Gender Disparities in Hiring & Political Influence (U.S. and Global, 2024–2025)

Pranathi Nagasai Andhe

Emily Sunderberg

2025-10-15

Table of contents

I	Abstract	1
2	Executive Summary	2
3	Introduction	2
4	Qualitative Research Method	3
5	Hiring Patterns Across Industries (U.S. and Global)	3
6	State Politics and Gender Disparities (Red vs. Blue)	3
7	Women in Al Fields (U.S. & Global) and Political Context	4
8	Wage Gaps and Political Affiliation	4
9	Implications for Job Seekers (2025)	4
10	Limitations	5
11	Conclusion	5

1 Abstract

We analyze gender disparities in hiring, AI participation, and wages across U.S. industries and states, and situate these patterns in a global context. Drawing on recent federal statistics Labor

Statistics ((2025)), U.S. pay-gap trackers University Women ((2025a)); Women's Policy Research ((2024)), and international reports Economic Co-operation and Development ((2024)); Organization ((2023)); Organization ((2025)); Forum ((2025)), we find persistent occupational segregation, widening U.S. annual earnings gaps since 2022, and continued underrepresentation of women in AI-intensive roles. State-level disparities correlate with policy environments such as pay-transparency and salary-history bans University Women ((2025b)), though industry composition and family structure are important confounders. Conservative/market-based perspectives emphasize occupational choice, hours, and career continuity as key mechanisms and warn that some transparency policies may compress wages Institute ((2021)); Foundation ((2024)); Cullen and Pakzad-Hurson ((2021)); Cullen ((2023)); Mas ((2014)). We integrate both views and outline implications for job seekers selecting sectors, geographies, and employers.

2 Executive Summary

- U.S. employment remains gender-segregated: women 47% of workers but overrepresented in health/education and underrepresented in construction, engineering, and many tech roles Labor Statistics ((2025)).
- Every U.S. state has a pay gap; gaps tend to be smaller where transparency and equal-pay policies are stronger, though composition matters University Women ((2025b)).
- U.S. annual earnings gap widened after 2022 (82.7¢ in 2023; 80.9¢ in 2024 among full-time year-round), while hourly measures show ~85% in 2024 Women's Policy Research ((2024)); Center ((2025)).
- Women remain 22–30% of the global AI workforce and are more exposed to AI-driven task change in clerical/admin roles Economic Co-operation and Development ((2024)); Organization ((2023)); Organization ((2025)).
- Globally, parity stands at 68.8% and may take ~123 years at current pace Forum ((2025)).
- Market-oriented analyses attribute much of the unadjusted gap to hours, occupation, and career continuity and note possible wage compression from transparency Institute ((2021)); Foundation ((2024)); Cullen and Pakzad-Hurson ((2021)); Cullen ((2023)); Mas ((2014)).
- Implications: build AI-complementary skills, target transparent employers and supportive states/metros, and use posted pay bands as inputs to evidence-based negotiation.

3 Introduction

Gender continues to shape labor-market outcomes in the United States and worldwide. In 2024–2025, women's representation varies sharply across industries, wage gaps persist, and AI both creates opportunities and raises exposure risks. We examine four questions: (1) how hiring patterns differ for men and women across industries; (2) whether disparities vary

between red and blue states; (3) whether women are more underrepresented in AI fields; and (4) how wage gaps compare by gender and political affiliations. We synthesize high-quality, recent statistics and research to inform job-seeker strategy.

4 Qualitative Research Method

We triangulate multiple sources: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Current Population Survey (CPS) 2024 annual averages for occupational distributions Labor Statistics ((2025)); AAUW 2025 national and state pay-gap indicators University Women ((2025a)); University Women ((2025b)); IWPR 2024 fact sheets on annual earnings gaps Women's Policy Research ((2024)); Pew Research Center 2025 hourly pay-gap analysis Center ((2025)); OECD 2024 policy brief on AI and women Economic Co-operation and Development ((2024)); International Labour Organization reports on generative-AI exposure (2023; 2025 update) Organization ((2023)); Organization ((2025)); and the WEF 2025 Global Gender Gap Report Forum ((2025)). To incorporate conservative/market perspectives, we review AEI and Heritage commentary Institute ((2021)); Foundation ((2024)) and research on equilibrium effects of pay transparency Cullen and Pakzad-Hurson ((2021)); Cullen ((2023)); Mas ((2014)).

5 Hiring Patterns Across Industries (U.S. and Global)

U.S. employment remains gender-segregated. CPS 2024 annual averages indicate women comprise roughly 47% of total employment but are more concentrated in health care, education, and service roles, with lower shares in construction, engineering, and portions of tech Labor Statistics ((2025)). Internationally, the World Economic Forum (2025) estimates overall global gender parity at 68.8%, with economic participation parity at about 60–61%, implying persistent cross-country segmentation Forum ((2025)). Market-oriented analyses argue that part of observed differences in outcomes reflect hours worked, occupation mix, and career continuity rather than like-for-like pay differences Institute ((2021)); Foundation ((2024)).

6 State Politics and Gender Disparities (Red vs. Blue)

AAUW's 2025 analysis shows that every U.S. state has a gender pay gap, with substantial dispersion across states University Women ((2025b)). Cross-state differences correlate with policy adoption such as salary-history bans and pay-transparency requirements, which are more prevalent in many blue states University Women ((2025b)). However, composition matters: industry mix (e.g., energy and construction), unionization, urbanization, and childcare access vary across states and can generate red-blue patterns without ideology being the sole driver. Earlier peer-reviewed work associates state liberalism with narrower gaps, but causality remains difficult to establish Maume ((2015)). Recent reporting suggests that post-pandemic

return-to-office mandates have reduced flexibility and may contribute to widening national gaps, though these effects likely differ by state and sector Post ((2025)).

