## Doing our supply/demand contribution graph properly

Which influencer has the most influence?

November 4, 2024

**Purpose:** When I did the original note for the classification of sectors and being the *originator* of a supply or demand shock, I thought we could do a similar graph to Shapiro's inflation graph showing contributions of demand and supply with our classifications directly. Based on our last meeting, it became clear that this was incorrect. If a sector (like oil) is the source of a supply shock *everywhere* we need to have the right approach to capture this.

Here is what I would suggest in order to operationalize this. Note that I will use the same notation of matrices that we have in our other notes –  $\Omega$  is the share of intermediates row sources from column,  $\gamma$  is the intermediate share of sales for each industry.

- 1. Start by writing a function that produces the graphs. This function should take as inputs the following:
  - $\pi_t$  CPI inflation ( $T \times 1$  total time series)
  - E Expenditure weights by sector (P x T) where P is the number of products and T is the number of time periods. Note that  $1_P'E = 1_T'$  the sum of expenditure weights over the product/industry dimension P is 1.
  - A Demand shock matrix *D* (*P* x *T*) where entries are between 0 and 1. If an entry is 0.75 for sector 3 in 2000Q1, then it means that in 2000Q1, sector 3 was 75% affected by a demand shock and 25% affected by a supply shock.
  - A matrix of sectoral inflations  $\Pi$  ( $P \times T$ ) where ( $1'_P(E \cdot \Pi) \approx \pi_t$ ) noting that  $1_P$  is a  $P \times 1$  vector of ones and  $A \cdot B$  means the elementwise multiplication of A and B.

Note that there might be more inputs I have forgotten.

This function will then plot CPI inflation as a line, then calculate  $1_P'(D \cdot E \cdot \Pi)$  and plot it as a bar and call it the "demand contribution to CPI". For supply bars it will calculate  $1_P'((1-D) \cdot E \cdot \Pi)$ .

2. Use this function to replicate the Shapiro classification. In this case entries of *D* will either be 1 or 0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>What I mean by this math is if you take the expenditure shares and scale them by inflation in each product/industry and then sum over products/industries, you should get CPI inflation and it should be close to the first input.

- 3. Next we will use this function to implement our classification. We will get a initial matrix  $\tilde{D}$  from our procedure. This however only characterizes the sectors based on the *initial* source of the shock (supply of demand). What we want is to calculate the influence of these shocks throughout the economy. To do this let's do the following:
  - (a) We should already have a classification where if  $P_{VA}$  (price of value added) and <u>real</u> quantity (i.e. real production and not sales) both rise/fall in industry i at time t, we say  $\tilde{D}_{i,t} = 1$ . If instead  $P_{VA}$  and quantity move in opposite directions, we say  $\tilde{D}_{i,t} = 0.2$
  - (b) Next we want to calculate the influence of this onto prices.<sup>3</sup> To find that first let us calculate the following  $\Theta \equiv (I diag(\underline{\gamma})\Omega)^{-1} = (I \Delta)^{-1}$ . Note that  $\Theta \neq \Xi$  because  $\Xi = (I \Delta')^{-1}$ .  $\Theta$  is also a  $P \times P$  matrix.
  - (c) Next we should calculate the influence shares of  $P_{VA}$  movements onto output prices (and therefore sectoral inflation). However doing so is a little tricky because even though  $\Theta$  is constant, the value added prices vary over time.
  - (d) Next calculate  $\theta_t \equiv \Theta \cdot (\log(\underline{P}_{VA,t}) \otimes 1_P')$  where  $\underline{P}_{VA,t}$  is a  $P \times 1$  matrix when doing this multiplication.<sup>4</sup> Note that we need to do this for every time period  $t = [1, \ldots, T]$ . Note also that  $\theta_t$  is a  $P \times P$  matrix (and the we have T of these).
  - (e) Calculate the column sum of  $\underline{\kappa}_t = 1_p' \theta_t$ . Note that  $\underline{\kappa}_t$  should be 1 by P.
  - (f) Influence is calculated by  $\lambda_t \equiv diag(1/\underline{\kappa}_t)\theta_t$ . This is a  $P \times P$  matrix and there are T of them one for each t. Since  $\Lambda_t$  is an influence share, the column-sum should be 1:  $1_P'\lambda = 1_P$  or summing over rows within a column should be 1.
  - (g) Calculate the input D one column at a time. That is if  $\underline{D}_t$  is the  $t^{th}$  column of D and  $\underline{\tilde{D}}_t$  is the  $t^{th}$  column of D, then it is calculated as:  $\underline{D}_t \equiv \lambda_t \underline{\tilde{D}}_t$ . Note that since  $\lambda_t$  is  $P \times P$  and  $D_t$  is  $P \times 1$ , each  $D_t$  is  $P \times 1$ .
  - (h) Finally concatenate the  $\underline{D}_t$ s to get:

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{D}_1 & \dots & \underline{D}_T \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Before using *D* as an input we should verify that all entries are between 0 and 1. Let me know if any are negative – this might be possible and we need to think about what to do in that case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Tony can you please check we are doing the classification this way?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Ben, note that if we wanted to do the influence on quantities we would need to do something a little different to this. Let me know if you want the math for that.

 $<sup>^4\</sup>otimes$  represents the kronecker product but effectively all I want is P repetitions of the vector  $\log(\underline{P}_{VA,t})$  so that all rows have the same number in them.