Cosmology: HW5

J. Emmanuel Flores

March 27, 2025

Problem 1a. The Boltzmann equation reads

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(n_1 a^3) = -\Gamma_1 \left(n_1 - \left(\frac{n_1 n_2}{n_3 n_4}\right)_{eq} \frac{n_3 n_4}{n_2} \right),$$

and by making $n_1 = n_n$, $n_3 = n_p$, with n_2, n_4 to be leptons n_l, we have

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(n_n a^3) = -\Gamma_n \left(n_n - \left(\frac{n_n n_l}{n_p n_l}\right)_{eq} \frac{n_p n_l}{n_l} \right),$$

which will lead us to

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(n_n a^3) = -\Gamma_n \left(n_n - \left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)_{eq} n_p \right),$$

just as we wanted.

Problem 1b. The equilibrium distribution of number density of species i is given by

$$(n_i)_{eq} = g_i \left(\frac{m_i T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{m_i - \mu_i}{T}\right],$$

then by neglecting the chemical potential, we will have

$$(n_n)_{eq} = g_n \left(\frac{m_n T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{m_n}{T}\right],$$

and also

$$(n_p)_{eq} = g_p \left(\frac{m_p T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{m_p}{T}\right],$$

from this we can make the ratio

$$\left(\frac{n_i}{n_p}\right)_{eq} = \frac{g_n \left(\frac{m_n T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{m_n}{T}\right]}{g_p \left(\frac{m_p T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{m_p}{T}\right]}$$

but $g_n = g_p$, thus

$$\left(\frac{n_i}{n_p}\right)_{eq} = \left(\frac{m_n}{m_p}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[\frac{-m_n + m_p}{T}\right],$$

and if we define $Q = m_n - m_p$, we have $-Q = -m_n + m_p$, thus

$$\left(\frac{n_i}{n_p}\right)_{eq} = \left(\frac{m_n}{m_p}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[\frac{-Q}{T}\right],$$

just as we wanted.

Problem 1c.

We know that

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(n_n a^3) = -\Gamma_n \left(n_n - \left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)_{eq} n_p\right),$$

on the other hand, if we define

$$X_n = \frac{n_n}{n_n + n_p},$$

we can write

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(n_n a^3 \frac{n_n + n_p}{n_n + n_p}) = -\Gamma_n \left(n_n - \left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)_{eq} n_p\right),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(X_n a^3 (n_n + n_p)) = -\Gamma_n \left(n_n - \left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)_{eq} n_p\right),$$

and if we take the time derivative we have

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^3(n_n + n_p)\frac{d}{dt}(X_n) + X_n \frac{d}{dt}(a^3(n_n + n_p))\right] = -\Gamma_n \left(n_n - \left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)_{eq} n_p\right).$$

On the other hand, if we assume that the baryon number is conserved, we have the following condition

$$\frac{d}{dt}(a^3(n_n + n_p)) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{a^3}\left[a^3(n_n + n_p)\frac{d}{dt}(X_n)\right] = -\Gamma_n \left(n_n - \left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)_{eq} n_p\right),$$

thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}X_n = -\Gamma_n \left(\frac{n_n}{(n_n + n_p)} - \left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)_{eq} \frac{n_p}{(n_n + n_p)}\right),$$

on the other hand we know that

$$\left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)_{eq} \approx \exp(-Q/T),$$

thus, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}X_n = -\Gamma_n \left(X_n - \exp(-Q/T)\frac{n_p}{(n_n + n_p)}\right).$$

On the other hand, we have the following identity

$$\frac{n_p}{n_n + n_p} = 1 - \frac{n_n}{n_n + n_p} = 1 - X_n,$$

which leads us to

$$\frac{d}{dt}X_n = -\Gamma_n \left(X_n - \exp(-Q/T)(1 - X_n)\right),$$

therefore, we finally have

$$\frac{d}{dt}X_n = -\Gamma_n \left(X_n - (1 - X_n)\exp(-Q/T)\right),$$

just as we wanted.

Problem 1d. For this part we have the following derivative

$$\frac{dX_n}{dt} = \frac{dx}{dt}\frac{dX_n}{dx},$$

but $x = x(T)$ and $T = T(a)$, thus by the chain rule we have

$$\frac{dX_n}{dt} = \frac{dx}{dT}\frac{dT}{da}\frac{da}{dt}\frac{dX_n}{dx},$$

and more explicitly $x = Q/T$, whereas by assuming $T \propto a^{-1}$, we have

$$\frac{dx}{dT} = -\frac{Q}{T^2}, \frac{dT}{da} = -\frac{1}{a^2},$$

thus we have

$$\frac{dX_n}{dt} = \left(-\frac{Q}{T^2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{a^2}\right)\frac{da}{dt}\frac{dX_n}{dx},$$

$$\implies \frac{dX_n}{dt} = \left(\frac{Q}{T}\right)\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt}\right)\frac{dX_n}{dx},$$

and again, using the fact that $T \propto a^{-1}$ we have $T/a = 1$, thus

$$\implies \frac{dX_n}{dt} = xH\frac{dX_n}{dx},$$

just as we wanted.

Problem 1e. For this problem, I append the solution of the ode as a Mathematica notebook.

Problem 2a. Starting with

$$n_i = g_i \left(\frac{m_i T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{(m_i - \mu_i)}{T}\right],$$

we have

$$\frac{n_H}{n_e n_p} = \frac{g_H}{g_e g_p}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{m_H T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2}}{\left(\frac{m_e T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2}\left(\frac{m_p T}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2}}\right)\exp\left[-\frac{(m_H - \mu_H)}{T} + \frac{(m_e - \mu_e)}{T} + \frac{(m_p - \mu_p)}{T}\right],$$

and from this we have

$$\frac{n_H}{n_e n_p} = \frac{g_H}{g_e g_p}\left(\frac{2\pi m_H}{m_e m_p T}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[\frac{m_e + m_p - m_H}{T} + \frac{\mu_H - \mu_e - \mu_p}{T}\right],$$

and by using the condition of equilibriuym given, we have

$$\mu_H - \mu_e - \mu_p = 0,$$

thus

$$\frac{n_H}{n_e n_p} = \frac{g_H}{g_e g_p} \left(\frac{2\pi m_H}{m_e m_p T} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left[\frac{m_e + m_p - m_H}{T} \right],$$

and by making

$$E_i = m_e + m_p - m_H,$$

we finally have

$$\frac{n_H}{n_e n_p} = \frac{g_H}{g_e g_p} \left(\frac{2\pi m_H}{m_e m_p T} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left[\frac{E_i}{T} \right],$$

just as we wanted.