

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(A, f)} & \text{Hom}(A, X) \\
 \downarrow \Phi_A & & \downarrow \Phi_X \\
 F(A) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & F(X)
 \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ u & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (Ff)u = \Phi_X(f) \end{array}$

What you needa know about Yoneda

Emma Bach (she/her)

Seminar on Functional Programming and Logic, Summer Semester 2025

Motivation

- ▶ A common sentiment in many cultures is the idea that things are defined by how they interact with their surroundings.

¹Quoted as a proverb in *Don Quixote*

Motivation

- ▶ A common sentiment in many cultures is the idea that things are defined by how they interact with their surroundings.
- ▶ “*Tell me your company, and I will tell you what you are.*”¹

¹Quoted as a proverb in *Don Quixote*

Motivation

- ▶ A common sentiment in many cultures is the idea that things are defined by how they interact with their surroundings.
- ▶ “*Tell me your company, and I will tell you what you are.*”¹
- ▶ The Yoneda lemma is the result of applying this way of thinking to mathematical objects in category theory.

¹Quoted as a proverb in *Don Quixote*


Motivation

- ▶ A common sentiment in many cultures is the idea that things are defined by how they interact with their surroundings.
- ▶ “*Tell me your company, and I will tell you what you are.*”¹
- ▶ The Yoneda lemma is the result of applying this way of thinking to mathematical objects in category theory.
- ▶ As a result, a category \mathbb{C} is often best understood by instead studying functors from that category into \mathbf{Set} .


¹Quoted as a proverb in *Don Quixote*

Categories

- A category \mathbb{C} consists of:




Categories




- ▶ A *category* \mathbb{C} consists of:
 - ▶ a collection $|\mathbb{C}|$ of *objects*;

Categories




- ▶ A *category* \mathbb{C} consists of:
 - ▶ a collection $|\mathbb{C}|$ of *objects*;
 - ▶ for all $A, B \in |\mathbb{C}|$, a collection $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ of *morphisms* from A to B , if this is a set we call it a *homset*;

Categories




- ▶ A *category* \mathbb{C} consists of:
 - ▶ a collection $|\mathbb{C}|$ of *objects*;
 - ▶ for all $A, B \in |\mathbb{C}|$, a collection $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ of *morphisms* from A to B , if this is a set we call it a *homset*;
 - ▶ for all $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$, an *identity morphism* $id_A \in \mathbb{C}(A, A)$;

Categories




- ▶ A *category* \mathbb{C} consists of:
 - ▶ a collection $|\mathbb{C}|$ of *objects*;
 - ▶ for all $A, B \in |\mathbb{C}|$, a collection $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ of *morphisms* from A to B , if this is a set we call it a *homset*;
 - ▶ for all $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$, an *identity morphism* $id_A \in \mathbb{C}(A, A)$;
 - ▶ for each pair of morphisms $g \in \mathbb{C}(B, C)$, $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$, a morphism $g \circ f \in \mathbb{C}(A, C)$, such that composition is associative.

Categories



- ▶ A *category* \mathbb{C} consists of:
 - ▶ a collection $|\mathbb{C}|$ of *objects*;
 - ▶ for all $A, B \in |\mathbb{C}|$, a collection $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ of *morphisms* from A to B , if this is a set we call it a *homset*;
 - ▶ for all $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$, an *identity morphism* $id_A \in \mathbb{C}(A, A)$;
 - ▶ for each pair of morphisms $g \in \mathbb{C}(B, C)$, $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$, a morphism $g \circ f \in \mathbb{C}(A, C)$, such that composition is associative.
- ▶ For every category \mathbb{C} , the *opposite category* \mathbb{C}^{op} is a category.

Categories



- ▶ A *category* \mathbb{C} consists of:
 - ▶ a collection $|\mathbb{C}|$ of *objects*;
 - ▶ for all $A, B \in |\mathbb{C}|$, a collection $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ of *morphisms* from A to B , if this is a set we call it a *homset*;
 - ▶ for all $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$, an *identity morphism* $id_A \in \mathbb{C}(A, A)$;
 - ▶ for each pair of morphisms $g \in \mathbb{C}(B, C)$, $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$, a morphism $g \circ f \in \mathbb{C}(A, C)$, such that composition is associative.
- ▶ For every category \mathbb{C} , the *opposite category* \mathbb{C}^{op} is a category.
- ▶ For every pair of categories \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D} , the *product category* $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$ is a category.

