

### MATH 171: HOMEWORK 3

J. EMMANUEL FLORES

**Problem 1.** Prove that  $\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a basis for the standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution.** Let's start with the definition of basis for a topology. Given a TS (topological space)  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , and a family  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for the TS  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if every open set can be written as the union of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . On the other hand, we know that the rationals are dense in the reals, this is

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } x < y, \exists r \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ s.t } x < r < y.$$

Now, let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  we know that the standard topology of  $\mathbb{R}$  is given by open intervals such as  $(x, y)$ , with this in mind we have that

$$x < \frac{x+y}{2} < y,$$

and let's call  $z_1 = (x+y)/2$ , thus we have  $z_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and because the rationals are dense in the reals, we have that there exists  $r_1, r'_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$x < r_1 < z_1 \quad \& \quad z_1 < r'_1 < y,$$

and from this let's consider  $\mathcal{B}_1 = (r_1, r'_1)$ . Now let's repeat the same process, we have  $x < z_1$  and  $z_1 < y$ , thus we have

$$x < \frac{x+z_1}{2} < z_1 \quad \& \quad z_1 < \frac{z_1+y}{2} < y,$$

and let's call  $z_2 = (x+z_1)/2$  and  $z'_2 = (z_1+y)/2$ . Again, by the property of  $\mathbb{Q}$  being dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  there exists  $r_2, r'_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$x < r_2 < z_2 \quad \& \quad z'_2 < r'_2 < y,$$

and from this we consider  $\mathcal{B}_2 = (r_2, r'_2)$ . We repeat this process recursively and we'll have a family of open intervals of the form

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b) ; a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\},$$

and by construction we have that the union of this family is  $(x, y)$ .

Therefore, we've just proved that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for the usual topology of the real line.

**Problem 2.** Give an example of two topologies on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that neither is finer than the other space topology.

**Solution.** Let's also consider the lower limit topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_l$ , which is generated by the basis

$$[a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; a \leq x < b\},$$

and in this topology  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is open if  $\forall x \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $[x, x + \epsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}$ . And let's also consider the K-Topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_k$ , which is obtained by taking as a base the family of open intervals  $(a, b)$  together with sets of the form

$$(a, b) / K,$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $K$  is defined as

$$K = \left\{ \frac{1}{n}; n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

Now, let's prove that these topologies are not comparable; clearly  $[1, 2)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}_l$  but it's not open in  $\mathbb{R}_k$ . Indeed, any open interval that contains 1 must contain an interval given by  $(x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon)$ . On the other hand  $(-1, 1) / K$  is clearly open in  $\mathbb{R}_k$ , but is not open in  $\mathbb{R}_l$ . Indeed any open set in  $\mathbb{R}_l$  that contains 0 must contain an interval  $[0, \epsilon)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , and therefore contain elements of  $K$ .

**Problem 3.** Consider the following:

**3.1** Consider sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the finite complement topology. Which sequences converge? To what value(s) do they converge?

**3.2** Consider sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the countable complement topology. Which sequences converge? To what value(s) do they converge?

**Solution.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ .

**3.1** The finite complement topology  $\mathcal{T}_{fc}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined as:  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{T}_{fc}$  if  $\mathbb{R}/\mathcal{U}$  is finite or  $\mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ . Now, let's assume that  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , with  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then this implies that for each open set  $\mathcal{U} \ni x$  there exist some integer  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $i > N$  we have  $x_i \in \mathcal{U}$ .

But being open in this topology means that the complement is finite, this is  $V = \mathbb{R}/\mathcal{U}$  is finite, and because for each  $i > N$  all elements belong to the open set, this implies that  $x_i \notin V$  for each  $i > N$ . Moreover, any open set in this topology is finite, thus this implies that after  $i > N$  we have  $x_i = x$ . This means that after some label  $i$  the sequence is constant.

**3.2** On the other hand, the countable complement topology  $\mathcal{T}_{cc}$  is defined as  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{T}_{cc}$  if  $\mathbb{R}/\mathcal{U}$  is countable or  $\mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ . Here, the reasoning is pretty similar to the previous problem. Again, let's assume that  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , with  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then this implies that for each open set  $\mathcal{U} \ni x$  there exist some integer  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $i > N$  we have  $x_i \in \mathcal{U}$ . In this case  $\mathcal{U}$  being open means that its complement is countable. Now, let's consider

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R} : i \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

it follows that  $\mathbb{R}/\mathcal{U}$  is open. Now, let's suppose that  $x_i \neq x$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , but this implies that  $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathcal{U}$  but this is a contradiction with the assumption that the sequence converges, because we found an open set that contains  $x$  and does not contain any of the members of  $x_i$ . Thus it follows that if  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , then  $x_i = x$  for at least one  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now let's consider the following set

$$A = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R} : i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x_i \neq x\},$$

it follows that this set is countable, therefore  $\mathbb{R}/A$  is open, but  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , thus  $x_i = n$  for all  $i$  sufficiently large.

**Problem 4.** Let  $X$  be a totally ordered set by  $\leq$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of sets of the form

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \in X : x < a\} \text{ or } \{x \in X : a < x\}$$

for  $a \in X$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a sub-basis for a topology on  $X$  called the order topology.

**Solution.** The order topology is defined as follows: let  $X$  be a set totally ordered by  $\leq$  and let  $a, b \in X$ , then let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the family of subsets of  $X$  that are of the form

$$\{x \in X; x < a\} \text{ or } \{x \in X; a < x\} \text{ or } \{x \in X; a < x < b\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ , called the order topology on  $X$ .

On the other hand we know that a family of open sets  $\mathcal{S}$  is sub-basis if all finite intersections of  $\mathcal{S}$  form a basis for the TS.

So let  $X$  be a totally ordered set, and  $a, b \in X$ , with  $a \leq b$ , and let's consider

$$S_{a<} = \{x \in X : x < a\}, \quad S_{a>} = \{x \in X : a < x\},$$

$$S_{b<} = \{x \in X : x < b\}, \quad S_{b>} = \{x \in X : b < x\},$$

and let's look at the intersections. It's clear that if  $a \leq b$  it follows that  $S_{a<} \subset S_{b<}$  and  $S_{b>} \subset S_{a>}$ , which implies that

$$S_{a<} = S_{a<} \cap S_{b<}, \quad \& \quad S_{b>} = S_{b>} \cap S_{a>}$$

and on the other hand,

$$\{x \in X; a < x < b\} = S_{a>} \cap S_{b<}$$

therefore, as we can see all finite (and allowed) intersections of  $\mathcal{S}$  form a basis for the order topology of  $X$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a sub-basis.