

Neutrino Flavor Conversions in Dense Media

by

Lei Ma

Supervisor:

Professor Huaiyu Duan

Doctor of Philosophy in Physics, University of New Mexico, 2018

Abstract

One of the interesting and important problems in astrophysics is the mechanism of core-collapse supernova explosions. Many numerical simulations have shown that the explosion shock would stall. Different proposals have been made to explain the core-collapse supernovae, among which the neutrino mechanism is promising and most researched one. To explore the mechanism, prediction of the neutrino flavors in core-collapse supernovae is crucial. Neutrino flavor conversions are altered by the matter, neutrinos themselves, as well as other factors such as the geometries of the neutrino emissions. The complexity of the problem requires breaking it down into investigations of each simple yet specific situation.

Neutrinos propagating through a matter background experience a potential which changes the flavor conversions. One of the important mechanisms is the Mikheyev–Smirnov–Wolfenstein effect. However, much more complicated density profiles of matter, such as periodic density profiles, may lead to large flavor conversion, which is dubbed as stimulated oscillations by J. Kneller et al. Mathematics of such large conversion has been established but without clear pictures. For the two-flavor scenario, neutrino oscillations is a two-level quantum system, and it reminds us of many two-level quantum problems that have been solved in the past. We draw analogies between neutrinos passing through matter and Rabi oscillations in optics, which allows us to calculate resonance conditions and flavor survival probability easily.

As for neutrinos flavors with high number densities, nonlinear interactions come into play since neutrino forward scattering provides another potential that is related to the flavor of the neutrinos themselves. Nonlinearity makes the flavor conversion hard to predict by intuition. The treatment is linearizing the equation of motion and identifying instabilities. One of the tricks in the realm is to utilize the dispersion relation. In principle, dispersion relations tell us how waves propagate for different wave numbers and frequencies. However, the neutrino problem is much more complicated. Situations that are inconsistent with the dispersion relation approach are identified.

Finally, forward scattering of supernova neutrinos are not the only thing that happens. During propagation around a supernova, neutrinos may be scattered in every direction, which forms a neutrino halo. The halo couples the neutrinos nonlocally, which then becomes a nonlocal boundary value problem. One of the solutions is the relaxation method. Starting from some state of neutrinos and relaxing the system into equilibrium has proven to be a working algorithm. A numerical algorithm is developed and neutrino line model with back scattering is investigated.

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 The Little Neutral One

The neutrino has been one of the most interesting particles that has ever been discovered. Its fascinating history started with the observation of beta decay, i.e., the emission of electrons in nuclear decays, such as



The fact that the electron energy spectrum in the beta decay process is continuous indicates the existence of a third product other than ${}^{17}_7\text{N}$ and e^- . In 1930, Pauli wrote a letter to a workshop in Tübingen explaining to the “Radioactive Ladies and Gentlemen” about his so called “neutron” as the missing particle in beta decay at that time. It was then called the neutrino since the name “neutron” was later used to name one of the nucleons. The missing particle $\bar{\nu}_e$ in beta decays was then proven to be the anti-neutrino. In nuclear beta decays, the charged current weak interaction converts a down quark in the neutron to an up quark while releasing an electron and an anti-electron neutrino,

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e. \tag{1.1}$$

Chapter 1. Introduction

More generally, the positron/electron emission and capture processes are all neutrino-related nuclear reactions which are listed in Table 1.1. There are three different flavors of neutrinos, namely the electron flavor, the muon flavor, and the tau flavor as shown in Table 1.2. The first direct detection of neutrinos was done by Clyde Cowan and Frederick Reines in 1956 [1] who used nuclear reactor neutrinos as the source of the experiment.

Reaction Type	Process	Mediator(s)
Electron emission	${}^A_ZX \rightarrow {}^A_{Z+1}X' + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$	W^\pm
Positron emission	${}^A_ZX \rightarrow {}^A_{Z-1}X' + e^+ + \nu_e$	W^\pm
Electron capture	${}^A_ZX + e^- \rightarrow {}^A_{Z-1}X' + \nu_e$	W^\pm
Positron capture	${}^A_ZX + e^+ \rightarrow {}^A_{Z+1}X' + \bar{\nu}_e$	W^\pm
e^\pm annihilation	$e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \nu + \bar{\nu}$	W^\pm, Z
Bremsstrahlung	$X + X' \rightarrow X + X' + \nu + \bar{\nu}$	Z
$\nu(\bar{\nu})$ capture	${}^A_ZX + \overset{(-)}{\nu}_e \rightarrow {}^A_{Z\mp 1}X' + e^\pm$	W^\pm
$e^\pm \nu$ scattering	$e^- + \overset{(-)}{\nu} \rightarrow e^- + \overset{(-)}{\nu}$	W^\pm, Z
Nucleon scattering	${}^A_ZX + \overset{(-)}{\nu} \rightarrow {}^A_ZX + \overset{(-)}{\nu}$	Z


Table 1.1: Neutrino related nuclear and leptonic reactions.

[0.5ex] Electric Charge	0
Spin	1/2
Mass	< 2 eV
Interactions	Weak, Gravitation
Flavors	ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ
Chirality	Left
Hypercharge	-1

Table 1.2: The physical properties of the neutrino [35].

1.2 Stellar Neutrinos

Besides man-made sources, neutrinos are also produced in many astrophysical environments. For example, numerous nuclear reactions occur in stellar cores which produce luminous neutrino fluxes. The most important nuclear reactions in the Sun are the pp chain reactions which are shown in Fig. 1.1. In order to calculate the neutrino spectrum we need the neutrino production rate in each reaction and the branching ratios. Solar neutrinos are mostly produced in the pp reaction, Be electron capture and B decay which are labeled in red in Fig 1.1:



Even without the knowledge of the detailed reactions, the conservation of the electric charge and the electron lepton number will lead to the overall neutrino production formula

$$4p + 2e^- \rightarrow {}^4\text{He} + 2\nu_e. \quad (1.2)$$

It is important to notice that two neutrinos are emitted for each α particle, i.e., ${}^4\text{He}$, produced in the Sun. Using this simple relation, we can estimate the neutrino number flux emitted by the Sun. The energy released during the production of each α particle is the difference between the initial and final rest masses of the particles,

$$Q = 4m_p + 2m_e - m_\alpha = 26.7\text{MeV}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the mass of the neutrinos are neglected. On average, each neutrino carries away an energy of 0.2MeV and the rest of the energy is in the form of thermal energy $Q_\gamma = 26.3\text{MeV}$ [20]. Since two neutrinos are emitted for the production of thermal

Chapter 1. Introduction




Figure 1.1: The pp chain reactions with the corresponding branching ratios. The branching ratios are taken from Ref. [13].

energy Q_γ , the number flux of the solar neutrinos near the Earth is approximately

$$\Phi_\nu = \frac{2S_0}{Q_\gamma} \approx 6 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}, \quad (1.4)$$

where the solar constant S_0 is the energy flux of solar photons on the top of the Earth atmosphere.

As the detection of neutrinos became feasible, Ray Davis and John Bahcall et al worked out the solar neutrino flux and led the Homestake experiment to measure the solar neutrinos. The results revealed that the neutrino flux detected was less than what was predicted by the standard solar model [3]. This is the solar neutrino problem. It is now known that the solution to the problem is related to the neutrino. The electron neutrinos produced in the solar core transform to other flavors while they travel to the Earth. This phenomenon is referred known as the flavor transformation of the neutrino, or neutrino oscillations. The theory of neutrino oscillations

was first proposed by Pontecorvo in 1968 [2]. The field of neutrino oscillations has grown significantly into a broad field in physics since then.

1.3 Supernova Neutrinos

Another astronomical source of neutrinos is the core-collapse supernova explosion. Massive stars with masses larger than 6–8 solar masses are very bright. However, violent delights have violent ends. When the core of a massive star runs out of nuclear fuel, it collapses under its own gravity. During the collapse, the inner core is compressed to almost nuclear density, which has a stiff equation of state. The materials falling onto the highly compressed inner core are bounced outward which generates a shock wave and may lead to an explosion. However, supernova simulations to date show that the shock wave itself is not always energetic enough to produce the explosion [34]. In most cases, it stalls and becomes a standing accretion shock wave. To revive the shock, more energy has to be deposited behind the shock. A possible solution is to introduce reheating of the shock by neutrinos [34]. In fact 99% percent the energy released in a core-collapse supernova is carried away by neutrinos. In order to implement the neutrino-driven mechanism in computer simulations of supernovae, the flux and flavor content of the neutrinos have to be known everywhere behind the shock. Thus neutrino oscillations in dense matter become a key to the supernova explosion problem.

The average energy of the neutrinos $\langle E \rangle$ emitted during a supernova explosion is of the order of 10MeV [41], and the neutrino luminosity at the early epoch is approximately $10^{52} \text{ergs} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ [22]. Therefore, the number density of the neutrinos at the radius R is

$$n \sim 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3} \left(\frac{100 \text{km}}{R} \right)^2 \left(\frac{10 \text{MeV}}{\langle E \rangle} \right).$$

It turns out that the ambient dense neutrino medium has a significant impact on

neutrino oscillations, which has been intensely investigated in the last decade [18].

Observation-wise, the neutrino signals from a galactic supernova can reveal a great amount of information about the physical conditions inside the supernova. In fact, the detection of supernova neutrinos is on the task list of the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE) [42].

1.4 Organization of the Disseration

The rest of the disseration is organized as follows. In Chapter 2, I will review neutrino oscillations in vacuum and in environments with smooth matter density profiles. In Chapter 3, I will discuss my work on neutrino oscillations in oscillatory matter profiles, which can be decomposed into Fourier modes and interpreted as a superposition of Rabi oscillations. In Chapter 4, I will first review how neutrino self-interactions can cause a dense neutrino medium to oscillate collectively. Then I will discuss my study on the dispersion relations of the collective modes of neutrino oscillations. I will also discuss a preliminary work on neutrino oscillations when both forward and backward neutrino fluxes are present. In Chapter 5, I will summarize my work and discuss possible future directions of the field.

Chapter 2

General Principles of Neutrino Oscillations

Because the flavor eigenstates of the neutrino are not the same as its propagation eigenstates, it can change flavor while it propagates. In this chapter, I will use the two-flavor scheme to explain neutrino oscillations in some simple scenarios.¹ I will first discuss neutrino oscillations in vacuum. After explaining the general principles of neutrino oscillations in matter, I will show how the solar neutrino problem can be explained by neutrino oscillations. Finally, I will demonstrate the flavor isospin picture which can be used to visualize neutrino oscillations.

¹In most physical problems, the two-flavor scheme is a good approximation to the phenomena of neutrino oscillations. The mass splits between the three mass eigenstates are so different that the corresponding oscillations occur on very different length scales. On the right length scale, the two-flavor scheme captures the prominent features of the neutrino oscillations of the corresponding mass split.