Functors

A *functor* $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a structure-preserving map between two categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A,B)} & B \\ \vdots F \downarrow & & \downarrow \vdots F \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \end{array}$$


Functors

A *functor* $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a structure-preserving map between two categories:

- ▶ F maps an object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$ to an object $F(A) \in |\mathbb{D}|$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A,B)} & B \\ \vdots \scriptstyle F \downarrow & & \downarrow \scriptstyle F \vdots \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \end{array}$$


Functors



A *functor* $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a structure-preserving map between two categories:

- ▶ F maps an object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$ to an object $F(A) \in |\mathbb{D}|$
- ▶ F maps a morphism $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$ to a morphism $F(f) \in \mathbb{D}(F(A), F(B))$


Functors



A *functor* $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a structure-preserving map between two categories:

- ▶ F maps an object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$ to an object $F(A) \in |\mathbb{D}|$
- ▶ F maps a morphism $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$ to a morphism $F(f) \in \mathbb{D}(F(A), F(B))$
- ▶ $F(id_A) = id_{F(A)}$


Functors



A *functor* $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a structure-preserving map between two categories:

- ▶ F maps an object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$ to an object $F(A) \in |\mathbb{D}|$
- ▶ F maps a morphism $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$ to a morphism $F(f) \in \mathbb{D}(F(A), F(B))$
- ▶ $F(id_A) = id_{F(A)}$
- ▶ $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$

Functors



A *functor* $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a structure-preserving map between two categories:

- ▶ F maps an object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$ to an object $F(A) \in |\mathbb{D}|$
- ▶ F maps a morphism $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$ to a morphism $F(f) \in \mathbb{D}(F(A), F(B))$
- ▶ $F(id_A) = id_{F(A)}$
- ▶ $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$

Functors from a category into itself are known as *endofunctors*.

Natural Transformations

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

► Structure-preserving maps between functors.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \downarrow \phi_A & & \downarrow \phi_B \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \end{array}$$

Natural Transformations

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \downarrow \phi_A & & \downarrow \phi_B \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Structure-preserving maps between functors.
 - ▶ Let $F, G : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be functors.

Natural Transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \downarrow \phi_A & & \downarrow \phi_B \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Structure-preserving maps between functors.
 - ▶ Let $F, G : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be functors.
 - ▶ A natural transformation ϕ is an *indexed family of morphisms* - for every object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$, ϕ_A is a morphism from $F(A)$ to $G(A)$.

Natural Transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \downarrow \phi_A & & \downarrow \phi_B \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \end{array}$$


- ▶ Structure-preserving maps between functors.
 - ▶ Let $F, G : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be functors.
 - ▶ A natural transformation ϕ is an *indexed family of morphisms* - for every object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$, ϕ_A is a morphism from $F(A)$ to $G(A)$.
 - ▶ These morphisms satisfy the *naturality condition*:

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B) : \phi_B \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \phi_A$$

Exercise 1 : Order Categories

- a) Let \leq be a reflexive, transitive order (a *preorder*) on a set M . Show that if we define objects by $|\mathbb{P}re(M, \leq)| = M$ and morphisms by $\exists! f_{x \leq y} \in \mathbb{P}re(x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \leq y$, then $\mathbb{P}re(M, \leq)$ forms a category.
- b) Let $F : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ be an endofunctor on \mathbb{M} . Show that F defines a monotonic function $M \rightarrow M$, i.e. $\forall x, y : x \leq y \implies F(x) \leq F(y)$.
- c) Let $F, G : M \rightarrow M$ be monotonic functions. Let ϕ be a natural transformation $F \rightarrow G$. Show that $\forall x \in M : F(x) \leq G(x)$.

Exercise 1 : Order Categories, Solution a)



- ▶ \leq is reflexive, so we have
 $\forall x : x \leq x \implies \exists id_{x \leq x} \in \mathbb{P}re(x, x).$
- ▶ Because of transitivity, for every pair of morphisms $f_{x \leq y}$ and $g_{y \leq z}$, we have a composed morphism $(g \circ f)_{x \leq z}$.
- ▶ Since our morphisms are just witnesses of an ordering, they don't care about the order of function application, so composition is associative.

Exercise 1 : Order Categories, Solution b)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{x \leq y} & y \\ \downarrow F & & \downarrow F \\ F(x) & \xrightarrow{F(x) \leq F(y)} & F(y) \end{array}$$

- By the definition of functors, F must take each morphism $f_{x \leq y} \in \mathbb{P}re(x, y)$ to a morphism $F(f)_{F(x) \leq F(y)} \in \mathbb{P}re(F(x), F(y))$.

