Model View Controller

Geoffrey Matthews

Department of Computer Science Western Washington University

November 2, 2016

Readings

- https://blog.codinghorror.com/ understanding-model-view-controller/
- http://www.tomdalling.com/blog/software-design/ model-view-controller-explained/
- http://www.artima.com/lejava/articles/ stringtemplate.html

```
Invented by Trygve Reenskaug
http:
//heim.ifi.uio.no/~trygver/themes/mvc/mvc-index.html.
```

Models

Models represent knowledge. A model could be a single object (rather uninteresting), or it could be some structure of objects. There should be a one-to-one correspondence between the model and its parts on the one hand, and the represented world as perceived by the owner of the model on the other hand.

Views

A view is a (visual) representation of its model. It would ordinarily highlight certain attributes of the model and suppress others. It is thus acting as a presentation filter.

A view is attached to its model (or model part) and gets the data necessary for the presentation from the model by asking questions. It may also update the model by sending appropriate messages. All these questions and messages have to be in the terminology of the model, the view will therefore have to know the semantics of the attributes of the model it represents.

Controllers

A controller is the link between a user and the system. It provides the user with input by arranging for relevant views to present themselves in appropriate places on the screen. It provides means for user output by presenting the user with menus or other means of giving commands and data. The controller receives such user output, translates it into the appropriate messages and pass these messages on to one or more of the views.

MVC philosophy can be applied on many levels

Model=HTML css Zen Garden

The Beauty of CSS Design

A demonstration of what can be accomplished this page.

Download the sample html file and css file

The Road to Enlightenment

Littering a dark and dreary road lay the past re

Today, we must clear the mind of past practice W3C, WaSP and the major browser creators

The css Zen Garden invites you to relay and m

```
View=CSS
body {
   font: 12px/16px arial, helveti
   color: #555;
   background: url(bg_left.gif) r
   margin: 0;
   padding: 0;
}

a {
   text-decoration: none;
   font-weight: bold;
   color: #655;
}
a:hover {
   text-decoration: none;
   font-weight: bold;
   color: #606;
}
```



HTML, CSS and Browser represent MVC

Model

The HTML is the "skeleton" of bedrock content. Text that communicates information to the reader.

View

The CSS adds visual style to the content. It is the "skin" that we use to flesh out our skeleton and give it a particular look. We can swap in different skins via CSS without altering the original content in any way. They are relatively, but not completely, independent.

Controller

The browser is responsible for combining and rendering the CSS and HTML into a set of final, manipulatible pixels on the screen. It gathers input from the user and marshals it to any JavaScript code necessary for the page to function. But here, too, we have flexibility: we can plug in a different brower and get comparable results. Some browsers might render it faster, or with more fidelity, or with more bells and whistles.

- Data (Model)
- An interface to view and modify the data (View)
- Operations that can be performed on the data (Controller)

- ► The model represents the data, and does nothing else. The model does NOT depend on the controller or the view.
- ► The view displays the model data, and sends user actions (e.g. button clicks) to the controller. The view can:
 - be independent of both the model and the controller; or
 - actually be the controller, and therefore depend on the model.
- ► The controller provides model data to the view, and interprets user actions such as button clicks. The controller depends on the view and the model. In some cases, the controller and the view are the same object.

The Golden Rule of MVC

The model represents the data, and does nothing else. The model does NOT depend on the controller or the view.

Example 1: Address Book

The model is a list of Person objects, the view is a GUI window that displays the list of people, and the controller handles actions such as "Delete person", "Add person", "Email person", etc. The following example does **not** use MVC because the model depends on the view.

```
//Example 1:
void Person::setPicture(Picture pict){
    m_picture = pict; //set the member variable
    m_listView->reloadData(); //update the view
}
```

Example 2: Address Book using MVC

```
//Example 2:
void Person::setPicture(Picture pict){
    m_picture = pict; //set the member variable
}
void PersonListController::changePictureAtIndex(
      Picture newPict,
      int personIndex){
  //modify the model
  m_personList[personIndex].setPicture(newPict);
  //update the view
  m_listView->reloadData();
}
```

In the above example, the Person class knows nothing about the view. The PersonListController handles both changing the model, and updating the view. The view window tells the controller about user actions (in this case, it tells the controller

What is the advantage of MVC?

- ► The easiest way to make code overly complex is to put dependencies everywhere.
- Conversely, removing unnecessary dependencies makes delightful code that is less buggy and easier to maintain because it is reusable without modification.
- ► The purpose of the controller is to remove the view dependency from the model. By removing the view dependency from the model, the model code becomes delightful.