2.1 Vacuum Oscillations

Before working out the math, I can estimate the frequency of the oscillations of the neutrino between its flavors. In the natural units, frequency has the same dimension as energy (see Appendix A.3). Consider an electron neutrino with momentum p which is a superposition of the two mass eigenstates $|\nu_i\rangle$ ($i = 1, 2$) with masses m_i , respectively. Since the neutrino masses are small, I can Taylor expand the energy of each mass eigenstate in terms of the corresponding mass:

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &= \sqrt{m_i^2 + p^2} \\ &= p \sqrt{\frac{m_i^2}{p^2} + 1} \\ &\approx p + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_i^2}{p}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The first term in the above equation produces a global phase to the flavor wave function of the neutrino which does not affect neutrino flavor oscillations. The characteristic energy scale in the problem is the difference between the energies of the two mass eigenstates,

$$\omega_v = \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2E} = \frac{\delta m^2}{2E}, \tag{2.2}$$

which turns out to be the vacuum oscillation frequency. Here $E = p$ is approximately the energy of the neutrino.

To work out the exact solution, I will utilize the Schrödinger equation. First of all, the flavor eigenstates are denoted as $|\nu_\alpha\rangle$ where α can be e or x for the electron flavor and the other flavor. The wave function of neutrino state $|\nu\rangle$ in flavor basis, defined as

$$\Psi^{(f)} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_e^{(f)} \\ \psi_x^{(f)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \nu_e | \nu \rangle \\ \langle \nu_x | \nu \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.3}$$

is related to the wave function in mass basis $\Psi^{(v)}$ through a unitary mixing matrix

Chapter 2. General Principles of Neutrino Oscillations

\mathbf{U} ,

$$\Psi^{(f)} = \mathbf{U}\Psi^{(v)}, \quad (2.4)$$

where the upper indices $^{(v)}$ and $^{(f)}$ are used to denote the corresponding bases. The mixing matrix can be expressed using the vacuum mixing angle θ_v ,

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_v & \sin \theta_v \\ -\sin \theta_v & \cos \theta_v \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

In vacuum mass basis, the neutrino has a free propagation Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{H}^{(v)} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.6)$$

To the first order, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{(v)} &\approx \frac{1}{2E} \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix} + E\mathbf{I} \\ &= \frac{1}{4E} \begin{pmatrix} -\delta m^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta m^2 \end{pmatrix} + \left(\frac{m_2^2 + m_1^2}{4E} + E \right) \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Because a multiple of the identity matrix \mathbf{I} only gives an global phase to the neutrino flavor wave function, I will neglect it from now on, and the vacuum Hamiltonian simplifies to

$$\mathbf{H}^{(v)} = \frac{\delta m^2}{4E} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{\delta m^2}{4E} \sigma_3 = -\frac{\omega_v}{2} \sigma_3, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.9)$$

are the three Pauli matrices. The Schrödinger equation has the following simple solution in mass basis:

$$\Psi^{(v)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1(0)e^{i\omega_v t/2} \\ c_2(0)e^{-i\omega_v t/2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

Chapter 2. General Principles of Neutrino Oscillations

Using Eqn. 2.4, I obtain the wave function in flavor basis,

$$\Psi^{(f)}(t) = \mathbf{U}\Psi^{(v)}(t) \quad (2.11)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_v & \sin \theta_v \\ -\sin \theta_v & \cos \theta_v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1(0)e^{i\omega_v t/2} \\ c_2(0)e^{-i\omega_v t/2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

Alternatively, I can also determine the Hamiltonian in flavor basis first, which is

$$\mathbf{H}^{(f)} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}^{(v)}\mathbf{U}^\dagger = -\frac{\omega_v}{2} \cos 2\theta_v \sigma_3 + \frac{\omega_v}{2} \sin 2\theta_v \sigma_1. \quad (2.13)$$

By solving the Schrödinger equation in flavor basis, I will obtain the same wave function as in Eqn. 2.12.

The probability for a neutrino emitted in the electron flavor at time $t = 0$ to be detected as the electron flavor at a later time t is

$$P(t) = 1 - \sin^2(2\theta_v) \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_v t}{2}\right). \quad (2.14)$$

Since the neutrino travels with approximately the speed of light, the electron neutrino survival probability at a distance r from the source is

$$P(r) = 1 - \sin^2(2\theta_v) \sin^2\left(\frac{\omega_v}{2}r\right). \quad (2.15)$$

I plot the above result in Fig. 2.1 which clearly shows the oscillatory behavior. The oscillation length is determined by the characteristic energy scale ω_v , which confirms our qualitative method result in Eqn. 2.2. The oscillation amplitude is determined by $\sin^2(2\theta_v)$.

In nature, there are three neutrino flavors and, correspondingly, three neutrino mass eigenstates, which are shown in Fig. 2.2. Because there are two different characteristic energy scales, $\omega_{v,21} = \delta m_{21}^2/2E$ and $\omega_{v,32} = \delta m_{31}^2/2E$, two oscillation periods should occur, as shown in Fig. 2.3. The fast oscillations are determined by the larger energy scale, $\omega_{v,32}$, while the slow oscillations are determined by the smaller one $\omega_{v,21}$.





Figure 2.1: The electron flavor neutrino survival probability in vacuum oscillations as a function of distance r which is measured in terms of vacuum oscillation frequency ω_ν . The mixing angle θ_ν is given by $\sin^2 \theta_\nu = 0.30 \approx \sin^2 \theta_{12}$.

For the inverted neutrino mass hierarchy (with $m_3 < m_1 < m_2$), the oscillation frequencies are the same as in the normal mass hierarchy (with $m_3 > m_2 > m_1$) since they have the same characteristic energy scales. However, they will develop different phases during oscillations.



(a) Normal hierarchy: the third mass is heavier than the first two.

(b) Inverted hierarchy: the third mass is smaller than the first two.

Figure 2.2: The order of the three neutrino masses. The difference between the first two masses is responsible for solar neutrino oscillations, and the difference between the third mass and the first two is responsible for atmospheric neutrino oscillations.




Figure 2.3: The probabilities for a 1MeV neutrino, which is in the electron flavor initially, in different flavors as functions of the distance in vacuum. The solid lines represent the normal hierarchy and the dashed lines represent the inverted hierarchy. The mixing angles are $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.30$, $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.023$, and $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.41$, respectively, and the mass differences are $\delta m_{21}^2 = 7.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2$ and $\delta m_{23}^2 = 2.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2$.

2.2 Neutrino Oscillations in Matter




Figure 2.4: (a) The neutral current weak interaction does not distinguish between neutrino flavors and has no impact on neutrino oscillations. (b) The electron flavor neutrino acquires a unique refractive index contribution from the charged current weak interaction with ambient electrons.

In many astrophysical environments, such as stars and core-collapse supernovae, neutrinos are mostly produced at the center of the object and propagate through the dense matter envelope. Although this matter envelope is essentially transparent to neutrinos, the refractive indices of the neutrinos in matter are different than those in vacuum.² Because the neutral current weak interaction does not distinguish between neutrino flavors (see Fig. 2.4a), it has no impact on neutrino oscillations, and I will ignore it from now on. Meanwhile, the electrons and positrons in matter will cause electron flavor neutrinos to have refractive indices different than other neutrino flavors through the charged current weak interaction (see Fig. 2.4b). This leads to an effective potential

$$V^{(f)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}G_F n_e}{2} \sigma_3, \quad (2.16)$$

where G_F is Fermi constant and n_e is net number density of the electron. As usual,

²The word “matter” in this dissertation refers to ordinary matter composed of electrons, positrons, nucleons and nuclei. We assume that the temperature and density of the environment are not high enough to produce muons and tau particles. We will discuss the effect of dense neutrino medium in Chapter 4.

Chapter 2. General Principles of Neutrino Oscillations

I have ignored the trace terms in the above equation.

The Hamiltonian with the matter effect is the combination of Eqn. 2.13 and Eqn. 2.16:

$$H^{(f)} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\omega_v}{2} \cos 2\theta_v \right) \sigma_3 + \frac{\omega_v}{2} \sin 2\theta_v \sigma_1, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\lambda = \sqrt{2} G_F n_e. \quad (2.18)$$

Due to the off-diagonal terms in $H^{(f)}$, the neutrino will experience oscillations in flavor. A resonance with the maximum flavor mixing occurs when the diagonal terms of $H^{(f)}$ vanish,

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\omega_v}{2} \cos 2\theta_v = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

which gives the Mikheyev–Smirnov–Wolfenstein (MSW) resonance condition.

2.3 Neutrino Oscillations in the Sun

The neutrinos produced in the solar core experience decreasing matter density as they travel outward through the Sun. The neutrino propagation eigenstates are different from the flavor states in general [5]. Because the density change inside the Sun is not dramatic, the flavor quantum states of the neutrinos will evolve adiabatically inside the Sun.

The values of the instantaneous eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, known as the heavy and light states, are

$$\varepsilon_H = \frac{\omega_v}{2} \sqrt{\hat{\lambda} + 1 - 2\hat{\lambda} \cos 2\theta_v}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\varepsilon_L = -\frac{\omega_v}{2} \sqrt{\hat{\lambda} + 1 - 2\hat{\lambda} \cos 2\theta_v}, \quad (2.21)$$




Figure 2.5: The two eigenvalues of the neutrino Hamiltonian as functions of matter potential $\hat{\lambda}$. I have used $\sin^2 \theta_v = 0.02 \approx \sin^2 \theta_{13}$.

where

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\omega_v}. \quad (2.22)$$

In Fig. 2.5, I show the two eigenvalues of the neutrino Hamiltonian as functions of the matter potential $\hat{\lambda}$.

For a very high matter density, the heavy state of the neutrino $|\nu_H\rangle$ is almost the same as $|\nu_e\rangle$. As the matter density decreases, $|\nu_H\rangle$ becomes a mixture of different neutrino flavors. As the neutrino reaches the surface of the Sun, where the matter density is approximately zero, $|\nu_H\rangle$ is about the same as vacuum mass eigenstate $|\nu_2\rangle$. As a result, the electron flavor neutrinos produced at the solar core are partially converted to other flavors as they reach the surface of the Sun. This explains the solar neutrino problem.




Figure 2.6: In the flavor isospin picture, a flavor isospin pointing upward, i.e., along the third axis in flavor space, indicates that the neutrino is in the electron flavor, while the downward direction indicates the other flavor, such as the muon flavor.

2.4 Flavor Isospin Formalism

The Hamiltonian for two-flavor neutrino oscillations describes a two-level quantum system. It is known that two-level quantum systems can be visualized using the Bloch sphere. In the realm of neutrino physics, the neutrino flavor isospin was introduced for such purpose [15].

