

# Phytoplankton Monitoring Report for Water Year 2024

Authors: Tiffany Brown and Ted Flynn\*  
Division of Integrated Science & Engineering  
California Department of Water Resources  
West Sacramento, CA

Date: 12/01/2025

Pursuant to Condition 11c of Water Right Decision 1641, State Water  
Resources Control Board, State of California, March 15, 2000.

Reporting Period: October 1, 2023 – September 30, 2024

\*Address correspondence to [ted.flynn@water.ca.gov](mailto:ted.flynn@water.ca.gov)



ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

## Introduction

The Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the US Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) are required by Water Right Decision 1641 (D-1641) to collect phytoplankton and chlorophyll *a* samples to monitor algal community composition and biomass at select sites in the upper San Francisco Estuary (Estuary). This report describes the results of these monitoring efforts for water year 2024 (October 1st 2023 through September 30th 2024) which was classified as above normal in the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys ([source](#)).

## Methods

Phytoplankton samples were collected monthly at 24 monitoring sites throughout the Upper Estuary and were grouped into regions based on their geographic location (Figure 1; Table 1). These sites represent a variety of aquatic habitats, from narrow, freshwater channels to broad, estuarine bays

### Phytoplankton

The 10 most common genera were determined by summing the normalized organism counts across all stations and months for each genus. For the bar graphs, average organism counts were calculated per month, per region, and normalized to the number of stations. Average summary statistics are reported as the mean ( $\mu$ )  $\pm$  the standard deviation.

For more in-depth methodology, see [here](#).

### Chlorophyll *a* and Pheophytin *a*

Samples of chlorophyll *a* and pheophytin *a* were collected monthly at 24 monitoring sites throughout the upper Estuary using a submersible pump positioned 1 meter below the water's surface. Average analyte concentrations were then calculated per month, per region, and were normalized to the number of stations. Average summary statistics are reported as the median (M)  $\pm$  the median absolute deviation.

For more in-depth methodology, see [here](#).

## Overall Results

### Phytoplankton Identification

All organisms collected in water year 2024 fell into these 12 algal groups:

- Centric Diatoms
- Chrysophytes
- Ciliates
- Cryptophytes
- Cyanobacteria
- Dinoflagellates
- Euglenoids
- Green Algae
- Haptophytes
- Pennate Diatoms
- Raphidophytes
- Synurophytes

The 10 most common genera collected in water year 2024 were, in order:

- Eucapsis (cyanobacteria)
- Chlorella (green algae)
- Cyclotella (centric diatoms)
- Plagioselmis (cryptophytes)
- Skeletonema (centric diatoms)
- Microcystis (cyanobacteria)
- Nitzschia (pennate diatoms)
- Teleaulax (cryptophytes)
- Monoraphidium (green algae)
- Cocconeis (pennate diatoms)

Of the groups identified, centric diatoms, cryptophytes, cyanobacteria, green algae, and pennate diatoms constituted 99.77% of the organisms collected (Figure 2).

## Pigment Concentrations

98.56% of samples had chlorophyll *a* levels below 10 g/L, which is considered limiting for zooplankton growth (Müller-Solger et al., 2002). The average chlorophyll *a* value was  $1.96 \pm 0.66 \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $0.59 \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $53.10 \mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin *a* value was  $0.61 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50 \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $11.10 \mu\text{g/L}$ . 32.01% of samples were below the reporting limit.

## Regional Results

### Carquinez

#### Water Quality

The average chlorophyll *a* value was  $1.79 \pm 0.38 \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $0.89 \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $4.59 \mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin *a* value was  $0.50 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50 \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $1.59 \mu\text{g/L}$ . 55.56% of samples were below the reporting limit. Time series plots averaged over region are shown in Figure 3.

#### Phytoplankton

The most abundant algal groups were cyanobacteria (94.6% of organisms,  $\mu = 19311 \pm 16531 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), centric diatoms (1.9% of organisms,  $\mu = 389 \pm 550 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), green algae (1.4% of organisms,  $\mu = 284 \pm 372 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), and cryptophytes (1.3% of organisms,  $\mu = 263 \pm 306 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ). The remaining 0.8% of organisms were comprised of chrysophytes, ciliates, dinoflagellates, euglenoids, haptophytes, pennate diatoms, and raphidophytes (Other, Figure 4).

### Central Delta

#### Water Quality

The average chlorophyll *a* value was  $1.57 \pm 0.51 \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $0.59 \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $4.90 \mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin *a* value was  $0.55 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50 \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $0.97 \mu\text{g/L}$ . 37.5% of samples were below the reporting limit. Time series plots averaged over region are shown in Figure 5.

