

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(A, f)} & \text{Hom}(A, X) \\
 \downarrow \Phi_A & & \downarrow \Phi_X \\
 F(A) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & F(X)
 \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ u & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (Ff)u = \Phi_X(f) \end{array}$

What you needa know about Yoneda

Emma Bach (she/her)

Seminar on Functional Programming and Logic, Summer Semester 2025

# The Yoneda Embedding

- Remember that the goal is finding out everything about an object  $A$  through its relations to other objects.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A,B)} & B \\ \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathcal{Y} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

# The Yoneda Embedding

- ▶ Remember that the goal is finding out everything about an object  $A$  through its relations to other objects.
- ▶ So we want a correspondence between objects and their homsets.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A,B)} & B \\ \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathcal{Y} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

# The Yoneda Embedding

- ▶ Remember that the goal is finding out everything about an object  $A$  through its relations to other objects.
- ▶ So we want a correspondence between objects and their homsets.
- ▶ Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A,B)} & B \\ \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathcal{Y} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}} \\ A &\mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -) \end{aligned}$$

# The Yoneda Embedding

- ▶ Remember that the goal is finding out everything about an object  $A$  through its relations to other objects.
- ▶ So we want a correspondence between objects and their homsets.
- ▶ Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A,B)} & B \\ \uparrow \mathcal{Y} & & \uparrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}} \\ A &\mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We call  $\mathcal{Y}$  the Yoneda Embedding.

# The Yoneda Embedding

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A,B)} & B \\ \uparrow \mathcal{Y} & & \uparrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Remember that the goal is finding out everything about an object  $A$  through its relations to other objects.
- ▶ So we want a correspondence between objects and their homsets.
- ▶ Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}} \\ A &\mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We call  $\mathcal{Y}$  the Yoneda Embedding.
- ▶ Given  $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}(f)$  is a morphism between  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  and  $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$  in the functor category  $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

# The Yoneda Embedding

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f \in \mathbb{C}(A,B)} & B \\ \mathcal{Y} \uparrow \downarrow & & \uparrow \downarrow \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathbb{C}(A, -) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}(f)} & \mathbb{C}(B, -) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Remember that the goal is finding out everything about an object  $A$  through its relations to other objects.
- ▶ So we want a correspondence between objects and their homsets.
- ▶ Formally, we want a bijective functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y} : \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}} \\ A &\mapsto \mathbb{C}(A, -) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We call  $\mathcal{Y}$  the Yoneda Embedding.
- ▶ Given  $f \in \mathbb{C}(A, B)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}(f)$  is a morphism between  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  and  $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$  in the functor category  $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- ▶ Therefore,  $\mathcal{Y}(f)$  is a natural transformation between  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  and  $\mathbb{C}(B, -)$

# The Yoneda Lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!



# The Yoneda Lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  and any Functor  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ .

# The Yoneda Lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  and any Functor  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ .
- ▶ Specifically, the Yoneda Lemma states that:

$$\mathrm{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$$

# The Yoneda Lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  and any Functor  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ .
- ▶ Specifically, the Yoneda Lemma states that:

$$\mathrm{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$$

- ▶ Furthermore, this isomorphism is a natural transformation.

# The Yoneda Lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  and any Functor  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ .
- ▶ Specifically, the Yoneda Lemma states that:

$$\mathrm{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$$

- ▶ Furthermore, this isomorphism is a natural transformation.
- ▶ So we can construct a unique  $\mathcal{Y}$  with our desired properties from any element  $F(A)$ .

# The Yoneda Lemma

- ▶ It turns out we can do even better!
- ▶ We can construct the set of all natural transformations between  $\mathbb{C}(A, -)$  and any Functor  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Set}$ .
- ▶ Specifically, the Yoneda Lemma states that:

$$\text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$$

- ▶ Furthermore, this isomorphism is a natural transformation.
- ▶ So we can construct a unique  $\mathcal{Y}$  with our desired properties from any element  $F(A)$ .
- ▶ Vice versa, if we know all natural transformations  $\text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(A, -), F)$ , we can construct the set  $F(A)$ .