

# Ocean Halos Model Proof

## Model description

We use a two-patch discrete-time meta population bioeconomic model to illustrate the interactions between enforcement, illegal fishing, and revenue generated from MPA spillover in a reserve system. Our system is divided into two patches, where patch  $F$  is the general fishing area and patch  $R$  is the reserve. Vessels do not need to pay in order to fish in  $F$ , and the fishing effort in this patch is assumed to be in open-access. Some fraction,  $L$ , of the patch  $R$  can be designated as a lease area, where vessels must pay an access fee in order to fish. The remaining fraction,  $N$ , is designated as a no-take area ( $N = 1 - L$ ). Fishing effort in the lease area is driven by biomass available for harvest and the per-unit-effort access fee for fishing. We also assume that illegal fishing occurs in the reserve, which is driven by biomass in the reserve and the per-unit-effort expected fine associated with being caught fishing illegally. The stock of fish in each area is stationary within a single year, but dispersal between the patches occurs at the beginning of each time step.

## Analytical proof

### Fishery Dynamics

Revenue for vessels in patch  $F$  is given by  $pqE_FX_F$  where  $p$  the price of fish,  $q$  is catchability,  $E_F$  is fishing effort (days) in patch  $F$ , and  $X_F$  is stock size. The cost of fishing for vessels in patch  $F$  is given by  $cE_F^\beta$ , where  $c$  is the variable cost of fishing, and  $\beta = 1.3$  matches commonly used cost-coefficients (values of  $\beta > 1$  imply that additional units of effort are increasingly costly to apply). Profits from fishing in patch  $F$  are given by:

$$\Pi_F(E_F, X_F) = pqE_FX_F - cE_F^\beta$$

In patch  $R$ , in the absence of a lease area, the only revenue for vessels comes from illegal fishing. This revenue is given by  $pqE_I X_R$ , where  $E_I$  is illegal fishing effort (days) and  $X_R$  is stock size. The cost of illegally fishing in patch  $R$  is given by  $cE_I^\beta + \theta E_I \psi$ , where  $\theta$  is the probability of detecting each unit of illegal fishing effort and  $\psi$  is the per-unit-effort fine. Together  $\theta\psi$  is the per-unit-effort expected fine for fishing illegally.

If some fraction of the reserve,  $L$ , is designated as a lease area, revenue for vessels fishing in the lease area is given by  $pqE_F X_R L$ . The cost of fishing in the lease area is given by  $cE_L^\beta + \chi E_L$ , where  $\chi$  is the per-unit-effort access fee. The parameterization of lease area size implies that profit from fishing in the lease area is given by:

$$\Pi_L(E_L, X_R, L) = pqE_L X_R L - cE_L^\beta - \chi E_L$$

Upon implementation of a lease area, we assume that vessels fishing in the lease area will self enforce, and illegal fishing therefore only persists in the no-take area of the reserve. The profit from fishing illegally in the reserve can then be generalized to:

$$\Pi_I(E_I, X_R, L) = pqE_I X_R (1 - L) - cE_I^\beta - \theta E_I \psi$$

We can derive the marginal profits for fishing in each area by taking the derivatives of the equations above:

$$\pi_F(E_F) = \frac{\partial \Pi_F}{\partial E_F} = pqX_F - \beta cE_F^{\beta-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_L(E_L) &= \frac{\partial \Pi_L}{\partial E_L} = pqX_R L - \beta c E_L^{\beta-1} - \chi \\ \pi_I(E_I) &= \frac{\partial \Pi_I}{\partial E_I} = pqX_R(1-L) - \beta c E_I^{\beta-1} - \theta\psi\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that effort will continue to enter each area until the profit from the last unit of effort is exactly zero, we can solve for equilibrium effort in each area:

$$\begin{aligned}E_F^* &= \left( \frac{pqX_F}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \\ E_L^* &= \left( \frac{pqX_R L - \chi}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \\ E_I^* &= \left( \frac{pqX_R(1-L) - \theta\psi}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}\end{aligned}$$

### Stock Dynamics

Harvest in each area is determined by fishing effort and stock size. Harvest from fishing in patch  $F$  ( $H_F$ ) is given by  $qE_F X_F$ , harvest from fishing in the lease area ( $H_L$ ) is given by  $qE_L X_R L$ , and harvest from illegal fishing in the reserve ( $H_I$ ) is given by  $qE_I X_R(1-L)$ . Therefore, total harvest is given by:

$$\bar{H}(E_F, E_L, E_I, X_F, X_R, L) = H_F + H_L + H_I = qE_F X_F + qE_L X_R L + qE_I X_R(1-L)$$

The stock grows logistically according to the Gordon-Schaefer model such that growth depends on the total initial biomass in time  $t$  ( $\bar{X}_t$ ), the intrinsic growth rate of the stock ( $r$ ), and the environmental saturation level or carrying capacity ( $K$ ), expressed as maximum equilibrium biomass the environment can hold:

The total initial biomass in time  $t$  in our model is given by  $X_{t,F} + X_{t,R}$ . Therefore total biomass in time  $t+1$  is:

$$\bar{X}_{t+1} = \bar{X}_t + r\bar{X}_t \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{X}_t}{K} \right) - \bar{H}_t$$

After the stock grows, a constant fraction,  $f$ , of the total stock redistributes to the reserve, and the remainder  $(1-f)$  redistributes to the general fishing area. Thus biomass in time period  $t+1$  in the reserve and in the fishing area respectively are given by:

$$X_{t+1,R} = f\bar{X}_{t+1}$$

$$X_{t+1,F} = (1-f)\bar{X}_{t+1}$$

## Equilibrium biomass as a function of lease-area size

In equilibrium, we assume that total biomass must be unchanging. Thus,  $\bar{X}_{t+1} = \bar{X}_t = \bar{X}^*$ . Solving for the steady state harvest then yields:

$$\bar{H}^* = r\bar{X}^* \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{X}^*}{K} \right)$$

Since total harvest is equal to the sum of the harvests in the three areas, we can rewrite this as

$$qE_F^* X_F^* + qE_L^* X_R^* L + qE_I^* X_R^* (1 - L) = r\overline{X^*} \left(1 - \frac{\overline{X^*}}{K}\right)$$

Substituting for biomass in each area in terms of total biomass, we then get

$$qE_F^* \overline{X^*} (f - 1) + qE_L^* \overline{X^*} fL + qE_I^* \overline{X^*} f(1 - L) = r\overline{X^*} \left(1 - \frac{\overline{X^*}}{K}\right)$$

Simplifying and substituting in each of our equilibrium efforts written in terms of total biomass then gives

$$(1 - f) \left( \frac{pq\overline{X^*}(1 - f)}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} + fL \left( \frac{pq\overline{X^*}fL - \chi}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} + f(1 - L) \left( \frac{pq\overline{X^*}f(1 - L) - \theta\psi}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} = \frac{r}{q} \left(1 - \frac{\overline{X^*}}{K}\right)$$

We can set this equal to 0:

$$(1 - f) \left( \frac{pq\overline{X^*}(1 - f)}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} + fL \left( \frac{pq\overline{X^*}fL - \chi}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} + f(1 - L) \left( \frac{pq\overline{X^*}f(1 - L) - \theta\psi}{\beta c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} - \frac{r}{q} \left(1 - \frac{\overline{X^*}}{K}\right) = 0$$