

Laws of Large Numbers

{Xn} obeys the LLN if ∃ {bn} ⊂ ℝ and 0 < an ↑ such that

$$\text{SLLN: } \frac{S_n - b_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0 \quad \text{WLLN: } \frac{S_n - b_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{\text{p}} 0.$$

Kronecker's Lemma: If {an}, {bn} ⊂ ℝ such that 0 < bn ↑ ∞ and ∑_{n=1}[∞] an/bn converges, then

$$\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Cesaro's Mean Summability Theorem: If {xn} ⊂ ℝ such that lim_{n→∞} xn = x < ∞, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = x.$$

Theorem 4.14: If {Xn} ind such that ∑_{n=1}[∞] E|Xn|^{αn} / n^{αn} < ∞ for αn ∈ [1, 2], then

$$\frac{S_n - \text{E } S_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \text{E } X_i) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0.$$

Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund SLLN: Let {Xn} be iid, Sn = ∑_{j=1}ⁿ Xn, and p ∈ (0, 2).

- 1. If $\frac{S_n - nc}{n^{1/p}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\text{E} |X_1|^p < \infty$.
- 2. If $\text{E} |X_1|^p < \infty$, then (2) holds with $c = \text{E } X_1$ if $p \in [1, 2)$ and (2) holds for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if $p \in (0, 1)$.

Kolmogorov's SLLN: If {Xn} are iid, then

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \text{E } X_1 \iff \text{E} |X_1| < \infty \iff \frac{S_n - n \text{E } X_1}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0.$$

Useful Theorem: For any r.v. X and r > 0,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X| > n^{1/r}) \leq \text{E} |X|^r \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|X| > n^{1/r}).$$

Etemaldi's SLLN: If {Xn} are pairwise ind and identically distributed, then

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \text{E } X_1 \iff \text{E} |X_1| < \infty.$$

Theorem 4.18 (general WLLN): {Xn} ind and put Sn = ∑_{j=1}ⁿ Xj. If

$$\sum_{j=1}^n P(|X_j| > n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{E} X_j^{(n)2} \rightarrow 0,$$

then

$$\frac{S_n - a_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{p}} 0,$$

where an = ∑_{j=1}ⁿ E X_j⁽ⁿ⁾ and X_j⁽ⁿ⁾ ≡ XjI(|Xj| ≤ n).

[Corollary] Feller's WLLN (without a 1st moment hypothesis): if {Xn} iid with lim_{n→∞} xP(|X1| > x) = 0, then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \text{E } X_1^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{p}} 0.$$

Empirical Distributions

The **empirical cdf** of X1, . . . , Xn is the random cdf is the proportion of observations no larger than a fixed x:

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- With Xi's on (Ω, F, P), for each ω ∈ Ω,

$$F_n(x, \omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i(\omega) \leq x).$$

- Fn(x) is a right-continuous, nondecreasing function of x ∈ ℝ,

- For any x ∈ ℝ, Fn(x) is a r.v., i.e., is (F, B(ℝ))-mble:

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i^{-1}((-\infty, x]))(\omega).$$

Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem: {Xn} iid with cdf F(·). Let Fn(·) be the empirical cdf based on X1, . . . , Xn and define

$$D_n = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(x) - F(x)|.$$

Then, (i) Dn is a r.v. for any n ≥ 1 and (ii) Dn $\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}}$ 0 as n → ∞.

Quantile function: ϕ(u) = inf {x ∈ ℝ : F(x) ≥ u} ≡ F^{−1}(u), u ∈ (0, 1) which implies

$$F(x) \geq u \iff x \geq \phi(u) \quad \text{and} \quad F(\phi(u)-) \leq u \leq F(\phi(u)).$$

Convergence in Distribution

: Let μn, n ≥ 0 be probability measures on (ℝ^k, B(ℝ^k)) for some 1 ≤ k < ∞.

1. For **x** = (x1, . . . , xk) ∈ ℝ^k, the **cdf** of μn is

$$F_n(\mathbf{x}) = \mu_n((-\infty, x_1] \times \cdots \times (-\infty, x_n)).$$

If a random vector Xn has probability distribution μn [i.e., P(Xn ∈ A) = μn(A), A ∈ B(ℝ^k)], then Fn is also called the cdf of Xn.

