

Theory Of Estimations

Estimation theory is a branch of statistics which deals with estimating the value of parameters based on measured or empirical data that has a random component. Parameters described physical setting in such a way that the value of the parameters affects the distribution of measured data. An estimator attempt to approximate the unknown parameter using the measurements.

e.g.: It is decided to estimate the proportion of a pop of voters who will vote for a particular candidate. That proportion is the unobservable parameter. The estimate is based on small & random sample of voters.

Types of Estimation

The theory of estimation is divided into two groups:

I Point estimation

1) Interval estimation.

I Point estimation

In statistics, Point estimation involves the use of sample data to calculate the single value (known as statistics) which is to serve us a best guess for an unknown popⁿ parameter. i.e., the yield single valued result although this includes the possibility of single vector valued results and results can be expressed as a single function. A good estimator is one which is as closed to the true value of the parameter as possible.

II Interval Estimation

In statistics interval estimation is the use of sample data to calculate an interval of possible values of an unknown popⁿ parameter. This is in contrast to an point estimation.

where the results would be a range of possible values, say to estimates.

The most prevalent forms of interval estimation are
i) confidence intervals
ii) credible intervals, iii) tolerance intervals
Production intervals, . . . etc

Properties of estimators

The most important desirable properties of good estimators are

i) unbiasedness

ii) consistency

iii) efficiency

iv) Sufficiency

v) Unbiasedness

A statistic $t = E(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ a function of sample observations

x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is said to be an unbiased estimate of the corresponding pop' parameter, θ if

$E(t) = \theta$ i.e; if the mean value of the sampling distribution of the statistic is equal to the parameter.

e.g. The sample mean is an unbiased estimate of pop' mean $E(\bar{x}) = \mu$

because it approximates to exact value

D S.T the sample mean is an unbiased estimate of pop' mean

→ Let \bar{x} is the sample mean

n: size of samples

μ : pop' mean

$$E(\bar{x}) = \mu$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

$$E(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{n} [E(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} [N + N + \dots]$$

$$= \mu$$

2 x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is a random sample from a pop' following Poisson distribution with parameter λ . Suggest any three unbiased estimation of λ

→ x_i is a r.s from a Poisson pop' with parameter λ

$$\text{unbiased } E(x_i) = \lambda \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\text{both } \bar{x}_1 = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \text{ and } \bar{x}_2 = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

are unbiased estimates of λ

$$\therefore E(t_1) = E(x_1) = \lambda$$

$$E(t_2) = E\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) = \frac{2\lambda}{2} = \lambda$$

$$E(t_n) = \lambda$$

$$\theta = (T)\beta$$

$\therefore t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ are unbiased estimates
of λ

3) If x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is r.s from a normal
pop' with mean M & variance S^2 . Then

$t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ is an unbiased estimate of M^2 .

→ Sample is from normal pop' with
mean M & variance S^2 , $i = 1, \dots, n$
 $V(x_i) = E(x_i^2) - [E(x_i)]^2$
 $= E(x_i^2) - M^2$

$$E(x_i^2) = 1 + M^2$$

$$E(t) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} n (1 + M^2)$$

$$= M^2 + 1$$

4 If \bar{T} is an unbiased estimator for θ
s.t. \bar{T}^2 is a biased estimator for θ^2

\Rightarrow Since \bar{T} is an unbiased estimator for θ

$$E(\bar{T}) = \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{T}) &= E(\bar{x}_i^2) - [E(\bar{x}_i)]^2 \\ &= E(\bar{T}^2) - \theta^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$E(\bar{T}^2) = V(\bar{T}) + \theta^2$$

$\therefore E(\bar{T}^2)$ is not equal to θ^2 so \bar{T}^2 is
biased estimate of θ^2

Note: ~~biased~~ ~~biased~~ ~~sd of bias~~ ~~sd~~

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad \text{--- (1) is not an unbiased estimate of pop variance.}$$

