Sprint 3 Presentation Guidelines, Deliverables, and Rubrics

Objective

Sprint 3 focuses on the final delivery of a fully functional, live-hosted application with complete core features, advanced integrations, performance improvements, and robust deployment practices. Emphasis is placed on validating production-readiness, API integration, and UI/UX refinement.

Presentation Structure, Deliverables, and Evaluation Steps

1. Recap and Sprint 3 Goals (5%)

Deliverables to Check:

- ✓ Slide summarizing Sprint 1 & 2 key achievements
- ✓ Description of feedback from previous sprints and how they were addressed
- ✓ Slide outlining Sprint 3 goals and intended outcomes
- ✓ Reflection on team improvement and project direction

Technical Verification Steps:

- Open the presentation slides and look for a dedicated recap section
- 2. Check if goals are clearly outlined for Sprint 3
- 3. Look for reflective insights related to feedback application

2. Technology Stack & Architecture Updates (15%)

Deliverables to Check:

- ✓ Updated architecture diagram
- ✓ List of tools, frameworks, and libraries used
- ✓ Explicit statement confirming Angular is NOT used
- ✓ Frontend framework evidence in package.json
- ✓ Source code inspection for Angular traces
- ✓ Browser console check with window.ng

Technical Verification Steps:

- 1. Open architecture diagram for clarity and completeness
- 2. Check the package ison for frontend stack used (React, Vue, etc.)
- 3. Search the repository for 'angular.json', '@angular', or 'ng' scripts
- 4. Open the live app and run 'window.ng' in browser console should return undefined

3. Core Functionalities & Feature Completion (25%)

Deliverables to Check:

 \checkmark Live demo of key user and admin features

- ✓ Form validation and error handling
- ✓ Working navigation and session management
- ✓ Well-structured codebase with modular services

Technical Verification Steps:

- 1. Interact with live demo or local app build and test core features
- 2. Submit sample forms to trigger validations
- 3. Inspect localStorage or cookies for session tokens
- 4. Review the repository for modular file structure

4. API Integration and Validation (20%)

Deliverables to Check:

- ✓ DevTools/Network tab showing API requests
- ✓ Postman collection for API testing
- ✓ Screenshots of error handling
- ✓ Fallback logic demonstration
- ✓ API service code with clear structure and comments

Technical Verification Steps:

- Open browser DevTools > Network tab, confirm API activity
- 2. Run Postman collection provided in submission
- 3. Trigger known error conditions and inspect system response
- 4. Review error logs in the browser or terminal
- 5. Check repo for files like apiService.js or api.js with documented functions

5. Hosting and Live Deployment (15%)

Deliverables to Check:

- ✓ Live site URL on platforms like Vercel, Firebase, etc.
- ✓ Responsive layout across devices
- ✓ .env.example file included in repo
- ✓ Deployment steps in README

Technical Verification Steps:

- 1. Open and navigate the live site on mobile and desktop
- 2. Check console for functional API on the live site
- Check for .env.example and verify deployment steps in README

6. Testing, Performance & Bug Fixes (10%)

Deliverables to Check:

- ✓ Performance benchmarks (response/load time)
- ✓ Bug fix list since Sprint 2
- ✓ Unit test and EZE test results
- ✓ Error logs or issue trackers

Technical Verification Steps:

- 1. Open test report files or screenshots
- 2. Use Lighthouse for performance audits
- 3. View GitHub Issues or Trello for bug history

7. Presentation & Team Reflection (10%)

Deliverables to Check:

- ✓ Clean, organized slides
- ✓ Team coordination and participation
- ✓ Insights on challenges and learnings.
- ✓ Effective time use and Q&A handling

Technical Verification Steps:

- 1. Observe the team presentation
- 2. Check slide design and clarity
- 3. Evaluate individual member contributions

Numeric Rating Criteria

Each section is scored based on a 10-point scale. Use the technical validation steps provided below each category to determine a fair score. Use the following general scale for guidance:

- 10-9: Excellent fully implemented, well-documented, professionally executed
- 8-7: Good mostly complete, minor gaps or inconsistencies
- 6-5: Needs Improvement partially complete, lacks clarity or robustness
- 4-0: Poor incomplete, broken, or missing features

Name of Application: Team Name: Evaluator's Name and Team Name:

Detailed Criteria with Technical Validation and Scoring

Recap and Sprint 3 Goals (5%)

Deliverables to Check:

- Slide summarizing Sprint 1 & 2 key achievements
- Description of feedback from previous sprints and how they were addressed
- Slide outlining Sprint 3 goals and intended outcomes
- Reflection on team improvement and project direction

Technical Validation Steps:

- Open the presentation slides and look for a dedicated recap section
- Check if goals are clearly outlined for Sprint 3
- Look for reflective insights related to feedback application

Score (0-10):

Evaluator Notes/Recommendations:

Technology Stack & Architecture Updates (15%)

Deliverables to Check:

- Updated architecture diagram
- List of tools, frameworks, and libraries used
- Explicit statement confirming Angular is NOT used
- Frontend framework evidence in package.json
- Source code inspection for Angular traces
- Browser console check with window.ng

Technical Validation Steps:

- Open architecture diagram for clarity and completeness
- Check the package ison for frontend stack used (React, Vue, etc.)
- Search the repository for 'angular.json', '@angular', or 'ng' scripts
- Open the live app and run 'window.ng' in browser console should return undefined

Score (0-10): _____

Evaluator Notes/Recommendations:

Core Functionalities & Feature Completion (25%)

Deliverables to Check:

- Live demo of key user and admin features
- Form validation and error handling

- Working navigation and session management
- Well-structured codebase with modular services

Technical Validation Steps:

- Interact with live demo or local app build and test core features
- Submit sample forms to trigger validations
- Inspect localStorage or cookies for session tokens
- Review the repository for modular file structure

Score (0-10): _____

Evaluator Notes/Recommendations:

API Integration and Validation (20%)

Deliverables to Check:

- DevTools/Network tab showing API requests
- Postman collection for API testing
- Screenshots of error handling
- Fallback logic demonstration
- API service code with clear structure and comments

Technical Validation Steps:

- Open browser DevTools > Network tab, confirm API activity
- Run Postman collection provided in submission
- Trigger known error conditions and inspect system response
- Review error logs in the browser or terminal
- Check repo for files like apiService.js or api.js with documented functions

Score (0-10):

Evaluator Notes/Recommendations:

Hosting and Live Deployment (15%)

Deliverables to Check:

- Live site URL on platforms like Vercel, Firebase, etc.
- Responsive layout across devices
- .env.example file included in repo
- Deployment steps in README

Technical Validation Steps:

- Open and navigate the live site on mobile and desktop
- Check console for functional API on the live site
- Check for .env.example and verify deployment steps in README

Score (0-10): _____

Evaluator Notes/Recommendations:

Testing, Performance & Bug Fixes (10%)

Deliverables to Check:

- Performance benchmarks (response/load time)
- Bug fix list since Sprint 2
- Unit test and EZE test results
- Error logs or issue trackers

Technical Validation Steps:

- Open test report files or screenshots
- Use Lighthouse for performance audits
- -View GitHub Issues or Trello for bug history

Score (0-10):

Evaluator Notes/Recommendations:

Presentation & Team Reflection (10%)

Deliverables to Check:

- Clean, organized slides
- Team coordination and participation
- Insights on challenges and learnings
- Effective time use and Q&A handling

Technical Validation Steps:

- Observe the team presentation
- Check slide design and clarity
- Evaluate individual member contributions

Score (0-10): _____

Evaluator Notes: