

# Numerical Techniques in Cosmology

---

Emmanuel Flores

April 28, 2025

Cosmology Final Presentation,  
Tufts University

# Outline

---

1. Motivation
2. Some Numerical Techniques in Cosmology
3. Background Dynamics and Machine Learning

# Motivation

---

The more tricks you have up your sleeve, the easier it is to handle stuff.

---

The more tricks you have up your sleeve, the easier it is to handle stuff.

---

Why should we care about numerical techniques?

The more tricks you have up your sleeve, the easier it is to handle stuff.

---

Why should we care about numerical techniques?

- Sometimes it's not possible to find analytical solutions, but **an approximate solution is better than no solution at all**

The more tricks you have up your sleeve, the easier it is to handle stuff.

Why should we care about numerical techniques?

- Sometimes it's not possible to find analytical solutions, but **an approximate solution is better than no solution at all**
- Highly complex systems often require some numerical treatment(**nonlinearities, lots DOF, etc.**)

The more tricks you have up your sleeve, the easier it is to handle stuff.

Why should we care about numerical techniques?

- Sometimes it's not possible to find analytical solutions, but **an approximate solution is better than no solution at all**
- Highly complex systems often require some numerical treatment(**nonlinearities, lots DOF, etc.**)

The formal way to proceed:



The more tricks you have up your sleeve, the easier it is to handle stuff.

Why should we care about numerical techniques?

- Sometimes it's not possible to find analytical solutions, but **an approximate solution is better than no solution at all**
- Highly complex systems often require some numerical treatment(**nonlinearities, lots DOF, etc.**)

The formal way to proceed:

- Is to find criteria under which the **solution exists** (well posed problem), and prove that under some discretization (on desired function spaces) the approximate solution is **bounded from below and it converges**

# Some Numerical Techniques in Cosmology

---

# We can separate observables into: deterministic and stochastic

In general we can separate

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^0$$

# We can separate observables into: deterministic and stochastic

In general we can separate

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^0 + h_{\mu\nu}$$

- General Relativity  $\rightarrow$  homogeneous and isotropic background

# We can separate observables into: deterministic and stochastic

In general we can separate

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^0 + h_{\mu\nu}$$

- General Relativity  $\rightarrow$  homogeneous and isotropic background
- **Perturbation theory**  $\rightarrow$  inhomogeneous description

# We can separate observables into: deterministic and stochastic

In general we can separate

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^0 + h_{\mu\nu}$$

- General Relativity  $\rightarrow$  homogeneous and isotropic background
- **Perturbation theory**  $\rightarrow$  inhomogeneous description

Initial conditions in the universe are given in terms of initial perturbations

# We can also separate numerical cosmology into two groups

1. **Deterministic:** background observables  $\rightarrow$  ODE theory
  - $H_0$  determination for example

## We can also separate numerical cosmology into two groups

1. **Deterministic:** background observables  $\rightarrow$  ODE theory
  - $H_0$  determination for example
2. **Stochastic:** inhomogeneous part  $\rightarrow$  linear perturbation theory and beyond
  - CMB radiation
  - Large Scale Structure Observables: galaxy spatial correlations, galaxy cluster count, gravitational lensing, etc.
  - We want to describe the evolution of the universe from initial primordial fluctuations to the structure formation  $\rightarrow$  observables we can measure



# We take the FLRW metric with small perturbations and do GR

Start with FLRW metric and assume that all deviations are described by small perturbations. Then

## We take the FLRW metric with small perturbations and do GR

Start with FLRW metric and assume that all deviations are described by small perturbations. Then

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^0 + h_{\mu\nu},$$

## We take the FLRW metric with small perturbations and do GR

Start with FLRW metric and assume that all deviations are described by small perturbations. Then

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^0 + h_{\mu\nu},$$

which lead us to

$$h_{00} = 2\phi, h_{0i} = -aD_i B, h_{ij} = 2a^2(\psi\gamma_{ij} - D_i D_j E)$$

where  $D_i$  is the covariant derivative,  $(\psi, \phi, E, B)$  are scalar field and  $\gamma$  is the spatial projection of the FLRW metric.