~~biased~~ ~~biased~~ ~~sd of bias~~ ~~sd~~

however

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad \text{--- (2) is an unbiased estimate of } \sigma^2$$

$$\text{i.e., } E(S^2) = \sigma^2 \quad \text{and } E(S^2) = \sigma^2$$

Remarks

~~to~~ ~~skewness~~ ~~no~~ ~~skew~~ ~~sd~~ ~~(1)~~
From eqn (1) & (2) we have $nS^2 = (n-1)S^2$

$$S^2 = \frac{n}{(n-1)} s^2$$

i) If the sample size is large ($n-1$)

can be approximated by $n = (\bar{x})^2$

$$S^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} s^2 \approx s^2 + \frac{s^2}{n}$$

thus for large samples the sample variance gives an unbiased estimate

of pop' variance σ^2 hence σ^2 is not known, then for large samples its estimate is provided by s^2

iii) If $E(t) \neq \theta$ then the statistic t is said to be biased estimator of θ .

$$iv. E(t) = b + \theta$$

then b is called amount of bias in the estimate.

$$\text{if } b > 0 \text{ ie, } E(t) > \theta$$

then t is called +vly biased
 $b < 0$ ie, $E(t) < \theta$ then t is called -vly biased.

i) S.T $2\bar{x}$ is an unbiased estimate of θ

$$f(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \quad 0 \leq x \leq \theta$$

$$2\bar{x} = 2 \cdot \frac{(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)}{n}$$

$$E(2\bar{x}) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i)$$

$$E(x_i) = \int_0^\theta \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot x_i \cdot dx_i$$

$$-\frac{1}{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{x_i^2}{2} \right)_{0}^{\infty} (1 - \gamma + i\omega) \right] \stackrel{i=1}{\sum}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)\delta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta} \left[(1 - \gamma + i\omega) \cdot \frac{\theta^2}{2} \right] = \frac{\theta}{2}$$

$$E(2x) = \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot \frac{x \theta / 2}{(1-\alpha)\delta}$$

$$= \underline{\underline{\frac{(1-\alpha)\delta}{\theta}}} \cancel{\frac{x}{(1-\alpha)\delta}} =$$

1) Consistency

An estimator $t_n = t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

based on a random sample of size n
is said to be consistent estimator of θ ,

i.e. $\theta \in \Theta$ the parameter space.

If it converges to θ in probability

i.e., $t_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

If $\bar{E}(t_n) = \theta$ or $\sqrt{E(t_n)} \rightarrow 0$ and
 $V(t_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, t_n is a
consistent estimate of θ this may
be proved by Chebychev's inequality

(3) —
 $P\{\theta - (\bar{x})V \text{ has } q = (\bar{x})\} \geq 1 - \delta$

① S.T the mean of sample taken from a normal popⁿ are
consistent estimate of popⁿ mean.

Let the popⁿ be $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ E(\bar{x}) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} [E(n_1) + E(n_2) + \dots + E(n_n)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} [\mu + \mu + \dots + \mu] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} [n\mu] = \underline{\underline{\mu}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}V(\bar{x}) &= V\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \\ &= V\left(\frac{1}{n} [n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_n]\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} V(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_n) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \bar{x}$ is consistent estimate of μ

② P.T $t_n = \frac{n\bar{x}}{n+1}$ is a consistent estimate of λ where \bar{x} is the mean of the sample size n , taken from a Poisson popⁿ.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \\ E(\bar{x}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(n_i) = \frac{1}{n} [E(n_1) + E(n_2) + \dots + E(n_n)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} [\lambda + \lambda + \dots + \lambda] = \frac{1}{n} n\lambda = \underline{\underline{\lambda}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E(\hat{t}_n) = E\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{n+1}\right) = \frac{n}{n+1} E(\bar{x}) \\ = \frac{n\lambda}{n+1}$$

$E(\hat{t}_n) \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$V(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum V(x_i) \\ = \frac{1}{n^2} [V(n_1) + V(n_2) + \dots + V(n_n)] \\ = \frac{1}{n^2} \lambda^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{n}$$

$$V(\hat{t}_n) = V\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{n+1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} V(\bar{x}) = \frac{n\lambda}{(n+1)^2} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{n\lambda}{(n+1)^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\therefore \hat{t}_n$ is consistent estimate of λ

Efficiency

If the two consistent estimates t_1, t_2 of a certain parameter θ we have $V(t_1) < V(t_2)$ & $n_1 < n_2$ then t_1 is more efficient than t_2 & sample size

If t_1 is most efficient estimator with variance V_1 & t_2 is any other estimator with variance V_2 then efficiency $\epsilon(t_2)$ is defined as $\epsilon = V_1/V_2$. ϵ can not exceed unity.