Every two-by-two Hermitian matrix can be expanded in the quaternion basis. For example, the Hamiltonian for neutrino oscillations in vacuum (in flavor basis) can be written as

$$\mathbf{H}^{(f)} = -\frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \cdot \vec{H}, \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$\vec{H} = \omega_v \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_v \\ 0 \\ \cos 2\theta_v \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a vector of length ω_v and tilted away from the third axis by the angle $2\theta_v$. Throughout the dissertation, I will use “ $\vec{}$ ” to denote a vector in flavor space. The flavor quantum state of the neutrino is represented by the flavor isospin, which is defined as

$$\vec{s} = \Psi^{(\text{f})\dagger} \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \Psi^{(\text{f})}. \quad (2.24)$$

As shown in Fig. 2.6, the direction of the flavor isospin in flavor space shows the flavor content of the neutrino. A flavor isospin pointing upward in flavor space, i.e., along the direction of the third axis, denotes the electron flavor by definition. Correspondingly, the equation of motion for the flavor isospin describes its precession around the vector \vec{H} ,

$$\dot{\vec{s}} = \vec{s} \times \vec{H}. \quad (2.25)$$

In the flavor isospin formalism, the electron flavor survival probability is

$$P = \frac{1}{2} + s_3,$$

where s_3 is the third component of the flavor isospin. Therefore, the precession of the flavor isospin corresponds to a periodic oscillation between the two neutrino flavors (see Fig. 2.7). The oscillation frequency is trivially read out from Eqn. 2.25,

$$\omega_v = |\vec{H}|. \quad (2.26)$$

The MSW effect can also be easily explained using the flavor isospin picture. The




Figure 2.7: Vacuum oscillations in the flavor isospin picture. The flavor isospin of a neutrino starting with the electron flavor will precess around the static “Hamiltonian vector” \vec{H} , which gives a periodic flavor oscillation according to Eqn. 2.4.

Hamiltonian for the neutrino flavor evolution in the presence of dense matter is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{(f)} &= \frac{\omega_v}{2} (-\cos 2\theta_v \sigma_3 + \sin 2\theta_v \sigma_1) + \frac{\lambda(x)}{2} \sigma_3 \\ \Rightarrow \vec{H} &= \vec{H}_v + \vec{H}_m(x) = \omega_v \begin{pmatrix} -\sin 2\theta_v \\ 0 \\ \cos 2\theta_v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\lambda(x) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where \vec{H}_v is the vacuum contribution, and $\vec{H}_m(x)$ is the matter potential contribution. The precession motion of the flavor isospin of the neutrino in the presence of dense matter is visualized in Fig. 2.8. The MSW resonance condition in Eqn. 2.19 corresponds to the scenario that the overall “Hamiltonian vector” \vec{H} is perpendicular to the third axis in flavor space. In this case, the flavor isospin rotates in the plane spanned by the second and third axes which gives maximum flavor oscillations




Figure 2.8: Neutrino oscillations in flavor isospin picture, with the presence of matter potential. The flavor isospin is denoted as red dashed arrow. It starts from electron flavor. The two gray vectors stand for the Hamiltonians of vacuum \vec{H}_v and matter \vec{H}_m .

(see Fig. 2.9).

The adiabatic flavor evolution of the neutrino in varying matter density that was discussed in Sec. 2.3 can also be easily understood by the flavor-isospin picture. In the region where the matter density is high, the total “Hamiltonian vector” \vec{H} points downward. Therefore, a neutrino produced in the electron flavor will experience very little oscillations because its flavor isospin \vec{s} is almost anti-parallel to \vec{H} (see Fig. 2.10a). If the matter density along the propagation trajectory of the neutrino decreases slowly, the \vec{s} will stay anti-parallel to \vec{H} as \vec{H} rotates (see Fig. 2.10b). When the neutrino reaches the region with very low matter density, its flavor isospin becomes anti-parallel to \vec{H}_v , which implies that the neutrino is in $|\nu_2\rangle$ (see Fig. 2.10).





Figure 2.9: MSW resonance happens when electron neutrinos go through a critical matter density.

2.5 Summary

Neutrino oscillations in vacuum and in matter with a smooth profile have been explained. The neutrino oscillations phenomena reveals a secret of the nature of the neutrino, i.e., its flavor states are not the same as the propagation eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. As a result, a neutrino produced in the pure flavor state through weak interaction will not remain in the same flavor state as it propagates, but oscillate between different flavors. The problem of neutrino oscillations in an environment with rapidly varying matter densities is significant more difficult than that in a smooth profile. This will be discussed in the next chapter.



(a) High matter density (b) Medium matter density (c) Low matter density

Figure 2.10: Flavor isospin picture of neutrino oscillations in matter. \vec{H}_v is the vacuum contribution to Hamiltonian, and \vec{H}_m corresponds to the matter potential.

Chapter 3

Neutrino Oscillations with Oscillatory Matter Profiles

In certain regions inside a star or a supernova where convection is prominent, the matter density varies rapidly as a function of distance [29, 30]. The neutrino flavor evolution in such environments is qualitatively different than that with a smooth density profile [7, 9, 12, 16, 19, 24, 28]. For example, the flavor conversion of the neutrino is greatly enhanced if the matter density fluctuates with certain wave numbers. This is known as the parametric resonance [7, 11].

In this chapter, I will explain the parametric resonance from the perspective of Rabi oscillations. I will first review the Rabi oscillation phenomena and derive a criterion when the off-resonance Rabi mode may have a significant impact on the resonance behavior. I will then introduce the background matter basis where the equation of motion simplifies. After demonstrating the simplest example of the parametric resonance in the presence of a sinusoidal matter profile with the Rabi formula, I will explain the interference effect when there exist multiple Fourier modes in the matter profile. Finally I will show how to use the Jacobi-Anger expansion to

decompose an arbitrary matter profile into an infinite sum of Rabi modes. This decomposition is similar to what J. Kneller et al. did in reference [24, 28] but is achieved in a way that makes the physics much more transparent. I will also use the criterion that I have derived for the interference between two Rabi modes to show that only a finite number of Rabi modes are relevant in a real physical system.

3.1 Rabi Oscillations



Figure 3.1: A two-level quantum system that experiences the Rabi oscillation. The resonance happens when the frequency of the driving field ω equals the energy gap $\omega_R = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1$.

A two-level quantum system with energy gap $\delta\epsilon = \omega_R$ can experience Rabi oscillations when light of frequency ω shines on it (see Fig. 3.1). The Hamiltonian for the system is

$$\mathbf{H}_R = -\frac{\omega_R}{2}\sigma_3 - \frac{A_R}{2}(\cos(k_R t + \phi_R)\sigma_1 - \sin(k_R t + \phi_R)\sigma_2), \quad (3.1)$$

where A_R and k_R are the amplitude and frequency of the driving field, respectively. The Hamiltonian \mathbf{H}_R can be mapped into a vector using the flavor isospin picture:

$$\vec{H}_R = \vec{H}_3 + \vec{H}_+,$$

where

$$\vec{H}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_R \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{H}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} A_R \cos(k_R t + \phi_R) \\ -A_R \sin(k_R t + \phi_R) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

The vector \vec{H}_3 is the vector aligned with the third axis and \vec{H}_+ is a vector rotating in a plane perpendicular to \vec{H}_3 (see Fig. 3.2a). The wave function $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$ is also used to define the state vector \vec{s}

$$\vec{s} = \Psi^\dagger \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \Psi = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \operatorname{Re}(\psi_1^* \psi_2) \\ 2 \operatorname{Im}(\psi_1^* \psi_2) \\ |\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$




Figure 3.2: Rabi oscillations in different frames. The vectors \vec{H}_3 and \vec{H}_+ are defined in Eqn. 3.2. The red dashed vector \vec{s} represents the quantum state of the system, and the black solid vectors are for the Hamiltonians in the corresponding frames.

The third component of \vec{s} , which is denoted as s_3 , is within range $[-1/2, 1/2]$. The two limits, $s_3 = -1/2$ and $s_3 = 1/2$ stand for the two-level system in the high energy state and the low energy state, respectively. If the two-level system has equal probabilities to be in the high energy state and the low energy state, one has $s_3 = 0$.

Chapter 3. Neutrino Oscillations with Oscillatory Matter Profiles

The equation of motion is a precession equation similar to Eqn. 2.25. In this example, the total “Hamiltonian vector” \vec{H}_R is not static since it has a rotating component \vec{H}_+ . I need a better frame to understand the evolution of the state vector \vec{s} .

A more convenient frame is the one that corotates with \vec{H}_+ , which is depicted in Fig. 3.2b. The equation of motion in this frame is

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{s} = \vec{s} \times (\vec{H}'_3 + \vec{H}_+), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\vec{H}'_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_R - k_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{H}'_+ = \begin{pmatrix} A_R \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

The state vector \vec{s} precesses around the static vector $\vec{H}'_3 + \vec{H}'_+$ in this corotating frame with a frequency

$$\Omega_R = \sqrt{|A_R|^2 + (k_R - \omega_R)^2}, \quad (3.6)$$

which is known as the Rabi frequency. Projecting the state vector \vec{s} on to the third axis, I obtain

$$s_3 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{|A_R|^2}{\Omega_R^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Omega_R}{2} t \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Such a system has an analytical transition probability from the low energy state to the high energy state, known as the Rabi formula,

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2s_3(t)) = \frac{1}{1 + D^2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Omega_R}{2} t \right), \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$D = \left| \frac{k_R - \omega_R}{A_R} \right|. \quad (3.9)$$

is the relative detuning.

The resonance of Rabi oscillations occurs when $\vec{H}'_3 = 0$ in the corotating frame and \vec{s} oscillates between $+1/2$ (the low energy state) and $-1/2$ (the high energy

state). The transition amplitude is determined by relative detuning D as illustrated by two numerical examples in Fig. 3.3. The resonance width is determined by the amplitude of the driving field A_R as shown in Fig. 3.4.




Figure 3.3: The transition probabilities P between the energy states of a two-level quantum system when external driving fields with frequencies $k_R = \omega_R$ and $k_R = 1.1\omega_R$ are applied, respectively. The corresponding relative detunings are $D = 0$ and $D = 1$, respectively. The amplitudes of the driving fields are $A_R = 0.1\omega_R$ in both cases.

In many cases, the two-level quantum system has more than one driving fields. I will refer to each of the driving field as a Rabi mode. Here I consider the Hamiltonian with two Rabi modes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_R = & -\frac{\omega_R}{2}\sigma_3 - \frac{A_1}{2}(\cos(k_1 t + \phi_1)\sigma_1 - \sin(k_1 t + \phi_1)\sigma_2) \\ & - \frac{A_2}{2}(\cos(k_2 t + \phi_2)\sigma_1 - \sin(k_2 t + \phi_2)\sigma_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$




Figure 3.4: The amplitude of Rabi oscillations as a function of the frequency of the external driving field k_R . The maximum amplitude occurs at $k_R/\omega_R = 1$. The resonance width is defined to be the difference of k_R at which the amplitudes are $1/2$.

