

# GR-HW-06

J Emmanuel Flores

November 15, 2025

## Problem 1 (Transformation Rule for Inverse Metric)

Let's prove that the transformed  $\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}$  is the inverse of the transformed  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Indeed, the transformation rule for a covariant tensor is given by

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\sigma}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} g_{\rho\sigma} \quad (1)$$

Whereas for a contravariant one, we have

$$\bar{g}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\nu}{\partial x^\beta} g^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2)$$

then, we have

$$\bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \bar{g}_{\nu\alpha} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial x^\delta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \frac{\partial x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha} g_{\delta\gamma}, \quad (3)$$

and from this we can see that, by moving the partials, we have a  $\delta_\sigma^\gamma$  in the expression, thus

$$\bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \bar{g}_{\nu\alpha} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial x^\delta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \delta_\sigma^\gamma g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\delta\gamma}, \quad (4)$$

but we know that

$$\delta_\sigma^\gamma g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\delta\gamma} = g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\delta\rho} = \delta_\delta^\rho, \quad (5)$$

which implies

$$\bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \bar{g}_{\nu\alpha} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial x^\delta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \delta_\delta^\rho = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu}, \quad (6)$$

therefore, we have

$$\bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \bar{g}_{\nu\alpha} = \delta_\nu^\mu, \quad (7)$$

which proves that indeed, the transformed  $\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}$  is the inverse of the transformed  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

## Problem 2 (Covariant Derivative of Vector)

1. Let's prove that  $\partial_\mu V_\nu$  does not transform as a tensor. Indeed, from the transformation definition, we have that

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu = \bar{\partial}_\mu \left( \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} V_\beta \right) = \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \bar{\partial}_\mu V_\beta + \frac{\partial^2 x^\beta}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\nu} V_\beta, \quad (8)$$

and by the chain rule, we have

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \partial_\alpha V_\beta + \frac{\partial^2 x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu \partial \bar{x}^\nu} V_\beta, \quad (9)$$

and as we can see, we have an extra term, therefore,  $\partial_\mu V_\nu$  does not transform as 2-rank tensor.

2. We need to prove that the covariant derivative transforms as a tensor, this is

$$\nabla_\mu V_\nu \rightarrow \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \bar{V}_\beta. \quad (10)$$

Indeed, by definition, we have that

$$\nabla_\mu V_\nu = \partial_\mu V_\nu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda V_\lambda, \quad (11)$$

thus  $\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu$  is given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu = \bar{\partial}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu - \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \bar{V}_\lambda. \quad (12)$$

The only term that we need to be more careful is with the Christoffel symbol, since this does not transform like a tensor, in fact, the transformation rule for this object is given by

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\lambda}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\lambda}{\partial x^\gamma} \frac{\partial^2 x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu \partial \bar{x}^\nu}, \quad (13)$$

from this we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \partial_\alpha V_\beta + \frac{\partial^2 x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu \partial \bar{x}^\nu} V_\beta - \left( \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\lambda}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\lambda}{\partial x^\gamma} \frac{\partial^2 x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu \partial \bar{x}^\nu} \right) \frac{\partial x^\delta}{\partial \bar{x}^\lambda} V_\delta, \quad (14)$$

from this we can see that inside the third term we have two Kronecker deltas,  $\delta_\alpha^\delta$  and  $\delta_\gamma^\delta$ , respectively, thus

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \partial_\alpha V_\beta + \frac{\partial^2 x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu \partial \bar{x}^\nu} V_\beta - \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \delta_\alpha^\delta \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} V_\delta - \delta_\gamma^\delta \frac{\partial^2 x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu \partial \bar{x}^\nu} V_\delta, \quad (15)$$

thus we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \partial_\alpha V_\beta + \frac{\partial^2 x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu \partial \bar{x}^\nu} V_\beta - \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha V_\alpha - \frac{\partial^2 x^\gamma}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu \partial \bar{x}^\nu} V_\gamma, \quad (16)$$

and from this we can see that the second term cancels with the fourth one, whereas for the third, we can relabel the indices as follows

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \partial_\alpha V_\beta - \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda V_\lambda = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} (\nabla_\mu V_\nu), \quad (17)$$

this is

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu \bar{V}_\nu = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} (\nabla_\mu V_\nu), \quad (18)$$

therefore, the covariant derivative is indeed a good 2-rank tensor.

# Riemann Curvature:

The Riemann tensor is given by

$$R_{\sigma\nu\rho}^{\rho} := \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\rho} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^{\rho} + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\rho}\Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\lambda} - \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\rho}\Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^{\lambda}$$

where the  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  is the Christoffel symbol of second kind, which is given by

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\delta}(-\partial_{\delta}g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu}g_{\delta\nu} + \partial_{\nu}g_{\delta\mu})$$

On the other hand, the Ricci tensor is defined as the contraction with the Riemann tensor, this is

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\rho\nu}^{\rho}$$

and the Ricci scalar is defined in terms of the Ricci tensor as follows

$$R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$$

The first step is to define the metric tensor its inverse, and the set of coordinates that we will work on.

```
In[1]:= g = \left\{ \left\{ 1 + \frac{x^2}{L^2 - x^2 - y^2}, \left( \frac{xy}{L^2 - x^2 - y^2} \right) \right\}, \left\{ \left( \frac{xy}{L^2 - x^2 - y^2} \right), 1 + \frac{y^2}{L^2 - x^2 - y^2} \right\} \right\};  
ginv = Inverse[g];  
dim = Dimensions[g][1];  
coords = {x, y};
```