Exercise 1 : Order Categories, Solution c)

$$\begin{array}{c} F(x) \\ \downarrow \phi_x : F(x) \leq G(x) \\ G(x) \end{array}$$

- By the definition of natural transformations, for every object x , ϕ_x is a morphism $F(x) \rightarrow G(x)$. If such a morphism exists, we have $F(x) \leq G(x)$.

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ The naturality condition resembles an equality we saw a few weeks ago:

$$r_B \circ \text{map}(a) = \text{map}(a) \circ r_A$$

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ The naturality condition resembles an equality we saw a few weeks ago:

$$r_B \circ \text{map}(a) = \text{map}(a) \circ r_A$$

- ▶ This is the free theorem we got for a parametrically polymorphic function $r :: [X] \rightarrow [X]$ and an arbitrary function $a :: A \rightarrow B$.

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ The naturality condition resembles an equality we saw a few weeks ago:

$$r_B \circ \text{map}(a) = \text{map}(a) \circ r_A$$

- ▶ This is the free theorem we got for a parametrically polymorphic function $r :: [X] \rightarrow [X]$ and an arbitrary function $a :: A \rightarrow B$.
- ▶ This free theorem is equivalent to the statement that r is a natural transformation.

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ In general, assume we have:

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ In general, assume we have:
 - ▶ two functors F and G ,

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ In general, assume we have:
 - ▶ two functors F and G ,
 - ▶ a parametrically polymorphic function $r : F\ x \rightarrow G\ x$,

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ In general, assume we have:
 - ▶ two functors F and G ,
 - ▶ a parametrically polymorphic function $r : F\ x \rightarrow G\ x$,
 - ▶ an arbitrary function $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ In general, assume we have:
 - ▶ two functors F and G ,
 - ▶ a parametrically polymorphic function $r : F\ x \rightarrow G\ x$,
 - ▶ an arbitrary function $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- ▶ Then we get the following free theorem:

$$r \ . \ \text{fmap } f = \text{fmap } f \ . \ r$$

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ In general, assume we have:
 - ▶ two functors F and G ,
 - ▶ a parametrically polymorphic function $r : F\ x \rightarrow G\ x$,
 - ▶ an arbitrary function $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- ▶ Then we get the following free theorem:

$$r \ . \ \text{fmap } f = \text{fmap } f \ . \ r$$

- ▶ In categorical notation:

$$r_B \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ r_A$$

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ In general, assume we have:
 - ▶ two functors F and G ,
 - ▶ a parametrically polymorphic function $r : F\ x \rightarrow G\ x$,
 - ▶ an arbitrary function $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- ▶ Then we get the following free theorem:

$$r \ . \ \text{fmap } f = \text{fmap } f \ . \ r$$

- ▶ In categorical notation:

$$r_B \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ r_A$$

- ▶ So our free theorem is a proof that any parametrically polymorphic function r is a natural transformation!

Naturality from Polymorphism

- ▶ In general, assume we have:
 - ▶ two functors F and G ,
 - ▶ a parametrically polymorphic function $r : F\ x \rightarrow G\ x$,
 - ▶ an arbitrary function $f : A \rightarrow B$.
- ▶ Then we get the following free theorem:


$$r \ . \ \text{fmap } f = \text{fmap } f \ . \ r$$

- ▶ In categorical notation:


$$r_B \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ r_A$$

- ▶ So our free theorem is a proof that any parametrically polymorphic function r is a natural transformation!
- ▶ It turns out that parametrically polymorphic functions correspond exactly to natural transformations between endofunctors $\mathbb{H}ask \rightarrow \mathbb{H}ask$.


Homfunctors




- For any locally small category \mathbb{C} , a homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ is a set of morphisms.




