

Neutrino Flavor Conversions in Dense Medium: Matter Stimulation and Dispersion Relation

PhD Defense

Lei Ma

Supervisor: Huaiyu Duan

Outline

- 1. Neutrino Oscillations
 - 1.1 Neutrinos as Fundamental Particles
 - 1.2 Why Do Neutrinos Oscillate
- Matter Stimulated Oscillations
 - 2.1 Matter Interactions, MSW Effect, and Solar Neutrino Problem
 - 2.2 Stimulated Neutrino Oscillations and Rabi Oscillations
 - 2.3 Basis and Formalism
 - 2.4 Multiple Frequencies in Matter Potential
- 3. Neutrino Oscillations and Dispersion Relation
 - 3.1 Neutrino Self-interactions
 - 3.2 Linear Stability Analysis
 - 3.3 Dispersion Relation
 - 3.4 Summary of Dispersion Relation

Outline for Section 1

- Neutrino Oscillations
 - 1.1 Neutrinos as Fundamental Particles
 - 1.2 Why Do Neutrinos Oscillate
- Matter Stimulated Oscillations
 - 2.1 Matter Interactions, MSW Effect, and Solar Neutrino Problem
 - 2.2 Stimulated Neutrino Oscillations and Rabi Oscillations
 - 2.3 Basis and Formalism
 - 2.4 Multiple Frequencies in Matter Potential
- 3. Neutrino Oscillations and Dispersion Relation
 - 3.1 Neutrino Self-interactions
 - 3.2 Linear Stability Analysis
 - 3.3 Dispersion Relation
 - 3.4 Summary of Dispersion Relation

What are Neutrinos?




Elementary particles.

Source: symmetrymagazine.org


Neutrinos are

- fermions,
- · electrically neutral,
- three flavors,
- non-vanishing mass.




Adapted from Olga Mena & Stephen Parke (2004)

What are Neutrinos?



Elementary particles. Source: symmetrymagazine.org Neutrinos are

- fermions,
- electrically neutral,
- three flavors,
- non-vanishing mass.



Adapted from Olga Mena & Stephen Parke (2004)

Why Do Neutrinos Oscillate?

Two flavor senario

Flavor states are different from mass states.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_e \\ \psi_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{\rm v} & \sin\theta_{\rm v} \\ -\sin\theta_{\rm v} & \cos\theta_{\rm v} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 θ_{v} : vacuum mixing angle

Why Do Neutrinos Oscillate?

Equation of Motion

$$i\partial_{\mathsf{x}} \left(egin{matrix} \psi_e \ \psi_\mu \end{matrix}
ight) = \mathbf{H} \left(egin{matrix} \psi_e \ \psi_\mu \end{matrix}
ight)$$

Why Do Neutrinos Oscillate?

Equation of Motion

$$i\partial_{\times} \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_e \ \psi_\mu \end{array}
ight) = \mathbf{H} \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_e \ \psi_\mu \end{array}
ight)$$

$$H = \frac{\omega_{v}}{2} \left(-\cos 2\theta_{v} \sigma_{3} + \sin 2\theta_{v} \sigma_{1} \right)$$

- Mixing angle θ_ν
- Oscillation frequency:

$$\omega_{\mathsf{v}} = \frac{\delta m^2}{2E} = \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2E}$$

Flavor Isospin

Hamiltonian:
$$\mathbf{H} = -\frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \cdot \vec{H}$$

Flavor isospin: $\vec{s} = \Psi^{\dagger} \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \Psi$

Electron flavor survival probability:

$$P = \frac{1}{2} + s_3$$

Equation of motion:

$$\dot{\vec{s}} = \vec{s} \times \vec{H}$$



Flavor Isospin

Hamiltonian: $H = -\frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \cdot \vec{H}$

Flavor isospin: $\vec{s} = \Psi^{\dagger} \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \Psi$

Electron flavor survival probability:

$$P = \frac{1}{2} + s_3$$

Equation of motion:


$$\dot{\vec{s}} = \vec{s} \times \vec{H}$$

Vacuum oscillation Hamiltonian

$$\frac{\omega_{v}}{2} \left(-\cos 2\theta_{v} \sigma_{3} + \sin 2\theta_{v} \sigma_{1} \right)$$

$$\rightarrow \cos 2\theta_{v} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_{v} \end{pmatrix} - \sin 2\theta_{v} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{v} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$







Outline for Section 2

- Neutrino Oscillations
 - 1.1 Neutrinos as Fundamental Particles
 - 1.2 Why Do Neutrinos Oscillate
- Matter Stimulated Oscillations
 - 2.1 Matter Interactions, MSW Effect, and Solar Neutrino Problem
 - 2.2 Stimulated Neutrino Oscillations and Rabi Oscillations
 - 2.3 Basis and Formalism
 - 2.4 Multiple Frequencies in Matter Potential
- 3. Neutrino Oscillations and Dispersion Relation
 - 3.1 Neutrino Self-interactions
 - 3.2 Linear Stability Analysis
 - 3.3 Dispersion Relation
 - 3.4 Summary of Dispersion Relation

Matter Interaction



Neutral current interaction between $\nu_{\rm e}, \, \nu_{\mu}, \, \nu_{\tau}, \, {\rm and} \, e^-.$



Charged current interaction between ν_e and e^-

Matter Interaction

Hamiltonian with matter interaction in flavor basis ($\omega_v = \delta m^2/2E$):


$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\omega_{\mathsf{v}}}{2} \left(-\cos 2\theta_{\mathsf{v}} \sigma_3 + \sin 2\theta_{\mathsf{v}} \sigma_1 \right) + \frac{\lambda(\mathsf{x})}{2} \sigma_3$$