So we may say that variance of an estimate decreases its efficiency increases.

$V(t_1)/V(t_2)$ (is) called relative

(efficiency of t_2 with respect to

~~its~~ t_1)

standard unit time.

① x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is a random sample from normal pop' $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $t_1 = x_1$, $t_2 = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}$
~~and $t_3 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$~~ , $t_n = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$ are proposed as estimates of μ . examine whether the estimates are unbiased. and compare their efficiency.

$$\Rightarrow E(t_1) = E(x_1) = \mu$$

$$E(t_2) = E\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) = \mu$$

$$E(t_n) = \mu$$

all the estimates are unbiased

$$V(t_1) = V(x_1) = \sigma^2$$

$$V(t_2) = V\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}V(x_1 + x_2) \\ = \frac{2\sigma^2}{4} = \sigma^2/2$$

$$(nV(t_n)) \approx n\sigma^2/n^2 = \sigma^2/n$$

$$\text{now } \sigma^2 > \sigma^2/2 > \sigma^2/3 > \dots > \sigma^2/n$$

$\therefore t_n$ is more efficient than others.

x_1, x_2, x_3 are three independent observations from a pop with mean M & variance σ^2 if $t_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$

and $t_2 = 2x_1 + 3x_2 - 4x_3$ compare the efficiencies of t_1 & t_2

$$\Rightarrow E(t) = E(x_1) + E(x_2) + E(x_3)$$

$$= M + M + M$$

$$= M$$

$$E(t_2) = 2E(x_1) + 3E(x_2) - 4E(x_3)$$

$$= 2M + 3M - 4M$$

$$= M$$

t_1 & t_2 are unbiased estimate of M

$$V(t_1) = V(x_1) + V(x_2) + V(x_3)$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 + \sigma^2$$

$$= 3\sigma^2$$

$$V(t_2) = 2^2 V(x_1) + 3^2 V(x_2) + 4^2 V(x_3)$$

$$= 4\sigma^2 + 9\sigma^2 + 16\sigma^2$$

$$= 29\sigma^2$$

$$\therefore v(t_1) < \left(\frac{v(t_2)}{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} = (\frac{s}{s})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$$

$\therefore t_1$ is more efficient than t_2

relative efficiency of t_1 w.r.t t_2

$$E = \frac{v(t_1)}{v(t_2)} = \left(\frac{t_1^{\alpha+1} + s_t + s_{t+1}}{t_2^{\alpha+1} + s_t + s_{t+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} = \left(\frac{3\tau^2}{29\tau^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} = \frac{3}{29}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$$

$$= \frac{3\tau^2}{29\tau^2} = \frac{3}{29}$$

HW 4 x_1, x_2, x_3 are random sample of size three from a popⁿ with mean value M & variance σ^2 . t_1, t_2, t_3 are the estimators used to estimate the mean value M where $t_1 = \frac{1}{3} [2x_1 + x_2 + x_3]$

$$t_2 = \frac{x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3}{3}$$

- i) Are t_1 & t_2 unbiased estimators
- ii) find the value of λ so t_3 is unbiased estimator of M
- iii) With this value of λ is t_1 is a consistent estimator.
- iv) Which is the best estimator.

⑤ 10) Sufficiency

An estimator is said to be sufficient for a parameter if it contains all the information (in the sample) regarding the parameter.

Neyman's Condition for Sufficiency

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a sample from popⁿ with the pdf $f(x, \theta)$ the joint pdf of sample [Likelihood of the sample] is $L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta) = f(x_1, \theta) f(x_2, \theta) \cdots f(x_n, \theta)$

t is a sufficient estimate of θ
iff it is possible to write

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta) = L_1(t, \theta) \cdot L_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

where $L_1(t, \theta)$ is a function of
 t & θ alone. & L_2 is independent
of θ .