Homfunctors




- ▶ For any locally small category \mathbb{C} , a homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ is a set of morphisms.
- ▶ We define a functor $\mathbb{C}(A, -) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:





Homfunctors



- ▶ For any locally small category \mathbb{C} , a homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ is a set of morphisms.
- ▶ We define a functor $\mathbb{C}(A, -) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ maps an object B to the homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$





Homfunctors





- ▶ For any locally small category \mathbb{C} , a homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ is a set of morphisms.
- ▶ We define a functor $\mathbb{C}(A, -) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ maps an object B to the homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$
 - ▶ A morphism $f : \mathbb{C}(B, C)$ is mapped to the morphism $f \circ : \mathbb{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(A, C)$

Homfunctors





- ▶ For any locally small category \mathbb{C} , a homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ is a set of morphisms.
- ▶ We define a functor $\mathbb{C}(A, -) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ maps an object B to the homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$
 - ▶ A morphism $f : \mathbb{C}(B, C)$ is mapped to the morphism $f \circ : \mathbb{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(A, C)$
- ▶ Similarly, $\mathbb{C}(-, B)$ is a functor $\mathbb{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:

Homfunctors



- ▶ For any locally small category \mathbb{C} , a homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ is a set of morphisms.
- ▶ We define a functor $\mathbb{C}(A, -) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ maps an object B to the homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$
 - ▶ A morphism $f : \mathbb{C}(B, C)$ is mapped to the morphism $f \circ : \mathbb{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(A, C)$
- ▶ Similarly, $\mathbb{C}(-, B)$ is a functor $\mathbb{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C}(-, B)$ maps an object A to the homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$

Homfunctors



- ▶ For any locally small category \mathbb{C} , a homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$ is a set of morphisms.
- ▶ We define a functor $\mathbb{C}(A, -) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ maps an object B to the homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$
 - ▶ A morphism $f : \mathbb{C}(B, C)$ is mapped to the morphism $f \circ : \mathbb{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(A, C)$
- ▶ Similarly, $\mathbb{C}(-, B)$ is a functor $\mathbb{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$:
 - ▶ $\mathbb{C}(-, B)$ maps an object A to the homset $\mathbb{C}(A, B)$
 - ▶ A morphism $f : \mathbb{C}(C, A)$ is mapped to the morphism $\circ f : \mathbb{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(C, B)$

Functor Categories

- ▶ For any \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{D} , the collection of functors $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ form a category.

Functor Categories

- ▶ For any \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{D} , the collection of functors $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ form a category.
- ▶ This category is known as a *functor category* and denoted $\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Functor Categories

- ▶ For any \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D} , the collection of functors $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ form a category.
- ▶ This category is known as a *functor category* and denoted $\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- ▶ A morphism $\phi \in \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{C}}(F, G)$ is a natural transformation $F \rightarrow G$.

Functor Application as a Functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\eta} & G \\ \text{\scriptsize } -(A) \downarrow \text{\scriptsize } \vee & & \downarrow \text{\scriptsize } \vee \text{\scriptsize } -(A) \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & G(A) \end{array}$$

- Given a category \mathbb{C} , the operation of applying a functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ to an object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$ is itself a functor from $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$ to \mathbf{Set} .

Functor Application as a Functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\eta} & G \\ \text{\tiny $-(A)$} \downarrow \text{\tiny \vee} & & \downarrow \text{\tiny $-(A)$} \text{\tiny \vee} \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & G(A) \end{array}$$


- ▶ Given a category \mathbb{C} , the operation of applying a functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ to an object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$ is itself a functor from $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$ to \mathbf{Set} .
- ▶ We write $-(A)$ for this functor.

Functor Application as a Functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\eta} & G \\ \text{\scriptsize $-(A)$} \downarrow \text{\scriptsize \vee} & & \downarrow \text{\scriptsize $-(A)$} \text{\scriptsize \vee} \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & G(A) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Given a category \mathbb{C} , the operation of applying a functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ to an object $A \in |\mathbb{C}|$ is itself a functor from $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$ to \mathbf{Set} .
- ▶ We write $-(A)$ for this functor.
- ▶ $-(A) \in \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}}$

The Yoneda Embedding



The Yoneda Embedding

- Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$A \mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)} & B \\ \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathcal{Y} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

The Yoneda Embedding

- Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$$
$$A \mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -)$$

A commutative diagram illustrating the Yoneda embedding. It consists of two rows and two columns. The top row has objects A and B connected by a solid arrow labeled $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$. The bottom row has objects $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$ connected by a solid arrow labeled $\mathcal{Y}(f)$. On the left, a vertical dotted arrow labeled \mathcal{Y} points from $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ up to A . On the right, a vertical dotted arrow labeled \mathcal{Y} points from $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$ up to B .

- We call \mathcal{Y} the *Yoneda embedding*.