#### Phytoplankton

The most abundant algal groups were cyanobacteria (87.7% of organisms,  $\mu = 11562 \pm 22411 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), green algae (4.5% of organisms,  $\mu = 592 \pm 1290 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), cryptophytes (4% of organisms,  $\mu = 525 \pm 772 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), centric diatoms (2.2% of organisms,  $\mu = 289 \pm 470 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), and pennate diatoms (1.5% of organisms,  $\mu = 200 \pm 540 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ). The

remaining 0.1% of organisms were comprised of chrysophytes, ciliates, dinoflagellates, euglenoids, and haptophytes (Other, Figure 6).

### Confluence

#### Water Quality

The average chlorophyll a value was  $2.03 \pm 0.59 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $0.86 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $4.18 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin a value was  $0.65 \pm 0.12 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $1.10 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . 18.75% of samples were below the reporting limit. Time series plots averaged over region are shown in Figure 7.

#### Phytoplankton

The most abundant algal groups were cyanobacteria (93% of organisms,  $\mu = 26610 \pm 41412 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), green algae (2.5% of organisms,  $\mu = 709 \pm 1320 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), centric diatoms (1.8% of organisms,  $\mu = 507 \pm 869 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), cryptophytes (1.3% of organisms,  $\mu = 384 \pm 522 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), and pennate diatoms (1.2% of organisms,  $\mu = 346 \pm 972 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ). The remaining 0.2% of organisms were comprised of chrysophytes, ciliates, dinoflagellates, euglenoids, haptophytes, and synurophytes (Other, Figure 8).

### North Delta

#### Water Quality

The average chlorophyll a value was  $1.46 \pm 0.66 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $0.76 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $4.18 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin a value was  $0.62 \pm 0.12 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $1.78 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . 41.67% of samples were below the reporting limit. Time series plots averaged over region are shown in Figure 9.

#### Phytoplankton

The most abundant algal groups were cyanobacteria (89.8% of organisms,  $\mu = 19841 \pm 41081 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), pennate diatoms (3% of organisms,  $\mu = 666 \pm 2269 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), green algae (2.9% of organisms,  $\mu = 645 \pm 1336 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), centric diatoms (2.8% of organisms,  $\mu = 610 \pm 1176 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), and cryptophytes (1.3% of organisms,  $\mu = 290 \pm 424 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ). The remaining 0.1% of organisms were comprised of chrysophytes, ciliates, dinoflagellates, euglenoids, haptophytes, and synurophytes (Other, Figure 10).

### San Pablo Bay

#### Water Quality

The average chlorophyll a value was  $2.64 \pm 0.68 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $1.29 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $5.90 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin a value was  $0.60 \pm 0.12 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $2.40 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . 40.62% of samples were below the reporting limit. Time series plots averaged over region are shown in Figure 11.

### **Phytoplankton**

The most abundant algal groups were cyanobacteria (94.4% of organisms,  $\mu = 22378 \pm 27927 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), green algae (2.6% of organisms,  $\mu = 626 \pm 1200 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), cryptophytes (1.2% of organisms,  $\mu = 280 \pm 350 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), and centric diatoms (1.1% of organisms,  $\mu = 260 \pm 471 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ). The remaining 0.6% of organisms were comprised of chrysophytes, ciliates, dinoflagellates, euglenoids, pennate diatoms, raphidophytes, and synurophytes (Other, Figure 12).

### **South Delta**

#### **Water Quality**

The average chlorophyll a value was  $2.13 \pm 1.09 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $0.74 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $53.10 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin a value was  $1.00 \pm 0.38 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $11.10 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . 12.5% of samples were below the reporting limit. Time series plots averaged over region are shown in Figure 13.

### **Phytoplankton**

The most abundant algal groups were cyanobacteria (79% of organisms,  $\mu = 14772 \pm 29542 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), green algae (8.3% of organisms,  $\mu = 1550 \pm 3950 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), centric diatoms (7.5% of organisms,  $\mu = 1405 \pm 2953 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), cryptophytes (2.5% of organisms,  $\mu = 472 \pm 674 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ), and pennate diatoms (2.5% of organisms,  $\mu = 459 \pm 1389 \text{ organisms/mL}$ ). The remaining 0.1% of organisms were comprised of chrysophytes, ciliates, dinoflagellates, euglenoids, haptophytes, and synurophytes (Other, Figure 14).

### **Suisun and Grizzly Bays**

#### **Water Quality**

The average chlorophyll a value was  $1.93 \pm 0.69 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $0.90 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $7.53 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin a value was  $0.50 \pm 0.12 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$  to  $1.26 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$ . 55.56% of samples were below the reporting limit. Time series plots averaged over region are shown in Figure 15.

## **Phytoplankton**

The most abundant algal groups were cyanobacteria (93.2% of organisms,  $\mu = 23789 \pm 23298$  organisms/mL), green algae (2.4% of organisms,  $\mu = 607 \pm 947$  organisms/mL), cryptophytes (1.6% of organisms,  $\mu = 417 \pm 551$  organisms/mL), and centric diatoms (1.6% of organisms,  $\mu = 417 \pm 685$  organisms/mL). The remaining 1.1% of organisms were comprised of chrysophytes, ciliates, dinoflagellates, euglenoids, pennate diatoms, and raphidophytes (Other, Figure 16).

