

CSE 486 A

Emil Sayahi

13th September 2023

Lecture notes from the 2023 undergraduate course ‘Introduction to Artificial Intelligence’, given by Professor Khodakhast Bibak at Miami University at Benton Hall in the academic year 2023-2024. This course covers introductory artificial intelligence concepts. Credit for the material in these notes is due to Professor Khodakhast Bibak, while the structure is loosely taken from the in-class lectures. The credit for the typesetting is my own.

*Disclaimer:* This document will inevitably contain some mistakes—both simple typos and legitimate errors. Keep in mind that these are the notes of an undergraduate student in the process of learning the material, so take what you read with a grain of salt. If you find mistakes and feel like telling me, I will be grateful and happy to hear from you, even for the most trivial of errors. You can reach me by email, in English, at [sayahie@miamioh.edu](mailto:sayahie@miamioh.edu).

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons](#) “Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International” license.



---

*For more notes like this, visit [my GitHub profile](#).*

Emil Sayahi,  
Fall Term: 2023,  
Last Update: 13th September 2023,  
Miami University

## Contents

<b>Lecture 1: Week 1, Wednesday</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Uninformed Search . . . . .	1
<b>Lecture 2: Week 1, Friday</b>	<b>2</b>
2.0.1 Breadth-first search (BFS) . . . . .	2
2.1 Uniform-cost search (UCS) . . . . .	3
<b>Lecture 3: Week 2, Wednesday</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1 Uniform-cost search (UCS) – continued . . . . .	4
3.2 Depth-first search (DFS) . . . . .	4
3.3 Iterative deepening search (IDS) . . . . .	4
<b>Lecture 4: Week 2, Friday</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1 Informed Search . . . . .	5
4.2 $A^*$ Search . . . . .	5
<b>Lecture 5: Week 3, Wednesday</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1 $\alpha$ - $\beta$ pruning . . . . .	7



Wed, 30 August 2023, 11:40am – 1:00pm

---

## Lecture 1: Week 1, Wednesday

### 1.1 Uninformed Search

Many AI tasks can be formulated as search problems; the goal is to find a *sequence of actions*.

- Puzzles
- Games
- Navigation
- Assignment
- Motion planning
- Scheduling
- Routing

Fri, 1 September 2023, 11:40am – 1:00pm

## Lecture 2: Week 1, Friday

### Definition 2.1

**Uninformed search** is a search strategy that uses no problem-specific knowledge. Only the goal test and the successor function are used; the **successor function** generates all possible states. It is not known which non-goal states are better than others. Strategies that know whether one non-goal state is better than another are referred to as **informed search** or **heuristic search** strategies.

There are five major types of uninformed search strategies:

- Breadth-first search (BFS)
- Uniform-cost search (UCS)
- Depth-first search (DFS)
- Depth-limited search (DLS)
- Iterative deepening search (IDS)

All of these uninformed search strategies are distinguished by the *order* in which nodes are expanded.

### 2.0.1 Breadth-first search (BFS)

Breadth-first search operates level-by-level, expanding all nodes at a given level before expanding any nodes at the next level. On a given level, nodes are expanded from left to right by convention.

### Definition 2.2

Breadth-first search is implemented using a **first in, first out (FIFO) queue**. The FIFO queue is a data structure that supports two operations: **enqueue** and **dequeue**. The enqueue operation adds an element to the end of the queue, and the dequeue operation removes an element from the front of the queue.

### Definition 2.3

If a solution exists, breadth-first search will find it in finite time, provided that the branching factor is finite and the depth of the solution is finite; this means that breadth-first search is **complete**. Breadth-first search is not always **optimal**, however, as the solution found may not have the minimum cost. It is optimal when all edges have the same cost, no cost, or when the cost is a non-decreasing function of the depth of the node.