- Vacuum Hamiltonian
- Matter interaction
- $\lambda(x) = \sqrt{2}G_{\rm F}n_{\rm e}(x)$

$$H = \frac{\omega_{V}}{2} \left(-\cos 2\theta_{V} \sigma_{3} + \sin 2\theta_{V} \sigma_{1} \right) + \frac{\lambda(x)}{2} \sigma_{3}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\omega_{V}}{2} \left(-\sin 2\theta_{V} \right) + \left(0 \right) \\ \cos 2\theta_{V} \right) + \left(0 \right) \\ -\lambda(x)$$

$$= \vec{H}_{V} + \vec{H}_{m}(x)$$



Electron flavor survival probability

$$P = \frac{1}{2} + s_3$$

Oscillation frequency in vacuum:

$$\omega_{\mathsf{v}} = |\vec{H}_{\mathsf{v}}|$$

Oscillation frequency in matter:


$$\omega_{\rm m} = |\vec{H}|$$

Flavor states and mass states in matter


$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_e \\ \psi_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{\rm m} & \sin\theta_{\rm m} \\ -\sin\theta_{\rm m} & \cos\theta_{\rm m} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\rm L} \\ \psi_{\rm H} \end{pmatrix}$$


Large density

Adiabatic matter density change



Low density






- Maximum possible flavor transition probability amplitude
- MSW Resonance
- A specific matter density

$$\sqrt{2}G_{\rm F}n_{\rm e}\equiv\omega_{\rm v}\cos2\theta_{\rm v}$$

Supernova Matter Density Profile


Astrophysical environments: supernovae, accretion disks etc



Supernova shock and turbulence. E. Borriello, et al (2014)

Stimulated Neutrino Flavor Conversions

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$$




Transition probabilities between mass states in matter.

Stimulated Neutrino Flavor Conversions

$$A = 0.1\omega_{\rm m}$$
$$k = 0.995\omega_{\rm m}$$
$$\theta_{\rm m} = \pi/6$$

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + A\cos(kx)$$



P. Krastev and A. Smirnov (1989); A. Friedland et al (2006); J. Kneller et al (2013); K. Patton et al (2014);

Scheme

$$E_2=rac{\omega_0}{2}$$
 Incoming light $E_1=-rac{\omega_0}{2}$ Frequency : k

Rabi Oscillation

Hamiltonian

$$-\frac{\omega_{m}}{2}\sigma_{3}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0&e^{ikt}\\e^{-ikt}&0\end{pmatrix}$$

Static Frame

$$\vec{H}_3 = \omega_{\rm m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{H}_+ = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos(kt) \\ -\sin(kt) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$




Static Frame

$$\vec{H}_{3} = \omega_{\mathrm{m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{H}_{+} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos(kt) \\ -\sin(kt) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \vec{H}_{3}' = (\omega_{\mathrm{m}} - k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{H}_{+}' = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$ec{H}_3$$
 $ec{H}_3 + ec{H}_+$ $ec{H}_+$


Corotating Frame

$$\vec{H}'_{3} = (\omega_{m} - k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{H}'_{+} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Corotating Frame

$$\vec{H}'_{3} = (\omega_{\rm m} - k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow k = \omega_{\rm m}$$



Scheme



Rabi Oscillation

Hamiltonian

$$-\frac{\omega_{\rm m}}{2}\sigma_3 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{ikt} \\ e^{-ikt} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Rabi formula

$$P_{1\to 2} = \frac{1}{1+D^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm R}}{2}t\right).$$

Relative detuning

$$D = \left| \frac{\omega_{\rm m} - k}{\alpha} \right|.$$

Rabi frequency

$$\Omega_{\rm R} = |\alpha| \sqrt{1 + D^2}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_e \\ \psi_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_m & \sin\theta_m \\ -\sin\theta_m & \cos\theta_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_H \end{pmatrix}$$

Matter Potential

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$$

Hamiltonian

matter basis:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\omega_{\rm m} \right) \sigma_3$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_e \\ \psi_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_m & \sin\theta_m \\ -\sin\theta_m & \cos\theta_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_H \end{pmatrix}$$

Matter Potential

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + A\cos(kx)$$

Hamiltonian

Background matter basis:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\omega_{m} + A \cos(kx) \cos 2\theta_{m} \right) \sigma_{3} - \frac{A \cos(kx)}{2} \sin 2\theta_{m} \sigma_{1}$$

Matter potential frequency

$$k \sim \omega_{\rm m}$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\omega_{m} + \frac{\cos 2\theta_{m} + \cos (kx)}{2} \right) \sigma_{3} - \frac{\sin 2\theta_{m}}{2} A \cos(kx) \sigma_{1}$$

$$\rightarrow \omega_{m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos(kx) \\ -\sin(kx) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos(-kx) \\ -\sin(-kx) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\sin 2\theta_{m}}{2} A$$

Matter potential frequency


$$k \sim \omega_{\rm m}$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\omega_{m} + \frac{\cos 2\theta_{m} + \cos (kx)}{2} \right) \sigma_{3} - \frac{\sin 2\theta_{m}}{2} A \cos(kx) \sigma_{1}$$

$$\rightarrow \omega_{m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos(kx) \\ -\sin(kx) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos(-kx) \\ -\sin(-kx) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\sin 2\theta_{m}}{2} A$$

Rabi Formula Works



Transition between two mass states in background matter potential λ_0 ; ${\it A}_1 = -10^{-4} \omega_{\rm m}$

Single Frequency Matter Potential Revisited

We have been making approximations.