Continuing our address book example

The project manager approaches the developer and says: "We love the contact list window, but we need a second window that displays all the contacts by their photos only. The photos should be in a table layout, with five photos per row."

Handling the new task with MVC in place

- ▶ If the application uses MVC, this task is pretty straight forward. Currently there are three classes: Person, PersonListController, and PersonListView.
- ► Two classes need to be created: PersonPhotoGridView and PersonPhotoGridController. The Person class remains the same, and is easily plugged into the two different views. How delightful.

Handling the new task without MVC in place

```
//Example 3:
void Person::setPicture(Picture pict){
    m_picture = pict; //set the member variable
    //check if it's in a list view
    if(m_listView){
      //update the list view
      m_listView->reloadData();
    //check if it's in a grid view
    if(m_gridView){
      //update the grid view
      m_gridView->reloadData();
}
```

The model code is starting to turn nasty.

More changes and the wonderfulness of MVC

- ▶ If the project manager then says "we're porting the app to a platform with a different GUI toolkit" the delightfulness is even more prominent.
- ▶ With MVC, the Person class can be displayed by different GUI toolkits without any modification. Just make a controller and a view with the new toolkit, just as you would with the old toolkit.

More changes without MVC

```
//Example 4:
void Person::setPicture(Picture pict){
   m_picture = pict;
#ifdef ORIGINAL GUI TOOLKIT
    if(m_listView){ //check if it's in a list view
       m_listView->reloadData(); //update the list view
    if(m_gridView){ //check if it's in a grid view
       m_gridView->reloadData(); //update the grid view
#endif
#ifdef NEW_GUI_TOOLKIT
    if(m listView){ //check if it's in a list view
       m_listView->redisplayData(); //update the list view
    if(m_gridView){ //check if it's in a grid view
       m_gridView->redisplayData(); //update the grid view
#endif
```

Can we put the controller code in the view?

One solution to the spaghetti code problem in Example 4 is to move the controller code from the model to the view like so:

```
//Example 5:
PersonListView::newPictureClicked(Picture clickedPicture){
    m_selectedPerson.setPicture(clickedPicture);
    this->reloadData();
}
```

The above example also makes the model reusable, which is the main advantage of MVC. When the view will only ever display one type of model object, then combining the view and the controller is fine. For example, a SinglePersonView will only ever display a Person object, so the SinglePersonView can double as the controller.

MVC can make the view reusable

However, if the controller is separate from the view then MVC has a second advantage:

MVC can also make the view reusable without modification.

Not only does MVC make the model delightful, it can also make the view delightful. Ideally, a list view should be able to display lists of anything, not just Person objects. The code in Example 5 can not be a generic list view, because it is tied to the model (the Person class). In the situation where the view should be reusable (e.g. a list view, or a table view) and the model should be reusable, MVC is the only thing that will work. The controller removes the dependencies from both the model and the view, which allows them to be reused elsewhere.

Web sites under MVC

The model is any of the logic or the database or any of the data itself. The view is simply how you lay the data out, how it is displayed. If you want a subset of some data, for example, my opinion is that is a responsibility of the model. The model knows how to make a subset. You should not be asking your graphics designer to filter a list according to age or some other criteria.

Web sites under MVC

The controller in a web app is a bit more complicated, because it has two parts. The first part is the web server (such as a servlet container) that maps incoming HTTP URL requests to a particular handler for that request. The second part is those handlers themselves, which are in fact often called "controllers." So the C in a web app MVC includes both the web server "overlord" that routes requests to handlers and the logic of those handlers themselves, which pull the data from the database and push it into the template. This controller also receives HTTP POST requests and processes these, sometimes updating the database.

I look at a website as nothing but a graph with edges with POSTs and GETs that routes pages.

Virtues of Separating MVC

My experience is that designers don't understand loops or any kind of state. They do understand templates with holes in them. Everybody understands mail merge. And if you say, "Apply the bold template to this hole," they kind of get that, too. So separating model and view addresses this very important practical problem of how to have designers work with coders.

Virtues of Separating MVC

The other problem is there is no way to do multiple site skins properly if you don't have proper separation of concerns. If you are doing code generation or sites with different skins on them, there is no way to properly make a new skin by simply copying and pasting the old skin and changing it. If you have the view and the logic together, when you make a copy of the view you copy the logic as well. That breaks one of our primary rules as developers: have only one place to change anything.