**Definition 2.4**

The **time complexity** of an algorithm is the number of steps required to solve a problem of size  $n$ , where  $n$  is the size of the input; in the worst-case of breadth-first search, the goal node would be the very last node explored (ie, every vertex and edge is explored;  $O(|V| + |E|)$ ). The **space complexity** of an algorithm is the maximum amount of memory required to solve a problem of size  $n$ ; in the worst-case of breadth-first search, the goal node is discovered after all vertices are explored & stored in memory  $O(|V|)$ .

**Definition 2.5**

Complexity is expressed in terms of three quantities:

- $b$  is the **branching factor**, or the maximum number of children, or ‘successors’, of any node.
- $d$  is the **depth** of the shallowest (ie, closest to the root) goal node.
- $m$  is the **maximum length** of any path in the state space.

The time and space complexity of breadth-first search is exponential,  $O(b^d)$ , where  $b$  is the branching factor and  $d$  is the depth of the shallowest goal node. This is because the number of nodes expands exponentially with the depth of the tree.

## 2.1 Uniform-cost search (UCS)

Uniform-cost search expands the node  $n$  with the *lowest* path cost  $g(n)$  instead of expanding the shallowest node, where  $g(n)$  returns the cost of the path from the starting node,  $s$ , to the current node,  $n$ . This is also referred to as ‘Dijkstra’s algorithm’. This algorithm uses a priority queue to order nodes in the frontier list by path cost, with the lowest cost node at the front of the queue.

Wed, 6 September 2023, 11:40am – 1:00pm

## Lecture 3: Week 2, Wednesday

### 3.1 Uniform-cost search (UCS) – continued

UCS is optimal and complete, but its time and space complexity remain exponential in the worst case ( $O(b^{1+\lceil \frac{C^*}{\epsilon} \rceil})$ ), where all edge costs are at least  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $C^*$  is the cost of the optimal solution).

### 3.2 Depth-first search (DFS)

Depth-first search expands the *deepest* node first, removing elements from memory as it proceeds.

#### Definition 3.6

Depth-first search performs **chronological backtracking**; when a search hits a dead end, it backs up to the level above.

#### Definition 3.7

DFS is not complete without a **depth bound**,  $D$ .

DFS is not optimal or complete. It has an exponential time complexity of  $O(b^M)$  and a linear space complexity of  $O(bM)$ , where  $M$  is the maximum length of any path in the state space, and  $b$  is the branching factor.

### 3.3 Iterative deepening search (IDS)

IDS is a combination of BFS and DFS. It performs a DFS with a depth bound,  $D$  (typically starting at 1), that increases with each iteration. It is complete (when there are no loops) and optimal, and has a time complexity of  $O(b^d)$  and a space complexity of  $O(bd)$ , where  $d$  is the depth of the shallowest goal node.

#### Definition 3.8

Iterative deepening search is an example of an **‘anytime’ algorithm**; it can return a valid solution to a problem even if it is interrupted before concluding. It is expected to find better solutions as it continues running.

Generally, IDS is the preferred uninformed search algorithm when the search space is large and the depth of the solution is not known.



Fri, 8 September 2023, 11:40am – 1:00pm

## Lecture 4: Week 2, Friday

### 4.1 Informed Search

#### Definition 4.9

**Informed search algorithms** use problem-specific knowledge (ie, **domain knowledge**) to find solutions more efficiently than uninformed search algorithms. They use a **heuristic function** to estimate the cost of the cheapest path from a given node to a goal node. The heuristic function is denoted  $h(n)$ , where  $n$  is a node in the search tree.  $h(n) \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , while an  $h(n)$  close to 0 means that  $n$  is close to a goal node, while an  $h(n)$  that is very large means that  $n$  is far from a goal node.

