

CSE-321 Introduction to Algorithm Design, Fall 2020
Homework 2 – Question 1

```
void insertionSort(int arr[], int size)
{
    int i, key, j;
    for (i = 1; i < size; i++) {
        key = arr[i];
        j = i - 1;

        while (j >= 0 && arr[j] > key) {
            arr[j + 1] = arr[j];
            j = j - 1;
        }
        arr[j + 1] = key;
    }
}
```

The insertion algorithm is like that above. So I apply the rules step by step in this question.

Our array is {6,5,3,11,7,5,2}.

Step 1 - a)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
6	5	3	11	7	5	2

Step 1 - b)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
6	5	3	11	7	5	2

Now, according to code ; key = 5, i = 1, j = 0. We compare the index 0 and index 1.

In while loop, j >= 0 and 6 > 5. So we have to put index j to the index j+1.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
6	6	3	11	7	5	2

Again in while loop, j is not greater or equal than 0 because j = -1. So loop finished and index j+1 = 0 must be the key which is 5.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
5	6	3	11	7	5	2

Step 2- a)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
5	6	3	11	7	5	2

Now, according to code ; key = 3, i = 2, j = 1. We compare the index 1 and index 2.

In while loop, j >= 0 and 6 > 3. So we have to put index 1 to the index 2.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
5	6	6	11	7	5	2

Step 2 – b)

Now key = 3, j = 0. Again in while loop, j >= 0 and 5 > 3. So we have to put index 0 to the index 1.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
5	5	6	11	7	5	2

Step 2 – c)

Again in while loop, j is not greater or equal than 0 because j = -1. So loop finished and index i+1 = 0 must be the key which is 3.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	11	7	5	2

Step 3- a)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	11	7	5	2

Now, according to code ; key = 11, i = 3, j = 2. We compare the index 2 and index 3.

In while loop, j >= 0 but 11 is not bigger than 6. So the loop finished and index 3 is again 11.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	11	7	5	2

Step 4- a)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	11	7	5	2

Now, according to code ; key = 7, i = 4, j = 3. We compare the index 3 and index 4.

In while loop, j >= 0 and 11 > 7. So we have to put index 3 to the index 4.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	11	11	5	2

Step 4 – b)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	11	11	5	2

Now key = 7, j = 2. Again in while loop, j >= 0 but 6 is not greater than 7. So loop finished and index j+1 = 3 must be the key, which is 7.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	7	11	5	2

Step 5- a)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	7	11	5	2

Now, according to code ; key = 5, i = 5, j = 4. We compare the index 4 and index 5.

In while loop, j >= 0 and 11 > 5. So we have to put index 4 to the index 5.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	7	11	11	2

Step 5 – b)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	7	11	11	2

Now key = 5, j = 3. Again in while loop, $j \geq 0$ and $7 > 5$. So we have to put index 3 to the index 4.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	7	7	11	2

Step 5 – c)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	7	7	11	2

Now key = 5, j = 2. Again in while loop, $j \geq 0$ and $6 > 5$. So we have to put index 2 to the index 3.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	6	7	11	2

Step 5 – d)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	6	6	7	11	2

Now key = 5, j = 1. Again in while loop, $j \geq 0$ but 5 is not greater than 5. So loop finished and index j+1 which is 2 must be the key.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	7	11	2

Step 6- a)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	7	11	2

Now, according to code ; $key = 2$, $i = 6$, $j = 5$. We compare the index 5 and index 6.

In while loop, $j \geq 0$ and $11 > 2$. So we have to put index 5 to the index 6.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	7	11	11

Step 6 – b)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	7	11	11

Now $key = 2$, $j = 4$. Again in while loop, $j \geq 0$ and $7 > 2$. So we have to put index 4 to the index 5.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	7	7	11

Step 6 – c)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	7	11	11

Now $key = 2$, $j = 3$. Again in while loop, $j \geq 0$ and $6 > 2$. So we have to put index 3 to the index 4.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	6	7	11

Step 6 – d)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	6	7	11

Now key = 2, j = 2. Again in while loop, j >= 0 and 5 > 2. So we have to put index 2 to the index 3.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	5	6	7	11

Step 6 – e)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	6	7	11

Now key = 2, j = 1. Again in while loop, j >= 0 and 5 > 2. So we have to put index 1 to the index 2.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	5	6	7	11

Step 6 – f)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	6	7	11

Now key = 2, j = 0. Again in while loop, j >= 0 and 3 > 2. So we have to put index 0 to the index 1.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	3	5	5	6	7	11

Step 6 – g)

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
3	5	5	6	6	7	11

Again in while loop, j is not greater or equal than 0 because $j = -1$. So loop finished and index 0 must be the key which is 2.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
2	3	5	5	6	7	11

Step 7)

In the for loop, $i = 7$ and it is not less than size which is 7. So sorting finished and the last array is below.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
2	3	5	5	6	7	11

Emirhan UZUN

171044019

Homework 2 Question 1

CSE-321 INTRODUCTION TO ALGORITHMS HOMEWORK #2

1) The answer of first question was answered above.