2. A sequence of probability measures μn (or corresponding cdfs Fn) **converges weakly** to μo (to F0), denoted as μn ⇒ μ0 (or as Fn ⇒ F0), if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(\mathbf{x}) = F_0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in C(F_0),$$

where C(F0) = {**x** ∈ ℝ^k : F0 is continuous at **x**}.

3. A sequence of random vectors Xn in R^k (with distributions μn) **converges in distribution (law)** to a random variable X0 (with distribution μ0) if μn ⇒ μ0, denoted by Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0. That is, if Xn = (Xn,1, . . . , Xn,i) has cdf Fn, n ≥ 0, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(\mathbf{x}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_{n,1} \leq x_1, \dots, X_{n,k} \leq x_k) \\ &= P(X_{0,1} \leq x_1, \dots, X_{0,k} \leq x_k) \\ &= F_0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in C(F_0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

- **x** = (x1, . . . , xk) ∈ C(F0) $\iff F_0(\mathbf{x}) = P(X_{0,1} < x_1, \dots, X_{0,k} < x_k) = F_0(\mathbf{x}-)$ i.e., if also left continuous.
- C(F0)^c is at most countable.
- Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{p}}$ X0 \implies Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0 but not the other direction, unless X0 is degenerate.

Skorohod's Embedding Theorem: If μn, n ≥ 0 are probability measures on (ℝ^k, B(ℝ^k)) for some 1 ≤ k < ∞ such that μn ⇒ μ0, then ∃ random vectors {Yn}_{n≥0} on a common probability space such that Yn has probability distribution μn for all n ≥ 0 and Yn $\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}}$ Y0. That is, P(Yn ∈ A) = μn(A), A ∈ B(ℝ^k), n ≥ 0.

Continuous Mapping Theorem:

Version (a): Let μn, n ≥ 0 be probability measures on (ℝ^k, B(ℝ^k)) for 1 ≤ k < ∞ and let h : ℝ^k → ℝ^m for 1 ≤ m < ∞ be a (B(ℝ^k), B(ℝ^m))-mble function such that μ0(Dh) = 0, where Dn ∈ B(ℝ^k) denotes the set of all points of discontinuities of the function h. If μn ⇒ μ0, then the induced measures converge weakly:

$$\mu_n h^{-1} \Rightarrow \mu_0 h^{-1}.$$

Version (b): Let Xn, n ≥ 0 be R^k-valued random vectors and let measurable

h : ℝ^k → ℝ^m be such that P(X0 ∈ Dh) = 0, where Dh is as above. If Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0, then

$$h(X_n) \xrightarrow{\text{d}} h(X_0).$$

Corollary: If Xn, Yn, n ≥ 0 be r.v.'s such that (Xn, Yn) $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ (X0, Y0), then

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{d}} X_0 + Y_0, \quad X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{d}} X_0 Y_0, \quad X_n / Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{d}} X_0 / Y_0 \text{ if } P(Y_0 = 0) = 0$$

Corollary (Slutsky's Theorem): If Xn, Yn, n ≥ 1 be r.v.'s such that Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X and Yn $\xrightarrow{\text{p}}$ a for some a ∈ ℝ, then

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{d}} X + a, \quad X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{d}} aX, \quad X_n / Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{d}} X/a \text{ if } a \neq 0.$$

Characterizations of Convergence in Distribution

For a probability measure μ on (ℝ^k, B(ℝ^k)), a set A ∈ B(ℝ^k) is called a **μ-continuity** set if μ(∂A) = 0, where ∂A = $\overline{A} \setminus \text{int} A$. E.g.,

$$\partial(-\infty, x] = (-\infty, x] \setminus (-\infty, x) = \{x\}.$$

Helly-Bray "Portmanteau" Theorem: If μn, n ≥ 0 are probability measures on (ℝ, B(ℝ)), then

1. μn ⇒ μ0 \iff μn(A) → μ0(A) ∀ A ∈ B(ℝ) ∋ μ0(∂A) = 0.
2. μn ⇒ μ0 $\iff \int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu_0$ for all bounded continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Remarks:

- μn ⇒ μ0 $\not\implies$ μn(A) → μ0(A) ∀ A ∈ B(ℝ^k).
- Holds for (ℝ^k, B(ℝ^k)).
- Can generalize to a metric space (S, d).

