Name: Emmanuel Jesus Estallo

Student Number: 20(9-02355

Answer the following questions with clear and concise statements given the code snippets below.

Answers may be handwritten or typewritten on the spaces provided. You may attach additional pages if more space is needed and state all assumptions used.

References, both offline and online, need to be cited somewhere in the space provided if ever they are used.

```
class LLNode:
    def __init__(self, data=0, next):
        self.data = data
        self.next = next
```

a. (2 *pts*) Considering the implementation of the linked list node above (LLNode) and the linked list on the right (LinkedList), what is the time complexity of the print_backward function assuming *n* is the total number of elements in the linked list?

print-backward runs in o(n2).

the while loop has o(n) and inside the while loop, self. access has o(n) complexity.

o(h) complexity.

Thus, the worst case complexity is $O(n^2)$

Section: MABMXY

```
class LinkedList:
  def __init__(self):
    self.head = LLNode(0)
    self.size = 0
  def access(self, k):
    temp = self.head
    while k >= 0 and temp.next != None:
      temp = temp.next
      k -= 1
    return temp
  def insert(self, new element, k=0):
    temp = self.access(k-1)
    new node = LLNode(new element, temp.next)
    temp.next = new node
    self.size += 1
    return
  def delete(self, k=0):
    temp = self.access(k-1)
    to delete = temp.next
    temp.next = to delete.next
    self.size -= 1
    del to_delete
    return
  def print forward(self):
    temp = self.head.next
    out = ""
   while temp != None:
      out = out + str(temp.data) + " -> "
      temp = temp.next
    print(out)
    return
  def print_backward(self):
    n = self.size
    out = ""
    while n > 0:
      n -= 1
      temp = self.access(n)
      out = out + str(temp.data) + " <- "
    print(out)
    return
```

b. (6 pts) Modify the linked list implementation above such that print_backward runs in O(n) time or better. A HackerRank challenge is set-up for testing your implementation:

www.hackerrank.com/contests/eee-121-2s2223-hkrb/challenges/from-the-back

You may attach a link to your HackerRank submission or you may add your code directly in this area:

https://www.hackerrank.com/contests/eee-121-2s2223-hkrb/challenges/from-the-back/submissions/code/1359849682

- c.1. (1 *pts*) Briefly describe what was done to accomplish the time complexity required in (b) and c.2. (3 *pts*) what are the drawbacks of your approach (i.e. memory considerations, logical complexity, etc...).
- (.(.) to do print-backwards faster, we need a sentinel node at the end ruch that the traversal is straightforward. (Doubly linked list)
- (.2.) The drawback is that more memory is consumed. The extra memory is needed to track the previous link and for the fail ventined.

d. (4 pts) Consider the implementation of insertion sort that uses a modified binary search algorithm on the right. Assuming arr is implemented as a *dynamic list* and binary_search(arr[i], arr[:i]) runs in $O(\lg i)$ time, is the worst-case time complexity of this algorithm $O(n \lg n)$? Why or why not?

Yes, the worst case time complexity is algan similar to just an ordinary list. There really is not much difference between the static list and dynamic list acide from the memory lister allocation.

```
def insertion_sort(arr):
    for i in range(1, len(arr)):
        # store element at index i
        t = arr[i]

    # remove element at index i
        arr.delete(i)

# get index of t in
    # sorted sub-array arr[:i]
    j = binary_search(t, arr[:i])

# insert arr[i] at index j
    arr.insert(j, arr[i])
```