to understand their performance

Observations, Mathematical models, Running time classes Complexity theory, memory complexity.

Memory complexity is important. Strive to solve problems faster with less memory. Environment!

Analysis is needed to understand what is possible Dos can exploit worst cases

Different views: Functioning, Efficient, Understandable

Estimate running time, compoure algorithms

Discrete Fourier bransform (DVD, JPEG. MR1) Brute force: Nº FFT agarithm: N log N

Big-O Complexity O(1) -> O(n!)

Observate, model, predict, check Experiments should be reproducable. Falsifabillity

Brute force "tests all cases"

Doubling ratio-hypothesis. Run the program and double problem size.

System dependent vs System inappondent

Mathematical model time = \(\sum_{ocoperations} \)

Cost is system dependent, frequency is system independent (ost model. Analyse one primitive operation Omit lower-order terms for big N
Tible approximation, Approximate sum with integral Approximative models are often sufficient.
Order-of-growth classes

O(1) Constant Add two numbers
O(log N) logarithmic Binary search
O(N) linear Find max/min
O(N) linearithmic Mergesort
O(N) quadratic Check all pairs
O(N³) cubic Check all triplets
O(2N) Exponential Check all subsets

Binary search & O(logN)

Rule of thumb. Bother (lower) time complexity => Faster algorithms

Best case: Lower bound Worst case: Upper bound

Average case: Expected for "random" input.

Amostized complexity Some operations/cases with high cost

Complexity theory - How hard?

- Optimal algorithms

Big Theta (B) asymptothic complexity

Big Oh (O) Upper bound

Big Omega (12) Lover bound

f(n) = O(g(n)) if $f \exists c > and n_0 > 0$ where $f(n) \le cg(n) \forall n \ge n_0$

fon €n∀(N)={(Ng) ≥0 oran 0 < on boo 0 < o E(N)}= ((Ng)Ω

(n)={f(n)=c1,c2,n0>0 where 0≤ c1g(n)≤f(n) ≤ c2g(n)∀n≥n0}

P=NP? Class P (polynomial time) Class NP (Non-Jeterministic Polynomial time)

A problem is NP-complete when it is both NP-hard.

- An NP-hard problem is out least as computationally hard as the hardest problem in NP.

-Design an algorithm - Prove a lower bound

15 the (theoretical) lower bound lower than the upper bound -Lower the upper bound (design better algorithm) (of the aborithm)? - Raise the lower bound (hader)

Golden era of algorithm design: 1970s In 101020 we focus on Tildenotation

Memory complexity

64-bit computer (or more precise OS) -> 8-byte pointer/adress

Object overhead 16 bytes

Reference & bytes

Padding

Shallow memory usage Deep memory usage

Memory profiling

Emperic analysis Mathematical analysis Scientific method

Array overhead 24 bytes

boolean:	131
byte:	. LB
char:	23
int:	4 B
float:	4B
long:	8 B
donble:	8 B