Lemma 5.8: If μn ⇒ μ0 on (ℝ, B(ℝ)) and f : ℝ → ℝ is a bounded, Borel-mble function with μ0(Df) (where Df ∈ B(ℝ) is the set of discontinuity points of f), then

$$\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu_0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

A sequence of probability measures {μn} on (ℝ^k, B(ℝ^k)) is **tight** if ∀ε > 0, ∃Mε > 0 such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \mu_n \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\| > M_\varepsilon \right\} \right) < \varepsilon.$$

For a single probability measure on ℝ, given ε, we can find Mε such that μ([−Mε, Mε]) < ε and note μ([−Mε, Mε]) ↑ μ(ℝ) = 1.

A sequence of R^k-valued random vectors {Xn} is **tight** or **stochastically bounded** if their corresponding {μn} is tight. That is, ∀ε > 0, ∃Mε > 0 such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} P(\|X_n\| > M_\varepsilon) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \mu_n \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\| > M_\varepsilon \right\} \right) < \varepsilon,$$

where μn(A) = P(Xn ∈ A), A ∈ B(ℝ^k).

A sequence of random vectors {Xn} is **uniformly integrable** if ∀ε > 0, ∃tε > 0 such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \text{E} \|X_n\| I(\|X_n\| > t_\varepsilon) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{\|x\| > t_\varepsilon} \|x\| d\mu_n < \varepsilon,$$

where μn(A) = P(Xn ∈ A), A ∈ B(ℝ^k).

Proposition 5.9: Let {Xn} be r.v.'s.

1. If Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0, then {Xn} is tight.
2. If {Xn} is tight and Yn $\xrightarrow{\text{p}}$ 0 for Xn, Yn defined on (Ωn, Fn, Pn), then XnYn $\xrightarrow{\text{p}}$ 0.
3. But, weak convergence "almost" implies tightness - see Prokhorov's theorem.

Theorem 5.10: A sequence of r.v.'s {Xn} (or probability measures {μn}) is tight iff for any subsequence Xnk of Xn there exists a further subsequence Xnk_j of Xnk and a r.v.

(or probability measure μ0) such that Xnk_j $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0 (or μnk_j ⇒ μ0). Note: X0 (or μ0) depends on the particular subsequence Xnk.

Corollary: If {Xn} is tight and all its convergent subsequences converge in distribution to the same r.v. X0, then Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0.

Theorem 5.12 (conv in dist + UI \implies conv in mean): If {Xn}, n ≥ 1 is UI and Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0, then E|X0| < ∞ and E Xn → E X0.

Corollary 5.13 If Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0 and sup_{n≥1} E|Xn|^{r+δ} < ∞ for some integer r ≥ 1 and real δ > 0, then E|X0|^r < ∞ and E X^r_n → E X^r₀ (recall that sup_{n≥1} E|Zn|^{1+δ} \implies {Zn} is UI).

Fréchet-Shohat Theorem: If lim_{n→∞} E X^r_n = βr ∈ ℝ for all integers r ≥ 1 and if {βr : r ≥ 1} are the moments of a unique r.v. X0, then Xn $\xrightarrow{\text{d}}$ X0.

Moments uniquely determine distribution when Cardeman's condition is met, $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \beta_{2r}^{-1/(2r)} = \infty$, or if the MGF MX(t) = E e^{tX} < ∞ ∀ |t| < ε for some ε > 0. Recall:

$$\text{E } X^r = \left. \frac{d^r}{dt^r} M_X(t) \right|_{t=0}.$$

Characteristic Functions

A **complex** number is $a + ib$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. If $a + bi$ and $c + di$ are complex, then their sum is $(a + b) + (c + d)i$, their product is $(ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i$, and the modulus is $|a + bi| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{(a + bi)(a - bi)}$. IMPORTANT! For any $b \in \mathbb{R}$,

e^{bi} = cos(b) + i sin(b)

and |e^{bi}| = \sqrt{cos^2(b) + sin^2(b)} = 1 and e^{ai}e^{bi} = e^{(a+b)i} for a, b \in \mathbb{R}. ALSO, for fixed b \in \mathbb{R}, the function g(t) = e^{tbi} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} is infinitely differentiable in t with nth derivative (bi)^n e^{tbi}.

