## CSE 414 DAPABASE HOMEWORK #2

1- I will explain all steps below. But for brief, I can say that, I did this question in 5 step which we learnt in lecture. Now first step includes decomposition to see all dependencies.

Step 1: Decomposition right hand side

A1, U3 -> A7

Ay -> AS

Ay > A7

A2, A3 -> A4

As, A7 > A2

As, A7 -> A4

A1, A3, A4 > A2

A3, A5 > A1

A3, A5 -> A4

Step 2: Now I will check all functional dependencies and if I find extraneous attribute on left hand vide, I will remove. But before check, I can directly say that  $A_4 \rightarrow A_5$  and  $A_4 \rightarrow A_7$  are not redundant because they have already single attribute in left hand vide. I will start with more attributes on left hand side.

A1, d3, d4 -> A2

Now, my goal is to simplify at last and union that. Now we have  $A_1, A_3 \rightarrow A_7$  in first FD. So if we get  $A_2$  without  $A_4$ , that means  $A_4$  is reduced. So check this closure:

 $A_1, A_3 \rightarrow A_2$ 

8A1, A33+= 8A1, A3, A7, A2, A43

Yes, we get one without of.

So we remove only from FD

and our new FID is A1, A3 >A2

Now, we have

- $\begin{array}{c}
  0 & A_1, A_3 \rightarrow A_7 \\
  A_4 \rightarrow A_5 \\
  A_4 \rightarrow A_7
  \end{array}$
- @ A2, A3 -> A4
- 3 A3, A7 → A2
- $\Theta$   $A_3$ ,  $A_7 \rightarrow A_4$  $A_1$ ,  $A_3 \rightarrow A_2$  (Changed)
- 6 A3, A5 → A1
- 6 A3, A5 → A7
- Now, check  $A_1, A_3 \rightarrow A_7$   $A_1$  without  $A_3$ :  $\{A_1\}^{\dagger} = \{A_1\}^{\dagger}$  No, we can't get  $A_7$  $A_3$  without  $A_1$ :  $\{A_3\}^{\dagger} = \{A_3\}^{\dagger}$  No, we can't get  $A_7$ . So there attributes aren't redundant
- ② Now, check  $A_2, A_3 \rightarrow A_4$   $A_2$  without  $A_3$ :  $\{A_2\}^{\dagger} = \{A_2\}^{\dagger}$  No, we can't get  $A_4$  $A_3$  without  $A_2$ :  $\{A_3\}^{\dagger} = \{A_3\}^{\dagger}$  No, we can't get  $A_4$ . So these attributes aren't redundant
- 3 Now, check  $A_3, A_7 \rightarrow A_2$ As without  $A_7: \{A_3\}^{\dagger} = \{A_3\}$  We can't get  $A_2$ .

  As without  $A_3: \{A_4\}^{\dagger} = \{A_7\}^{\dagger}$  So these attributes aren't redundant
- 4) Now, check  $A_3, A_7 \rightarrow A_4$   $A_3$  without  $A_7$ :  $\{A_3\}^{\dagger} = \{A_3\}^{\dagger}$  We can't get  $A_4$  $A_7$  without  $A_3$ :  $\{A_7\}^{\dagger} = \{A_7\}^{\dagger}$  So these attributes are not redundant
- (36) Now, check  $A_3, A_5 \rightarrow A_1$  or  $A_3, A_5 \rightarrow A_7$ As without  $A_5: \{A_3\}^{\dagger} = \{A_3\}^{\dagger}$  \( \text{ So we can't get neither } A\_1 \text{ nor } A\_7 \)
  As without  $A_3: \{A_5\}^{\dagger} = \{A_5\}^{\dagger}$  \( \text{ These attributes are not redundant} \)

Step 3: Now, we have to find redundant functional dependencies and remove that

- ①  $A_1, A_3 \rightarrow A_7$  (Removed)  $A_4 \rightarrow A_5$  $A_4 \rightarrow A_7$
- @ A2, A3 > A4
- 3 As, Az > Az (Removed)
- (4) A3, A7 > A4
- (5) A1, A3 > A2
- ( A3, A5 > A1
- (Pemoved)
- ①  $A_1$ ,  $A_3 \rightarrow A_7$  Find closures from other dependencies and if it contains  $A_7$ , remove FD  $\{A_1, A_3\}^+ = \{A_1, A_3, A_2, A_4, A_5, A_7\}$ So we have  $A_7$ . That means remove this FD
- ②  $A_2, A_3 \rightarrow A_4$  $\{A_2, A_3\}^{\dagger} = \{A_2, A_3\}$  We don't get  $A_4$ , so this will stay.
- (3)  $A_3, A_7 \rightarrow A_2$  $\{A_3, A_7\}^{\dagger} = \{A_3, A_7, A_4, A_5, A_1, A_2\}$  So we get  $A_2$ . Remove this AD.
- (A) A3, A2 = [A3, A2] Since we removed (3), we didn't take A2 or etc. So this FD are not reduced
- (3)  $A_1, A_3 \rightarrow A_2$  $\{A_1, A_3\}^{\dagger} = \{A_1, A_3\}^{\dagger}$  We don't get  $A_2$ . So this will stay
- (6)  $A_3$ ,  $A_5 \rightarrow A_1$  $\{A_3, A_5\}^{T} = \{A_3, A_5, A_7, A_4\}$  We don't get  $A_1$ . So this will stay
- (7) A3, A5 > A7 {A3, A5} = {A3, A5, A1, A4, A4, A1} We get A7. So remove this AD. (3)

