Simple Linear Regression: Estimation

EC 320: Introduction to Econometrics

Emmett Saulnier Spring 2022

Prologue

Housekeeping

Upcoming:

- Week 3 (this week): Analytical Problem Set 2 is due Friday
- Week 4 (next week):
 - We'll continue with the nuts and bolts of Simple linear regression
 - Computational Problem Set 3 (Posted this AM!)
 - Analytical Problem Set 3
- Week 5: Midterm!
 - We will review on Tuesday and in lab
 - Test is Thursday 4/28
 - No problem sets
- Week 6: No computational HW due this Monday

Navigating Metrics

Where are we?

- Reviewed core ideas from statistics
- Developed a framework for thinking about causality
- Dabbled in regression analysis.

Also, R.

Navigating Metrics

Where we're going

- Learn the mechanics of *how* OLS works
- Interpret regression results (mechanically and critically)
- Extend ideas about causality to a regression context
- Think more deeply about statistical inference
- Lay a foundation for more-sophisticated regression techniques.

Also, more **R**.

Simple Linear Regression

Addressing Questions

Example: Effect of police on crime

Policy Question: Do on-campus police reduce crime on campus?

• **Empirical Question:** Does the number of on-campus police officers affect campus crime rates? If so, by how much?

How can we answer these questions?

- Prior beliefs.
- Theory.
- Data!

Let's "Look" at Data

Showing 1 to 6 of 96 entries

Example: Effect of police on crime

ocarcii.	
Police per 1000 Students +	Crimes per 1000 students +
20.42	1.1
0.15	2
0.47	1.41
14.68	2.06
23.75	1.52
7.68	2.76
	20.42 0.15 0.47 14.68 23.75

Search:

Previous

Next


Example: Effect of police on crime

"Looking" at data wasn't especially helpful.

Let's try using a scatter plot.

- Plot each data point in (X, Y)-space.
- Police on the *X*-axis.
- Crime on the *Y*-axis.

Example: Effect of police on crime



Example: Effect of police on crime

The scatter plot tells us more than the spreadsheet.

- Somewhat weak *positive* relationship.
- Sample correlation coefficient of 0.14 confirms this.

But our question was

Does the number of on-campus police officers affect campus crime rates? If so, by how much?

• The scatter plot and correlation coefficient provide only a partial answer.

Example: Effect of police on crime

Our next step is to estimate a **statistical model**.

To keep it simple, we will relate an **explained variable** Y to an **explanatory variable** X in a linear model.

We express the relationship between a **explained variable** and an **explanatory variable** as linear:

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + u_i.$$

- β_1 is the **intercept** or constant.
- β_2 is the slope coefficient.
- u_i is an **error term** or disturbance term.

The **intercept** tells us the expected value of Y_i when $X_i = 0$.

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + u_i$$

Usually not the focus of an analysis.

The slope coefficient tells us the expected change in Y_i when X_i increases by one.

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + u_i$$

"A one-unit increase in X_i is associated with a β_2 -unit increase in Y_i ."

Under certain (strong) assumptions about the error term, β_2 is the *effect of* X_i on Y_i .

• Otherwise, it's the association of X_i with Y_i .

The error term reminds us that X_i does not perfectly explain Y_i .

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + \mathbf{u_i}$$

Represents all other factors that explain Y_i .

• Useful mnemonic: pretend that *u* stands for "unobserved" or "unexplained."

Take 3, continued

Example: Effect of police on crime

How might we apply the simple linear regression model to our question about the effect of on-campus police on campus crime?

• Which variable is *X*? Which is *Y*?

$$\mathrm{Crime}_i = eta_1 + eta_2 \mathrm{Police}_i + u_i.$$

- β_1 is the crime rate for colleges without police.
- β_2 is the increase in the crime rate for an additional police officer per 1000 students.

Take 3, continued

Example: Effect of police on crime

How might we apply the simple linear regression model to our question?

$$\text{Crime}_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{Police}_i + u_i$$

 β_1 and β_2 are the population parameters we want, but we cannot observe them.