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\omega_{m} + \frac{\cos 2\theta_{m} A \cos(kx)}{\cos(kx)} \right) \sigma_{3} - \frac{\sin 2\theta_{m}}{2} A \cos(kx) \sigma_{1}$$

$$\rightarrow \omega_{m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos(kx) \\ -\sin(kx) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \cos(-kx) \\ -\sin(-kx) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Rabi Basis

Hamiltonian in Background Matter Basis

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\omega_{\rm m} + A\cos(kx)\cos 2\theta_{\rm m} \right) \sigma_3 - \frac{A\cos(kx)}{2} \sin \theta_{\rm m} \sigma_1.$$

A Better Basis

Define Rabi basis in which the wave function is related to wave function in background matter basis through

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\mathsf{L}} \\ \psi_{\mathsf{H}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\eta(\mathsf{x})} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\eta(\mathsf{x})} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_{\mathsf{L}} \\ \tilde{\psi}_{\mathsf{H}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\eta(x) - \eta(0) = \frac{\cos 2\theta_{\rm m}}{2} \int_0^x A \cos(k\tau) d\tau.$$

Single Frequency Matter Potential

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + A\cos(kx)$$

Hamiltonian in Rabi Basis

The Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = -\frac{\omega_{\mathsf{m}}}{2}\sigma_{3} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n}e^{i(nk)x} \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n}^{*}e^{-i(nk)x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_n = -(-i)^n nk \tan 2\theta_m J_n (A \cos 2\theta_m/k)$.

Map neutrino oscillations in single frequency matter potential to Rabi oscillations with many driving potentials.

Single Frequency Matter Potential

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + A\cos(kx)$$

Hamiltonian in Rabi Basis

The Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = -\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{m}}}{2}\sigma_{3} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n}e^{i(nk)x} \\ \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n}^{*}e^{-i(nk)x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_n = -(-i)^n nk \tan 2\theta_m J_n (A \cos 2\theta_m/k)$.

Map neutrino oscillations in single frequency matter potential to Rabi oscillations with many driving potentials.

Resonance condition for each mode: $nk = \omega_{\rm m}$

Rabi Oscillations With Multiple Driving Frequencies


Relative detuning for two driving potentials, α_1 , k_1 and α_2 , k_2

$$D' = \left| \frac{\omega_{\rm m} - k_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2\alpha_1(\omega_{\rm m} - k_2)} \right|$$

Amplitude

$$\frac{1}{1+D'^2}$$

Rabi Oscillations With Multiple Driving Frequencies



 $A_1 = 10^{-4} \omega_{\rm m}$, $k_1 = \omega_{\rm m}$; Legend shows (A_2, k_2) ; Grid lines: amplitude predicted using $1/(1 + D'^2)$

Rabi Oscillations With Multiple Driving Frequencies

Consider $k_1 = \omega_{\rm m}$

$$D' = \left| \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2\alpha_1(\omega_m - k_2)} \right|$$


Amplitude reduces from 1 to 1/2 if

$$D' = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha_{2,C} \equiv \sqrt{2|\alpha_1(k_2 - \omega_m)|}.$$

Two driving frequencies k_1 , and k_2 , with amplitude α_1 , and α_2 For $k_1 = \omega_m$, survival of resonance requires


$$|\alpha_2| \ll \alpha_{2,C} \equiv \sqrt{2|\alpha_1(k_2 - \omega_{\rm m})|}$$

Single Frequency Matter Potential



Width of different modes given value of matter potential frequency k

Single Frequency Matter Potential



Multiple Frequencies in Matter Potential

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + \sum_{a=1}^{N} A_a \sin(k_a x)$$


Hamiltonian in Rabi Basis

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = -\frac{\omega_{\mathsf{m}}}{2}\sigma_{3} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n_{1}=-\infty}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{n_{N}=-\infty}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{\{n_{\alpha}\}}e^{i\sum_{\alpha}n_{\alpha}k_{\alpha}x} \\ B_{\{n_{\alpha}\}}e^{-i\sum_{\alpha}n_{\alpha}k_{\alpha}x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$B_{\{n_a\}} = -(-i)^{\sum_a n_a} \tan 2\theta_m \left(\sum_a n_a k_a \right) \left(\prod_a J_{n_a} \left(\frac{A_a}{k_a} \cos 2\theta_m \right) \right)$$

Castle Wall Matter Potential



Castle wall matter profile: $\Lambda_2 = 0.7 \omega_{\rm V} \cos 2\theta_{\rm V}$ $\Lambda_1 = 0.3 \omega_{\rm V} \cos 2\theta_{\rm V}$ period $X = 2\pi/\omega_{\rm m}$

Density is smaller than MSW density requirement.

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cos(k_n x)$$


where

$$\lambda_0 = (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)/2$$

$$\lambda_n = 2(-1)^n (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)/(2n\pi - \pi)$$

$$k_n = 2\pi(2n - 1)/X$$

Castle Wall Matter Potential



Castle wall matter profile: $\Lambda_2 = 0.7 \omega_{\rm V} \cos 2\theta_{\rm V}$ $\Lambda_1 = 0.3 \omega_{\rm V} \cos 2\theta_{\rm V}$ period $X = 2\pi/\omega_{\rm m}$

Density is smaller than MSW density requirement.

