

EAVA: An R package for Expert Algorithm Verbal Autopsy (EAVA) cause of death assignment

30 May 2025

Summary

EAVA (Expert Algorithm Verbal Autopsy) is an R package for determining cause of death (COD) using verbal autopsy (VA) interview questionnaire data, a predominant tool to determine cause of death in under-resourced settings (Nichols et al. 2018). The EAVA R package translates the methodology created and validated by Kalter and colleagues (Kalter, Perin, and Black 2016) to diagnose a cause of death from VA records of neonates and children (1 to 59 months of age). EAVA inputs data from the 2016 version of the WHO Verbal Autopsy (VA) questionnaire and outputs a cause of death (COD) for each VA record based on a deterministic hierarchy of causes. The assignment approach utilizes the decedent’s age to decide which of two separate hierarchies will determine a cause of death.

Statement of need

Most deaths occur outside of a medical setting and as a result, the causes of these deaths are not captured. Verbal autopsies conducted in the community, usually at the homes of children who died, are used to understand the most common causes of death in settings where civil registration systems need to be strengthened (WHO 2016). Physicians assess signs and symptoms, which were reported to be present at the time of death by a decedent’s caregiver during the Verbal Autopsy, and assign a cause of death deemed most likely. To account for bias, physician-coded verbal autopsy (PCVA) requires that verbal autopsy questionnaire data are read by multiple physicians. PCVA is time-intensive for physicians in resource-limited settings and repeatability can be low in childhood deaths (Chandramohan et al. 1998).

Over the last decade, there has been increased adoption of algorithmic cause-ascertainment from VA. These algorithms, termed as Computer-Coded Verbal Autopsy (CCVA), are considerably less time- and resource-intensive than PCVA, facilitating scalability of obtaining COD for large (national- or sub-national-level) VA databases. There now exists a suite of CCVA algorithms for COD ascertainment from VA data – EAVA, InterVA4, InterVA5, InSilicoVA, Tariff, and Naïve Bayes Classifier (Li et al. 2022). Each algorithm differs in implementation details and underlying methodology. However, except EAVA, most of these are primarily data-driven, estimating some form of a conditional probability symptom-given-cause matrix. These estimates are primarily derived from the Population Health Metrics Research Consortium (PHMRC) study, conducted in 2011, which contains both VA records and validated ‘gold-standard’ causes (Murray et al. 2011). Reliance on PHMRC limits generalizability in newer VA studies. It has been shown that most CCVA algorithms misclassify the cause for a substantial proportion of deaths (Datta et al. 2021; Fiksel et al. 2022).

EAVA addresses some of the drawbacks of physician coding and is not reliant on PHMRC data. It automates and replicates the decision trees of human physician coders as it assesses signs and symptoms of common causes of death, arriving at a single diagnosis using ICD-10 classifications in the hierarchy. If diagnostic criteria are not met for any cause, the neonate or 1-to-59-month-old child is assigned a COD of “unspecified” (Appendices 1 and 2). EAVA has been shown to yield comparable accuracy to the other CCVA algorithms

(Fiksel et al. 2023; Gilbert et al. 2023). The COD outputs from EAVA can also be used in the VA calibration algorithm which combines COD ascertainment from multiple CCVA algorithms and adjusts for their biases to produce a calibrated estimate of population-level cause-specific mortality fractions (Datta et al. 2021; Fiksel et al. 2022; Pramanik et al. 2023). Inclusion of CCVA algorithms with different cause-ascertainment logic ensures robustness of results for VA calibration. Hence, due to the fundamentally different decision-making nature of EAVA compared to other CCVA algorithms, it is now a central component of the VA calibration algorithm and has been used in VA-calibration to produce bias-corrected estimates of CSMF for child (1-59 months) and neonatal deaths in Mozambique (Fiksel et al. 2023; Gilbert et al. 2023; Macicame et al. 2023)

The EAVA R package takes EAVA analytical scripts originally compiled in SAS and R and makes the methodology publicly available in CRAN, which expands the potential for research use, ongoing development, and future integration into VA pipelines and toolkits.