I decompose it into $\vec{H}_R = \vec{H}_3 + \vec{H}_1 + \vec{H}_2$, where

$$\vec{H}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \cos(k_1 t + \phi_1) \\ -A_1 \sin(k_1 t + \phi_1) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 \cos(k_2 t + \phi_2) \\ -A_2 \sin(k_2 t + \phi_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

I will assume that the first Rabi mode \vec{H}_1 is almost on resonance, and the second one \vec{H}_2 is off resonance, i.e.,

$$D_1 \lesssim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 \gg 1, \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$D_i \equiv \frac{|k_i - \omega_R|}{|A_i|} \quad (3.12)$$

is the relative detuning of the i th Rabi mode.

Although the second Rabi mode is off resonance, it can interfere with the Rabi oscillation caused by the first Rabi mode. To see this effect, I will use the frame that corotates with \vec{H}_2 . In the corotating frame, the two Rabi modes have frequencies $k'_1 = k_1 - k_2$ and $k'_2 = 0$, respectively, and $\vec{H}'_3 = (0, 0, \omega_R - k_2)^T$. Obviously, the Hamiltonian vector in this corotating frame is similar to Eqn. 3.1 except with the replacements

$$\vec{H}_3 \rightarrow \vec{H}'_3 + \vec{H}'_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{H}_+ \rightarrow \vec{H}'_1. \quad (3.13)$$

I will further assume that $|A_2| \ll \omega_R$ so that the new energy gap is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}'_R &\equiv |\vec{H}'_3 + \vec{H}'_2| \\ &= \text{sign}(\omega_R - k_2) \sqrt{(\omega_R - k_2)^2 + A_2^2} \\ &\approx \omega_R - k_2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_2^2}{\omega_R - k_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where I kept only up to the first order of the Taylor series. I can calculate the new relative detuning of the system to be

$$\begin{aligned} D' &= \frac{|k'_1 - \tilde{\omega}'_R|}{|A_1|} \\ &\approx \left| \frac{k_1 - \omega_R}{A_1} + \frac{A_2^2}{2A_1(k_2 - \omega_R)} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

One can adjust frequency of the off-resonance Rabi mode k_2 to decrease or increase D so that the system is close to or further away from the resonance. The criterion for the off-resonance Rabi mode to have a significant impact on the Rabi resonance is

$$\left| \frac{A_2^2}{2A_1(k_2 - \omega_R)} \right| \gtrsim 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |A_2| \gtrsim \sqrt{2|A_1(k_2 - \omega_R)|} \quad (3.16)$$

3.2 Background Matter Basis

For a uniform matter density $\lambda(r) = \lambda_0$, one can define a matter basis in which the Hamiltonian is diagonalized:

$$\mathbf{H}^{(\text{m})} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{H}^{(\text{f})} \mathbf{U} = -\frac{\omega_{\text{m}}}{2} \sigma_3, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{\text{m}} & \sin \theta_{\text{m}} \\ \sin \theta_{\text{m}} & \cos \theta_{\text{m}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.18)$$

with

$$\theta_{\text{m}} = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{\sin 2\theta_{\text{v}}}{\cos 2\theta_{\text{v}} - \lambda_0/\omega_{\text{v}}} \right),$$

and

$$\omega_{\text{m}} = \omega_{\text{v}} \sqrt{(\lambda_0/\omega_{\text{v}} - \cos(2\theta_{\text{v}}))^2 + \sin^2(2\theta_{\text{v}})} \quad (3.19)$$

is the neutrino oscillation frequency in matter.

In the rest of the chapter, I will consider profiles of the form

$$\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \delta\lambda(r), \quad (3.20)$$

where $\delta\lambda(r)$ describes the fluctuation of the matter density. I will use the background matter basis defined in Eqn. 3.2 and Eqn. 3.19. In this basis, the Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathbf{H}^{(\text{m})} = -\frac{\omega_{\text{m}}}{2} \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2} \delta\lambda(r) \cos 2\theta_{\text{m}} \sigma_3 - \frac{1}{2} \delta\lambda(r) \sin 2\theta_{\text{m}} \sigma_1. \quad (3.21)$$

In this chapter, I will focus on the transition probability between the background matter eigenstates

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\nu_{\text{L}}\rangle \\ |\nu_{\text{H}}\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_{\text{e}}\rangle \\ |\nu_{\text{x}}\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.22)$$

Given this transition probability, it is trivial to calculate the flavor conversion.

All the numerical examples in this chapter are calculated with $\sin^2(2\theta_{\text{v}}) = 0.093$ and $\omega_{\text{v}} = 3.75 \times 10^{-17} \text{MeV}^2$.

3.3 Single-Frequency Matter Profile

I will examine the neutrino flavor conversion in a single-frequency matter profile $\delta\lambda(r) = \lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r)$. I will assume that the perturbation is small so that $\lambda_1 \ll \omega_m$. The Hamiltonian in the background matter basis becomes

$$H^{(m)} = -\frac{\omega_m}{2}\sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r) \cos 2\theta_m \sigma_3 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r) \sin 2\theta_m \sigma_1. \quad (3.23)$$

I will consider the case when k_1 is not far away from resonance, i.e., $k_1 \sim \omega_m$. As will be proven later, the oscillating σ_3 term $\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r) \cos 2\theta_m \sigma_3$ in the above Hamiltonian has little effect on the transition probabilities in this case. With the oscillating σ_3 term removed,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(m)} \rightarrow & -\frac{\omega_m}{2}\sigma_3 - \frac{1}{2}A_1 \cos(k_1 r)\sigma_1 + \frac{1}{2}A_1 \sin(k_1 r)\sigma_2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}A_2 \cos(k_2 r)\sigma_1 + \frac{1}{2}A_2 \sin(k_2 r)\sigma_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$A_1 = A_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 \sin(2\theta_m)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 = -k_1. \quad (3.25)$$

This is the same as the Hamiltonian $H_{(R)}$ in Eqn. 3.10. Because the second Rabi mode is off resonance and its amplitude is too small to satisfy the criterion in Eqn. 3.16, it can be neglected and Rabi formula in Eqn. 3.8 can be applied with $A_R = A_1$, $k_R = k_1$, and $\omega_R = \omega_m$.

I calculated the transition probability using the Hamiltonian in Eqn. 3.23 with $k_1/\omega_m = 1$ and plotted it as black square markers in Fig. 3.5. As comparison I also plotted the transition probability obtained from the Rabi formula in Eqn. 3.8 as the dot-dashed curve in the same figure, which agrees with the numerical result very well. In this case, the first Rabi mode is exactly on resonance with relative detuning $D_1 = 0$. I calculated the transition probabilities for additional two cases with $k_1/\omega_m = 1 - 2 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1 - 10^{-4}$, which have relative detunings $D_1 = 1$

and 5.2, respectively. The Rabi resonance is suppressed as D_1 increases. The results obtained using the full Hamiltonian and those from the Rabi formula again agree very well.




Figure 3.5: The transition probabilities $P_{\nu_L \rightarrow \nu_H}$ as functions of distance r for a neutrino propagating through matter profiles $\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r)$ with different values of k_1 as labeled. The markers are for the numerical results obtained by using the Hamiltonian in Eqn. 3.23, and the continuous curves are obtained using the Rabi formula in Eqn. 3.8. In all three cases, λ_0 is half of the MSW resonance potential, and $\lambda_1/\omega_m = 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$.

For a single-frequency perturbation in the matter profile $\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \sin(k_1 r)$, P. Krastev and A. Smirnov concluded that the parametric resonance condition is $\omega_m \sim nk_1$, if

$$\omega_{m,\text{inst}}(r) = \omega_v \sqrt{(\lambda(r)/\omega_v - \cos(2\theta_v))^2 + \sin^2(2\theta_v)} \quad (3.26)$$

varies slowly with r [7]. This condition is exactly the Rabi resonance condition when $n = 1$. Higher order effects will be explained in Sec. 3.6.

3.4 Multi-Frequency Matter Profiles

The approach applied to the neutrino flavor transformation with a single-frequency matter profile can also be used in more general cases with multi-frequency matter profiles. Even though there is only one Rabi mode that is on resonance, the presence of many off-resonance Rabi modes may interfere with the on-resonance mode and suppress or enhance the neutrino flavor conversion.

I will consider a matter profile with two Fourier modes:

$$\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r) + \lambda_2 \cos(k_2 r). \quad (3.27)$$

The Hamiltonian for the neutrino oscillations in the background matter basis is

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(m)} = & -\frac{\omega_m}{2} \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r) + \lambda_2 \cos(k_2 r)) \cos 2\theta_m \sigma_3 \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r) + \lambda_2 \cos(k_2 r)) \sin 2\theta_m \sigma_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

I will drop the oscillating σ_3 terms for the same reason as in the single-frequency matter profile case. The Hamiltonian becomes

$$H^{(m)} \rightarrow -\frac{\omega_m}{2} \sigma_3 - \sum_n \frac{A_n}{2} \cos(k_n r) \sigma_1 + \sum_n \frac{A_n}{2} \sin(k_n r) \sigma_2. \quad (3.29)$$

There are four Rabi modes in this Hamiltonian, $n = 1+, 1-, 2+, \text{ and } 2-$. The amplitudes and wave numbers of the four Rabi modes are

$$A_{1\pm} = \frac{\lambda_1 \sin(2\theta_m)}{2}, \quad k_{1\pm} = \pm k_1, \quad (3.30)$$

$$A_{2\pm} = \frac{\lambda_2 \sin(2\theta_m)}{2}, \quad k_{2\pm} = \pm k_2, \quad (3.31)$$

respectively.

The presence of the additional Fourier mode can suppress the Rabi resonance. This is illustrated in Fig. 3.6. In this figure, I choose the $1+$ Rabi mode to be exactly




Figure 3.6: The transition probabilities as functions of distance r for a neutrino propagating through matter profile $\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r) + \lambda_2 \cos(k_2 r)$ with different values of λ_2 and k_2 as labeled. The markers are for the numerical results obtained by using the Hamiltonian in Eqn. 3.28, and the continuous curves are obtained using the Rabi formula in Eqn. 3.8 but with the values of the modified relative detunings D'_{2+} in Table 3.1. In all three cases, λ_0 is half of the MSW resonance potential, $\lambda_1/\omega_m = 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $k_1/\omega_m = 1$.

on resonance with $\lambda_1/\omega_m = 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $k_1/\omega_m = 1$. The triangles represent the numerical solution for neutrino flavor transformation with $\lambda_2/\omega_m = 0.052$ and $k_2/\omega_m = 0.1$. One can see that the transition amplitude is suppressed due to the presence of the second Fourier mode. I used Eqn. 3.15 to calculate the modified relative detunings by taking into account only the 1+ mode and another Rabi mode. The values of the relative detunings are listed in Table ???. I calculated the transition probability using the Rabi formula in Eqn. 3.8 with the relative detuning D'_{2+} (the continuous curve in the figure, and it agrees with the numerical solution very well.