For a random vector X in \mathbb{R}^k, the **characteristic function** (CF) is defined as

\phi_X(t) = E e^{it'X} = E cos(t'X) + i E sin(t'X), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^k, i = \sqrt{-1}.

It allows for easy convolutions (same as MGF), always exists, uniquely identifies distribution, and p.w. convergence implies weak convergence.

Note that \phi_X(0) = 1 and \phi_X(t) is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^k: by the BCT,

sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^k} |\phi_X(t + h) - \phi_X(t)| = sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^k} |E e^{i(t+h)'X} - E e^{it'X}| \le E |e^{ih'X} - 1| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |e^{ih'x} - 1| d\mu_X(x) \to 0 as |h| \to 0.

Theorem 5.15: If X is a r.v. with E |X|^r < \infty for some r \ge 1, then \phi_X(t) is r-times differentiable on \mathbb{R} and

\phi_X^{(r)}(t) = E (iX)^r e^{itX}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.

Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma: If the distribution of a r.v. X has a density f w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}, then \phi_X(t) \to 0 as |t| \to \infty.

Levy Inversion Formula (use CF to recover dist): If X is a r.v. with CF \phi_X, then for any a, b \in \mathbb{R} with P(X = a) = 0 = P(X = b),

P(a < X \le b) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \phi_X(t) dt.

Corollary: If we also assume \int |\phi_X(t)| dt < \infty (which implies C(F) = \mathbb{R}), then X has a pdf f w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} given by

f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \phi_X(t) dt.

Levy Continuity Theorem: suppose {X_n} is a sequence of r.v.'s each with CF \phi_{X_n}

- 1. If X_n \xrightarrow{d} X_0, then for any T > 0,

sup_{|t| < T} |\phi_{X_n}(t) - \phi_{X_0}(t)| \to 0 as n \to \infty.

- 2. If \phi_{X_n}(t) \to g(t) as n \to \infty for all t \in \mathbb{R} and g(\cdot) is continuous at zero, then

g(\cdot) is a CF and X_n \xrightarrow{d} X_0, where X_0 is the r.v. with CF g(\cdot).

Corollary 5.20: X_n \xrightarrow{d} X_0 \iff \phi_{X_n}(t) \to \phi_{X_0}(t) as n \to \infty for all t \in \mathbb{R}.

Inversion Formula in \mathbb{R}^k: Let X be a \mathbb{R}^k-valued random vector with CF \phi_X(t) for t = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k. Then, for any rectangle A = (a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times (a_k, b_k] with P(X \in \partial A) = 0,

P(X \in A) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_{-T}^T \dots \int_{-T}^T \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{e^{-it_j a_j} - e^{-it_j b_j}}{it_j} \phi_X(t_1, \dots, t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k.

Also, if \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\phi_X(t_1, \dots, t_k)| dt_1 \dots dt_k < \infty, then X has a bounded, continuous density f_X(x) w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^k given by

f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{-i \sum_{j=1}^k x_j t_j} \phi_X(t_1, \dots, t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k.

Theorem 5.22: On a psp (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), r.v.'s X_1, \dots, X_k are ind iff for all t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R},

\phi_{X_1, \dots, X_k}(t_1, \dots, t_k) \equiv E e^{i \sum_{j=1}^k X_j t_j} = \prod_{j=1}^k E e^{i X_j t_j} = \prod_{j=1}^k \phi_{X_j}(t_j).

Theorem 5.23: For a sequence {X_n}, n \ge 0 of \mathbb{R}^k-valued random vectors,

- 1. X_n \xrightarrow{d} X_0 \iff \phi_{X_n}(t) \to \phi_{X_0}(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^k,

- 2. (Cramer-Wold device) X_n \xrightarrow{d} X_0 \iff t'X_n \xrightarrow{d} t'X_0 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^k,