State of the field

Many of the aforementioned CCVA algorithms (EAVA, InterVA4, InterVA5, InSilicoVA, Tariff, and Naïve Bayes Classifier) are implemented in the openVA R package (Zehang et al. 2024). The CrossVA package converts 2016 WHO VA questionnaire data to a standard input format for use in openVA (Thomas et al. 2021). There is also standalone software for some CCVA algorithms, for example, the InterVA algorithm (Byass 2020) and the SmartVA algorithm (Flaxman 2025). There has been no publicly available version of EAVA prior to this R package.


The EAVA package

The EAVA R package comprises two functions (Wilson et al. 2025). The first function is `odk2EAVA` which builds on the CrossVA package to convert interview responses from the 2016 WHO Verbal Autopsy questionnaire into standardized inputs for use in `codEAVA`. The second function, `codEAVA`, evaluates whether reported symptoms meet diagnostic criteria of common causes of death and assigns a main cause based on a hierarchy of causes. The algorithm utilizes age-group specific ascertainment logic due to significant differences between the common causes of death of neonates 0-27 days (Appendix 1) and children aged 1-to-59-months (Appendix 2).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The Gates Foundation Grant INV-03484. We would like to thank the families who participated in VA interviews for the Countrywide Mortality Surveillance for Action project.

Appendix 1: deterministic hierarchical algorithm to reach a single cause of death in neonates



fever or
cold to touch-3290), or
2 or more of the following 7 signs:

(fever or
cold to touch),
stopped being able to cry
(not able to suckle normally on day1 or
stopped being able to suckle),
spasms/convulsions,
vomited everything,
(yellow skin or
yellow eyes),
(lethargic or
unconscious),
(chest indrawing or
grunting);

YES
→ sepsis

↓ NO

(yellow skin or
yellow eyes) plus
(stopped being able to suckle normally or
lethargic or
unresponsive/unconscious) plus
(no fever and
no hypothermia);

YES
→ jaundice

↓ NO

bleeding from anywhere AND
no fever and
no hypothermia;

YES
→ hemorrhage

↓ NO

Appeared to be healthy and then just died suddenly AND

no illness signs/symptoms are present:

no bruises or signs of injury at birth

no physical abnormality at the time of delivery

breathed immediately after birth

no difficulty breathing at birth

nothing done to try to help the baby breathe at birth

(cried immediately after birth or

cried within 5 minutes after birth)

did not stop being able to cry

was able to suckle normally on the first day of life

did not stop being able to suckle normally

no difficulty breathing

no fast breathing

no chest indrawing

no grunting

no spasms or convulsions

no fever

no cold to touch

not lethargic

not unresponsive or unconscious

no bulging fontanelle

no redness or pus drainage from the umbilical cord stump

no skin bumps containing pus

no skin ulcers/pits

no area of the skin with redness or swelling

no area of the skin that turned black

no bleeding from anywhere

no more frequent loose or liquid stools than usual

no vomiting in the week before death

no yellow skin


no yellow eyes


YES
→ sudden unexplained infant death


↓ NO

unspecified

Appendix 2: deterministic hierarchical algorithm to reach a single cause of death in children 1-to-59-months-of-age