The Yoneda Embedding

- Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$$
$$A \mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)} & B \\ \mathcal{Y} \uparrow \downarrow & & \uparrow \downarrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

- We call \mathcal{Y} the *Yoneda embedding*.
- Given $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$, $\mathcal{Y}(f)$ has to be a morphism between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$ in the functor category $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

The Yoneda Embedding

- Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$$
$$A \mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)} & B \\ \mathcal{Y} \uparrow \downarrow & & \uparrow \downarrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

- We call \mathcal{Y} the *Yoneda embedding*.
- Given $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$, $\mathcal{Y}(f)$ has to be a morphism between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$ in the functor category $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- Therefore, $\mathcal{Y}(f)$ has to be a natural transformation between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$.

The Yoneda Embedding

- Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$$
$$A \mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)} & B \\ \mathcal{Y} \uparrow & & \uparrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

- We call \mathcal{Y} the *Yoneda embedding*.
- Given $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$, $\mathcal{Y}(f)$ has to be a morphism between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$ in the functor category $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- Therefore, $\mathcal{Y}(f)$ has to be a natural transformation between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$.
- Is it actually possible to construct all of the necessary natural transformations?

The Yoneda lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!

The Yoneda lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and any Functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

The Yoneda lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and any Functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.
- ▶ Specifically, the Yoneda lemma states that:

$$\mathrm{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$$

The Yoneda lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and any Functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.
- ▶ Specifically, the Yoneda lemma states that:

$$\mathrm{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$$

- ▶ Furthermore, this isomorphism is a natural transformation.

The Yoneda lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and any Functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Set}$.
- ▶ Specifically, the Yoneda lemma states that:

$$\text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$$

- ▶ Furthermore, this isomorphism is a natural transformation.
- ▶ So we can construct the Yoneda embedding \mathcal{Y} from the set $F(A)$.

The Yoneda lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and any Functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Set}$.
- ▶ Specifically, the Yoneda lemma states that:

$$\text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$$

- ▶ Furthermore, this isomorphism is a natural transformation.
- ▶ So we can construct the Yoneda embedding \mathcal{Y} from the set $F(A)$.
- ▶ Vice versa, if we know all natural transformations $\text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F)$, we can construct the set $F(A)$.

Constructing the bijection

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

► Let $\phi \in \text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F)$. Since ϕ is natural transformation, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}(A, f) = f \circ} & \mathbb{C}(A, B) \\ \downarrow \phi_A & & \downarrow \phi_B \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \end{array}$$

$$F(f) \circ \phi_A = \phi_B \circ f \circ$$

Constructing the bijection

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

- Let $\phi \in \text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F)$. Since ϕ is natural transformation, we have

$$\mathbb{C}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}(A, f) = f \circ} \mathbb{C}(A, B)$$

$$F(f) \circ \phi_A = \phi_B \circ f \circ$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}(A, f) = f \circ} & \mathbb{C}(A, B) \\ \downarrow \phi_A & & \downarrow \phi_B \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \end{array}$$

- Remember that these functors are $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$.

Constructing the bijection

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

- ▶ Let $\phi \in \text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F)$. Since ϕ is natural transformation, we have

$$\mathbb{C}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}(A, f) = f \circ} \mathbb{C}(A, B)$$

$$F(f) \circ \phi_A = \phi_B \circ f \circ$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}(A, f) = f \circ} & \mathbb{C}(A, B) \\ \downarrow \phi_A & & \downarrow \phi_B \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Remember that these functors are $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$.
- ▶ This means our morphisms are just regular set functions.

Constructing the bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \\ id_A & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}(A,f)=f \circ} & f \\ \downarrow \phi_A & & \downarrow \phi_B \\ u \in F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & \phi_B(f) = F(f)(u) \end{array}$$

- If we apply these functions to the identity morphism id_A , we get:

$$\phi_B(f \circ id_A) = F(f)(\phi_A(id_A))$$

$$\phi_B(f) = F(f)(\phi_A(id_A)) := F(f)(u)$$

Application : Cayley's Theorem

- ▶ Every group $(G, *, e)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group of permutations of G .

Application : Cayley's Theorem

- ▶ Every group $(G, *, e)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group of permutations of G .
- ▶ Specifically:
 - ▶ One side of the bijection is constructed by sending $g \in G$ to the permutation which maps $f_g : x \mapsto g * x$
 - ▶ The other side sends a permutation f to the element $f(e)$

Application : Cayley's Theorem

- ▶ Every group $(G, *, e)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group of permutations of G .
- ▶ Specifically:
 - ▶ One side of the bijection is constructed by sending $g \in G$ to the permutation which maps $f_g : x \mapsto g * x$
 - ▶ The other side sends a permutation f to the element $f(e)$
- ▶ The Yoneda lemma is often viewed as a generalization of Cayley's theorem.