## We take the FLRW metric with small perturbations and do GR

Start with FLRW metric and assume that all deviations are described by small perturbations. Then

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^0 + h_{\mu\nu},$$

which lead us to

$$h_{00} = 2\phi, h_{0i} = -aD_i B, h_{ij} = 2a^2(\psi\gamma_{ij} - D_i D_j E)$$

where  $D_i$  is the covariant derivative,  $(\psi, \phi, E, B)$  are scalar field and  $\gamma$  is the spatial projection of the FLRW metric. **And from here, the idea is to obtain Einstein's equations and solve them...**

# Background Dynamics and Machine Learning

---

For some function spaces, a neural network is an universal approximator

---

One of very interesting results from ML is the following:

# For some function spaces, a neural network is an universal approximator

One of very interesting results from ML is the following:

## Universal Approximation Theorem

Given a family of neural networks  $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is some function space, there exist a family of functions  $\{\phi_n\}$ , such that  $\phi_n \rightarrow f$ . We can also say that  $\{\phi_n\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

# For some function spaces, a neural network is an universal approximator

One of very interesting results from ML is the following:

## Universal Approximation Theorem

Given a family of neural networks  $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is some function space, there exist a family of functions  $\{\phi_n\}$ , such that  $\phi_n \rightarrow f$ . We can also say that  $\{\phi_n\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Thus it makes sense to try to use ML with ODE's: Physics Informed Neural Networks (PINN's)



# Cosmology-Informed Neural Networks

Starting with the FLRW metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right],$$

and assuming the universe is a perfect fluid, we have

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0$$

Models:  $\Lambda$ CDM, parametric dark energy, quintessence and  $f(R)$  gravity

$\Lambda$ CMD: the background cosmological evolution considering an  $T_{\nu}^{\mu}$  with only nonrelativistic matter

---

Phys. Rev. D 107, 063523 (Cosmology-informed neural networks to solve the background dynamics of the Universe)

## Models: $\Lambda$ CDM, parametric dark energy, quintessence and $f(R)$ gravity

$\Lambda$ CMD: the background cosmological evolution considering an  $T_\nu^\mu$  with only nonrelativistic matter

$$\frac{dx}{dz} = \frac{3x}{1+z}, \quad x(z)|_{z=0} = \frac{\kappa \rho_{m,0}}{3H_0^2} = \Omega_{m,0}$$

## Models: $\Lambda$ CDM, parametric dark energy, quintessence and $f(R)$ gravity

$\Lambda$ CMD: the background cosmological evolution considering an  $T_\nu^\mu$  with only nonrelativistic matter

$$\frac{dx}{dz} = \frac{3x}{1+z}, \quad x(z)|_{z=0} = \frac{\kappa \rho_{m,0}}{3H_0^2} = \Omega_{m,0}$$

**Parametric Dark Matter:** incorporation of new component of  $T_\nu^\mu$ , whose equation of state is that of a fluid and a function of redshift (DM)

## Models: $\Lambda$ CDM, parametric dark energy, quintessence and $f(R)$ gravity

**$\Lambda$ CMD**: the background cosmological evolution considering an  $T_\nu^\mu$  with only nonrelativistic matter

$$\frac{dx}{dz} = \frac{3x}{1+z}, \quad x(z)|_{z=0} = \frac{\kappa\rho_{m,0}}{3H_0^2} = \Omega_{m,0}$$

**Parametric Dark Matter**: incorporation of new component of  $T_\nu^\mu$ , whose equation of state is that of a fluid and a function of redshift (**DM**)

$$\frac{dx}{dz} = \frac{3x}{1+z} \left( 1 + \omega_0 + \frac{\omega_1 z}{1+z} \right), \quad x(z)|_{z=0} = \frac{\kappa\rho_{DE,0}}{3H_0^2} = 1 - \Omega_{m,0}$$

Models:  $\Lambda$ CDM, parametric dark energy, quintessence and  $f(R)$  gravity

---

**Quintessence:** alternative proposal for the expansion of the universe via a scalar field  $\phi$  minimally coupled to gravity via  $V(\phi)$

**Quintessence:** alternative proposal for the expansion of the universe via a scalar field  $\phi$  minimally coupled to gravity via  $V(\phi)$

$$\frac{dx}{dN} = -3x + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\lambda y^2 + \frac{3}{2}x(1 + x^2 - y^2),$$

$$\frac{dy}{dN} = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}xy\lambda + \frac{3}{2}y(1 + x^2 - y^2)$$

Models:  $\Lambda$ CDM, parametric dark energy, quintessence and  $f(R)$  gravity

---

$f(R)$  gravity: GR modifications

---

Phys. Rev. D 107, 063523 (Cosmology-informed neural networks to solve the background dynamics of the Universe)



