

Problem 5. Let R be a ring and $A \subset R$ be a multiplicatively closed subset.

(a) Suppose that $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is an R -mod. homomorphism.

Show that ϕ induces an $A^{-1}R$ homo. $A^{-1}M \rightarrow A^{-1}N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Define } \psi : A^{-1}M &\rightarrow A^{-1}N \\ a^{-1}m &\mapsto a^{-1}\phi(m) \end{aligned}$$

• ψ is well-defined : If $a_1^{-1}m_1 = a_2^{-1}m_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a_1^{-1}m_1) &= a_1^{-1}\phi(m_1) \stackrel{*}{=} \phi(a_1^{-1}m_1) \stackrel{*}{=} \phi(a_2^{-1}m_2) \\ &= \phi(a_2^{-1}m_2) \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} a_2^{-1}\phi(m_2) \\ &= \psi(a_2^{-1}m_2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet (i) \psi(a_1^{-1}m_1 + a_2^{-1}m_2) &= \psi(a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}(a_2m_1 + a_1m_2)) \\ &= \psi((a_1a_2)^{-1}(a_2m_1 + a_1m_2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (a_1a_2)^{-1}\phi(a_2m_1 + a_1m_2) \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} (a_1a_2)^{-1}(a_2\phi(m_1) + a_1\phi(m_2)) \\ &= a_1^{-1}\phi(m_1) + a_2^{-1}\phi(m_2) \\ &= \psi(a_1^{-1}m_1) + \psi(a_2^{-1}m_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii)} \quad r \psi(a^{-1}m) &= r(a^{-1}\phi(m)) \\
 &= (ra^{-1})\phi(m) \\
 &= (a^{-1}r)\phi(m) \\
 &= a^{-1}(r\phi(m)) \\
 &= a^{-1}\phi(rm) \\
 &= \psi(a^{-1}(rm)) \\
 &= \psi(r(a^{-1}m))
 \end{aligned}$$

we always use
 ϕ is an R -mod
homo. and
the module str.
in R .

(b) Suppose $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\phi_1} M \xrightarrow{\phi_2} N \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of R -modules. Show that

$0 \rightarrow A^{-1}L \xrightarrow{\psi_1} A^{-1}M \xrightarrow{\psi_2} A^{-1}N \rightarrow 0$ with induced maps from (i) is an exact sequence of $A^{-1}R$ -mod.

• ψ_1 is injective:

$$\psi_1(a_1^{-1}l_1) = \psi_1(a_2^{-1}l_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1^{-1}\phi_1(l_1) = a_2^{-1}\phi_1(l_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(a_1^{-1}l_1) = \phi_1(a_2^{-1}l_2)$$

$\Rightarrow a_1^{-1}l_1 = a_2^{-1}l_2$ since ϕ_1 is injective

• ψ_2 is surjective

For every $a^{-1}n \in A^{-1}N$, there exists $a^{-1}m \in A^{-1}M$ such that

$$\psi_2(a^{-1}m) = a^{-1}n$$

$$\text{since } \psi_2(a^{-1}m) = a^{-1}\phi_2(m) = a^{-1}n$$

because ϕ_2 is surjective.

$$\bullet \text{ Ker } \psi_2 = \text{Im } \psi_1$$

$$(i) \text{ Ker } \psi_2 \subseteq \text{Im } \psi_1$$

$$\text{Take } a^{-1}m \in \text{Ker } \psi_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_2(a^{-1}m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}\phi_2(m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_2(a^{-1}m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m \in \text{Ker } \phi_2$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m \in \text{Im } \phi_1 \quad \text{since } \text{Ker } \phi_2 = \text{Im } \phi_1$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m = \phi_1(\bar{a}_*^{-1}l) \quad \text{for some } \bar{a}_*^{-1}l \in A_*^{-1}L$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m = \bar{a}_*^{-1} \phi_1(l)$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m = \psi_1(\bar{a}_*^{-1}l)$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m \in \text{Im } \psi_1$$

$$(ii) \text{Im } \psi_1 \subseteq \text{Ker } \psi_2$$

$$\text{Take } a^{-1}m \in \text{Im } \psi_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_1(\bar{a}_*^{-1}l) = a^{-1}m \quad \text{for some } \bar{a}_*^{-1}l$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{a}_*^{-1} \phi_1(l) = a^{-1}m$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_1(\bar{a}_*^{-1}l) = a^{-1}m$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m \in \text{Im } \phi_1$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m \in \text{Ker } \phi_2 \quad \text{since } \text{Ker } \phi_2 = \text{Im } \phi_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_2(a^{-1}m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}\phi_2(m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_2(a^{-1}m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1}m \in \text{Ker } \psi_2.$$