Exercise 2 - Cayley's Theorem for Monoids

Use the Yoneda embedding to show that every monoid M is isomorphic to a monoid of functions $M \rightarrow M$.

Hint 1: The Yoneda embedding gives an isomorphism between objects and their homfunctors.

Hint 2: Two weeks ago we saw that every monoid M defines a category \mathbb{M} with a single object $*$ and a morphism m for each element $m \in M$, where we define morphism composition to be the monoid operation.

Exercise 2 - Cayley's Theorem for Monoids

- The Yoneda embedding is an isomorphism mapping each object to its homfunctor.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{m \in \mathbb{M}(*,*)} & * \\ \uparrow \mathcal{Y} & & \uparrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{M}(*, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(m) = m \circ} & \mathbb{M}(*, -) \end{array}$$

Exercise 2 - Cayley's Theorem for Monoids

- ▶ The Yoneda embedding is an isomorphism mapping each object to its homfunctor.
- ▶ We only have one object $*$, and thus only one homfunctor $\mathbb{M}(*, -)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{m \in \mathbb{M}(*,*)} & * \\ \uparrow \mathcal{Y} & & \uparrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{M}(*, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(m)=m \circ} & \mathbb{M}(*, -) \end{array}$$

Exercise 2 - Cayley's Theorem for Monoids

- ▶ The Yoneda embedding is an isomorphism mapping each object to its homfunctor.
- ▶ We only have one object $*$, and thus only one homfunctor $\mathbb{M}(*, -)$.
- ▶ Each element $m \in M$ is a morphism. By the definition of the homfunctor, this morphism is mapped to the set function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{m \in \mathbb{M}(*,*)} & * \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{Y} & & \mathcal{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{M}(*, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(m)=m \circ} & \mathbb{M}(*, -) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}(*, m) &= m \circ : M \rightarrow M \\ n &\mapsto m \circ n \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2 - Cayley's Theorem for Monoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{m \in \mathbb{M}(*,*)} & * \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{Y} & & \mathcal{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{M}(*, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(m) = m \circ} & \mathbb{M}(*, -) \end{array}$$

- ▶ The Yoneda embedding is an isomorphism mapping each object to its homfunctor.
- ▶ We only have one object $*$, and thus only one homfunctor $\mathbb{M}(*, -)$.
- ▶ Each element $m \in M$ is a morphism. By the definition of the homfunctor, this morphism is mapped to the set function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}(*, m) &= m \circ : M \rightarrow M \\ n &\mapsto m \circ n \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Thus, the Yoneda embedding on M is an isomorphism between monoid objects and a set of functions $M \rightarrow M$. These functions form a monoid under composition.



Profunctor Optics

- ▶ In functional programming, an *optic* is generally a data structure including some "outer type" S and some "inner type" A .

Profunctor Optics

- ▶ In functional programming, an *optic* is generally a data structure including some "outer type" S and some "inner type" A .
- ▶ In general, this involves some sort of get function, which allows access to the inner value, and a set function, which changes the inner value.

Profunctor Optics

- ▶ In functional programming, an *optic* is generally a data structure including some "outer type" S and some "inner type" A .
- ▶ In general, this involves some sort of get function, which allows access to the inner value, and a set function, which changes the inner value.
- ▶ *Profunctor optics* are neat and flexible representations of optics as individual polymorphic function.

Profunctor Optics

- ▶ In functional programming, an *optic* is generally a data structure including some "outer type" S and some "inner type" A .
- ▶ In general, this involves some sort of get function, which allows access to the inner value, and a set function, which changes the inner value.
- ▶ *Profunctor optics* are neat and flexible representations of optics as individual polymorphic function.
- ▶ In particular, profunctor optics make composition of optics trivial.

Profunctor Optics

- ▶ In functional programming, an *optic* is generally a data structure including some "outer type" S and some "inner type" A .
- ▶ In general, this involves some sort of get function, which allows access to the inner value, and a set function, which changes the inner value.
- ▶ *Profunctor optics* are neat and flexible representations of optics as individual polymorphic function.
- ▶ In particular, profunctor optics make composition of optics trivial.
- ▶ Equivalence between optics and their profunctor representations comes down to the Yoneda lemma.