2-a) When the n is equal to 1, the first if statement executes and function will finish. And this if has just 1 statement which takes constant time. So the best case $B(n) = O(1)$

When the n is bigger than 1, loops start to execute. Outer loop will be executing n times but inner loop executes 1 time for every step. Because it executes, prints "*" and then breaks. That means print one time star and exit the loop. So worst case will be $(n * 1) + 1$. n means first loop execution times, $*1$ means for inner loop execute time for every turn, $+1$ for checks the if statement. Worst case $w(n) = O(n * 1 + 1) = O(n)$

For average case, assume that the n will be positive integers. And the probability of $n=1$ is very low. Because n can be from 1 to very big numbers. So first if statement executes in very low probabilities. Therefore I think average case is equal to worst case.

$$A(n) \approx w(n) = O(n)$$

2-b) void function (int n) {

int count = 0

① for (int i = n/3; i <= n; ++i)

② for (int j = 1; j + n/3 <= n; ++j)

③ for (int k = 1; k <= n; k = k * 3)

count++; }

The 1st loop runs $2n/3$ times and that would be n times as n grows.

The 2nd loop runs approximately $2n/3$ times (for example if n is 600, loop runs 400 times, if $n=1200$ loop runs 800 times etc.) and that would be also n times.

The 3rd loop runs like that: $1, 3, 3^2, \dots, 3^k = n$ so k would be $\log_3 n$.

This function's worst, best and average case are equal each other I think.

Because all of them just depend on n and it has not condition etc.

So the complexity will be $n \cdot n \cdot \log_3 n$ which is $O(n^2 \log_3 n)$

3- Pseudocode

```
procedure findPairs (L[1:n], desiredNumber)
    mergeSort (L) (or L.sort() it doesn't matter because sort time comp is  $O(n \log n)$ )
    lowIndex = 0
    highIndex = length of List - 1
    while (low < high)
        if L[lowIndex] * L[highIndex] is equal to desiredNumber
            print ("{{, {{}}".format (L[lowIndex], L[highIndex])
        endif
        if L[lowIndex] * L[highIndex] is less than desiredNumber
            low = low + 1
        endif
        else high = high - 1
    endwhile
end
```

In question, you would like to provide an algorithm with $O(n \log(n))$.
In my algorithm, mergeSort time complexity is $n \cdot \log(n)$ for all cases which are best, average and worst. The while loop turns n time maximum.
In while loop, the statements take constant time. So the time complexity of whole program is $\max(O(n), O(n \log n)) = O(n \log(n))$.

4- Now we have 2 Binary Search Tree with n nodes. Let's say these names are T_1 and T_2 . If we want to put T_1 nodes to T_2 , then best case will be like this;

Best Case: If the height of T_2 tree is $\log n$, then insert an element to this tree is also $\log n$. Because we eliminate half of nodes for every step. And T_1 has n nodes. So we will do the insert operation to each node, we will apply $n \log n$ steps. So the time complexity of best case is $B(n) = O(n \log n)$

Worst Case: If the height of T_2 tree is n , then insert an element to this tree is also n . Because we eliminate one by one of nodes for every step. On the other hand T_1 has n nodes. So we will do insert operation to each node, we will apply n^2 steps. So the time complexity of worst case is $W(n) = O(n^2)$

5) It is linear time complexity with hashing. We put first and second arrays into two sets. Let's say bigger array size n and smaller array size is m . Therefore $n > m$. After put operations, we check the elements of second array exist the bigger array. Let's look my pseudocode.

procedure findCommonElements (LA[1:n], LB[1:m])

 setA = set()

 setB = set()

 for i in range(n)
 setA.add(LA[i])
 } $\rightarrow O(n)$ times

 endfor

 for i in range(m)
 setB.add(LB[i])
 } $\rightarrow O(m)$ times

 endfor

 if (len(LA) < len(LB))
 for x in setA
 if x in setB
 print(x)
 } $\rightarrow O(\min(\text{len(LA)}, \text{len(LB)}))$
 (I wrote above that assume $n > m$
 but maybe $m > n$ in actual code.
 So I wrote this condition)

 endif

 else

 for x in setB
 if x in setA
 print(x)
 } $\rightarrow O(\min(\text{len(LA)}, \text{len(LB)}))$

 endelse

end

\rightarrow The first 2 loops time complexity is $O(n)$ and $O(m)$ in order. After that if statement takes smaller length times. Because it turns length times and the other statements are constant times. So the time complexity is $\max(O(n), O(m)) = O(n)$ (we assume $n > m$). If $m > n$, that means $O(m)$.