Step 4: With remaining functional dependencies, I will apply union if it is possible.

 $A_4 \rightarrow A_5$   $A_4 \rightarrow A_7$   $A_2, A_3 \rightarrow A_4$   $A_3, A_7 \rightarrow A_4$   $A_1, A_3 \rightarrow A_2$   $A_3, A_5 \rightarrow A_1$ 

We have Ay in two FDs. So we can apply union. The others have not same left hard side for union.

Step 5: Now, the last closure of my answer is:

 $A_4 \rightarrow A_5$ ,  $A_7$   $A_1$ ,  $A_3 \rightarrow A_2$   $A_2$ ,  $A_3 \rightarrow A_4$   $A_3$ ,  $A_5 \rightarrow A_1$  $A_3$ ,  $A_7 \rightarrow A_4$ 

- 2-  $A_1, A_2 \rightarrow A_3$   $A_1, A_4 \rightarrow A_5$   $A_2 \rightarrow A_4$  $A_1, A_6 \rightarrow A_2$
- a) {A,A23+= {A,A2,A3,A4,A5} {A1,A63+= {A1,A6,A2,A4,A3,A5}= {A1,A2,A3,A4,A5,A6}
- b) We use closure more than one purpose. The first one is testing for superkey. To determine which is superkey, we check it contains all attributes of R.

For example if R is SA,B,C,D,Es and we check A is superkey or not. If the closure of A contains A,B,C,D,E, that means A is superkey. In our example (2.a), EA,A63 is superkey. The other purpose of closure is testing functional dependencies. For example if a functional dependency  $x \to \beta$  holds, then we have to just find  $x^{t}$  and check if  $\beta$  is in  $x^{t}$  or not. It is simple and useful. The last purpose of closure is computing the closure of  $\beta$ . For every attribute or set of attributes on the left hand vide of the arrow in a functional dependency, find the closure  $\beta$  has process results in  $\beta$ .

3-a) Now, we check this jort for the R in BCNF or not Firstly, to be in BCNF, for every functional dependency like  $X \Rightarrow Y$ , X should be the super key of the table detually when I write the closure of A1, A2, I didn't find the whole attributes but if it is super key that means all the functional dependencies are satisfying the condition.

Super key  $(A_1,A_2) \rightarrow \{AII \text{ attributes } (A_1,A_2,A_3,A_4)\}$ So yes, the relation R in Boyce-(odd Normal Form.

b) Now the R is  $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$   $\mathcal{L}_1 = (A_1, A_2)$   $\mathcal{L}_2 = (A_1, A_3)$  $\mathcal{L}_3 = (A_1, A_4)$ 

We will check which relations are in BCNF

for R1, we can find all attributes from A1. Because A1 → A2 and A1 = {A1, A2}. So A1 is super key, R1 is in BCNF

For Re, we can find all attributes from AI Because AI > A2 > A3 and A1 is super tey. R2 is in BCNF.

for R3, we can't find all attributes from A1 or A4. Because the functional dependencies are not enough for that. But, since A1 is super key in R and we decompared R into R3 for this part, that means we can also reach A4 from A1. So this R3 is in BCNF too.

c) 
$$R = (A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$$
  
 $R_1 (A_1, A_2)$   
 $R_2 (A_1, A_3)$   
 $R_3 (A_1, A_4)$   
 $F \{A_1 \rightarrow A_2, A_2 \rightarrow A_3\}$ 

Now 
$$f_1 \cup f_2 = \{A_1 \rightarrow A_2, A_1 \rightarrow A_3\}$$
  
 $F = \{A_1 \rightarrow A_2, A_2 \rightarrow A_3\}$ 

Vo  $f_1 u f_2$  doesn't cover the f. Because  $f_1 u f_2$  doesn't have  $A_2 \Rightarrow A_3$ .

Vo decomposition dependency is not preserving.