

1. Number of zeros: n

Number of searching character = $m = 4$

The string for search is 0010 and text is 0. 00

0000000000. 0

001

001

001

In every time, there would be 3. search. Because the first 2 character is same and algorithm checks for third character. So total number of comparisons is:

of comp = Search word size \times Loop counter

$$= (M-1) \times (n-M+1)$$

$$= 3 \times (n-3)$$

$$= 3n-9 \text{ comparison}$$

$\left[\begin{array}{l} (m-1) \rightarrow \text{Size is } m \text{ but we} \\ \text{compare } m-1 \text{ character because} \\ \text{of } 00\boxed{1}0. \end{array} \right]$

$\left[\begin{array}{l} (n-m+1), \text{ for instance if text size is} \\ 4, \text{ and search size is } 3, \text{ there} \\ \text{would be } (4-3+1) \text{ shifting.} \end{array} \right]$

for input pattern length is 3, ($m=3$)

of comparison is $M(n-M+1)$

$$T_{\text{worst}}(n) = O(n.m) = O(n.3) = O(3n) = O(n)$$

2 - There are 5 vertices. I pick the start point is A so we have to check $\frac{(5-1)!}{2} = 12$ circuit. Now I write all of them and then I'll find the minimum cost.

$$\begin{aligned}
 ABCDEA &= 5+6+2+6+3 = 22 \\
 ABCEDA &= 5+6+4+6+4 = 25 \\
 ABDCEA &= 5+7+2+4+3 = 21 \\
 ABDECA &= 5+7+6+4+5 = 27 \\
 ABECDA &= 5+1+4+2+4 = 16 \\
 ABEDCA &= 5+1+6+2+5 = 19 \\
 ACBDEA &= 5+6+7+6+3 = 27 \\
 ACBEDA &= 5+6+1+6+4 = 22 \\
 ACDBEA &= 5+2+7+1+3 = 18 \\
 ACEBDA &= 5+4+1+7+4 = 21 \\
 ADBCEA &= 4+7+6+4+3 = 24 \\
 ADCBEA &= 4+2+6+1+3 = 16
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \rightarrow B &: 5 \\
 A \rightarrow C &: 5 \\
 A \rightarrow D &: 4 \\
 A \rightarrow E &: 3 \\
 B \rightarrow C &: 6 \\
 B \rightarrow D &: 7 \\
 B \rightarrow E &: 1 \\
 C \rightarrow D &: 2 \\
 C \rightarrow E &: 4 \\
 D \rightarrow E &: 6
 \end{aligned}$$

The minimum cost ways are

- 1: $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow A$
- 2: $A \rightarrow D \rightarrow C \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A$

Both of them take 16 cost.

(I write costs for minimum ways. In homework file, the costs exist but it is more comfortable I think. Also 12 circuit is different. I check all of them and actually there are 24 circuit but half of them are same. So 12 ways remain.)

3. Let's say $\log_2 n = x$ so $2^x = n$

If we want to $\log_2 n$, then we divide then n to 2 by recursively

My code is in file.

Pseudocode

calculate (n)

if $n=1$

return 0

endif

else

return $1 + \text{calculate}(\frac{n}{2})$

end

If the $n=1$ then $T(n)$ is 0.

$$\text{So } T(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n=1 \\ 1+T(\frac{n}{2}), & n>1 \end{cases}$$

Using master theorem,

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 1, \quad a=1 \quad b=2 \quad c=0$$

$$\log_b a = \log_2 1 = 0 = c$$

$$\text{So } T(n) = \Theta(n^c \log n) = \Theta(\log n)$$

4. This question is similar to fake coin problem in our notebook.
With a balance scale, we can compare any 3 sets of bottles

↳ Divide n bottles into 3 piles of $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ bottles each, leaving 1 extra bottle aside if n is odd.

If $n=1$, the bottle is the incorrect. and return it as a incorrect.

If $n=2$, compare them and call the algorithm recursively on the not equal bottle

If $n \geq 2$, and n is multiple of 3, then divide the bottles into 3 piles
of $\frac{n}{3}$ bottles each

If $n \geq 2$ and $n \bmod 3 = 1$ or $n \bmod 3 = 2$, then divide the bottles into the piles
of sizes $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$, $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ and $n - 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ respectively

ALGORITHM FindIncorrectBottle (List, n), ($n = \text{size}$)

If $n=1$ then

return List (which contains only incorrect bottle)

If $n=2$ then

Compare the two bottles and call this function on the incorrect bottle.

Else

Divide bottles into 3 piles of $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$, $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ and $n - 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ bottles

If the first two piles have same weight

Discard them and call this method recursively on the third pile

Else

Call the this method recursively on the lighter pile of the first two piles.

Best Case: If the size of bottles is 1, then function takes constant time
which is $O(1)$

Worst & Average Case Size divided by 3 in every time. So the equation is

$T(n) = T(\frac{n}{3}) + 1$ $T(1) = 1$. We can say $n = 3^k$ and $T(3^k) = k$

and $T(n) = \log_3 n$. I think worst and average case is equal

because both of them continues recursively in else part. So worst and avg. is $O(\log_3 n)$

5. Pseudocode for findElement ($L1, L2, L1.length, L2.length, target, st1, st2$)

if $st1$ is equal to $L1.length$ do

return $L2[st2+target-1]$

if $st2$ is equal to $L2.length$ do

return $L1[st1+target-1]$

if target is 0 or target is bigger than $(L1.length-st1)+(L2.length-st2)$ do

return -1 (not found)

if target is 1 do

if $L1[st1]$ is smaller than $L2[st2]$ do

return $L1[st1]$

else return $L2[st2]$

temp = target / 2

if temp-1 is greater or equal than $L1.length-st1$ do

if $L1[L1.length-1]$ is smaller than $L2[st2+temp-1]$ do

return $L2[st2+(target-(L1.length-st1)-1)]$

else return findElement ($L1, L2, L1.length, L2.length, target-temp, st1, st2+temp$)

if temp-1 is greater or equal than $L2.length-st2$ do

if $L2[L2.length-1]$ is smaller than $L1[st1+temp-1]$ do

return $L1[st1+(target-(L2.length-st2)-1)]$

else return findElement ($L1, L2, L1.length, L2.length, target-temp, st1+temp, st2$)

else

if $L1[temp+st1-1]$ is smaller than $L2[temp+st2-1]$ do

return findElement ($L1, L2, L1.length, L2.length, target-temp, st1+temp, st2$)

else return findElement ($L1, L2, L1.length, L2.length, target-temp, st1, st2+temp$)

end

Pseudocode for helperFunction ($L1, L2, target$)

$L1.sort()$

$L2.sort()$

findElement ($L1, L2, L1.length, L2.length, target$)

In this algorithm I have 2 functions. The first one is helper function. This sorts the arrays before, then send to the other function. The point which I sort arrays first is that merging arrays first and then find x^{th} element is forbidden. Now the time complexity of helper function is $O(n, m) = O(\max(n \log n, m \log m))$. n means size of array 1 and m is size of array 2. Python sort method takes $n \log n$ time for size n . According to these algorithm I wrote $n \log n$ or $m \log m$.

The other function is findElement. This function takes arrays which are sorted and then find the x^{th} element if it exists. Because x may be out of range. If it can't find, return -1. This function recursive and size halved in every call. So $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + 1$ and $T(n) = O(\log n)$.

We take the maximum of $n \log n$, $m \log m$ or $\log n$. So the total time complexity of algorithm;

if $n > m$ $O(n \log n)$

if $m > n$ $O(m \log m)$

Because both of them is bigger than $\log n$.