Mon

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$$

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be the sample
then $L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \lambda) = (\lambda)^n e^{-n\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n\bar{x}}$

Maximize $L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \lambda)$ w.r.t λ

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n\lambda^n e^{-n\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!}$$

Set $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0$ and solve for λ

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{n\lambda^n e^{-n\lambda} n\bar{x}}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!} = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n\bar{x}} \left[\frac{1}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!} \right]$$

by the factorisation theorem, the sample mean \bar{x} is a sufficient estimator for λ

① S.T \bar{x} is a sufficient estimate of p when samples of size n are taken from a binomial pop with parameters $n \& p$

$$f(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x q^{n-x}$$

let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be the samples then

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, p) =$$

$$\binom{n}{x_1} \binom{n}{x_2} \dots \binom{n}{x_n} \cdot p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (n-x_i)}$$

$$= \binom{n}{x_1} \binom{n}{x_2} \dots \binom{n}{x_n} \cdot p^{n\bar{x}} (1-p)^{nN - n\bar{x}}$$

$$L_1 = \binom{n}{x_1} \binom{n}{x_2} \dots \binom{n}{x_n}$$

$$L_2 = p^{n\bar{x}} (1-p)^{nN - n\bar{x}}$$

$$\therefore L = L_1 \cdot L_2$$

L_1 is independent of p & L_2 is a function of \bar{x} & p only so \bar{x} is a sufficient

Methods of Estimation

1) Methods of maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)

The maximum likelihood estimate is an estimation techniques in statistics to estimate non-random parameters.

A maximum likelihood estimate is a maximiser of log likelihood function. It is distributed as a Gaussian random variable.

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a random sample of size n from a pop^o with density function $f(x, \theta)$. Then the likelihood function of this sample values x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n usually denoted by

L = L(θ) is their jointed density function is given by.

$$L = f(x_1, \theta) \cdot f(x_2, \theta) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_n, \theta).$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)$$

L gives the relative likelihood

that the random variables assume a particular set of values x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . L becomes a function of the variable of parameter

The principle of maximum likelihood consist in finding an estimated for the unknown parameters $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$, which maximises the likelihood function $L(\theta)$ for variations in parameter θ , we wish to find

$\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k)$ so that

$$L(\hat{\theta}) > L(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$$L(\hat{\theta}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

$\hat{\theta}$ is usually called MLE. Then $\hat{\theta}$ is the solution if any of $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} = 0$ and

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta^2} < 0 \right\} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Since $L > 0$ and $\log L$ is a non

decreasing function of L ; L and $\log L$

attain their extreme values at the same values of θ . The equation one can be re written as

$$\frac{1}{L} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

If θ is vector valued parameter then

$\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$ is given by the solution of simultaneous eqn

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log L = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log L(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

equations (2) and (3) are usually referred to as likelihood equations for estimating the parameters.

Properties of MLE

- i) All MLE's are asymptotically unbiased
[approximately unbiased for large numbers]
- ii) All MLE's are asymptotically normally distributed
- iii) All MLE's are consistent
- iv) All MLE's are most efficient
- v) All MLE's are sufficient, if sufficient estimators exist
- vi) All MLE's are achieved the Cramer Rao lower bound for consistent estimators
- vii) Invariance property

If $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ , $h(\theta)$
is some function of θ . Then $h(\hat{\theta})$ is the MLE of $h(\theta)$.

Find M.L.E of λ based on a sample taken from

Pop' P.d.f $f(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^n}{x_1!}$; $n=0,1,2\dots$

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_1}}{x_1!} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_2}}{x_2!} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_3}}{x_3!} \cdots \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_n}}{x_n!}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n\bar{x}}}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \because x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = n\bar{x} \\ & \therefore n(\underbrace{x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n}_{\lambda}) = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\log L = \log \left[\frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n\bar{x}}}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!} \right]$$

$$\because \log(a/b) = a \log a - b \log b$$

$$= \log(e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n\bar{x}}) - \log(x_1! + x_2! + \cdots + x_n!)$$

$$= -n\lambda + n\bar{x} \log \lambda - \log(x_1! + x_2! + \cdots + x_n!)$$

$$= -n\lambda + n\bar{x} \log \lambda - [\log x_1! + \log x_2! + \cdots + \log x_n!]$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \lambda} = -n + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\lambda} = 0$$

$$= \frac{-n\lambda + n\bar{x}}{\lambda} = 0$$

$$= -n\lambda + n\bar{x} = 0$$

$$= n\bar{x} = n\lambda$$

$$\therefore \underline{\underline{\lambda = \bar{x}}}$$

Method of Moments

Let $f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$ be the density function of the parent popⁿ with k parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$. If M_x^r denote the r^{th} moment about origin. Then

$$M_x^r = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^r f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) dx \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$r = 1, 2, \dots, k$

is general $M_1^r, M_2^r, \dots, M_k^r$ will be the function of parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$.