λ_2/ω_m	k_2/ω_m	D'_{1-}	D'_{2+}	D'_{2-}
0.052	0.1	2.5×10^{-5}	0.56	0.46
0.052	10	2.5×10^{-5}	0.056	0.045
0.26	10	2.5×10^{-5}	1.4	1.1

Table 3.1: The relative detunings of the Rabi resonance when only the 1+ mode and another Rabi mode are considered.

I also showed two additional examples with $(\lambda_2/\omega_m, k_2/\omega_m)$ being $(0.052, 10)$ and $(0.26, 10)$, respectively. In both examples, the numerical solutions and the analytic results by using the Rabi formula have excellent agreement.

The presence of the second Fourier mode can also enhance the Rabi resonance, which is illustrated in Fig. 3.7. The two Fourier modes in this figure have amplitudes and wave numbers

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1/\omega_m &= 5.2 \times 10^{-4}, & k_1/\omega_m &= 1 - 10^{-4}, \\ \lambda_2/\omega_m &= 0.1, & k_2/\omega_m &= 3,\end{aligned}$$

respectively. The neutrino flavor conversion is never complete if only the first Fourier mode is present because the relative detuning $D_{1+} = 1 > 0$. This is shown as the red dots in the figure. However, complete neutrino flavor conversion when both Fourier modes are present. This is because the modified relative detuning $D'_{2+} = 0$ when only the 1+ and the 2+ Rabi modes are considered. The numerical solution and the analytic prediction using the Rabi formula are plotted as the black squares and the continuous curve, respectively, which agree very well.

3.5 Rabi Basis

Previously I have ignored the oscillating σ_3 terms in the Hamiltonian such as in Eqn. 3.23. Using the Jacobi-Anger expansion, J. Kneller et al. showed that these




Figure 3.7: The constructive interference effect for the neutrino flavor conversion with a two-frequency matter density profile as in Fig. 3.6. The red dots represent the numerical solution in the case of a single-frequency matter profile with $\lambda_1/\omega_m = 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $k_1/\omega_m = 1 - 10^{-4}$. The black square markers represent the numerical solution with an additional Fourier mode with $\lambda_2/\omega_m = 0.1$ and $k_2/\omega_m = 3$. The black curve is obtained using the Rabi formula in Eqn. 3.8 with relative detuning $D'_{2+} = 0$.

terms result in an infinite number of resonance conditions. In the rest of the chapter, I will present a much simpler derivation of their results from the perspective of Rabi oscillations. For this purpose I will define a new basis called Rabi basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\nu_{r1}\rangle \\ |\nu_{r2}\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_L\rangle \\ |\nu_H\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\eta(r)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\eta(r)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.33)$$

The unitary transformation in Eqn. 3.33 is a rotation in flavor space about the third axis. It commutes with σ_3 terms in the Hamiltonian, but, due to its dependence on r , the left side of the Schrödinger equation obtains an extra term:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\eta}{dr} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{d\eta}{dr} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{R1} \\ \psi_{R2} \end{pmatrix} + i \frac{d}{dr} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{R1} \\ \psi_{R2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left[-\frac{\omega_m}{2} \sigma_3 + \frac{\delta\lambda}{2} \cos 2\theta_m \sigma_3 - \frac{\delta\lambda}{2} \sin 2\theta_m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{2i\eta} \\ e^{-2i\eta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{R1} \\ \psi_{R2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The oscillating σ_3 terms in Hamiltonian can be eliminated by choosing $\eta(r)$ properly, i.e.,

$$\eta(r) - \eta(0) = \frac{\cos 2\theta_m}{2} \int_0^r \delta\lambda(\tau) d\tau. \quad (3.34)$$

With this definition, the Schrödinger equation simplifies:

$$i \frac{d}{dr} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{r1} \\ \psi_{r2} \end{pmatrix} = \left[-\frac{\omega_m}{2} \sigma_3 - \frac{\delta\lambda}{2} \sin 2\theta_m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{2i\eta} \\ e^{-2i\eta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{r1} \\ \psi_{r2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.35)$$

Because the states $|\nu_{r1}\rangle$ and $|\nu_{r2}\rangle$ are related to $|\nu_L\rangle$ and $|\nu_H\rangle$ by phase factors only, the transition probability between the former two states is the same as that between the latter.

3.6 Single-Frequency Matter Profile Revisited

Let us consider the single-frequency matter profile that we have studied in Sec. 3.3 again. In the Rabi basis, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H^{(R)} = \frac{\omega_m}{2} \sigma_3 - \frac{\sin 2\theta_m \lambda_1 \cos(k_1 r)}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{2i\eta(r)} \\ e^{-2i\eta(r)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$\eta(r) = \frac{\lambda_1 \cos 2\theta_m}{2k} \sin(k_1 r). \quad (3.37)$$

Chapter 3. Neutrino Oscillations with Oscillatory Matter Profiles

With the Jacobi-Anger expansion

$$e^{iz \sin(\phi)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(z) e^{in\phi}, \quad (3.38)$$

the term $e^{2i\eta(r)}$ becomes

$$\exp\left(i \frac{\lambda_1 \cos 2\theta_m}{k_1} \sin(k_1 r)\right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n\left(\frac{\lambda_1 \cos 2\theta_m}{k_1}\right) e^{ink_1 r}, \quad (3.39)$$

and the Hamiltonian becomes a Rabi oscillation system with infinite number of Rabi modes:

$$\mathbf{H}^{(R)} = -\frac{\omega_m}{2} \sigma_3 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{ink_1 r} \\ e^{-ink_1 r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.40)$$

where $J_n(z)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order n , and

$$A_n = \tan 2\theta_m n k_1 J_n\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{k_1} \cos 2\theta_m\right) \quad (3.41)$$

and $n k_1$ are the amplitude and wave number of the n th Rabi mode.¹ In obtaining Eqn. 3.40, I have used the following identity of the Bessel function

$$J_{n-1}(z) + J_{n+1}(z) = \frac{2n}{z} J_n(z). \quad (3.42)$$

Eqn. 3.40 implies an infinite number of resonance conditions

$$\omega_m = n k_1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (3.43)$$

However, the amplitude of the Rabi mode A_n drops quickly as a function of n because

$$J_n(z) \xrightarrow{z \ll \sqrt{n+1}} \frac{(z/2)^n}{n!} \quad \text{if } n > 0 \quad (3.44)$$

when $\lambda_1/k_1 \ll 1$. For $\lambda_1/k_1 \gtrsim 1$, A_n also becomes small for sufficiently large n because

$$J_n(z) \xrightarrow{n \gg 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \left(\frac{ez}{2n}\right)^n. \quad (3.45)$$

¹A phase in the matter potential would contribute to the phases of the Rabi modes which do not play any role in the resonance for the reason discussed in Sec. 3.1

Chapter 3. Neutrino Oscillations with Oscillatory Matter Profiles

n	$k_1/\omega_m = 1$			$k_1/\omega_m = 1 - 2 \times 10^{-5}$			$k_1/\omega_m = 1 - 10^{-4}$		
	A_n/A_1	D_n	$\Delta D_{(n)}$	A_n/A_1	D_n	$\Delta D_{(n)}$	A_n/A_1	D_n	$\Delta D_{(n)}$
-1	1	10^5	5×10^{-6}	1	10^5	5×10^{-6}	1	10^5	5×10^{-6}
2	4.8×10^{-5}	1.1×10^9	2.2×10^{-14}	4.8×10^{-5}	1.1×10^9	2.2×10^{-14}	4.8×10^{-5}	1.1×10^9	2.2×10^{-14}
-2	4.8×10^{-5}	3.2×10^9	7.5×10^{-15}	4.8×10^{-5}	3.2×10^9	7.5×10^{-15}	4.8×10^{-5}	3.2×10^9	7.6×10^{-15}

Table 3.2: The amplitudes and the relative detunings of a few Rabi modes and their impact on the Rabi resonance for the three numerical examples shown in Fig. 3.5.

Any real physical system has a finite size l and the Rabi modes with the oscillation wavelengths $2\pi/\Omega_n \sim 2\pi/A_n \gtrsim l$ can be ignored even if they are on resonance.

In Sec. 3.3, I have discussed the scenario where the $n = 1$ Rabi mode is on or close to resonance and $\lambda_1/\omega_m \ll 1$. Using Eqn. 3.44 and identity

$$J_{-n}(z) = (-1)^n J_n(z) \quad (3.46)$$

I obtain

$$A_{\pm 1} \approx \frac{\lambda_1 \sin(2\theta_m)}{2}, \quad (3.47)$$

which agrees with Eqn. 3.25. According to Eqn. 3.15, compared with the case where the first Rabi mode is present, the relative detuning of the Rabi resonance has a change of magnitude

$$\Delta D_{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_n}{A_1} \frac{1}{D_n} \quad (3.48)$$

when another Rabi mode n is present, where D_n is the relative detuning when only the n th Rabi mode is considered. In Table 3.2, I listed the values of A_n/A_1 , D_n , and $\Delta D_{(n)}$ of a few off-resonance Rabi modes for the three numerical examples plotted in Fig. 3.5. One can see that all the off-resonance Rabi modes have very little impact on the resonance which explains why we could ignore the oscillating σ_3 terms in Eqn. 3.24.

3.7 Multi-frequency Matter Profile Revisited

For a multi-frequency matter profile

$$\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \sum_a \lambda_a \cos(k_a r), \quad (3.49)$$

it is straightforward although a little bit tedious to show that the Hamiltonian in the Rabi basis is

$$\mathbf{H}^{(R)} = -\frac{\omega_m}{2} \sigma_3 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{n_a\}} A_{\{n_a\}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{iK_{\{n_a\}}r} \\ e^{-iK_{\{n_a\}}r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.50)$$

where $\{n_a\}$ stands for a set of arbitrary integers each associating with a particular Fourier mode, and

$$K_{\{n_a\}} = \sum_a n_a k_a \quad (3.51)$$

and

$$A_{\{n_a\}} = \tan(2\theta_m) K_{\{n_a\}} \prod_a J_{n_a} \left(\frac{\lambda_a}{k_a} \cos(2\theta_m) \right). \quad (3.52)$$

are the wave number and amplitude of the Rabi mode denoted by $\{n_a\}$, respectively. There are potentially many Rabi modes that approximately satisfy the resonance condition

$$K_{\{n_a\}} = \omega_m. \quad (3.53)$$

However, according to the discussion in Sec. 3.6, the Rabi modes with large $|n_a|$ s have very long oscillation wavelengths and can be ignored even if they are on resonance. The off-resonance Rabi modes with large $|n_a|$ s have very small amplitudes and do not have a significant impact on the resonance either. Therefore, only a finite number of Rabi modes need to be considered for a realistic system.