Instead, we must estimate the population parameters.

- $\hat{\beta_1}$ and $\hat{\beta_2}$ generate predictions of Crime_i called Crime_i.
- We call the predictions of the dependent variable fitted values.
- Together, these trace a line: $\hat{\text{Crime}}_i = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \text{Police}_i$.

Take 3, attempted


Example: Effect of police on crime

Guess: $\hat{\beta_1} = 60$ and $\hat{\beta_2} = -7$.




Example: Effect of police on crime

Guess: $\hat{\beta_1} = 30$ and $\hat{\beta_2} = 0$.



Example: Effect of police on crime

Guess: $\hat{\beta_1} = 15.6$ and $\hat{\beta_2} = 7.94$.




Using $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ to make \hat{Y}_i generates misses called **residuals**:

$$\hat{u}_i = Y_i - \hat{Y}_i$$
.

• Sometimes called e_i .

Example: Effect of police on crime

Using $\hat{\beta}_1 = 15.6$ and $\hat{\beta}_2 = 7.94$ to make Crime_i generates residuals.



We want an estimator that makes fewer big misses.

Why not minimize $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_i$?


• There are positive *and* negative residuals \implies no solution (can always find a line with more negative residuals).

Alternative: Minimize the sum of squared residuals a.k.a. the **residual sum of squares (RSS)**.

• Squared numbers are never negative.

Example: Effect of police on crime

RSS gives bigger penalties to bigger residuals.



Minimizing RSS

We could test thousands of guesses of $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ and pick the pair that minimizes RSS.

• Or we just do a little math and derive some useful formulas that give us RSS-minimizing coefficients without the guesswork.

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

OLS

The **OLS estimator** chooses the parameters $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ that minimize the **residual sum of squares (RSS)**:

$$\min_{\hat{eta}_1,\,\hat{eta}_2} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2$$

This is why we call the estimator ordinary least squares.

OLS Formulas

For details, see the handout posted on Canvas.

Slope coefficient

$${\hat{eta}}_2 = rac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - ar{Y})(X_i - ar{X})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - ar{X})^2}$$

Intercept

$$\hat{eta}_1 = ar{Y} - \hat{eta}_2 ar{X}$$

Slope coefficient

The slope estimator is equal to the sample covariance divided by the sample variance of X:


$$\hat{eta}_2 = rac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - ar{Y})(X_i - ar{X})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - ar{X})^2}$$

$$= rac{rac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - ar{Y})(X_i - ar{X})}{rac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - ar{X})^2}$$

$$= rac{S_{XY}}{S_X^2}.$$

Example: Effect of police on crime

Using the OLS formulas, we get $\hat{\beta}_1$ = 18.41 and $\hat{\beta}_2$ = 1.76.



Coefficient Interpretation

Example: Effect of police on crime

Using OLS gives us the fitted line

$$\hat{\text{Crime}}_i = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \text{Police}_i.$$

What does $\hat{\beta}_1$ = 18.41 tell us?


What does $\hat{\beta}_2$ = 1.76 tell us?

Gut check: Does this mean that police *cause* crime?

• Probably not. Why?

Outliers


Example: Association of police with crime



Outliers

Example: Association of police with crime

Fitted line without outlier. Fitted line with outlier.



Suppose we do not yet have an empirical question, but wish to observe the mechanics involved in generating parameter estimates.

Consider the following mini sample $\{X,Y\}$ data points:

Example: n = 4

i	x_i	y_i
1	1	4
2	2	3
3	3	5
4	4	8

Regression Model:

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + u_i$$

Fitted Line: $\hat{Y}_i = b_1 + b_2 X_i$

Lets calculate the estimated parameters b_1 and b_2 using the OLS estimator

Recall that OLS focuses on minimizing the RSS. We will take four steps.