Let $x_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ be a random sample of size n from the given popⁿ. The method of moments consist in solving the k eqns (1) for $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$ in terms of $M_1^r, M_2^r, \dots, M_k^r$ and then replacing this moments $M_x^r, r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ by the sample moments.

3) Obtain the moment estimators of parameters a, b in rectangular distribution.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \quad a \leq x \leq b$$

$$\bar{x} = \int_a^b x \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} dx = \frac{b+d}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b x^2 dx = \frac{b+d+2d}{3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{b-a} \left[\frac{b^2 + ab + a^2}{2} \right] \quad \bar{x} = \frac{(b+a)(b-a)}{2}$$

$$\frac{b+a}{2}$$

$$M_2' = b \int_a^b \frac{1}{b-a} \cdot x^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{b-a} \left[\frac{b^3 - a^3}{3} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{b-a} \left[\frac{(b-a)(b^2 + ba + a^2)}{3} \right]$$

$$= \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2}{3}$$

$$M_2 = M_2' - (M_1')^2$$

$$= \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2}{3} - \left(\frac{b+a}{2} \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2}{3} - \frac{b^2 + 2ba + a^2}{4}$$

$$= \frac{4b^2 + 4ba + 4a^2 - 3b^2 - 6ba - 3a^2}{12}$$

$$\frac{b^2 - 2ba + a^2}{12} = \frac{(a-b)^2}{12}$$

$$M_1' = \frac{b+a}{2}, M_2' = \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2}{3}, M_2 = \frac{(a+b)^2}{12}$$

$$\therefore 12M_2 = (a-b)^2$$

$$a-b = \sqrt{12M_2} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\rightarrow 2M_1' = b+a \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\text{--- (1)} + \text{--- (2)}$$

$$-b+a = \sqrt{12M_2} +$$

$$b+a = 2M_1'$$

$$2a = \sqrt{12M_2} + 2M_1'$$

$$\text{so } a = \frac{\sqrt{12M_2} + 2M_1'}{2}$$

$$a = \frac{x(\sqrt{3M_2} + M_1')}{x} = \underline{M_1' + \sqrt{3M_2}}$$

\therefore From --- (1)

$$2M_1' = b+a \Rightarrow 2M_1' - a = b$$

$$= 2M_1' - [M_1' + \sqrt{3M_2}] = b$$

$$= 2M_1' - M_1' - \sqrt{3M_2}$$

$$b = \underline{M_1' - \sqrt{3M_2}}$$

The method of minimum Variance

Let $f(x, \theta)$ be the pdf of pop with one parameter θ and x_i 's are random

Sample. Let $L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \theta)$ be the likelihood function. If $\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}$

can be put in the form $k(t - \theta)$

Where k is either constant or function of θ and t is a function of the observations only, t is the minimum variance unbiased estimate of θ . (minimum Variance unbiased estimates) (MVUE).

2) examine whether there exist a minimum
variance for the parameter λ of the
Poisson population

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$$

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n\sum x_i}}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{-n\lambda + n\sum x_i}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!}$$

$$\log L = \frac{-n\lambda + n\bar{x} \log \lambda}{\log x_1! + \log x_2! + \dots + \log x_n!}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \lambda} &= -n + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{-n\lambda + n\bar{x}}{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{n}{\lambda} (\bar{x} - \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \bar{x}$ is the minimum variance estimator and
p.d's variance is λ/n

Cramer - Rao Inequality

To determine the lower bound of the variance

If $t = \hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of $v(\theta)$ a function of parameter θ

then

$$\text{Var}(t) \geq \frac{\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} \log L \right]^2}{E \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L \right]^2} = \frac{[v'(\theta)]^2}{I(\theta)}$$

where $I(\theta)$ is called by R.A Fisher
measures the amount of information on θ
supplied by the sample size and its
reciprocal $\frac{1}{I(\theta)}$ as the information

limit to the variance of estimator

$$t = t(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = \text{observed information}$$

interval estimation of mean

1) confidence interval for mean when σ is known

Let \bar{x} be the mean of the sample of size n taken from the normal population $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We know that $t = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu)}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$ follows standard normal distribution $N(0, 1)$.