Adapters

```
data Adapter a b s t = Adapter { from :: s -> a, to :: b -> t }
```


Adapters

`data Adapter a b s t = Adapter { from :: s -> a, to :: b -> t }`

- Categorically, this translates to a pair of morphisms $\mathbb{S}et(S, A) \times \mathbb{S}et(B, T)$.

Adapters

`data Adapter a b s t = Adapter { from :: s -> a, to :: b -> t }`

- ▶ Categorically, this translates to a pair of morphisms $\mathbb{S}et(S, A) \times \mathbb{S}et(B, T)$.
- ▶ This pair is a morphism $(\mathbb{S}et^{op} \times \mathbb{S}et)((A, B), (S, T))$.

Adapters

```
data Adapter a b s t = Adapter { from :: s -> a, to :: b -> t }
```

- ▶ Categorically, this translates to a pair of morphisms $\text{Set}(S, A) \times \text{Set}(B, T)$.
- ▶ This pair is a morphism $(\text{Set}^{op} \times \text{Set})((A, B), (S, T))$.
- ▶ We can compose adapters with matching types and define an identity adapter `Adapter id id`.

Adapters

```
data Adapter a b s t = Adapter { from :: s -> a, to :: b -> t }
```

- ▶ Categorically, this translates to a pair of morphisms $\text{Set}(S, A) \times \text{Set}(B, T)$.
- ▶ This pair is a morphism $(\text{Set}^{op} \times \text{Set})((A, B), (S, T))$.
- ▶ We can compose adapters with matching types and define an identity adapter `Adapter id id`.
- ▶ This lets us view the category $\text{Set}^{op} \times \text{Set}$ as the category $\mathbb{A}da$ where morphisms are adapters.

Profunctors

- ▶ A *profunctor* is a functor $\mathbb{C}^{op} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Profunctors

► A *profunctor* is a functor $\mathbb{C}^{op} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

► As Haskell code:

```
class Profunctor p where  
  dimap :: (s -> a) -> (b -> t) -> p a b -> p s t
```

Profunctors

► A *profunctor* is a functor $\mathbb{C}^{op} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

► As Haskell code:

```
class Profunctor p where
  dimap :: (s -> a) -> (b -> t) -> p a b -> p s t
```

► The canonical example of a profunctor is the function type former, where `dimap` is function composition:

```
instance Profunctor (->) where
  dimap f g h = g . h . f
```

Profunctors

- ▶ A *profunctor* is a functor $\mathbb{C}^{op} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

- ▶ As Haskell code:

```
class Profunctor p where
  dimap :: (s -> a) -> (b -> t) -> p a b -> p s t
```

- ▶ The canonical example of a profunctor is the function type former, where `dimap` is function composition:

```
instance Profunctor (->) where
  dimap f g h = g . h . f
```

- ▶ We define the category $\mathbb{P}rof$ of Profunctors to be $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Set}^{op} \times \mathbf{Set}} = \mathbf{Set}^{Ada}$

Profunctor Adapters

- The profunctor representation of an adapter is given by:

```
type AdapterP a b s t =  
  forall p. Profunctor p => p a b -> p s t
```

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & p'(A, B) \\ \text{AdapterP}_p \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{AdapterP}_{p'} \\ p(S, T) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & p'(S, T) \end{array}$$

Profunctor Adapters

- ▶ The profunctor representation of an adapter is given by:

```
type AdapterP a b s t =  
  forall p. Profunctor p => p a b -> p s t
```

- ▶ As we saw earlier, the polymorphism makes `AdapterP` a natural transformation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p(A, B) - \eta \rightarrow p'(A, B) & & \\ \text{AdapterP}_p \downarrow & & \text{AdapterP}_{p'} \downarrow \\ p(S, T) - \vartheta \rightarrow p'(S, T) & & \end{array}$$

Profunctor Adapters

- ▶ The profunctor representation of an adapter is given by:

```
type AdapterP a b s t =  
  forall p. Profunctor p => p a b -> p s t
```

- ▶ As we saw earlier, the polymorphism makes `AdapterP` a natural transformation.
- ▶ We define $\mathbb{A}daP$ as the functor category where objects are $|\mathbb{A}daP| = |\mathbb{A}da| = |\mathbf{Set}^{op} \times \mathbf{Set}|$, and whose morphisms are profunctor adapters.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p(A, B) - \eta \rightarrow p'(A, B) & & \\ \text{AdapterP}_p \downarrow & \text{AdapterP}_{p'} \downarrow & \\ p(S, T) - \vartheta \rightarrow p'(S, T) & & \end{array}$$

Profunctor Adapters

- ▶ The profunctor representation of an adapter is given by:

```
type AdapterP a b s t =  
  forall p. Profunctor p -> p a b -> p s t
```

- ▶ As we saw earlier, the polymorphism makes `AdapterP` a natural transformation.