Let's display the metric and it's inverse:

```
In[5]:= g // MatrixForm  
Out[5]//MatrixForm=  

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{x^2}{L^2 - x^2 - y^2} & \frac{xy}{L^2 - x^2 - y^2} \\ \frac{xy}{L^2 - x^2 - y^2} & 1 + \frac{y^2}{L^2 - x^2 - y^2} \end{pmatrix}  
  
In[6]:= Simplify[ginv] // MatrixForm  
Out[6]//MatrixForm=  

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{x^2}{L^2} & -\frac{xy}{L^2} \\ -\frac{xy}{L^2} & 1 - \frac{y^2}{L^2} \end{pmatrix}$$$$

```

Now, let's compute the Christoffel symbol of the second kind:

```
In[7]:=  $\Gamma = \text{Table}[\text{Simplify}\left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{\delta, 1, \text{dim}\}} \text{ginv}[\alpha, \delta] \times (\right.$ 

$$\left. -D[g[\mu, \nu], \text{coords}[\delta]] + D[g[\delta, \nu], \text{coords}[\mu]] + D[g[\delta, \mu], \text{coords}[\nu]]), \{\delta, 1, \text{dim}\}], \{\alpha, 1, \text{dim}\}, \{\mu, 1, \text{dim}\}, \{\nu, 1, \text{dim}\}]$$

Out[7]=  $\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \frac{x(L^2 - y^2)}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, \frac{x^2 y}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{x^2 y}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, \frac{x(L^2 - x^2)}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)} \right\} \right\}, \right.$ 

$$\left. \left\{ \left\{ \frac{y(L^2 - y^2)}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, \frac{x y^2}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{x y^2}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, \frac{(L^2 - x^2) y}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)} \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

```

Since this object has 3 indices, and the number of dimensions is 2, the shape of this object is expected to be {3,3,3}, let's check that:

```
In[8]:= Dimensions[\Gamma] = {4, 4, 4}
Out[8]= False
```

Great, now let's move on let's compute the Riemann tensor:

```
In[9]:= rTensor = Table[
  Simplify[
    D[\Gamma[\rho, \nu, \sigma], \text{coords}[\mu]] - D[\Gamma[\rho, \mu, \sigma], \text{coords}[\nu]] +
    Sum[\Gamma[\rho, \mu, \lambda] \times \Gamma[\lambda, \nu, \sigma], \{\lambda, 1, \text{dim}\}] - Sum[\Gamma[\rho, \nu, \lambda] \times \Gamma[\lambda, \mu, \sigma], \{\lambda, 1, \text{dim}\}]
  ], \{\rho, 1, \text{dim}\}, \{\sigma, 1, \text{dim}\}, \{\mu, 1, \text{dim}\}, \{\nu, 1, \text{dim}\}]
```

Out[9]=  $\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ 0, \frac{x y}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)} \right\}, \left\{ -\frac{x y}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, 0 \right\} \right\}, \right.$ 

$$\left. \left\{ \left\{ 0, \frac{L^2 - x^2}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{-L^2 + x^2}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, 0 \right\} \right\} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \left\{ 0, \frac{-L^2 + y^2}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{L^2 - y^2}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, 0 \right\} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \left\{ 0, -\frac{x y}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)} \right\}, \left\{ \frac{x y}{L^2(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, 0 \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

Since this tensor does not vanish for all its components, we can conclude that the space is not flat.

Even more, this object is a good tensor, and the shape of this object is expected to be {2,2,2,2}, so let's check again for this

```
In[10]:= Dimensions[rTensor] = {4, 4, 4, 4}
Out[10]= False
```

Now let's compute the version of the Riemann tensor with all the indices down:

```
In[11]:= rDown = Table[Simplify[Sum[g[[i, m]] \times rTensor[[m, j, k, l]], {m, 1, dim}]], {i, 1, dim}, {j, 1, dim}, {k, 1, dim}, {l, 1, dim}]
Out[11]=
{{{{0, 0}, {0, 0}}, {{0, 1/(L^2 - x^2 - y^2)}, {1/(-L^2 + x^2 + y^2), 0}}}, {{{{0, 1/(-L^2 + x^2 + y^2)}, {1/(L^2 - x^2 - y^2), 0}}, {{0, 0}, {0, 0}}}}}
```

And as we can see, the shape remains the same, as expected:

```
In[12]:= Dimensions[rDown]
Out[12]= {2, 2, 2, 2}
```

And we can also compute the Ricci tensor:

```
In[13]:= ricci = Table[Sum[rTensor[{\rho, \mu, \rho, \nu}], {\rho, 1, dim}], {\mu, 1, dim}, {\nu, 1, dim}]
Out[13]=
{{{{L^2 - y^2}/(L^2 (L^2 - x^2 - y^2)), (x y)/(L^2 (L^2 - x^2 - y^2))}, {{x y}/(L^2 (L^2 - x^2 - y^2)), (L^2 - x^2)/(L^2 (L^2 - x^2 - y^2))}}}
```

And check that indeed it has the right shape:

```
In[14]:= Dimensions[ricci] == {4, 4}
Out[14]= False
```

Finally, we can compute the Ricci scalar:

```
In[15]:= ricciScalar = Simplify[Sum[ginv[\mu, \nu] \times ricci[\mu, \nu], {\mu, 1, dim}, {\nu, 1, dim}]]
Out[15]=
2
L^2
```