## **Suisun Marsh**

### **Water Quality**

The average chlorophyll a value was  $3.04 \pm 1.08$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $1.28$   $\mu\text{g/L}$  to  $8.54$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ . The average pheophytin a value was  $0.79 \pm 0.17$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ ; values ranged from  $< 0.50$   $\mu\text{g/L}$  to  $1.99$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ . 12.5% of samples were below the reporting limit. Time series plots averaged over region are shown in Figure 17.

## **Phytoplankton**

The most abundant algal groups were cyanobacteria (94.8% of organisms,  $\mu = 51667 \pm 67531$  organisms/mL), green algae (2.1% of organisms,  $\mu = 1166 \pm 2171$  organisms/mL), and centric diatoms (1.4% of organisms,  $\mu = 767 \pm 1139$  organisms/mL). The remaining 1.6% of organisms were comprised of chrysophytes, ciliates, cryptophytes, dinoflagellates, euglenoids, haptophytes, pennate diatoms, and raphidophytes (Other, Figure 18).

## **Interpretations**

Water year 2024 was above average in precipitation for both the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and their watersheds. Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a values were low overall, with many samples being below the detection limit. Higher outflows that can occur during wet or above normal water years often reduce the residence time of the water, leading to less opportunities for large algal blooms. The phytoplankton community in all regions was dominated by small, but numerically abundant, cyanobacteria. Phytoplankton fell into 12 distinct categories, similar to previous years, with nearly all groups being found in all regions. However, the top ten taxa fell into just 5 categories, showing that while the overall community is diverse, phytoplankton tend to be numerically dominated by a small number of algal groups.

## References

- [APHA] American Public Health Association, American Waterworks, and Water Environmental Federation. 2012. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 22nd ed. Washington, D.C.: American Public Health Association.
- Alpine, A. E., and Cloern, J. E. 1992. Trophic interactions and direct physical effects control phytoplankton biomass and production in an estuary. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 37: 946-955
- Carmichael, W., ed. 1981. The Water Environment, Algal Toxins and Health. Plenum Press, New York, N. Y.
- Gannon, J. E. and R. S. Stemberger. 1978. Zooplankton (especially crustaceans and rotifers) as indicators of water quality. *Trans. Amer. Microsc.* 97:16.
- Horne, A. and Goldman, C. 1994. Limnology. 2nd ed. New York, New York, McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Müller-Solger AB, Jassby AD, Müller-Navarra DC. 2002. Nutritional quality of food resources for zooplankton (*Daphnia*) in a tidal freshwater system (Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta). *Limnology and Oceanography* 47(5): 1468-1476.
- Utermöhl, H. 1958. Zur Vervollkommnung der quantitativen Phytoplankton Methodik. *Mitt. Int. Verh. Limnol.* 9: 38.
- van den Hoek, C., D.G. Mann, and H.M. Jahns. 1995. Algae: an introduction to Phycology. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.

## Archived Reports

Previous EMP phytoplankton reports can be found [here](#).

## Figures




Figure 1: Map of EMP's phytoplankton field sites.




Figure 2: Phytoplankton composition by algal group.




Figure 3: Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a average concentrations in Carquinez.




Figure 4: Density of phytoplankton organisms in Carquinez.




Figure 5: Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a average concentrations in the Central Delta.




Figure 6: Density of phytoplankton organisms in the Central Delta.




Figure 7: Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a average concentrations in the Confluence.




Figure 8: Density of phytoplankton organisms in the Confluence.




Figure 9: Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a average concentrations in the North Delta.




Figure 10: Density of phytoplankton organisms in the North Delta.




Figure 11: Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a average concentrations in the San Pablo Bay.




Figure 12: Density of phytoplankton organisms in the San Pablo Bay.




Figure 13: Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a average concentrations in the South Delta.




Figure 14: Density of phytoplankton organisms in the South Delta.




Figure 15: Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a average concentrations in Suisun and Grizzly Bays.




Figure 16: Density of phytoplankton organisms in Suisun and Grizzly Bays.




Figure 17: Chlorophyll a and pheophytin a average concentrations in Suisun Marsh.




Figure 18: Density of phytoplankton organisms in Suisun Marsh.

## Tables

Table 1: Stations included within each region of the Delta

Region	WY Index	Stations
Carquinez	Sacramento	NZ002, NZ004
Central Delta	San Joaquin	D16, D19, D26, D28A
Confluence	Sacramento	D4, D10, D12, D22
North Delta	Sacramento	C3A, NZ068
San Pablo Bay	Sacramento	D41, D41A, NZ325
South Delta	San Joaquin	C9, C10A, MD10A, P8
Suisun and Grizzly Bays	Sacramento	D6, D7, D8
Suisun Marsh	Sacramento	NZ032, NZS42