- ▶ We define $\mathbb{A}daP$ as the functor category where objects are $|\mathbb{A}daP| = |\mathbb{A}da| = |\mathbf{Set}^{op} \times \mathbf{Set}|$, and whose morphisms are profunctor adapters.

- ▶ Specifically, the homsets in $\mathbb{A}daP$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}daP((A, B), (S, T)) &= \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{A}da}}(-(A, B), -(S, T)) \\ &= \mathbf{Nat}(-(A, B), -(S, T))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p(A, B) - \eta \rightarrow p'(A, B) & & \\ \text{AdapterP}_p \downarrow & & \text{AdapterP}_{p'} \downarrow \\ p(S, T) - \vartheta \rightarrow p'(S, T) & & \end{array}$$

Equivalence of the representations

$$\mathbb{A}daP((A, B), (S, T)) = \text{Nat}(-(A, B), -(S, T)) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} \mathbb{A}da((A, B), (S, T))$$

Equivalence of the representations

$$\mathbb{A}daP((A, B), (S, T)) = \text{Nat}(-(A, B), -(S, T)) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} \mathbb{A}da((A, B), (S, T))$$

- This involves expanding the functors on the left using the Yoneda lemma and then summarizing everything by applying the Yoneda embedding twice.

Equivalence of the representations

$$\mathbb{A}daP((A, B), (S, T)) = \text{Nat}(-(A, B), -(S, T)) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} \mathbb{A}da((A, B), (S, T))$$

- ▶ This involves expanding the functors on the left using the Yoneda lemma and then summarizing everything by applying the Yoneda embedding twice.
- ▶ This proof is left as an exercise to the reader :)

Equivalence of the representations

$$\mathbb{A}daP((A, B), (S, T)) = \text{Nat}(-(A, B), -(S, T)) \stackrel{?}{\simeq} \mathbb{A}da((A, B), (S, T))$$

- ▶ This involves expanding the functors on the left using the Yoneda lemma and then summarizing everything by applying the Yoneda embedding twice.
- ▶ This proof is left as an exercise to the reader :) (a short version of the proof is uploaded alongside the slides on ilias).

Summary

- ▶ The Yoneda lemma is a fundamental result in category theory.

Summary

- ▶ The Yoneda lemma is a fundamental result in category theory.
- ▶ The lemma states that the set of natural transformations between a homfunctor $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and an arbitrary functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is naturally isomorphic to the set $F(A)$.

Summary

- ▶ The Yoneda lemma is a fundamental result in category theory.
- ▶ The lemma states that the set of natural transformations between a homfunctor $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and an arbitrary functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is naturally isomorphic to the set $F(A)$.
- ▶ The Yoneda lemma enables the use of the Yoneda embedding, which is a natural isomorphism between a category \mathbb{C} and the category of homfunctors on \mathbb{C} .

Summary

- ▶ The Yoneda lemma is a fundamental result in category theory.
- ▶ The lemma states that the set of natural transformations between a homfunctor $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and an arbitrary functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is naturally isomorphic to the set $F(A)$.
- ▶ The Yoneda lemma enables the use of the Yoneda embedding, which is a natural isomorphism between a category \mathbb{C} and the category of homfunctors on \mathbb{C} .
- ▶ Equivalence of adapters and profunctor adapters can be shown by applying the Yoneda embedding twice.

Summary

- ▶ The Yoneda lemma is a fundamental result in category theory.
- ▶ The lemma states that the set of natural transformations between a homfunctor $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$ and an arbitrary functor $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is naturally isomorphic to the set $F(A)$.
- ▶ The Yoneda lemma enables the use of the Yoneda embedding, which is a natural isomorphism between a category \mathbb{C} and the category of homfunctors on \mathbb{C} .
- ▶ Equivalence of adapters and profunctor adapters can be shown by applying the Yoneda embedding twice.
- ▶ Similar techniques can be used to show the equivalence of any optic and its profunctor representation.