References

- Byass, Peter. 2020. “InterVA.” <http://www.byass.uk/interva>.
- Chandramohan, Daniel, Gillian H. Maude, Laura C. Rodrigues, and Richard J. Hayes. 1998. “Verbal Autopsies for Adult Deaths: Their Development and Validation in a Multicenter Study.” *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 3 (6). <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3156.1998.00255.x>.
- Datta, Abhirup, Jacob Fiksel, Agbessi Amouzou, and Scott L. Zeger. 2021. “Regularized Bayesian Transfer Learning for Population-Level Etiological Distributions.” *Biostatistics (Oxford, England)* 22 (4): 836–57. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxaa001>.
- Fiksel, Jacob, Abhirup Datta, Agbessi Amouzou, and Scott Zeger. 2022. “Generalized Bayes Quantification Learning Under Dataset Shift.” *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 117 (540): 2163–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2021.1909599>.
- Fiksel, Jacob, Brian Gilbert, Emily Wilson, Henry Kalter, Almamy Kante, Aveika Akum, Dianna Blau, et al. 2023. “Correcting for Verbal Autopsy Misclassification Bias in Cause-Specific Mortality Estimates.” *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 108 (5_Suppl): 66–77. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.22-0318>.
- Flaxman, Abraham. 2025. “SmartVA-Analyze.” <https://github.com/ihmeuw/SmartVA-Analyze/releases/tag/v3.0.0>.
- Gilbert, Brian, Jacob Fiksel, Emily Wilson, Henry Kalter, Almamy Kante, Aveika Akum, Dianna Blau, et al. 2023. “Multi-Cause Calibration of Verbal Autopsy-Based Cause-Specific Mortality Estimates of Children and Neonates in Mozambique.” *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 108 (5_Suppl): 78–89. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.22-0319>.
- Kalter, Henry D., Jamie Perin, and Robert E. Black. 2016. “Validating Hierarchical Verbal Autopsy Expert Algorithms in a Large Data Set with Known Causes of Death.” *Journal of Global Health* 6 (1): 010601. <https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.06.010601>.
- Li, Zehang Richard, Jason Thomas, Eungang Choi, Tyler H. McCormick, and Samuel J Clark. 2022. “The openVA Toolkit for Verbal Autopsies.” *The R Journal* 14 (4): 316–34. <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2023-020>.
- Macicame, Ivalda, Almamy M. Kante, Emily Wilson, Brian Gilbert, Alain Koffi, Sheila Nhachungue, Celso Monjane, et al. 2023. “Countrywide Mortality Surveillance for Action in Mozambique: Results from a National Sample-Based Vital Statistics System for Mortality and Cause of Death.” *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 108 (5_Suppl): 5–16. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.22-0367>.
- Murray, Christopher JL, Alan D. Lopez, Robert Black, Ramesh Ahuja, Said Mohd Ali, Abdullah Baqui, Lalit Dandona, et al. 2011. “Population Health Metrics Research Consortium Gold Standard Verbal Autopsy Validation Study: Design, Implementation, and Development of Analysis Datasets.” *Population Health Metrics* 9 (1): 27–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-7954-9-27>.
- Nichols, Erin K., Peter Byass, Daniel Chandramohan, Samuel J. Clark, Abraham D. Flaxman, Robert Jakob, Jordana Leitao, et al. 2018. “The WHO 2016 Verbal Autopsy Instrument: An International Standard Suitable for Automated Analysis by InterVA, InSilicoVA, and Tariff 2.0.” *PLOS Medicine* 15 (1): e1002486. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002486>.
- Pramanik, Sandipan, Scott Zeger, Dianna Blau, and Abhirup Datta. 2023. “Modeling Structure and Country-Specific Heterogeneity in Misclassification Matrices of Verbal Autopsy-Based Cause of Death Classifiers.” <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2312.03192>.
- Thomas, Jason, Eungang Choi, Zehang Li, Nicolas Marie, Tyler McCormick, Peter Byass, and Samuel Clark. 2021. “CrossVA: Verbal Autopsy Data Transformation for InSilicoVA and InterVA5 Algorithms.” <https://CRAN.R-Project.org/Package=CrossVA>. <https://doi.org/10.32614/cran.package.crossva>.
- WHO. 2016. “Verbal Autopsy Standards: The 2016 WHO Verbal Autopsy Instrument Manual.” <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/verbal-autopsy-standards-the-2016-who-verbal-autopsy-instrument>.
- Wilson, Emily B., Henry Kalter, Abhi Datta, Sandipan Pramanik, and Robert E. Black. 2025. “EAVA: Deterministic Verbal Autopsy Coding with Expert Algorithm Verbal Autopsy.” <https://CRAN.R-Project.org/Package=EAVA>. <https://doi.org/10.32614/cran.package.eava>.
- Zehang, Richard Li, Jason Thomas, Tyler H. McCormick, and Samuel J. Clark. 2024. “openVA: Automated Method for Verbal Autopsy.” <https://CRAN.R-Project.org/Package=openVA>. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.openVA>.