As an example of a matter profile with multiple frequencies, I will consider the castle wall profile

$$\lambda(r) = \begin{cases} \Lambda_1 & \text{if } -\frac{X_1}{2} + nX \leq r \leq \frac{X_1}{2} + nX, \\ \Lambda_2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.54)$$

Chapter 3. Neutrino Oscillations with Oscillatory Matter Profiles

where n is arbitrary integer, X is the period of the potential, and Λ_1 , Λ_2 , and X_1 are constants. The parametric resonance condition for the castle wall potential has been derived by E. Akhmedov [12]:

$$\frac{\tan(\omega_{m1}X_1/2)}{\tan(\omega_{m2}X_2/2)} = -\frac{\cos 2\theta_{m2}}{\cos 2\theta_{m1}}, \quad (3.55)$$

where

$$\omega_{mi} = \omega_v \sqrt{(\Lambda_i/\omega_v - \cos(2\theta_v))^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_v}, \quad (3.56)$$

$$\theta_{mi} = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left(\frac{\sin(2\theta_v)}{\cos(2\theta_v) - \Lambda_i/\omega_v} \right) \quad (3.57)$$

and $X_2 = X_1$. To study the parametric resonance with this particular matter profile, I will decompose the profile into a Fourier series:

$$\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \lambda_a \cos(k_a r), \quad (3.58)$$

where I have assumed $X_1 = X_2 = X/2$,

$$\lambda_0 = (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)/2,$$

$$\lambda_a = \frac{2}{(2a-1)\pi} (-1)^a (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2),$$

$$k_a = (2a-1)k_1,$$

$$k_1 = 2\pi/X.$$

As a concrete example, I choose $\Lambda_1 = 0.3\omega_v \cos(2\theta_v)$, $\Lambda_2 = 0.7\omega_v \cos(2\theta_v)$, and $X = 2\pi/\omega_m$. For this particular example, the Rabi mode $\{1, 0, 0, \dots\}$ is on resonance. In Fig. 3.8, I compared the numerical solution to the full equation of motion and that obtained from the Rabi formula in Eqn. 3.8. They agree with each other very well.

In the above example, there are an infinite number of Rabi modes that are on resonance in addition to $\{1, 0, 0, \dots\}$. In principle, one should add the amplitudes




Figure 3.8: The transition probabilities of neutrino flavor conversion with a castle wall matter profile as functions of distance r . The period of the castle wall potential X is chosen that the Rabi mode with wave number $K = 1$ is on resonance.

of all these resonances modes together in calculating the transition probability with the Rabi formula. However, all the Rabi modes that on resonance have very tiny amplitudes except $\{1, 0, 0, \dots\}$ (see Table. 3.3), therefore they can be neglected in calculations. There are also an infinite number of Rabi modes that off resonance. In Table. 3.3 I listed some of these Rabi modes with the largest amplitudes. One can see that the change to the relative detunings $D_{\{n_a\}}$ of the on-resonance mode $\{1, 0, 0, \dots\}$ due to the presence of the mode $\{n_a\}$ are all very small. As a result, they all have very little impact on the neutrino flavor conversion. This explains the agreement between the numerical solution and the result using the Rabi formula in Fig. 3.8.

$\{n_a\}$	$A_{\{n_a\}}/A_{\{1,0,0,\dots\}}$	$D_{\{n_a\}}$	$\Delta D_{(\{n_a\})}$
$\{-2, 1, 0, \dots\}$	5.4×10^{-4}	0	-
$\{-1, -1, 1, \dots\}$	4.3×10^{-5}	0	-
$\{0, 2, -1, \dots\}$	2.4×10^{-6}	0	-
$\{-1, 0, 0, \dots\}$	1	48	1.0×10^{-2}
$\{0, 1, 0, \dots\}$	0.33	1.5×10^2	1.1×10^{-3}
$\{2, 0, 0, \dots\}$	1.3×10^{-3}	2.4×10^2	2.0×10^{-4}

Table 3.3: The amplitudes and relative detunings of a few Rabi modes $\{n_a\}$ and the changes to the relative detunings of the on-resonance modes due to these Rabi modes if they are off-resonance.

3.8 Conclusions

In this chapter, I have shown that the flavor conversion of a neutrino can be greatly enhanced when it propagates through a oscillatory matter profile when certain resonance conditions are satisfied. This derivation is done from the perspective of Rabi oscillations and is much more physically intuitive than the original derivation by J. Kneller et al. in references [24, 28]. I have shown that, although there can exist an infinite number of Rabi modes that approximately satisfy the resonance condition, only a few of them need to be considered for a real system. I have also derived a criterion when an off-resonance Rabi mode may significantly affect the resonance. Using this criterion I have shown that only a few of the infinite set of off-resonance Rabi modes may contribute to neutrino flavor conversion. Although I have assumed small perturbations on top of a constant matter profile, this approach can be applied to a matter profile with perturbations on top of a smoothly varying background density as have been done by K. Patton et al. in reference [31]. This result maybe applicable to the regions in a star where the matter density fluctuates. There can also exist a turbulent matter distribution behind the shock in a core-collapse supernova where this result maybe applicable. However, the large neutrino fluxes inside a supernova core may have an even larger impact neutrino oscillations which we will consider in

Chapter 3. Neutrino Oscillations with Oscillatory Matter Profiles

the next chapter.

Chapter 4

Collective Neutrino Oscillations

Neutrino oscillations in the matter background have well defined linear dynamics as I have discussed in the preceding chapters. In this chapter, I will discuss neutrino oscillations in a dense neutrino medium where the equation of motion becomes nonlinear. One of such examples is the (core-collapse) supernova explosion which releases approximately 10^{58} neutrinos within seconds [6]. The neutrino density inside a supernova can be so large that the neutrino self-interaction potential $H_{\nu\nu}$ to be comparable to or even larger than the matter potential in certain regions [4]. It has been shown that the self-interaction between the neutrinos can cause the neutrino medium to oscillate collectively [14, 18]. The neutrino self-interaction also introduces a new characteristic energy scale which is proportional to the neutrino number density. As a result it is possible that neutrinos can oscillate on distance scales much shorter than the vacuum neutrino oscillation wavelength [cite ray sawyer, and raffelt].

In this chapter, I will first review some of the general features of collective neutrino oscillations and introduce the method of linearized flavor stability analysis. I will then discuss the dispersion relation of the collective modes of neutrino oscillations

and show that it may or may not be related to the flavor stability of neutrino gas. Finally, I will demonstrate an preliminary study of a toy model which can be used to understand the neutrino oscillations in the presence of the neutrino halo [cite neutrino halo paper].

4.1 Equation of Motion

The equation of motion for neutrino oscillations with self-interaction is[8]

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\rho = [\mathbf{H}, \rho], \quad (4.1)$$

where the total derivative is

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla, \quad (4.2)$$

and the Hamiltonian Hamiltonian is composed of three different terms:

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_v + \mathbf{H}_m + \mathbf{H}_{\nu\nu}. \quad (4.3)$$

In the above equation, the vacuum Hamiltonian is

$$\mathbf{H}_v = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}\eta\omega_v\sigma_3 & \text{for neutrinos,} \\ \frac{1}{2}\eta\omega_v\sigma_3 & \text{for antineutrinos,} \end{cases}$$

and the matter potential is

$$\mathbf{H}_m = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\sigma_3 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}G_F n_e \sigma_3, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\eta = +1$ for the normal neutrino mass hierarchy and -1 for the inverted neutrino mass hierarchy, ω_v is the vacuum oscillation frequency of the neutrino or antineutrino, and n_e is the net electron number density. The neutrino self-interaction is more complicated and can be written as

$$\mathbf{H}_{\nu\nu} = \sqrt{2}G_F \int dE' d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}'} [n(E', \mathbf{v}')\rho(E', \mathbf{v}') - \bar{n}(E', \mathbf{v}')\bar{\rho}(E', \mathbf{v}')] (1 - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'), \quad (4.5)$$

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

where $n(E, \mathbf{v})$ and $\rho(E, \mathbf{v})$ are the number density and the flavor density matrix of the neutrino with energy E and velocity \mathbf{v} , and $\bar{n}(E, \mathbf{v})$ and $\bar{\rho}(E, \mathbf{v})$ are the corresponding quantities of the antineutrino.

The presence of the neutrino self-interaction potential make the equation of motion 4.1 nonlinear, and many interesting phenomenon arise because of it. For example, a dense neutrino medium can experience synchronized oscillation during which all the neutrinos and antineutrinos oscillate with the same frequency [cite raffelt paper]. To see this, I will consider an isotropic and homogeneous neutrino gas and use the flavor isospin picture discussed in Sec. 2.4. The flavor isospin of the neutrino is defined by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} + \vec{s} \cdot \vec{\sigma}. \quad (4.6)$$

The equation of motion of the flavor isospin is

$$\dot{\vec{s}} = \vec{s} \times \left(\vec{H}_v + \vec{H}_{\nu\nu} \right), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\vec{H}_v = \omega_v \begin{pmatrix} -\sin 2\theta_v \\ 0 \\ \cos 2\theta_v \end{pmatrix} = \omega_v \vec{B}, \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\vec{H}_{\nu\nu} = \sqrt{2}G_F \int dE' n(E') \vec{s}(E'). \quad (4.9)$$

Here for simplicity I have assumed $n_e = 0$ and $\bar{n} = 0$. When the neutrino density is very large, $\vec{H}_{\nu\nu}$ dominates over \vec{H}_v in Eqn. 4.7, and \vec{s}_v precesses about $\vec{H}_{\nu\nu}$ rapidly. Meanwhile, the total flavor isospin

$$\vec{S} = \int dE' f(E') \vec{s}(E') \quad (4.10)$$

precesses about \vec{H}_v slowly with a mean oscillation frequency $\langle \omega_v \rangle$, where

$$f(E) = \frac{n(E)}{\int dE' n(E')} \quad (4.11)$$

is the energy distribution of the neutrino. To see this, one can multiply Eqn. 4.7 by $f(E)$ and integrate over E which gives

$$\dot{\vec{S}} = \int dE f(E) \vec{s}(E) \times \omega_v \vec{B} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\rightarrow \int dE f(E) \left[\frac{\vec{s}(E) \cdot \vec{S}}{|\vec{S}|^2} \vec{S} \right] \times \omega_v \vec{B} \quad (4.13)$$

$$= \langle \omega_v \rangle \vec{S} \times \vec{B}, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\langle \omega_v \rangle = \int dE f(E) \left[\frac{\vec{s}(E) \cdot \vec{S}}{|\vec{S}|^2} \right] \omega_v. \quad (4.15)$$

In the above equation I have replaced flavor isospin \vec{s} with its projection along the direction of \vec{S} because its precession about \vec{S} is much faster than the precession of \vec{S} about \vec{B} .