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cos(k_n x)$$

where


$$\lambda_0 = (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)/2$$

$$\lambda_n = 2(-1)^n (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)/(2n\pi - \pi)$$

$$k_n = 2\pi(2n - 1)/X$$


$$k_1 = \omega_{\rm m}$$

Castle Wall Matter Potential




Castle wall matter profile: $\Lambda_2 = 0.7 \omega_{\rm V} \cos 2\theta_{\rm V}$ $\Lambda_1 = 0.3 \omega_{\rm V} \cos 2\theta_{\rm V}$ period $X = 2\pi/\omega_{\rm m}$

Density is smaller than MSW density requirement.




Transition probability is a Rabi resonance with small variations due to higher orders.

1. Vacuum oscillations: flavor states are not mass states.



- Vacuum oscillations: flavor states are not mass states.
- 2. MSW resonance: matter potential cancels out the vacuum diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian.



- Vacuum oscillations: flavor states are not mass states.
- 2. MSW resonance: matter potential cancels out the vacuum diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian.
- Stimulated oscillations: variation in matter potential can cause resonances.

For matter potential

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + A\cos(kx),$$

Resonance condition

$$nk = \omega_{\rm m}$$

- Vacuum oscillations: flavor states are not mass states.
- MSW resonance: matter potential cancels out the vacuum diagonal elements of the Hamiltonian.
- Stimulated oscillations: variation in matter potential can cause resonances.
- In many cases neutrino oscillations in multi-frequency matter potential can be viewed as Rabi oscillations with few driving frequencies.

Outline for Section 3


- Neutrino Oscillations
 - 1.1 Neutrinos as Fundamental Particles
 - 1.2 Why Do Neutrinos Oscillate
- Matter Stimulated Oscillations
 - 2.1 Matter Interactions, MSW Effect, and Solar Neutrino Problem
 - 2.2 Stimulated Neutrino Oscillations and Rabi Oscillations
 - 2.3 Basis and Formalism
 - 2.4 Multiple Frequencies in Matter Potential
- 3. Neutrino Oscillations and Dispersion Relation
 - 3.1 Neutrino Self-interactions
 - 3.2 Linear Stability Analysis
 - 3.3 Dispersion Relation
 - 3.4 Summary of Dispersion Relation

Interaction Hamiltonian $H_{\nu\nu}$

$$\sqrt{2}G_{\mathsf{F}}n(p')(1-\hat{p}\cdot\hat{p}')\rho(p')$$

In Flavor Isospin space

$$-2\sqrt{2}G_{\mathsf{F}}n(p')(1-\hat{p}\cdot\hat{p}')\vec{\mathsf{s}}(p')$$




Two-Beam Model

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{v},1} &= -\frac{1}{2}\omega_{\text{v}}\sigma_{3} \\ H_{\text{v},2} &= \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\text{v}}\sigma_{3} \\ H_{\text{vv}} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{1}\rho_{1} - \mu_{2}\rho_{2}) \\ \text{where} \end{aligned}$$


$$\mu_{1(2)} = \sqrt{2}G_{\rm F}\xi n_{2(1)}$$


Geometric factor


$$\xi = (1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))$$
$$= 3/2$$





$$ho_1$$
: neutrinos; ho_2 : antineutrinos $heta_1=5\pi/6$; $heta_2=\pi/6$
$$heta_{\rm V}=0$$




















Characteristic Energy Scales

- $\omega_{\rm v} = \delta m^2/2E$
- $\lambda \sim G_{\rm F} n_{\rm e}$
- $\mu \sim G_{\rm F}(1-\hat{v}_1\cdot\hat{v}_2)n_{\nu}$

Vacuum oscillation oscillation frequencies

$$\omega_{\rm v} = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \sim \frac{2\pi}{1 {\rm km}} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2.5 \times 10^{-3} {\rm eV}^2} \right) \left(\frac{1 {\rm MeV}}{E} \right)$$
$$\sim \frac{2\pi}{33 {\rm km}} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{12}^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} {\rm eV}^2} \right) \left(\frac{1 {\rm MeV}}{E} \right)$$

Characteristic Energy Scales

- $\omega_{\rm v} = \delta m^2/2E$
- $\lambda \sim G_{\rm F} n_{\rm e}$
- $\mu \sim G_{\mathsf{F}}(1-\hat{v}_1\cdot\hat{v}_2)n_{\nu}$

Vacuum oscillation oscillation frequencies

$$\omega_{\text{V}} = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \sim \frac{2\pi}{1\text{km}} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2} \right) \left(\frac{1\text{MeV}}{E} \right)$$
$$\sim \frac{2\pi}{33\text{km}} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{12}^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2} \right) \left(\frac{1\text{MeV}}{E} \right)$$

Neutrino self-interactions might lead to faster oscillations, since

$$\mu \gg \omega_{\rm V}$$
.

Characteristic Energy Scales

- $\omega_{\rm V} = \delta m^2/2E$
- $\lambda \sim G_F n_e$
- $\mu \sim G_{\mathsf{F}}(1-\hat{v}_1\cdot\hat{v}_2)n_{\nu}$

Suppose we have neutrino flux 10^{50} ergs · s⁻¹. We estimate the potential at radius *R* to be

$$\mu \sim \frac{1}{0.01 km} \left(\frac{100 km}{R}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1 MeV}{E}\right)$$

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mu_1 \rho_1 - \mu_2 \rho_2 \right)$$

$$i\partial_z \rho_i = [H_i, \rho_i]$$



 ρ_1 : neutrinos; ρ_2 : antineutrinos


$$\theta_1 = 2\pi/3, \theta_2 = \pi/6$$

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_1 \rho_1 - \mu_2 \rho_2)$$

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_1 \rho_1 - \mu_2 \rho_2)$$

$$i\partial_z \rho_i = [H_i, \rho_i]$$

$$\rho_i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon_i \\ \epsilon_i^* & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$