## Models: $\Lambda$ CDM, parametric dark energy, quintessence and $f(R)$ gravity

$f(R)$  gravity: GR modifications

$$\frac{dx}{dz} = \frac{1}{1+z} (-\Omega + 2v + x + 4y + xv + x^2),$$

$$\frac{dy}{dz} = -\frac{1}{1+z} (vx\Gamma - xy + 4y - 2vy),$$

$$\frac{dv}{dz} = -\frac{v}{1+z} (x\Gamma + 4 - 2v), \quad \frac{d\Omega}{dz} = \frac{\Omega}{1+z} (-1 + 2v + x),$$

$$\frac{dr}{dz} = -\frac{r\Gamma x}{1+z}$$

# Core Methodology and Trainig Details

---

- Unsupervised learning: the training process does not rely on pre-computed analytical or numerical solutions of the differential equations

# Core Methodology and Training Details

- Unsupervised learning: the training process does not rely on pre-computed analytical or numerical solutions of the differential equations
- Optimization problem: the network's internal parameters (weights and biases) are adjusted during training to minimize a loss function

# Core Methodology and Training Details

- Unsupervised learning: the training process does not rely on pre-computed analytical or numerical solutions of the differential equations
- Optimization problem: the network's internal parameters (weights and biases) are adjusted during training to minimize a loss function
- 1 NN is assigned per dependent variable in the system, and each network have two hidden layers of 32 units each, with  $\tanh$  as activation function

# Core Methodology and Trainig Details

- Unsupervised learning: the training process does not rely on pre-computed analytical or numerical solutions of the differential equations
- Optimization problem: the network's internal parameters (weights and biases) are adjusted during training to minimize a loss function
- 1 NN is assigned per dependent variable in the system, and each network have two hidden layers of 32 units each, with  $\tanh$  as activation function
- Use of ADAM optimizer for the gradient descent

# Core Methodology and Trainig Details

- Unsupervised learning: the training process does not rely on pre-computed analytical or numerical solutions of the differential equations
- Optimization problem: the network's internal parameters (weights and biases) are adjusted during training to minimize a loss function
- 1 NN is assigned per dependent variable in the system, and each network have two hidden layers of 32 units each, with  $\tanh$  as activation function
- Use of ADAM optimizer for the gradient descent
- Minimize loss on batches of points until convergence

# Validation Methodology

Having trained their models, they validate with

- Cosmic Chronometers (CC)

---

Phys. Rev. D 107, 063523 (Cosmology-informed neural networks to solve the background dynamics of the Universe)

# Validation Methodology

Having trained their models, they validate with

- Cosmic Chronometers (CC)
- Type Ia Supernovae (SNIa)



# Validation Methodology

Having trained their models, they validate with

- Cosmic Chronometers (CC)
- Type Ia Supernovae (SNIa)
- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO)

# Validation Methodology

Having trained their models, they validate with

- Cosmic Chronometers (CC)
- Type Ia Supernovae (SNIa)
- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO)

Statistical Analysis (MCMC)

- Trained models give  $H(z)$  as output

# Validation Methodology

Having trained their models, they validate with

- Cosmic Chronometers (CC)
- Type Ia Supernovae (SNIa)
- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO)

Statistical Analysis (MCMC)

- Trained models give  $H(z)$  as output
- Standard likelihood constructed on the dataset

# Validation Methodology

Having trained their models, they validate with

- Cosmic Chronometers (CC)
- Type Ia Supernovae (SNIa)
- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO)

Statistical Analysis (MCMC)

- Trained models give  $H(z)$  as output
- Standard likelihood constructed on the dataset
- MCMC to explore the parameter space of each cosmological model

Phys. Rev. D 107, 063523 (Cosmology-informed neural networks to solve the background dynamics of the Universe)

# Main Punch Lines

Key takeaways

---

Phys. Rev. D 107, 063523 (Cosmology-informed neural networks to solve the background dynamics of the Universe)

# Main Punch Lines

## Key takeaways

1. They can solve the equations

---

Phys. Rev. D 107, 063523 (Cosmology-informed neural networks to solve the background dynamics of the Universe)

# Main Punch Lines

## Key takeaways

1. They can solve the equations
2. Successful implementation of **bundle solution**: NN can output solutions across a continuous landscape of parameters.

# Main Punch Lines

## Key takeaways

1. They can solve the equations
2. Successful implementation of **bundle solution**: NN can output solutions across a continuous landscape of parameters.
3. Parameter constraints were found to be consistent with those obtained in previous studies that used numerical solvers



# Main Punch Lines

## Key takeaways

1. They can solve the equations
2. Successful implementation of **bundle solution**: NN can output solutions across a continuous landscape of parameters.
3. Parameter constraints were found to be consistent with those obtained in previous studies that used numerical solvers
4. In some cases can be **more efficient than traditional numerical solvers** after the initial training phase, especially with the  $f(R)$  model

Thanks!