Homework 10

Psych 5068

Emorie Beck

April 18, 2018

Contents

orkspace]
Packages	
Data	1
uestion 1	2
uestion 2	2
uestion 3	ę
Part A	
Part B	;
Part C	
uestion 4	4
Part A	Ę
Part B	ŗ
uestion 5	ŗ

Workspace

Packages

Data

```
source("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/emoriebeck/homeworks/master/table_fun.R")
data_url <- "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/emoriebeck/homeworks/master/homework10/homework_10_long.
dat <- data_url %>% read.csv %>% tbl_df %>%
    mutate(N_items = ifelse(R == 1, 10, ifelse(DC == 1, 20, 40)))
```

The file, homework_10_long.csv, contains data from a survey study in which 245 undergraduates (sex is coded men = 1, women = 2) completed the 10-item Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, the 20-item Desire for Control Scale, and the 40-item Narcissistic Personality Inventory. The items have been appropriately reverse-coded to all be in a consistent direction and they have been standardized. Higher item Z scores indicate higher self-esteem, higher desire for control, and higher narcissism. Using methods described in class, answer the following questions about this sample. All of these questions should be answered using the results from HLM analyses.

Question 1

How reliable are these three scales? Using the results from the HLM analysis, find the internal consistency reliabilities.

```
fit1 <- lmer(Score \sim -1 + DC + R + N +
                 (-1 + DC + R + N \mid ID), data=dat,
summary(fit1)
## Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood ['lmerMod']
## Formula: Score \sim -1 + DC + R + N + (-1 + DC + R + N \mid ID)
##
      Data: dat
##
##
                       logLik deviance df.resid
        AIC
                 BIC
##
    46742.5 46820.0 -23361.2 46722.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                 3Q
                                        Max
                                     2.9545
## -4.2713 -0.7311 -0.1271 0.7877
##
## Random effects:
##
   Groups
             Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr
##
             DC
                  0.1670
                           0.4086
##
             R
                  0.3823
                           0.6183
                                     0.46
##
             N
                  0.1001
                            0.3163
                                     0.67 0.39
                  0.8364
   Residual
                            0.9146
## Number of obs: 17150, groups: ID, 245
##
## Fixed effects:
        Estimate Std. Error t value
##
## DC -1.066e-15 2.919e-02
                                   0
## R -1.053e-15 4.361e-02
                                   0
## N -6.191e-16 2.222e-02
                                   0
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
    DC
## R 0.373
## N 0.543 0.325
(varc1 <- VarCorr(fit1) %>% data.frame() %>%
  filter(is.na(var2) & !is.na(var1)) %>%
  mutate(N_items = ifelse(var1 == "R", 10, ifelse(var1 == "DC", 20, 40)),
         ICC = sdcor^2 / (sdcor^2 + sigma(fit1)^2/N_items)) %>%
  select(var1, ICC))
##
     var1
                TCC
## 1
       DC 0.7997107
## 2
        R 0.8204978
## 3
        N 0.8271683
```

Question 2

What are the correlations among the scale means?

Question 3

Now find the latent variable correlations for these measures.

Part A

What are the values of these correlations?

Part B

Is each latent variable correlation significantly different from 0?

Yes, none of the confidence intervals overlap with 0, so the correlations are significant.

Part C

Are the correlations collectively different from 0?

3 0.2543082 0.5191626 cor_N.R|ID

```
fit3c <- lmer(Score \sim -1 + DC + R + N +
                 (-1 + DC + R + N \mid \mid ID), data=dat,
                 REML=F)
anova(fit1, fit3c)
## Data: dat
## Models:
## fit3c: Score ~ -1 + DC + R + N + ((0 + DC | ID) + (0 + R | ID) + (0 +
             N | ID))
## fit1: Score \sim -1 + DC + R + N + (-1 + DC + R + N | ID)
              AIC BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## fit3c 7 46865 46919 -23425
                                   46851
## fit1 10 46742 46820 -23361
                                   46722 128.27
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The model with the correlations is better than one without them, so the correlations are different than 0.

Question 4

Are there sex differences for these scales?

```
dat <- dat %>% mutate(Sex = mapvalues(Sex, c(1,2), c(0,1)))
fit4 <- lmer(Score ~ -1 + DC + R + N + DC:Sex + R:Sex + N:Sex +
                 (-1 + DC + R + N \mid ID), data=dat,
                 REML=F)
summary(fit4)
## Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood ['lmerMod']
## Formula: Score ~ -1 + DC + R + N + DC:Sex + R:Sex + N:Sex + (-1 + DC +
##
      R + N \mid ID
##
     Data: dat
##
##
       AIC
                 BIC
                     logLik deviance df.resid
   46740.7 46841.4 -23357.3 46714.7
##
##
## Scaled residuals:
               1Q Median
                                ЗQ
## -4.2674 -0.7313 -0.1255 0.7885 2.9435
##
## Random effects:
            Name Variance Std.Dev. Corr
   Groups
##
  ID
             DC
                  0.16301 0.4037
##
                  0.37670 0.6138
                  0.09701 0.3115
                                    0.66 0.38
##
                  0.83641 0.9146
## Residual
## Number of obs: 17150, groups: ID, 245
## Fixed effects:
##
         Estimate Std. Error t value
## DC
           0.06756
                     0.04239 1.594
## R
           0.08033
                      0.06355
                                1.264
## N
           0.05933
                      0.03216
                               1.845
```