### 4.2 $A^*$ Search

$A^*$  search is an informed search algorithm that uses a heuristic function to estimate the cost of the cheapest path from a given node to a goal node. It uses a **cost function**,  $f(n)$ , to estimate the cost of the cheapest path from the start node to a goal node through  $n$ .  $f(n)$  is defined as  $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$ .  $g(n)$  is the cost of the path from the start node to  $n$ , and  $h(n)$  is the heuristic function.  $A^*$  search expands the nodes on the frontier in order of increasing  $f(n)$  values (ie, the node with the lowest  $f(n)$  is expanded first).

#### Definition 4.10

A heuristic,  $h$ , is **admissible** if it never overestimates the cost of reaching the goal, ie,  $h(n) \leq h^*(n)$ , where  $h^*(n)$  is the true cost of reaching the goal from  $n$ . An admissible heuristic is **optimistic**. The straight-line distance ( $h_{\text{SLD}}$ ) between two points is an admissible heuristic for the problem of finding the shortest path between them. If the heuristic is admissible, then  $A^*$  search is optimal.

The time & space complexity of  $A^*$  search is polynomial when  $h$  satisfies  $|h(n) - h^*(n)| = O(\log h^*(n))$ . When  $h(n) = 0$ , this condition is *not* satisfied, and, in effect,  $A^*$  search becomes UCS.

Wed, 13 September 2023, 11:40am – 1:00pm

## Lecture 5: Week 3, Wednesday

### Definition 5.11

**Multiagent environments** are environments in which multiple agents share the same environment.

Contingency plans are necessary to account for the unpredictability of other agents.

### Definition 5.12

Each agent has its own **utility function** that maps states to real numbers.

### Definition 5.13

A **zero-sum game** is a game in which the sum of the utilities of all agents is zero. A **game** is a decision-making problem, which is a multiagent environment in which the agents' goals are in conflict. A **competitive game** is a game in which the agents' utility functions are maximised by different states.

### Definition 5.14

A **game** is defined by:

- $S_0$ , the initial state.
- $\text{PLAYER}(s)$ , which returns the player whose turn it is in state  $s$ .
- $\text{ACTIONS}(s)$ , which returns the set of legal moves in state  $s$ .
- $\text{RESULT}(s, a)$ , which returns the state resulting from playing action  $a$  in state  $s$ .
- $\text{TERMINAL-TEST}(s)$ , which returns if  $s$  is in its terminal state.
- $\text{UTILITY}(s, p)$  (referred to as the objective function or payoff function), which returns the final numeric value for a game that ends in terminal state  $s$  for a player  $p$ .

## 5.1 $\alpha$ - $\beta$ pruning

### Definition 5.15

**Minimax** is a decision rule for minimizing the possible loss for a worst case (maximum loss) scenario. It is a recursive algorithm for choosing the next move in an  $n$ -player game, usually a two-player game. A value is associated with each position or state of the game. This value is computed by means of a **position evaluation function** and it indicates how good it would be for a player to reach that position. The player then makes the move that maximizes the minimum value of the position resulting from the opponent's possible following moves. If it is  $A$ 's turn to move,  $A$  gives a value to each of their legal moves.  $A$  will choose the move with the maximum value of the minimum values resulting from their opponent's possible following moves. If it is  $B$ 's turn to move,  $B$  gives a value to each of their legal moves.  $B$  will choose the move with the minimum value of the maximum values resulting from their opponent's possible following moves.

Searching a complete tree takes  $O(b^m)$  time, where  $b$  is the branching factor and  $m$  is the maximum depth of the tree. This is too slow for most games. We can prune the tree to reduce the number of nodes that need to be explored.

### Definition 5.16

**Pruning** is the process of removing parts of a tree that are not relevant to the computation.  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  **pruning** is a search algorithm that seeks to decrease the number of nodes that are evaluated by the minimax algorithm in its search tree. It stops evaluating a move when at least one possibility has been found that proves the move to be worse than a previously examined move. Such moves need not be evaluated further. When applied to a standard minimax tree, it returns the same move as minimax would, but prunes away branches that cannot possibly influence the final decision.

## **Notes**