4.2 Two Beams Model and Linear Stability Analysis

Before we work out the solutions, the oscillation scale of collective oscillations can be estimated. The characteristic energy scale for vacuum oscillations is $\omega_v = \delta m^2 / 2E$, while the characteristic energy scale for collective oscillations is $\mu \sim G_F(1 - \hat{\nu}_1 \cdot \hat{\nu}_2)n_\nu$. Correspondingly, the vacuum oscillation frequency is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_v &= \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \sim \frac{2\pi}{1\text{km}} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2.5 \times 10^{-3}\text{eV}^2} \right) \left(\frac{1\text{MeV}}{E} \right) \\ &\sim \frac{2\pi}{33\text{km}} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{12}^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5}\text{eV}^2} \right) \left(\frac{1\text{MeV}}{E} \right) \end{aligned}$$

As for collective oscillations, suppose we have neutrino flux $10^{50}\text{ergs} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. We estimate the potential at radius R to be

$$\mu \sim \frac{1}{0.01\text{km}} \left(\frac{100\text{km}}{R} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1\text{MeV}}{E} \right),$$

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

which means that the collective oscillations frequencies in supernova explosions can be much smaller than vacuum oscillations.

For nonlinear systems, linear stability analysis comes into play. I will go through the procedure and demonstrate the significance of instabilities and crossing in spectrum. For the sole purpose, I use a simple two-beam line model. The two beams model is simple mathematically, meanwhile reveals the key insights.

In this model, neutrinos are emitted in two different directions from a line which preserves translation symmetry on this line. The emission angles are shown in Fig. 4.1. For convenience of notations, I define a number density distribution function

$$f(\mathbf{v}, \omega) = \frac{n(\mathbf{v}, \omega)}{n_t}, \quad (4.16)$$

where n_t is the total number density of all neutrino emitted, $n(\mathbf{v}, \omega)$ is the number density with momentum direction \mathbf{v} and energy ω . We also define

$$\mu = \sqrt{2}G_F n_t. \quad (4.17)$$

For two dimensional systems, we can calculate the neutrinos within an angle $[\theta, \theta + d\theta]$

$$n_t f(\mathbf{v}, \omega) d\theta. \quad (4.18)$$

Similarly we can define the angular distribution for antineutrinos.

If all the beams are neutrinos, but with different energies for the left and right beams. The distribution function for beams is delta function. In fact, each beam is just half of the total neutrino number density n_t .

The Hamiltonian is a sum of vacuum terms, matter terms, and self-interaction terms,

$$H = H_v + H_m + H_{\nu\nu}, \quad (4.19)$$




Figure 4.1: Geometry of two-beam model to be used in this section. Two neutrino beams are emitted, the states of which are denoted as ρ^L and ρ^R , with number densities n^L and n^R respectively. The emission angles are shown in the figure as θ_2 and θ_1 respectively.

where

$$H_v = -\eta \frac{1}{2} \omega \sigma_3 \quad (4.20)$$

$$H_m = \frac{1}{2} \lambda \sigma_3 \quad (4.21)$$

$$H_{\nu\nu}^L = \frac{1}{2} \mu^R \rho^R (1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) \quad (4.22)$$

$$H_{\nu\nu}^R = \frac{1}{2} \mu^L \rho^L (1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)). \quad (4.23)$$

To linearize the equation of motion, we define the perturbed density matrix as

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon^* & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.24)$$

where we have removed the trace part because it is always time independent.

The linearized equation of motion becomes

$$i\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} \cot \theta_1 \partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & \cot \theta_2 \partial_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda + \mu_2 - \eta\omega_1 - \mu_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin \theta_1 & -\mu_2(1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin \theta_1 \\ -\mu_1(1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin \theta_2 & (\lambda + \mu_1 - \eta\omega_2 - \mu_1 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= \sqrt{2}G_F n_{t,1} \\ \mu_2 &= \sqrt{2}G_F n_{t,2}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2.1 Left-right Symmetric Emission

We first consider a simple case, where $\theta_1 = \theta_2 \equiv \theta$, $\lambda = 0$, $\eta = 1$, and homogeneous in x direction. For simplicity we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \sqrt{2}G_F(n_1 + n_2) \\ \mu_i &= \mu \frac{n_i}{n_1 + n_2} \equiv \mu f_i \\ \xi &= 1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \\ \omega'_i &= \lambda - \eta\omega_i. \end{aligned}$$

I am aware that this is not a self-consistent example since $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ indicates that $\xi = 0$. As we will see, no instability is present in this case. However, we keep the term ξ because we need to analyze the effect of symmetry breaking. This example builds up a formalism.

The equation for perturbations becomes

$$i\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\sin \theta} \begin{pmatrix} \omega'_1 + \mu f_2 \xi & -\mu f_2 \xi \\ -\mu f_1 \xi & \omega'_2 + \mu f_1 \xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.26)$$

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

Since μ is the most important energy scale in this problem, we scale all energies with it.

$$i\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\sin\theta} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\omega}'_1 + f_2\xi & -f_2\xi \\ -f_1\xi & \hat{\omega}'_2 + f_1\xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.27)$$

where

$$\partial_z = \frac{d}{\mu dz}$$

$$\hat{\omega}'_i = \frac{\omega'_i}{\mu}.$$

The characteristic equation for this equation is

$$((\Omega - \hat{\omega}'_1 - f_2\xi)(\Omega - \hat{\omega}'_2 - f_1\xi) - f_1f_2\xi^2) = 0, \quad (4.28)$$

which is simplified to

$$(\Omega - \Omega_1)(\Omega - \Omega_2) - f_1f_2\xi^2 = 0,$$

where

$$\Omega_1 = \hat{\omega}'_1 + f_2\xi$$

$$\Omega_2 = \hat{\omega}'_2 + f_1\xi.$$

Complete the square

$$(\Omega - (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2)/2)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)^2 + f_1f_2\xi^2.$$

The solution becomes

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega_1 + \Omega_2) \pm \sqrt{(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)^2/4 + f_1f_2\xi^2}. \quad (4.29)$$

The condition to have positive imaginary part is

$$(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)^2 + 4f_1f_2\xi^2 < 0,$$

or

$$-2\sqrt{-f_1f_2\xi^2} < \Omega_1 - \Omega_2 < 2\sqrt{-f_1f_2\xi^2},$$

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

and $f_1 f_2 \xi^2 < 0$. Recall the meaning of f_i ,

$$f_i = \frac{n_i}{n_1 + n_2},$$

instability requires that we have a spectrum crossing, i.e., n_1 and n_2 have different signs. Plug in the definitions of Ω_i ,

$$-2\sqrt{-f_1 f_2 \xi^2} < \eta(-\omega_1 + \omega_2)/\mu + (f_2 - f_1)\xi < 2\sqrt{-f_1 f_2 \xi^2}.$$

From this we can infer

- $f_1 f_2$ has to be negative, which means we can NOT have instabilities with only neutrinos or antineutrinos with all the symmetries we assumed. This is crossing.
- $-\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 0$ will remove the instability. So we have to have both neutrinos and antineutrinos.
- $f_2 - f_1$, $\eta(\omega_2 - \omega_1)$, and μ set limit on each other.
- $\theta_1 = \theta_2 \equiv \theta$ removes the instability since it leads to $\xi = 0$. The emission has to be asymmetric in this simple two beams model. This is trivial since equal emission angle means the beams are not colliding.

Another way of understanding this equation is to think of it as the growth of the length of the vector $\vec{v} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)^T$. For an arbitrary matrix differential equation of the form

$$\partial_z \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v},$$

we can always decompose the matrix \mathbf{A} into symmetric part and skew-symmetric part

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^T) \equiv \mathbf{A}^+ + \mathbf{A}^-.$$

We can identify the effect of $f_1 - f_2$ but this is not particularly useful since we can not say anything about the eigenvalues of matrix \mathbf{A} from the eigenvalues of matrix \mathbf{A}^+ and \mathbf{A}^- .

4.2.2 Breaking Symmetries

For a line model, the symmetries we have are

- Time translation symmetry;
- Translation symmetry along the line;
- Energy spectrum of the beams; One of particular interest is to have different neutrino spheres for different energies which can be investigated using two beam model.
- Number density of left and right beams;
- Angle of left and right beams;
- With and without matter.
- Similar to the discussion of varying matter potential, symmetries can be broken globally, i.e., distribution as a function of spacetime coordinates.

I will discuss some of the symmetries mentioned above.

Emission Angle Parity Symmetry

The emission angles change the value of $\xi = 1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$ as well as rescale the quantities by angle dependent factor $1/\sin \theta_i$.

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

To see the importance of angles, we can redefine some quantities

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_i'' &= \frac{\omega_i'}{\sin \theta_i} \\ f_1'' &= \frac{f_1}{\sin \theta_2} \\ f_2'' &= \frac{f_2}{\sin \theta_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we will reach the same characteristic equation as Eqn. 4.30. So the angles serve as a shift of energy gap and angular distribution.

The region of instability changes in a convoluted way. Given angles we can always write down the expression and find out.

- The criteria of existence of instability doesn't change.
- The region of instability changes.

Matter Effect

Including matter will define vacuum frequencies, ω_i' , which is effectively just a shift of vacuum frequencies. In the symmetric emission case, $\omega_1' - \omega_2'$ is independent of matter effect. But breaking the emission symmetry generates the degeneracy,

$$\hat{\omega}_1'' - \hat{\omega}_2'' = (\lambda/\sin \theta_1 - \lambda/\sin \theta_2 + \eta(-\omega_1/\sin \theta_1 + \omega_2/\sin \theta_2))/\mu'. \quad (4.30)$$

We notice that very large matter density shifts the region to very small μ .

However, matter effect is not always this simple. Suppose we have different matter potential for different beams, when they collide they would have built a different phase due to matter effect.

The inhomogeneous matter effect has been studied in [27]. It can excite high Fourier moments of flavor-isospin picture, which makes a lot of sense because it

generates fine structure in the x direction. This might be integrated into LESA effect.

Translation Symmetry

Translation symmetry is better explained by introducing Fourier transform in x direction.

For each mode, a term that is proportional to Fourier mode index m . It only appears in diagonal elements, thus is effectively a shift of vacuum frequencies, thus energies of neutrinos.

For each Fourier mode

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{Q}(\Omega, k) e^{-i(\Omega t - kx)},$$

where we set $\Omega = 0$.