7 Women in Al Fields (U.S. & Global) and Political Context

Women remain underrepresented in AI and tech roles. The OECD documents lower female representation in AI-exposed professional occupations and constrained access to AI tools Economic Co-operation and Development ((2024)). The ILO shows that clerical and administrative tasks—female-heavy—are highly exposed to generative-AI transformation in high-income countries; a 2025 refinement confirms the asymmetric exposure Organization ((2023)); Organization ((2025)). Direct state-by-state measures of female AI participation are limited. It is therefore premature to assert causality from political ideology to AI underrepresentation without merging employer-level AI job postings and hires with state policy and industry controls. Nonetheless, differences in STEM pipelines, childcare, higher education, and transparency regimes plausibly contribute to cross-state variation Organization ((2023)); Organization ((2025)); Economic Co-operation and Development ((2024)).

8 Wage Gaps and Political Affiliation

On annual full-time, year-round earnings, IWPR reports a deterioration from 2022 to 2023 (82.7¢) and news coverage indicates about 80.9¢ in 2024—the lowest since 2016 Women's Policy Research ((2024)); Newsweek ((2025)). By contrast, Pew Research Center's hourly series shows women earned about 85% of men's hourly pay in 2024 when combining full-and part-time workers Center ((2025)). Adjusted gaps shrink after controlling for occupation, hours, and experience but do not disappear Institute ((2021)); Center ((2025)). Policy can narrow gaps: pay-transparency laws are associated with smaller within-firm gaps but may compress overall wages or slow wage growth according to equilibrium analyses Cullen and Pakzad-Hurson ((2021)); Cullen ((2023)); Mas ((2014)).

9 Implications for Job Seekers (2025)

- Sector choice: Target underrepresented, higher-growth fields such as data, AI, and engineering while building verifiable skills, certifications, and portfolios Labor Statistics ((2025)); Economic Co-operation and Development ((2024)).
- Geography: Favor states and metros with pay-transparency requirements and supportive care infrastructure while benchmarking offers with state snapshots University Women ((2025b)).

- AI resilience: Develop AI-complementary skills to hedge exposure in clerical/admin roles and to compete for AI-adjacent, higher-pay tracks Organization ((2023)); Economic Co-operation and Development ((2024)).
- Employer screening: Prefer organizations with posted pay bands, career-progression transparency, and flexible/hybrid policies as RTO mandates may widen disparities Post ((2025)).
- **Negotiation:** Use posted ranges as inputs, not anchors, and negotiate based on documented contributions; be aware of transparency's potential compression effects Cullen and Pakzad-Hurson ((2021)); Cullen ((2023)); Mas ((2014)).

10 Limitations

Causal attribution of political ideology to gender disparities is challenging due to confounding by industry mix, demographics, and local cost structures. AI participation statistics with stategender granularity remain sparse. International comparisons depend on differing definitions of occupations, pay, and employment. Transparency policy effects vary by market and occupation; equilibrium responses may offset some intended benefits.

11 Conclusion

Gender disparities in hiring, AI participation, and pay persist across the U.S. and globally. State policies and employer practices shape observed gaps, but composition and choice also matter. A pragmatic job-search strategy in 2025 combines sector targeting, AI-adjacent upskilling, careful geography selection, and screening for transparent, flexible employers. Continuous measurement using CPS updates, AAUW/IWPR dashboards, and international benchmarks will be essential for tracking progress.

CENTER, P. R. (2025): "Gender Pay Gap in u.s. Has Narrowed Slightly over 2 Decades,"

Cullen, Z. (2023): Is Pay Transparency Good?,.

Cullen, Z., and B. Pakzad-Hurson. (2021): Equilibrium Effects of Pay Transparency,.

ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION, O. FOR, AND DEVELOPMENT. (2024): "Algorithm and Eve: How AI Will Impact Women at Work,"

FORUM, W. E. (2025): Global Gender Gap Report 2025,.

FOUNDATION, H. (2024): "Making Sense of the Wage Gap,"

- INSTITUTE, A. E. (2021): "Gender Pay Gap Commentary Archive,"
- LABOR STATISTICS, U. S. B. OF. (2025): Employed Persons by Detailed Occupation, Sex, Race, and Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity: Annual Averages 2024,.
- MAS, A. (2014): "Does transparency lead to pay compression?" National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 20558,.
- Maume, D. J. (2015): "State liberalism, female supervisors, and the gender wage gap," Social Science Research,.
- Newsweek. (2025): "America's Gender Pay Gap Going in Wrong Direction,"
- Organization, I. L. (2023): Generative AI and Jobs: A Global Analysis of Potential Effects,.
- ---. (2025): Generative AI and Jobs: A Refined Global Index of Occupational Exposure,.
- Post, T. W. (2025): "Women Are Taking Pay Cuts as Companies Mandate Return to Office,"
- University Women, A. A. of. (2025a): "The Simple Truth about the Gender Pay Gap 2025,"
- ---. (2025b): "Gender Pay Gap by State,"
- WOMEN'S POLICY RESEARCH, I. FOR. (2024): Gender and Racial Wage Gaps Worsened in 2023 and Pay Equity Still Decades Away,.