From the table of standard normal distribution we can find $t_{\alpha/2}$ s. $P(|t| \leq t_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha$ where α is the confidence coefficient.

$$(-t\alpha/2 \leq t \leq t\alpha/2) \Rightarrow$$
$$(-t\alpha/2 \leq (\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}) \leq t\alpha/2) \Rightarrow$$

$$(-\sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2 \leq (\bar{x} - \mu) \leq \sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2) \Rightarrow$$

$$(-\sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2 - \bar{x} \leq -\mu \leq \sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2 - \bar{x}) \Rightarrow$$

Multiplying by -1

$$P(\sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2 + \bar{x} \geq \mu \geq -\sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2 + \bar{x}) \Rightarrow$$

$$P(\bar{x} - \sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2 \leq \mu \leq \bar{x} + \sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2) \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{ie, } [\bar{x} - \sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2, \bar{x} + \sigma/\sqrt{n} t\alpha/2]$$

is the confidence interval

for 95% confidence interval

$$t\alpha/2 = 1.96$$

confidence interval is

$$[\bar{x} - 1.96 \sigma/\sqrt{n}, \bar{x} + 1.96 \sigma/\sqrt{n}]$$

For 99% confidence interval

$$t\alpha/2 = 2.58$$

confidence interval is

$$[\bar{x} - 2.58 \sigma/\sqrt{n}, \bar{x} + 2.58 \sigma/\sqrt{n}]$$

$$90\% = 1.64$$

1. The mean of the sample of size 20 from normal population $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ was found to be 81.2. Find 90% confidence interval for μ .

$$\text{Ans } n = 20, \sigma = 8, \bar{x} = 81.2$$

$$\alpha = 90\% = 0.1$$

$$P(|t| \leq t_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$P(|t| \leq 1.65) = 0.9$$

$$[\bar{x} - \sigma/\sqrt{n} t_{\alpha/2}, \bar{x} + \sigma/\sqrt{n} t_{\alpha/2}]$$

$$[81.2 - 8/\sqrt{20} 1.65, 81.2 + 8/\sqrt{20} 1.65]$$

$$= [78.25, 84.15]$$

2. Find the least sample size required if the length of the 95% confidence interval for mean of the normal population with S.D 5 should be less than 6.

$\text{Ans } \alpha = 95\%, \sigma = 5$

$$P(|t| \leq t \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2}) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$P(|t| \leq 1.96) = 0.95$$

$$\left[\bar{x} - \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1.96, \bar{x} + \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1.96 \right]$$

$$\left[\bar{x} - \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1.96, \bar{x} + \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1.96 \right]$$

Length of the interval =

$$\left(\bar{x} + \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1.96 \right) - \left(\bar{x} - \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1.96 \right)$$
$$= 2 \times \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1.96$$

The width is to be less than 6

$$2 \times \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1.96 \leq 6$$

$$\frac{2 \times 5 \times 1.96}{6} \leq \sqrt{n}$$

$$n = 10.64$$

P Confidence interval for μ when σ is unknown.

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu) \sqrt{n-1}}{s}$$

follows

~~to obtain~~ Students t dis. with $(n-1)$ degree of freedom from the table of Student's t the value of $\frac{t_{\alpha/2}}{2}$.

$$P(|t| \leq \frac{t_{\alpha/2}}{2}) = 1-\alpha$$

where α is the confidence interval

$$\therefore P(-t_{\alpha/2} \leq t \leq t_{\alpha/2}) = 1-\alpha$$

$$P\left(-t_{\alpha/2} \leq \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu) \sqrt{n-1}}{s} \leq t_{\alpha/2}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$P\left(-\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} t_{\alpha/2} \leq \bar{x} - \mu \leq \frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} t_{\alpha/2}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$P\left(-\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} t_{\alpha/2} - \bar{x} \leq -\mu \leq \frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} t_{\alpha/2} - \bar{x}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

multiplying with -1

$$P\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} t_{\alpha/2} + \bar{x} \geq \mu \geq -\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} t_{\alpha/2} + \bar{x}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$P\left(\bar{x} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} t_{\alpha/2} \leq \mu \leq \bar{x} + \frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} t_{\alpha/2}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$\left[\bar{x} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} \frac{t_{\alpha}}{2}, \bar{x} + \frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} \frac{t_{\alpha}}{2} \right]$$

is the required confidence interval.