```
## DC:Sex -0.12635
                      0.05797
                              -2.180
## R:Sex -0.15024
                      0.08690
                              -1.729
                              -2.523
## N:Sex -0.11095
                      0.04398
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
          DC
                 R.
                        N
                               DC:Sex R:Sex
## R
           0.363
## N
           0.533
                  0.313
## DC:Sex -0.731 -0.266 -0.390
## R:Sex -0.266 -0.731 -0.229
                                0.363
## N:Sex -0.390 -0.229 -0.731 0.533
```

Part A

Are men and women different in their means on each of these scales?

```
(res <- table_fun(fit4) %>%
  filter(type == "Fixed Parts"))
```

```
##
                             b
                                           CI
            type
                   term
## 1 Fixed Parts
                     DC
                         0.07
                                 [0.04, 0.16]
## 2 Fixed Parts
                         0.08
                                [-0.00, 0.22]
                      R
                      N
## 3 Fixed Parts
                         0.06
                                 [0.03, 0.10]
## 4 Fixed Parts DC:Sex -0.13 [-0.27, -0.10]
## 5 Fixed Parts R:Sex -0.15 [-0.31, -0.09]
## 6 Fixed Parts N:Sex -0.11 [-0.19, -0.07]
```

Men and women differ in desire for control and narcissism. Women have less desire for control (b = -0.13, 95% CI = [-0.27, -0.10]) and are less narcissitic (b = -0.11, 95% CI = [-0.19, -0.07]) than men, on average.

Part B

Collectively, does participant sex add significantly to the original model?

```
anova(fit1, fit4)
```

```
## Data: dat
## Models:
## fit1: Score \sim -1 + DC + R + N + (-1 + DC + R + N | ID)
## fit4: Score ~ -1 + DC + R + N + DC:Sex + R:Sex + N:Sex + (-1 + DC +
## fit4:
             R + N \mid ID
       Df
             AIC
                   BIC logLik deviance Chisq Chi Df Pr(>Chisq)
## fit1 10 46742 46820 -23361
                                 46722
## fit4 13 46741 46841 -23357
                                 46715 7.8074
                                                   3
                                                        0.05016 .
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Although there are sex differences, the model that includes sex is only marginally better.

Question 5

Generally, research finds that narcissists think highly of themselves and like to control their environments. That said, a narcissist with high control needs but low self-esteem might be a problem. They might be

particularly likely to manipulate others in an attempt to restore a grandiose sense of self. Using the latent variable scores fdrom the initial analysis (Question 3), identify by ID number the person in the sample who you think is the best candidate for this low self-esteem, high desire for control, high narcissism label.

```
Latent_Scores_EB <- coef(fit1)$ID

(dark_triad <- Latent_Scores_EB %>% tbl_df %>%
  mutate(ID = rownames(.)) %>%
  filter(sign(R) == -1 & sign(DC) == 1 & sign(N) == 1) %>%
  mutate(dist = rowSums(abs(cbind(DC^2, R^2, N^2)))) %>%
  arrange(desc(dist)))
```

```
## # A tibble: 35 x 5
##
          DC
                    R
                            N ID
                                     dist
##
       <dbl>
                <dbl>
                       <dbl> <chr>
                                    <dbl>
##
    1 0.367
             -1.01
                      0.416
                              89
                                    1.32
##
    2 0.802
             -0.316
                      0.667
                              64
                                    1.19
    3 0.0634 -0.833
                      0.234
                              108
                                    0.753
##
    4 0.687
             -0.133
                      0.292
                              25
                                    0.574
    5 0.553
##
             -0.372
                      0.334
                              233
                                    0.557
##
    6 0.0433 -0.691
                      0.122
                              193
                                    0.494
    7 0.341
             -0.605
                      0.0446 136
                                    0.484
##
    8 0.387
             -0.0334 0.560
                              11
                                    0.465
##
    9 0.420
             -0.455
                      0.0363 134
                                    0.385
## 10 0.303
             -0.463
                                    0.383
                      0.278
## # ... with 25 more rows
```

I looked at the sum of the squared differences from the means (0) for each score for people above the mean in desire for control and narcissism and below the mean in self-esteem. Based on this, Person 89 (R = -1.01; DC = 0.37; N = 0.42) appears to have the strongest configuration of these traits, with individual 64 (R = -0.32; DC = 0.8; N = 0.67)coming in a close second.