Hamida Paiman, Vaiswi Patel, Amanda Tsui, Mehaer Chhabda, Emily Gill

Emily: Wildfire.Count

Adding polynomial terms and interactions

```
NOAAGISSWD$Year_sq <- NOAAGISSWD$Year^2
NOAAGISSWD$delta.temp_sq <- NOAAGISSWD$delta.temp^2
NOAAGISSWD$Year_delta.temp <- NOAAGISSWD$Year * NOAAGISSWD$delta.temp
```

Model 1: Basic Model with Only Year

```
model1 <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ Year, family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = NOAAGISSWD)</pre>
summary(model1)
## Call:
## glm(formula = Wildfire.Count ~ Year, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
    data = NOAAGISSWD)
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -243.44788 72.16830 -3.373 0.000743 ***
              ## Year
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 60.997 on 43 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 43.787 on 42 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 47.787
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Model 2: Basic Model with Only delta.temp

```
model2 <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp, family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = NOAAGISSWD)
summary(model2)</pre>
```

Model 3: Model with Both Year and delta.temp

```
model3 <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp + Year, family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = NOAAGISSWD)</pre>
summary(model3)
## Call:
## glm(formula = Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp + Year, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
    data = NOAAGISSWD)
##
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -212.80370 169.82370 -1.253 0.210
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
     Null deviance: 60.997 on 43 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 43.748 on 41 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 49.748
```

Model 4: Adding Interaction Term

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

```
model4 <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp + Year + Year_delta.temp, family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = NOAAGISSWD)
summary(model4)</pre>
```

```
## Call:
## glm(formula = Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp + Year + Year delta.temp,
##
     family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = NOAAGISSWD)
##
## Coefficients:
                  Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -188.74474 218.72821 -0.863 0.388
              -52.78261 306.29915 -0.172
## delta.temp
                   0.09409 0.11004 0.855 0.393
## Year
## Year_delta.temp 0.02670 0.15263 0.175 0.861
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
      Null deviance: 60.997 on 43 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 43.717 on 40 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 51.717
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Model 5: Adding Quadratic Terms (Full Second Order)

```
## Call:
## glm(formula = Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp + Year + Year_delta.temp +
      Year_sq + delta.temp_sq, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
##
##
       data = NOAAGISSWD)
##
## Coefficients:
##
                     Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                  7.634e+04 8.117e+04 0.941
4.724e+03 4.300e+03 1.098
## (Intercept)
                                                     0.347
## delta.temp
                                                     0.272
## Year
                   -7.769e+01 8.227e+01 -0.944
                                                     0.345
## Year_delta.temp -2.397e+00 2.179e+00 -1.100
                                                     0.271
                  1.976e-02 2.084e-02 0.948 0.343
6.864e+01 5.750e+01 1.194 0.233
## Year_sq
## delta.temp_sq
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 60.997 on 43 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 41.831 on 38 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 53.831
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

Model Comparison using AIC

```
AIC_values <- c(AIC(model1), AIC(model2), AIC(model3), AIC(model4), AIC(model5))
names(AIC_values) <- c("Model 1 (Year only)", "Model 2 (delta.temp only)",

"Model 3 (Year + delta.temp)", "Model 4 (Interaction)",

"Model 5 (Full Second-Order)")
print(AIC_values)
```

```
## Model 1 (Year only) Model 2 (delta.temp only)

## 47.78727 49.40551

## Model 3 (Year + delta.temp) Model 4 (Interaction)

## 49.74829 51.71748

## Model 5 (Full Second-Order)

## 53.83097
```

PRESS Calculation for Each Model

```
## Model 1 (Year only) Model 2 (delta.temp only)
## 47.91866 49.60191
## Model 3 (Year + delta.temp) Model 4 (Interaction)
## 50.51476 51.91351
## Model 5 (Full Second-Order)
## 57.48090
```

Bootstrapping for Each Model

t2*

0.1216327 0.01081798 0.04085639