Method of finding confidence interval

Normal size $(-\infty, \infty)$ licensed

- Q) A random sample of size 17 from a normal pop is found to have $\bar{x} = 4.7$ & $s^2 = 5.76$. Find 90% of confidence interval for mean of the pop.

$$P\left(|t| \leq \frac{t_{\alpha}}{2}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$P\left(|t| \leq 1.75\right) = 0.90$$

$$\left[\bar{x} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{t_{\alpha}}{2} \right), \bar{x} + \frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}} \left(\frac{t_{\alpha}}{2} \right) \right]$$

$$\left[4.7 - \frac{2.4 \times 1.75}{\sqrt{16}}, 4.7 + \frac{2.4 \times 1.75}{\sqrt{16}} \right]$$

$$= (3.65, 5.75)$$

Confidence interval for variance

~~Let s^2 is the Variance of sample size n taken from a normal pop' $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ we know~~

~~that $\frac{n}{s^2} = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \chi^2$ follows~~

~~χ^2 distribution with $(n-1)$ degree of freedom~~

Let u_1, u_2 be the two

numbers s. $P(u_1 \leq \frac{ns^2}{\sigma^2} \leq u_2) = 1-\alpha$

$$P\left(\frac{1}{u_1} \geq \frac{\sigma^2}{ns^2} \geq \frac{1}{u_2}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$P\left(\frac{ns^2}{u_1} \geq \sigma^2 \geq \frac{ns^2}{u_2}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$$P\left(\frac{ns^2}{u_2} \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{ns^2}{u_1}\right) = 1-\alpha$$

$P\left(\frac{ns^2}{u_2}, \frac{ns^2}{u_1}\right)$ is the required
Confident Coefficient.

Confidence interval for

Proportion of a binomial popⁿ

Consider a sample of size n from a binomial pop with parameters $n \& p$. Where n is assumed to be known. Let \bar{P} be the sample proportion. We know that

$$t = \frac{\bar{P} - P}{\sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n}}} \text{ follows also to}$$

Follow $N(0,1)$ from the table we can find out $t_{\alpha/2}$.

$$P(|t| \leq t_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$P(-t_{\alpha/2} \leq t \leq t_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$(Eq. (-t_{\alpha/2} \leq) \frac{\bar{P} - P}{\sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n}}} \leq t_{\alpha/2}) \Rightarrow 1 - \alpha$$

$$P\left(-t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}} \leq \bar{P} - P \leq t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

$$P\left(-t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}} - \bar{P} \leq -P \leq t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}} - \bar{P}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

Multiply with -1

$$\Rightarrow P \left(t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}} + \bar{P} \right) \geq P \geq -t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}} + \bar{P}$$

$$= 1 - \alpha$$

$$P \left(-t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}} + \bar{P} \leq P \leq t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}} + \bar{P} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \alpha$$

The confidence interval is

$$\left[\bar{P} - t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}}, \bar{P} + t_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{P}(1-\bar{P})}{n}} \right]$$

- ① In a sample of 20 persons from a town it was seen that ~~400~~ four are suffering from T.B. Find a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of T.B patients in the town.

$$n = 20$$

$$\bar{P} = \frac{4}{20} = 0.2$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{P} - P}{\sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n}}} = \frac{0.2 - P}{\sqrt{\frac{0.2 \times 0.8}{20}}}$$

$$P(|t| \leq t\alpha/2) = 0.95 \quad \text{with } \alpha/2$$

$$t\alpha/2 = 1.96 + \frac{(9-1)\bar{q}}{\sqrt{n}} \approx 1.96 + \frac{8}{\sqrt{20}} \approx 1.96 + 1.8 = 3.76$$

$$\left[\bar{p} - 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n}}, \bar{p} + 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})}{n}} \right]$$

$$\left[0.2 - 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.2 \times 0.8}{20}}, 0.2 + 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.2 \times 0.8}{20}} \right]$$

$$(0.025, 0.375)$$