## Homework 12 Applied Mutlivariate Analysis

Emorie Beck

November 27, 2018

### 1 Workspace

### 1.1 Packages

```
library(car)
library(knitr)
library(kableExtra)
library(psych)
library(MASS)
library(Rmisc)
library(mlogit)
library(plyr)
library(plyr)
```

#### 1.2 data

The file, Set\_10.csv, contains the following data from the job search study: number of publications while in graduate school, length of time to complete the Ph.D. (in years), sex of candidate (1 = men, 2 = women), quality of the degree-granting institution (1 = top-tier research institution, 2 = middle-tier research institution), and the outcome of the job search (1 = no interviews, 2 = interviewed but not hired, 3 = hired).

Conduct a multinomial logistic regression on these data, predicting job search outcome from the other variables. Use the "no interviews" outcome as the reference for the dependent variable. Use the lower-tier category as the reference for the quality of the degree-granting institution predictor. Use women as the reference for the sex of candidate predictor.

```
## 1
      122 Tier 3
                                5
                                     0 interview -4.30 -1.09
## 2
       1 Tier 3
                                     0 no interview -4.30 -0.0900
                                6
                          1
                                     0 no interview -4.30 - 0.0900
## 3
      191 Tier 3
                          0
                                6
                                     0 no interview -4.30 -0.0900
## 4
      194 Tier 2
                          0
                                6
        4 Tier 3
                               7
                                     0 no interview -4.30 0.91
## 5
                          1
## 6
     6 Tier 2
                                     0 no interview -4.30 0.91
```

## 2 Question 1

1. When the "interviewed but not hired" outcome is compared to the reference outcome:

```
cbind(data.frame(b = coef(fit_1)), confint(fit_1)) %>% data.frame() %>%
  mutate(term = rownames(.)) %>%
  tbl_df %>%
  select(term, everything()) %>%
  setNames(c("term", "b", "lower", "upper")) %>%
  mutate(sig = ifelse(sign(lower) == sign(upper), "sig", "ns")) %>%
  mutate_at(vars(b, lower, upper), funs(exp)) %>%
  mutate(CI = sprintf("[%.2f, %.2f]", lower, upper), b = sprintf("%.2f", b)) %>%
  mutate_at(vars(b, CI), funs(ifelse(sig == "sig", sprintf("\textbf{%s}", .), .))) %>%
  select(term, b, CI) %>%
  kable(., "latex", booktabs = T, escape = F) %>%
  kable_styling(full_width = F)
```

term	b	CI
I:(intercept)	368880.06	[1299.26, 104730785.79]
H:(intercept)	21544.17	[57.57, 8062497.58]
I:sex	1.82	[0.46, 7.25]
H:sex	2.86	[0.63, 12.90]
I:T1vT2	0.26	[0.03, 1.98]
H:T1vT2	0.17	[0.02, 1.55]
I:T1vT3	0.50	[0.05, 4.50]
H:T1vT3	0.58	[0.05, 6.28]
I:years	0.07	[0.03,0.18]
H:years	0.03	[0.01,0.07]
I:pubs	9.90	[4.47, 21.92]
H:pubs	36.95	[15.89, 85.89]

#### 2.1 Part A

What are the significant predictors?

Both years and publications are significant predictors of the outcome.

### 2.2 Part B

How should the significant predictors be interpreted?

**Years**: An additional year in graduate school is associated with a .08 increase in odds of being interviewed. **Publications**: Each additional publication is associated with a 9.19 increase in odds of being interviewed.

# 3 Question 2

When the "hired" outcome is compared to the reference outcome:

### 3.1 Part A

What are the significant predictors?

Both years and publications are significant predictors of the outcome.

#### 3.2 Part B

How should the significant predictors be interpreted?

**Years**: An additional year in graduate school is associated with a .03 increase in odds of being hired. **Publications**: Each additional publication is associated with a 33.82 increase in odds of being hired.

# 4 Question 3

What is the probability that a man will be hired if he completes his degree in 5 years at a third-tier institution and enters the job market with 5 publications?

```
Y_H = b_{0H} + b_{1H} * sex + b_{2H} * years + b_{3H} * pubs
```

```
# get cases that match this because I'm too lazy to create a data frame
dat %>% filter(years == 5 & pubs == 5 & sex == 0 & Institution == "Tier 3" & outcome == "interview")
```

```
## # A tibble: 2 x 8
##
      ID Institution
                       sex years pubs outcome
                                               pubs_c years_c
                  <dbl> <int> <int> <fct>
##
   <int> <fct>
                                                 <dbl>
                                                         <dbl>
## 1 431 Tier 3
                         0 5
                                    5 interview 0.698
                                                         -1.09
      433 Tier 3
                               5
                                     5 interview 0.698
                                                         -1.09
## 2
O1 <- exp(predict(fit_1, newdata = jobs %>% filter(ID == 124)))["H"]
01 / (1 + 01)
##
          Η
## 0.6008997
```

The probability would be 60.1%.

### 5 Question 4

4. How do his odds of getting hired change if he gets 2 more publications but takes a year longer to finish?

```
# get cases that match this because I'm too lazy to create a data frame
dat %>% filter(years == 6 & pubs == 7 & sex == 0 & Institution == "Tier 3" & outcome == "interview")
## # A tibble: 0 x 8
## # ... with 8 variables: ID <int>, Institution <fct>, sex <dbl>,
## # years <int>, pubs <int>, outcome <fct>, pubs_c <dbl>, years_c <dbl>
02 <- exp(predict(fit_1, newdata = jobs %>% filter(ID == 152)))["H"]
```

The difference in odds will be -0.7 lower odds of being hired.