Natural Language Processing - Problem Set #3

Emma Ziegellaub Eichler - edz2103@columbia.edu

November 9, 2012

Problem 1

 \mathbf{a}

```
\begin{split} french &= alignment = [] \\ \forall 1 \leq n \leq m \\ max &= 0 \\ \forall 0 \leq k \leq l \\ q &= q(k|n,l,m) \\ \forall f \in F \\ &\text{if } t(f|e_k) * q > max \\ max &= t(f|e_k) * q \\ french[n-1] &= f \\ alignment[n-1] &= k \end{split}
```

Return french, alignment

The key observation to writing an efficient algorithm for this problem is that each French word f_i and alignment variable a_i are independent of all other French words f_j and alignment variables a_j , $i \neq j$, so we need only the maximum likelihood French word and alignment for each position n, $1 \leq n \leq m$, in the French sentence. There are |F| possible French words and l+1 possible alignments (since the English sentence is of length l, and there is also the null alignment). Thus, this algorithm is O(m(l+1)|F|). Out of interest:

We in fact may improve on this slightly if we assume the number of non-zero probability translations for each English word is considerably less than |F|. Then by hashing the possible translations for each word in the English sentence, we can avoid iterating over all of F more than once by initializing a translations hash for the English sentence: translations = defaultdict(set()) // This is Python for a hash that provides a default value of an empty set () for each uninitialized key

```
\forall f \in F
\forall 1 \le k \le l
ift(f|e_k) \ne 0
translations[e_k].add(f)
```

```
Then we modify the algorithm slightly:
```

```
french = alignment = []
\forall 1 \le n \le m
max = 0
\forall 0 \le k \le l
q = q(k|n, l, m)
\forall f \in translations[e_k]
if t(f|e_k) * q > max
max = t(f|e_k) * q
french[n-1] = f
alignment[n-1] = k
```

Return french, alignment

We now have an algorithm that runs in $O(l|F|+m(l+1)max_{1\leq k\leq l}|translations[e_k]|) < O(m(l+1)|F|)$ since we assume $max_{1\leq k\leq l}|translations[e_k]| << |F|$. \square

b

```
\begin{split} french &= [] \\ \forall 1 \leq n \leq m \\ max &= 0 \\ \forall f \in F \\ temp &= 0 \\ \forall 0 \leq k \leq l \\ temp + &= t(f|e_k) * q(k|n,l,m) \\ \text{if } temp &> max \\ max &= temp \\ french[n-1] &= f \end{split}
```

Return french

The key observation to writing an efficient algorithm for this problem is that each French word f_i is independent of all other French words f_j , $i \neq j$, so we need only the maximum likelihood French word for each position $n, 1 \leq n \leq m$, in the French sentence. There are |F| possible French words and l+1 possible alignments to sum over (since the English sentence is of length l, and there is also the null alignment). Thus, this algorithm is O(m(l+1)|F|).

Out of interest:

We in fact may improve on this if we assume the number of non-zero probability translations for each English word is considerably less than |F|. Then by hashing the possible translations for each word in the English sentence, we can avoid iterating over all of F more than once by initializing a translations hash for the English sentence: translations = defaultdict(set()) // This is Python for a hash that provides a default value of an empty set () for each uninitialized key

```
\forall f \in F \\ \forall 1 \le k \le l
```

```
ift(f|e_k) \neq 0
           translations[f].add(k)
Then we modify the algorithm slightly:
french = []
\forall 1 \leq n \leq m
   max = 0
   \forall f \in translations
       temp = 0
       \forall k \in translations[f] \bigcap \{0\}
           temp + = t(f|e_k) * q(k|n, l, m)
       if temp > max
           max = temp
           french[n-1] = f
```

Return french

We now have an algorithm that runs in $O(l|F|+m|translations|max_{f \in translations}|translations[f] \cap \{0\}|)$ O(m(l+1)|F|) since we assume |translations| << |F| (and $|max_{f \in translations}|translations|f| \cap \{0\}| \le$ $l+1 \ \forall f$). \square

 \mathbf{c}

By searching for $argmax_e p(f|e)PLM(e)$ instead of $argmax_e p(e|f)$, we may reuse our t and q parameters t(f|e) and $q(a_j|j,l,m)$. Were we to search for $argmax_ep(e|f)$, we would have to calculate a new set of t and q parameters, t(e|f) and $q(a_i|j,m,l)$. Thus, using only one set of translation parameters, we may translate in both directions, assuming we have a language model for one of the languages (which is a reasonable assumption for the native language).

2

```
Initialize temp(u,1) = q(u|0,1,l,m) * t(f_1|e_u) \ \forall 0 \leq u \leq l. \forall 1 \leq k \leq m  \forall 0 \leq v \leq l  temp(v,k) = \max_{0 \leq u \leq l} q(v|u,k,l,m) * t(f_k|e_v) * temp(u,k-1)  bp(v,k) = argmax_{0 \leq u \leq l} q(v|u,k,l,m) * t(f_k|e_v) * temp(u,k-1)  a_m = argmax_{0 \leq v \leq l} temp(v,m)  \forall 2 \leq k \leq m  a_{k-1} = bp(a_k,k)  Return a_1, ..., a_m
```

Given every possible alignment at every position $k, 1 \le k \le m$ in the French sentence, we find the maximum likelihood preceding alignment (that is, the maximum likelihood alignment for position k-1). We then find the maximum likelihood alignment for the last position, m, and use backpointers to recover the maximum likelihood sequence of alignments. Since we use a first-order Markov assumption (each alignment is dependent only on the alignment directly preceding it), the algorithm runs in $O(m(l+1)^2) = O(ml^2)$ time. (The initialization and backpointer recovery run respectively in $O(l+1) = O(l) < O(ml^2)$ and $O(l+m) < O(ml^2)$ time.) \square

3

```
Given input x = x_1...x_l, initialize temp(0,0) = 0

\forall 1 \leq e_0 \leq l

\forall 1 \leq s_0 \leq e_0

if (s_0, e_0, x_{s_0}...x_{e_0}) \in P

temp(s_0, e_0) = g(s_0, e_0, x_{s_0}...x_{e_0}) + max_{1 \leq s \leq s_0 - 1} temp(s, s_0 - 1)

bp(s_0, e_0) = argmax_{1 \leq s \leq s_0 - 1} temp(s, s_0 - 1)

p_0 = (argmax_{1 \leq s_0 \leq l} temp(s_0, l), l, x_{s_0}...x_l)

s = s_0, i = 0, e = l

while e > 0 p_{i+1} = (bp(s, e), s - 1, x_{bp(s, e)}...x_{s-1})

i + +, s = bp(s, e), e = s - 1

Return p_{i-1}, ..., p_0
```

Given every possible phrase p ending at every position e_0 , $1 \le e_0 \le l$ in the sentence, we find the maximum likelihood preceding phrase (that is, the maximum likelihood phrase ending at position s(p)-1). We then find the maximum likelihood phrase ending in the last position, l, and use backpointers to recover the maximum likelihood sequence of phrases. The algorithm runs in $O(l^2)$ time. (The backpointer recovery is linear in the number of phrases in the maximum likelihood derivation, which is a maximum of l.) \square