 ρ_1 : neutrinos; ρ_2 : antineutrinos

$$\theta_1 = 2\pi/3, \theta_2 = \pi/6$$

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_1 \rho_1 - \mu_2 \rho_2)$$



 ρ_1 : neutrinos; ρ_2 : antineutrinos

$$\theta_1 = 2\pi/3, \theta_2 = \pi/6$$

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_1 \rho_1 - \mu_2 \rho_2)$$

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_1 \rho_1 - \mu_2 \rho_2)$$

$$i\partial_z \rho_i = [H_i, \rho_i]$$

$$\rho_i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon_i \\ \epsilon_i^* & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$i\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu/2 + \omega_v & -\mu/2 \\ \mu/2 & -\omega_v - \mu/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Collective mode

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(z) \\ \epsilon_2(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(0) \\ \epsilon_2(0) \end{pmatrix} e^{iK_z z}$$

Eigenvalues or collective oscillation frequencies

$$K_z = \pm \sqrt{\omega_{\rm v}(\omega_{\rm v} + \mu)}$$

Identify the condition for complex eigenvalues

$$\omega_{\rm v}(\omega_{\rm v}+\mu)<0$$

Collective mode

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(z) \\ \epsilon_2(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(0) \\ \epsilon_2(0) \end{pmatrix} e^{iK_z z}$$

Eigenvalues or collective oscillation frequencies

$$K_z = \pm \sqrt{\omega_{\rm V}(\omega_{\rm V} + \mu)}$$

Identify the condition for complex eigenvalues

$$\omega_{\rm v}(\omega_{\rm v}+\mu)<0$$

- Normal hierarchy: $\omega_{\rm v} > 0$, requires $\underline{\mu} < -\omega_{\rm v} < 0$, no instability;
- Inverted hierarchy: $\omega_{\rm v}$ < 0, requires μ > $|\omega_{\rm v}|$.

Collective mode

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(z) \\ \epsilon_2(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(0) \\ \epsilon_2(0) \end{pmatrix} e^{iK_z z}$$

Eigenvalues or collective oscillation frequencies

$$K_z = \pm \sqrt{\omega_{\rm V}(\omega_{\rm V} + \mu)}$$

Identify the condition for complex eigenvalues

$$\omega_{\rm v}(\omega_{\rm v}+\mu)<0$$

- Normal hierarchy: $\omega_{\rm v} > 0$, requires $\mu < -\omega_{\rm v} < 0$, no instability;
- Inverted hierarchy: $\omega_{\rm v}$ < 0, requires μ > $|\omega_{\rm v}|$.

 K_z is instability in z direction for our model.

Collective mode

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(z) \\ \epsilon_2(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1(0) \\ \epsilon_2(0) \end{pmatrix} e^{iK_z z}$$

Eigenvalues or collective oscillation frequencies

$$K_z = \pm \sqrt{\omega_{\rm V}(\omega_{\rm V} + \mu)}$$

Identify the condition for complex eigenvalues

$$\omega_{\rm v}(\omega_{\rm v}+\mu)<0$$

- Normal hierarchy: $\omega_{\rm v} > 0$, requires $\mu < -\omega_{\rm v} < 0$, no instability;
- Inverted hierarchy: $\omega_{\rm v}$ < 0, requires $\mu > |\omega_{\rm v}|$.

 K_z is instability in z direction for our model.

Similar analysis can be done for all four dimensions t, x, y, z,

$$(\Omega, K_x, K_y, K_z)$$

Izaguirre, I., Raffelt, G., & Tamborra, I. (2017). Fast Pairwise Conversion of Supernova Neutrinos: A Dispersion Relation Approach. Physical Review Letters, 118(2), 021101.

• Linear stability analysis \rightarrow dispersion relation for Ω and \mathbf{K} .

Izaguirre, I., Raffelt, G., & Tamborra, I. (2017). Fast Pairwise Conversion of Supernova Neutrinos: A Dispersion Relation Approach. Physical Review Letters, 118(2), 021101.

- Linear stability analysis \rightarrow dispersion relation for Ω and K.
- Instabilities and dispersion relation gaps are possibly related.

Equation of motion for off-diagonal element of density matrix (Izaguirre et al, 2017)

$$i(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_r) \epsilon(v) = v^{\mu} (\Lambda + \Phi)_{\mu} - \int d\Gamma' v^{\mu} v'_{\mu} G(v') \epsilon(v')$$

Equation of motion for off-diagonal element of density matrix (Izaguirre et al, 2017)

$$i(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_r) \epsilon(v) = V^{\mu} (\Lambda + \Phi)_{\mu} - \int d\Gamma' v^{\mu} v'_{\mu} G(v') \epsilon(v')$$

- ν^μ: four-velocity of neutrinos (1, v)
- Λ : matter contribution ($\sqrt{2}G_{\rm F}n_{\rm e}$, $\sqrt{2}G_{\rm F}n_{\rm e}v_{\rm e}$)
- Φ : neutrino flux $(\sqrt{2}G_{\rm F}n_{\nu},\sqrt{2}G_{\rm F}n_{\nu}v)$
- G(v'): electron lepton number of neutrinos

$$\sqrt{2}G_{\mathsf{F}}\int_0^\infty \frac{E^2dE}{2\pi^2}\left(n_{\nu_{\mathsf{e}}}-n_{\bar{\nu}_{\mathsf{e}}}\right)$$

