

## Homework 2

Emily Costa

### Problem 1

My approach to solving the programming problem was laying out the steps by comments and filling it out based on the pseudo-code provided in this course. To do the addition of checking for an already sorted array, I simply created an additional function that iterated through the subarray and did the check and added a conditional statement into the merge() function. The pseudo-code was sometimes confusing when it came to the index starting at 0 in C++, not 1. A challenge I found was really just dealing with C++ syntax. A few of these issues caused segmentation faults and I had to spend a good amount of time addressing them. The concept of Merge Sort was clear and easy to me. Here is a sample output of my program:

```
Input the number of integers in A, n: 15
Array before mergesort:  83 86 77 15 93 35 86 92 49 21 62 27 90 59 63
Already sorted subarray: 83 86
Already sorted subarray: 86 92
Array after mergesort:   15 21 27 35 49 59 62 63 77 83 86 86 90 92 93
```

### Problem 2

In order to no longer need the sentinel value of infinity, one could simply check if  $i$  or  $j$  reached  $n_1$  or  $n_2$ , respectively, then fill out the resulting array with the leftover values from  $i$  or  $j$ , as those are already sorted.

Instead of:

for  $k=p$  to  $r$  {...}

Use:

while  $i < n_1$  and  $j < n_2$  {...}

for  $i$  to  $n_1$

$A[k] = L[i]$

$i = i + 1$

$k = k + 1$

for  $j$  to  $n_2$

$A[k] = R[j]$

$j = j + 1$

$k = k + 1$

### Problem 3

- This function returns 2 to the power of  $n$ .
- $F_2$  is significantly faster as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- The time complexity of  $F_1$  is  $O(2^n)$  and the time complexity is  $O(n)$ , or linear, for  $F_2$ .

### Problem 4

- The purpose of ProcedureX is to sort the integers in ascending order. It achieves this by placing the least integer from  $i$  to  $j$  at position  $i$ , shifting the  $i$  pointer every time the first loop occurs. Once the  $i$  pointer passes every position in the array, the array is sorted.

b. The time complexity of the worst-case scenario would be  $O(n^2)$ . This is because, for  $n$  elements, each element needs to loop through an array up to a size of  $n$ . So the time complexity becomes  $n*n$ , or  $n^2$ .

### Problem 5

```
insertion_sort_recursive(A, i):  
    if i=1: return  
    insertion_sort_recursive(A, i-1)  
    do_insert_task(A, i-1) # no need to give algorithm for this
```

This algorithm has a time complexity of  $O(n^2)$ . This can be found by analyzing the running time equation:

```
T(n)  
= T(n-1)+n for n>1 (we ignore n=1, as it becomes irrelevant as n->inf)  
= T(n-2)+(n-1)+n  
= T(n-3)+(n-2)+(n-1)+n (it does continues this pattern n times)  
= O(n*n)  
= O(n^2)
```