```
# Running bootstrapping for each model to estimate the stability of coefficients.
boot_logit_model1 <- function(data, indices) {</pre>
  fit <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ Year, family = binomial, data = data[indices, ])</pre>
  return(coef(fit))
boot_logit_model2 <- function(data, indices) {</pre>
  fit <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp, family = binomial, data = data[indices, ])</pre>
 return(coef(fit))
boot_logit_model3 <- function(data, indices) {</pre>
  fit <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp + Year, family = binomial, data = data[indices, ])</pre>
 return(coef(fit))
boot_logit_model4 <- function(data, indices) {</pre>
 fit <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp + Year + Year_delta.temp, family = binomial, data = data[indices, ])</pre>
 return(coef(fit))
boot_logit_model5 <- function(data, indices) {</pre>
 fit <- glm(Wildfire.Count ~ delta.temp + Year + Year_delta.temp + Year_sq + delta.temp_sq, family = binomial, d
ata = data[indices, ])
 return(coef(fit))
# Perform bootstrapping with 1000 replications
set.seed(123)
wildfire_ci_logit_model1 <- boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model1, R = 1000)</pre>
wildfire_ci_logit_model2 <- boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model2, R = 1000)</pre>
wildfire_ci_logit_model3 <- boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model3, R = 1000)</pre>
wildfire_ci_logit_model4 <- boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model4, R = 1000)
## Warning: glm.fit: algorithm did not converge
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
wildfire_ci_logit_model5 <- boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model5, R = 1000)</pre>
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning alm fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
# Print bootstrapping results
print(wildfire_ci_logit_model1)
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
## Call:
## boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model1, R = 1000)
## Bootstrap Statistics :
                           bias
           original
                                   std. error
## t1* -243.4478775 -21.64367711 81.75694052
```

print(wildfire_ci_logit_model2)

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model2, R = 1000)
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
## original bias std.error
## t1* -3.117966 -0.3099106  1.265640
## t2* 5.698753  0.5425598  2.236757
```

print(wildfire_ci_logit_model3)

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model3, R = 1000)
##
##
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
## original bias std. error
## t1* -212.8036980 -31.16104522 254.4431114
## t2* 0.8126896 0.20957533 5.7156988
## t3* 0.1061008 0.01550627 0.1285781
```

print(wildfire_ci_logit_model4)

```
##
## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP
##
##
## Call:
## boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model4, R = 1000)
##
##
##
##
## Bootstrap Statistics :
## original bias std. error
## t1* -188.74474055 -149.49140584 3927.930885
## t2* -52.78260628 -555.16651522 17352.565807
## t3* 0.09409445 0.07561554 1.994381
## t4* 0.02670218 0.27560843 8.609956
```

print(wildfire_ci_logit_model5) ## ORDINARY NONPARAMETRIC BOOTSTRAP ## ## ## Call: ## boot(data = NOAAGISSWD, statistic = boot_logit_model5, R = 1000) ## ## Bootstrap Statistics : ## original bias std. error ## t1* 7.634483e+04 6.603196e+04 7.163235e+06 ## t2* 4.723529e+03 1.081315e+04 3.353721e+05 ## t3* -7.768639e+01 -6.926153e+01 7.230823e+03 ## t4* -2.396904e+00 -5.522072e+00 1.698135e+02 ## t5* 1.976133e-02 1.813742e-02 1.824844e+00 ## t6* 6.863539e+01 2.203118e+02 4.754929e+03

Analysis for Wildfire Section:

Model 1 (Only Year):

Coefficients for both Intercept and Year are highly significant, with p-values < 0.001 (0.000743). This indicates strong evidence that Year is associated with Wildfire.Count.

Model 2 (Only delta.temp):

Both the Intercept and delta.temp coefficients are significant, with p-values < 0.001 (0.00253). This suggests that delta.temp alone is also a plausible predictor.

Model 3 (Year + delta.temp):

Both Year and delta.temp are included, but neither coefficient reaches statistical significance at the 95% level (p > 0.2). This model may not be plausible.

Model 4 (Adding Interaction):

The coefficients for Year, delta.temp, and their interaction term all have p-values > 0.2, indicating weak evidence of association. This model is less plausible.

Model 5 (Full Second-Order):

This model has the highest complexity, including all polynomial and interaction terms. However, none of the coefficients are significant at the 95% level, making it less plausible.

Model Selection using AIC and PRESS

AIC Values:

- Model 1: 47.79 (lowest AIC, suggesting best fit by AIC)
- Model 2: 49.41

- Model 3: 49.75

Higher-order models (Models 4-5) have AICs over 51, indicating worse fit.

PRESS Values:

Similar to AIC, Model 1 has the lowest PRESS score, indicating it best predicts out-of-sample data compared to other models (47.92).

Conclusion:

- Model 1 (Only Year) is the best model based on both statistical significance and model fit (lowest AIC and PRESS). This suggests that Year alone provides a plausible and optimal fit for predicting Wildfire.Count.
- The bootstrapping results provide additional information on coefficient variability. There are convergence issues and the occurrence of fitted probabilities close to 0 or 1. Although there are warnings, we still treat Wildfire.Count as binary in this data set, as it only takes on the values of 0 or 1. This makes the data effectively binary in this instance. For binary outcomes, addressing logistic model convergence issues is generally preferable to switching to linear regression.