Collective mode of off-diagonal element

$$\epsilon \to \tilde{\epsilon} e^{-i(\Omega t - K \cdot r)}$$

Collective mode of off-diagonal element

$$\epsilon \to \tilde{\epsilon} e^{-i(\Omega t - K \cdot r)}$$

Replacement:

- $\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}$
- $\bullet \ \overline{\partial_t \to -i\Omega, \, \nabla_r \to iK}$

Collective mode of off-diagonal element

$$\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon} e^{-i(\Omega t - K \cdot r)}$$

Replacement:

- $\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}$
- $\partial_t \to -i\Omega$, $\nabla_r \to iK$

Collective mode

$$v^{\mu}(K_{\mu}-(\Lambda+\Phi)_{\mu})\tilde{\epsilon}(v)=-\int d\Gamma'v^{\mu}v'_{\mu}G(v')\tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

Collective mode of off-diagonal element

$$\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon} e^{-i(\Omega t - K \cdot r)}$$

Replacement:

- $\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}$
- $\partial_t \to -i\Omega$, $\nabla_r \to iK$

Collective mode

$$v^{\mu}\left(K_{\mu}-(\Lambda+\Phi)_{\mu}\right)\tilde{\epsilon}(v)=-\int d\Gamma'v^{\mu}v'_{\mu}G(v')\tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

with $K_{\mu} \rightarrow (\Omega, K)$

Collective mode of off-diagonal element

$$\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon} e^{-i(\Omega t - K \cdot r)}$$

Replacement:

- $\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}$
- $\partial_t \to -i\Omega$, $\nabla_r \to iK$

Collective mode

$$v^{\mu} k_{\mu} \tilde{\epsilon}(v) = -\int d\Gamma' v^{\mu} v'_{\mu} G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

with $k_{\mu} \rightarrow (\omega, k)$

Collective mode of off-diagonal element

$$\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon} e^{-i(\Omega t - K \cdot r)}$$

Replacement:

- $\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}$
- $\partial_t \to -i\Omega, \nabla_r \to iK$

Collective mode

$$v^{\mu} k_{\mu} \tilde{\epsilon}(v) = -\int d\Gamma' v^{\mu} v'_{\mu} G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

with $k_{\mu} \rightarrow (\omega, k)$

Without neutrino self-interaction: $v^{\mu}k_{\mu} = 0$

Rewrite

$$-\int d\Gamma' v^{\mu} v'_{\mu} G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

$$= v^{\mu} \left(-\int d\Gamma' v'_{\mu} G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v')\right)$$

$$\equiv v^{\mu} a_{\mu}$$

Rewrite

$$-\int d\Gamma' v^{\mu} v'_{\mu} G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

$$= v^{\mu} \left(-\int d\Gamma' v'_{\mu} G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v')\right)$$

$$\equiv v^{\mu} \alpha_{\mu}$$

EoM

$$v^{\mu}k_{\mu}\tilde{\epsilon}(v)=v^{\mu}a_{\mu}$$

Dispersion Relation Rewrite

$$u^{\mu}k_{\mu}\tilde{\epsilon}(v) = -\int d\Gamma' v^{\mu}v'_{\mu}G(v')\tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

$$-\int d\Gamma' \mathbf{v}^{\mu} \mathbf{v}_{\mu}' G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

$$= \mathbf{v}^{\mu} \left(-\int d\Gamma' \mathbf{v}_{\mu}' G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v') \right)$$

$$\equiv \mathbf{v}^{\mu} a_{\mu}$$

EoM

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
v^{\mu}k_{\mu}\tilde{\epsilon}(v) = v^{\mu}a_{\mu} \\
\Longrightarrow \\
\tilde{\epsilon}(v) = v^{\mu}a_{\mu}/v^{\mu}k_{\mu}
\end{array}$$

Collect all terms of a_{μ}

$$v^{\mu} \left(\delta^{\nu}_{\mu} + \int d\Gamma' \frac{G(v')v'_{\mu}v^{\nu}}{v^{\alpha}k_{\alpha}} \right) a_{\nu} = 0$$

Axially symmetric:
$$v^{\alpha}k_{\alpha} = \omega(1 - n\cos\theta)$$
 where $n = |k|/\omega$

Nontrivial solutions to EoM requires

$$v^{\mu} \left(\omega \delta^{\nu}_{\ \mu} + N^{\nu}_{\ \mu} \right) \alpha_{\nu} = 0$$

$$I_n(\theta) = \int_{\cos\theta_2}^{\cos\theta_1} d\cos\theta G(\theta) \frac{\cos^n\theta}{1 - n\cos\theta}$$

$$N^{\mu}_{\nu} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I_{0} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}I_{1} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4}(I_{0}-I_{2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4}(I_{0}-I_{2}) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}I_{1} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}I_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Axially symmetric:
$$v^{\alpha}k_{\alpha} = \omega(1 - n\cos\theta)$$
 where $n = |k|/\omega$

Nontrivial solutions to EoM requires

$$v^{\mu} \left(\omega \delta^{\nu}_{\ \mu} + N^{\nu}_{\ \mu} \right) a_{\nu} = 0$$

$$I_n(\theta) = \int_{\cos\theta_2}^{\cos\theta_1} d\cos\theta G(\theta) \frac{\cos^n\theta}{1 - n\cos\theta}$$

$$N^{\mu}_{\nu} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I_{0} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}I_{1} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4}(I_{0} - I_{2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4}(I_{0} - I_{2}) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}I_{1} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}I_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Axially symmetric:
$$v^{\alpha}k_{\alpha} = \omega(1 - n\cos\theta)$$
 where $n = |k|/\omega$