- 1. Calculate the residuals, $\hat{u}_i = Y_i \hat{Y}_i$
- 2. Summate the squared residuals, $RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_i^2$
- 3. Differentiate for $\frac{\partial RSS}{\partial b_j}$ such that our number of unknown parameters is equal to the number of partial differentiation equations
- 4. Solve for the unknown parameters

We'll use the **mini sample** to get an idea of the mechanics involved. Given larger datasets and more covariates, **R** comes to the rescue.

Warning: Check the second derivatives to ensure minimization of the functions, where all the second-order partial derivatives are greater than zero.

Step 1: Calculate the residuals

$$egin{aligned} \hat{u}_1 &= Y_1 - \hat{Y_1} = Y_1 - b_1 - b_2 X_1 \ \hat{u}_2 &= Y_2 - \hat{Y_2} = Y_2 - b_1 - b_2 X_2 \ \hat{u}_3 &= Y_3 - \hat{Y_3} = Y_3 - b_1 - b_2 X_3 \ \hat{u}_4 &= Y_4 - \hat{Y_4} = Y_4 - b_1 - b_2 X_4 \end{aligned}$$

Plug in values from our given data for $\{X, Y\}$

$$egin{aligned} \hat{u}_1 &= 4 - b_1 - 1 * b_2 \ \hat{u}_2 &= 3 - b_1 - 2 * b_2 \ \hat{u}_3 &= 5 - b_1 - 3 * b_2 \ \hat{u}_4 &= 8 - b_1 - 4 * b_2 \end{aligned}$$

Next we'll square each of these terms and summate for RSS

Step 2: Calculate the RSS

$$egin{aligned} RSS &= \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u_i}^2 = \hat{u_1}^2 + \hat{u_2}^2 + \hat{u_3}^2 + \hat{u_4}^2 \ &= (4 - b_1 - b_2)^2 + (3 - b_1 - 2b_2)^2 + (5 - b_1 - 3b_2)^2 + (8 - b_1 - 4b_2)^2 \ &= 114 + 4b_1^2 + 30b_2^2 - 40b_1 - 114b_2 + 20b_1b_2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that OLS minimizes the RSS expression with respect to the specific parameters involved.

To find the values that minimize a particular expression, we need to apply differentiation.

Step 3: Differentiate RSS by parameters

To differentiate by a particular variable, multiply each term by its power value and subtract 1 from the power of each of its terms.

e.g. for
$$y = 2x^3$$
, $\partial y/\partial x = 2*3x^{3-1} = 6x^2$
$$\frac{\partial RSS}{\partial b_1} = 0 \implies (4*2)b_1^{2-1} - (40*1)b_1^{1-1} + (20*1)b_1^{1-1}b_2 = 0$$

$$\implies 8b_1 - 40 + 20b_2 = 0 \qquad Eq(1)$$

$$\frac{\partial RSS}{\partial b_2} = 0 \implies (30*2)b_2^{2-1} - (114*1)b_2^{1-1} + (20*1)b_1b_2^{1-1} = 0$$

$$\implies 60b_2 - 114 + 20b_1 = 0 \qquad Eq(2)$$


Step 4: Solve for parameters

With two unknowns $\{b_1, b_2\}$ and two equations in which these unknowns satisfied the first order conditions $\left\{\frac{\partial RSS}{\partial b_1}, \frac{\partial RSS}{\partial b_2}\right\}$, we can solve for our parameters.

How? Substitute one expression into the other.

$$egin{aligned} 20b_2 &= 40 - 8b_1 \implies 60b_2 = 120 - 24b_1 \ & ext{substitute into second equation} \ Eq(2): \ 120 - 24b_1 - 114 + 20b_1 = 0 \ 6 &= 4b_1 \implies b_1 = 1.5 \ Eq(1): \ 20b_2 &= 40 - 8 imes 1.5 = 28 \implies b_2 = 1.4 \end{aligned}$$

OLS would prescribe $\{1.5, 1.4\}$ for our set of parameter estimates.



Fitting a line through the data points, with the aim of minimizing the RSS, results in the same implied parameters

- Such parameters will always be estimated computationally
- We will perform an exercise by hand in **Analytical Problem Set 3** to understand the mechanics underlying the values we hang our hats on