Nontrivial solutions to EoM requires

$$v^{\mu} \left(\omega \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} + N^{\nu}_{\mu} \right) a_{\nu} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$\left(\omega \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} + N^{\nu}_{\mu} \right) a_{\nu} = 0$$

$$N^{\mu}_{\nu} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I_{0} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}I_{1} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4}(I_{0}-I_{2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4}(I_{0}-I_{2}) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}I_{1} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}I_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $I_n(\theta) = \int_{\cos \theta_2}^{\cos \theta_1} d\cos \theta G(\theta) \frac{\cos^n \theta}{1 - n\cos \theta}$

Axially symmetric:
$$v^{\alpha}k_{\alpha} = \omega(1 - n\cos\theta)$$
 where $n = |k|/\omega$

Nontrivial solutions to EoM requires

$$V^{\mu} \left(\omega \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} + N^{\nu}_{\mu} \right) a_{\nu} = 0$$

$$I_{n}(\theta) = \int_{\cos \theta_{2}}^{\cos \theta_{1}} d \cos \theta G(\theta) \frac{\cos^{n} \theta}{1 - n \cos \theta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad N^{\mu}_{\nu} \rightarrow$$

$$\left(\omega \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} + N^{\nu}_{\mu} \right) a_{\nu} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \left(\frac{1}{2} I_{0} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -\frac{1}{2} I_{1} \right)$$

$$0 \quad -\frac{1}{4} (I_{0} - I_{2}) \quad 0 \quad 0$$


$$0 \quad 0 \quad -\frac{1}{4} (I_{0} - I_{2}) \quad 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} I_{1} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -\frac{1}{2} I_{2}$$

$$a_{\mu} = -\int d\Gamma' v_{\mu}' G(v') \tilde{\epsilon}(v')$$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{4}(I_0 - I_2), \qquad -\frac{1}{4}\left(I_0 - I_2 \pm \sqrt{(I_0 - 2I_1 + I_2)(I_0 + 2I_1 + I_2)}\right)$$

- MAA solution: Related to axial symmetry breaking
- MZA solution: Related to azimuthal symmetry breaking



Two zenith angles

Izaguirre, I., Raffelt, G., & Tamborra, I. (2017). Fast Pairwise Conversion of Supernova Neutrinos: A Dispersion Relation Approach. Physical Review Letters, 118(2), 021101.


 (Ω, \mathbf{K}) of disturbances in the mean field of $\nu_e \nu_x$ flavor coherence. Runaway solutions occur in "dispersion gaps," i.e., in "forbidden" intervals of Ω and/or \mathbf{K} where propagating plane waves do not exist. We stress that the


The left panels use forward modes $(0 < \cos\theta_{1,2} < 1)$ as in traditional bulb emission. If ν_e dominates in both modes (upper left), both ω and k_z are real: no fast flavor conversion occurs. If one mode has a $\bar{\nu}_e$ excess $(G_1 < 0)$, the DR has a gap, providing complex ω for real k_z and the other way around, as indicated by the red blob. Disturbances with k_z in the gap grow exponentially in time. A real ω imposed at the boundary causes exponential spatial growth. These conclusions carry over to more general $G(\theta)$ where one needs a crossing from positive to negative ELN intensities to obtain a dispersion gap, which, in turn, enables fast flavor conversion, similar to spectral crossings for slow modes [40-42].

The DR alone only indicates which solutions are constant with the EOM, but not which ones will actually occur. We would be sure that the system was always stable if the DR did not have any gaps, which, however, seem to be generic. Except for quantum fluctuations or hypothetical flavor-violating interactions [46-48], M° is the only source of seed perturbations. However, which spectrum of flavor disturbances is produced, and where, remains to be better understood.

- 1. Gaps lead to Instabilities.
- 2. Instabilities do not occur without gap.


Three zenith angles






MZA solutions


Three zenith angles






Cubic equation of $k = |k| \Rightarrow 3$ solutions of k for given ω

Three zenith angles






MAA solutions


MZA solutions

Cubic equation of $k = |k| \Rightarrow 3$ solutions of k for given ω


Instabilities occur without gaps.




Box spectrum: -0.1 for $u \in [-1, -0.3)$; 1 for $u \in [-0.3, 1]$



MZA solution: no gaps yet unstable in some regions





Box spectrum: -0.1 for $u \in [-1, -0.3)$; 1 for $u \in [-0.3, 1]$


MZA solution: no gaps yet unstable in some regions

Instabilities occur without gaps.

Dispersion Relations and Instabilities Define $u = \cos \theta$

Remake of Fig.3 of Izaguirre et al, 2017

Garching spectrum:




Garching spectrum G(u)

MAA: red; MZA: blue and green

Dispersion Relations and Instabilities Define $u = \cos \theta$

Remake of Fig.3 of Izaguirre et al, 2017

Garching spectrum:




Garching spectrum G(u)


MAA: red; MZA: blue and green

- MAA solutions: unstable region stops at $\omega \rightarrow 0$
- MZA solutions: instabilities are different for region $\omega > 0$ and $\omega < 0$.

Dispersion Relations and Instabilities Define $u = \cos \theta$

Remake of Fig.3 of Izaguirre et al, 2017





Garching spectrum G(u)

MAA: red; MZA: blue and green

- MAA solutions: unstable region stops at $\omega \rightarrow 0$
- MZA solutions: instabilities are different for region $\omega > 0$ and $\omega < 0$.

Instabilities might occur in gaps of DR and $\omega = 0$ if there is any.

Summary of Dispersion Relation

- Neutrino oscillation instabilities might occur in DR gaps.
- Neutrino oscillation instabilities might occur even if DR has no gaps.
- If there exists gaps, gaps should be defined as the gap between dispersion relation and $\omega=0$ instead of the gaps between dispersion relation curves.

Acknowledgement

I am very thankful to my advisor Professor Huaiyu Duan, as well as my colleagues Dr. Sajad Abbar, Dr. Shashank Shalgar, and Joshua Martin, for all the help in both research and life.

My research is supported by DOE EPSCoR grant #DE-SC0008142 and DOE grant #DE-SC0017803 at UNM.

Backup Slides

Parameters

Vacuum oscillations: $\sin^2 \theta_{\nu} = 0.093$

Bipolar model animation:

- $\theta_v = 0$
- $\alpha = 1$
- $\mu = 5$

Initial condition

•

$$\vec{s} = \begin{pmatrix} 10^{-3} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Rabi Oscillations With Multiple Driving Frequencies

Consider Rabi oscillation with two driving frequencies $k_1 = n_1 k$, $k_2 = n_2 k$

$$\vec{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_m \end{pmatrix} + \alpha_1 \begin{pmatrix} \cos(k_1 x) \\ -\sin(k_1 x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{pmatrix} \cos(k_2 x) \\ -\sin(k_2 x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Corotating frame of the second potential

$$\vec{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_m - k_2 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha_1 \begin{pmatrix} \cos(k_1 - k_2 x) \\ -\sin(k_1 - k_2 x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Energy gap in this frame becomes the length of the vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_m - k_2 \end{pmatrix} + \boxed{\alpha_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}$$

Rabi Oscillations With Multiple Driving Frequencies

Relative detuning

$$D' = \left| \frac{\omega_{\rm m} - k_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2\alpha_1(\omega_{\rm m} - k_2)} \right|$$

MAA and MZA

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = \frac{v^{\mu} a_{\mu}}{v^{\alpha} k_{\alpha}}$$

Solve k for MAA solutions

$$1 = \frac{1}{4k} \int du G(u) \frac{1 - u^2}{\omega/k - u}.$$

around $\omega \rightarrow 0$.

Solve k for MAA solutions

$$1 = \frac{1}{4k} \int du G(u) \frac{1 - u^2}{\omega/k - u}.$$

around $\omega \rightarrow 0$.

Apply Stokhotski-Plemelj theorem

$$\operatorname{Re}(k) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathscr{P} \int du G(u) \frac{1 - u^2}{-u} \right)$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(k) = \frac{\pi}{4} G(0) \operatorname{Sign}(\omega) \operatorname{Sign}(\operatorname{Im}(k)).$$

Solve k for MAA solutions

$$1 = \frac{1}{4k} \int du G(u) \frac{1 - u^2}{\omega/k - u}.$$

around $\omega \rightarrow 0$.

Apply Stokhotski-Plemelj theorem

$$\operatorname{Re}(k) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathscr{P} \int du G(u) \frac{1 - u^2}{-u} \right)$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(k) = \frac{\pi}{4} G(0) \operatorname{Sign}(\omega) \operatorname{Sign}(\operatorname{Im}(k)).$$

- $G(0) \operatorname{Sign}(\omega) > 0$: $|\operatorname{Im}(k)| = \frac{\pi}{4} |G(0)|$
- $G(0) \operatorname{Sign}(\omega) < 0$: $|\operatorname{Im}(k)| = 0$

Solve k for MAA solutions

$$1 = \frac{1}{4k} \int du G(u) \frac{1 - u^2}{\omega/k - u}.$$

around $\omega \rightarrow 0$.

Apply Stokhotski-Plemelj theorem


$$\operatorname{Re}(k) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathscr{P} \int du G(u) \frac{1 - u^2}{-u} \right)$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(k) = \frac{\pi}{4} G(0) \operatorname{Sign}(\omega) \operatorname{Sign}(\operatorname{Im}(k)).$$

- $G(0) \operatorname{Sign}(\omega) > 0$: $|\operatorname{Im}(k)| = \frac{\pi}{4} |G(0)|$
- $G(0) \operatorname{Sign}(\omega) < 0$: $|\operatorname{Im}(k)| = 0$

Gap between dispersion relation and $\omega = 0$


Neutrino Halo




Neutrino Halo

Assumptions

- Neutrinos are translational symmetric on the emission line.
- · Reflection obays Snell's law.
- Neutrinos are reflected on a fixed surface z = L.
- Neutrino reflections are translational symmetric.



Flavor Isospin




Relaxation Scheme

Algorithm


- 1. Calculate forward beam using null backward beam;
- Calculate backward beam using forward beam calculated in step 1;
- Calculate forward beam using backward beam calculated in step 2;
- 4. Repeat 2 and 3 until the beams reach equilibrium.

Numerical Method



Horizontal axis is the location of neutrinos; Vertical axis is the number of iteration steps; Color indicates the electron flavor probability.

Numerical Method



Linear Stability Analysis

EoM

$$i\partial_t \vec{s}_F = \mathbf{s}_F \times (\vec{H}_v + R\mu \vec{s}_B)$$
$$i\partial_t \vec{s}_B = \vec{s}_B \times (-\vec{H}_v - \mu \vec{s}_F).$$

Compare with bipolar

$$i\partial_t \vec{s} = \mathbf{s} \times (\eta \vec{H}_v + \alpha \mu \vec{s})$$
$$i\partial_t \vec{\bar{s}} = \vec{\bar{s}} \times (\eta \vec{H}_v + \mu \vec{s})$$

Linear Stability Analysis