First term in RHS of Eqn. 4.27 becomes

$$-i \begin{pmatrix} \cot \theta_1 \partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & \cot \theta_2 \partial_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} \cot \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cot \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now define $\hat{\omega}_i''$, so that

$$\hat{\omega}_{k,i}'' = \hat{\omega}_i'' + 2\hat{k} \cot \theta_i,$$

where $\hat{k} = k/\mu$.

The k term contributes to the difference between $\Omega_{k,i} \equiv \hat{\omega}_{k,i}'' + f_i'' \xi$.

We notice that instability criteria doesn't change. However, the regime of instability changes. We also know that the instability region is determined by

$$|\Delta \hat{\omega}_{12}'' + 2\hat{k}(\cot \theta_1 - \cot \theta_2) + \Delta f_{12}'' \xi| < \sqrt{-f_1 f_2 \xi^2}, \quad (4.31)$$

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

where $\Delta\hat{\omega}_{12}'' = \hat{\omega}_1'' - \hat{\omega}_2''$. The instability region shift from

$$-\sqrt{-f_1'' f_2'' \xi^2} - \Delta f_{12}'' \xi < (\Delta\omega_{12}'' + 2k(\cot\theta_1 - \cot\theta_2))/\mu < \sqrt{-f_1'' f_2'' \xi^2} - \Delta f_{12}'' \xi \quad (4.32)$$

If $|\Delta\omega_{12}'' + 2k(\cot\theta_1 - \cot\theta_2)|$ becomes larger, the region of instability is shifted to larger μ , i.e., larger number density.

Number Density of Emission

A crossing is required to have instability, i.e., $-f_1'' f_2'' > 0$. Meanwhile the number density on the left and right have little effects on the existence of instability. It shifts the region of instability for μ .

Energy of Emission

Different energy of two beams will make sure $-\omega_1 + \omega_2 \neq 0$. It has no effects on the criteria but changes the μ region of instability.

General Solutions to Line Model

For completeness, we solve the general line model, c.f. Eqn. 4.27. We know that real symmetric matrix has only real eigenvalues, from which we infer that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ and $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ removes the instability. For translation symmetric models, that is $\partial_x \rightarrow 0$, we have the eigenvalues

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{4}(A \pm B),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= -\eta\omega_1/\sin\theta_1 - \mu_2/\sin\theta_1 + \eta\omega_2/\sin\theta_2 + \mu_1\xi/\sin\theta_2 + \lambda(1/\sin\theta_1 + 1/\sin\theta_2) \\
 B &= (-4[(\lambda - \eta\omega_1)(\lambda + \eta\omega_2) + (\lambda(\mu_1 - \mu_2) - \eta(\mu_1\omega_1 + \mu_2\omega_2))\xi] \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \\
 &\quad + [(\lambda + \eta\omega_2 + \mu_1\xi) \sin\theta_1 + (\lambda - \eta\omega_1 - \mu_2\xi) \sin\theta_2]^2)^{1/2} / (\sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2) \\
 \xi &= 1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

For antineutrinos, I only need to change $\mu_i \rightarrow -\bar{\mu}_i$ and $\omega_i \rightarrow -\bar{\omega}_i$, where $\bar{\mu} = \sqrt{2}G_F\bar{n}_t$, so that the equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} &= -i \begin{pmatrix} \cot\theta_1\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & \cot\theta_2\partial_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - \bar{\mu}_2 + \eta\bar{\omega}_1 + \bar{\mu}_2 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin\theta_1 & \bar{\mu}_2(1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin\theta_1 \\ \bar{\mu}_1(1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin\theta_2 & (\lambda - \bar{\mu}_1 + \eta\bar{\omega}_2 + \bar{\mu}_1 \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the left beam is neutrino beam and the right beam is antineutrino beam. The linearized equation of motion becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} &= -i \begin{pmatrix} \cot\theta_1\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & \cot\theta_2\partial_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - \bar{\mu} - 2\eta\omega_1 + \bar{\mu} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin\theta_1 & \bar{\mu}(1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin\theta_1 \\ -\mu(1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin\theta_2 & (\lambda + \mu + \eta\omega_2 - \mu \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2))/\sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

4.3 Fast Mode

In a paper by Chakraborty et al [33], they showed that neutrino flavor instabilities can grow with a rate that is proportional to the neutrino density, which has much faster oscillation frequencies than vacuum oscillations. For such a fast growth to happen, the author considered head on colliding neutrino beams. The derivation is much similar to what has been shown in the previous section.

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

As an estimation, the frequencies of vacuum oscillation is

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_v &= \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \\ &\sim 6.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}^{-1} \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2} \frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E} \\ &\sim 1.90 \times 10^{-4} \text{m}^{-1} \frac{\delta m^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2} \frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E},\end{aligned}$$

where E is the neutrino energy. The corresponding oscillation wavelength is simply give by

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{12} &= 2\pi/\omega_{12} \sim 1 \text{km} \\ \lambda_{32} &= 2\pi/\omega_{32} \sim 33.1 \text{km}.\end{aligned}$$

The fast modes instability grows with a rate proportional to the neutrino potential $\mu = \sqrt{2}G_F n_\nu$, which is very large in dense neutrino media. A large growth rate indicates a faster flavor transformation than vacuum oscillations.

4.3.1 Dispersion Relation of Neutrino Flavor Conversion

We consider two-flavor scenario (ν_e and ν_x) of neutrino oscillations. We also assume that all neutrinos and antineutrinos are emitted as electron flavor. The flavor evolution of neutrino ensemble depends on flavor density matrices of neutrinos ρ and antineutrinos $\bar{\rho}$ with energy E , direction of velocity $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$,

$$i(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\rho = [H, \rho_n], \quad (4.33)$$

where H is the Hamiltonian for neutrino oscillations. In the context, Hamiltonian depends on three different contributions from vacuum oscillations H_v , interactions with matter H_m , and interactions with neutrinos themselves $H_{\nu\nu}$. In this work, we ignore vacuum and matter terms since the concentration is on fast neutrino oscillations, which would occur even without neutrino mass differences [33, 39]. In

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

order to calculate the neutrino self-interaction term $H_{\nu\nu}$, the distribution of neutrinos (antineutrinos) $f_{\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, E)$ and $f_{\nu_x(\bar{\nu}_x)}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, E)$ is required, where E is the energy of neutrinos (antineutrinos). We have

$$H_{\nu\nu} = \sqrt{2}G_F \iint \frac{d\cos\theta' d\phi'}{4\pi} v^\mu v'_\mu \int \frac{E'^2 dE'}{2\pi^2} ((f'_{\nu_e} - f'_{\nu_x})\rho' - (f'_{\bar{\nu}_e} - f'_{\bar{\nu}_x})\bar{\rho}'), \quad (4.34)$$

where $v^\mu = (1, \sin\theta \cos\phi, \sin\theta \sin\phi, \cos\theta)^T$ is the four velocity of (anti)neutrinos in our spherical coordinate system. Without vacuum contribution, the equation of motion for antineutrinos has the same form [18].

We follow the same assumption in reference [40] that the the distribution of ν_x and $\bar{\nu}_x$ are the same, namely $f_{\nu_x}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, E) - f_{\bar{\nu}_x}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, E) = 0$. In addition, we have the same definition of electron lepton number (ELN) of neutrinos travelling in direction $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ [40],

$$G(\hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \sqrt{2}G_F \int \frac{E'^2 dE'}{2\pi^2} (f_{\nu_e}(\cos\theta', \phi', E') - f_{\bar{\nu}_e}(\cos\theta', \phi', E')). \quad (4.35)$$

To perform linear stability analysis, we assume that the density matrix has the form

$$\rho = \bar{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.36)$$

where $|\epsilon| \ll 1$. As a result, the linearized equations of motion depends only on $G(\hat{\mathbf{v}})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$. We also assume that all neutrinos and antineutrinos undergo the same behavior in linear regime, $\epsilon = \tilde{\epsilon} e^{-i(\Omega t - \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x})}$. Izaguirre, Raffelt, and Tamborra defined the polarization tensor [40],

$$\Pi^\mu{}_\nu = 1 + \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} G(\theta, \phi) \frac{v^\mu v_\nu}{\omega - k\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}}, \quad (4.37)$$

which defines the dispersion relation $\Pi^\mu{}_\nu a^\nu = 0$, with $a^\nu = \int \frac{d\cos\theta' d\phi'}{4\pi} G(\hat{\mathbf{v}}') v^\nu \tilde{\epsilon}$. We find the nontrivial solutions by setting [40],

$$\det(\Pi^\mu{}_\nu) = 0. \quad (4.38)$$

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

For simplicity, we consider axial symmetric neutrino emission so that Eq. (4.40) becomes

$$\det \left(\omega \mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I_0 & 0 & 0 & -I_1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(I_0 - I_2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(I_0 - I_2) & 0 \\ I_1 & 0 & 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0, \quad (4.39)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the rank 4 identity matrix and

$$I_m = \int_{-1}^1 du G(u) \frac{u^m}{1 - (|k|/\omega) u}. \quad (4.40)$$

where we define $u = \cos \theta$. Eq. (4.41) is equivalent to the result in reference [25]. $|k|/\omega$ is defined as the refractive index n of the flavor wave. For spectrum $G(u)$ without zero values, the forbidden region is given by $1 - (|k|/\omega) u \leq 0$.

The dispersion relations can be categorized into two different types by symmetries. To incorporate azimuthal symmetry, we define solutions related to the first and second element of a^ν ($\nu = 1, 2$) to be multi-azimuthal angle (MAA) solutions since they are the only solutions that depend on azimuthal angle ϕ . The other solutions which are related to $\nu = 0, 3$ are defined to be the multi-zenith angle (MZA) solutions. The MAA solution is related to symmetry breaking in azimuthal angle only, which is determined by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{4}(I_0 - I_2). \quad (4.41)$$

Similarly, the MZA solution is related to symmetry breaking in both azimuthal angle and zenith angle, which is

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{4} \left(I_0 - I_2 \pm \sqrt{(I_0 + I_2 - 2I_1)(I_0 + I_2 + 2I_1)} \right). \quad (4.42)$$

We denote the solution associated with $+$ sign in Eq. (4.44) as MZA+, while the solution associated with $-$ sign as MZA-. The two solutions are connected to each other

in dispersion relations. In general, it doesn't provide physical insights to distinguish the two branches of solutions since they are simply two branches of the solution.

The solutions to Eq. (4.43) and Eq. (4.44) are dispersion relations $D(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ for a chosen direction of $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ with axial symmetric neutrino emission.

4.3.2 Instabilities and Gaps

In reference [40], the authors relate gaps in dispersion relation to instabilities of neutrino oscillations. In this section, we review the idea of correspondence between gaps and instabilities first. Then we show that this relation is not a solid theory that can be generalized to all cases.

We continue the discussion of axial symmetric neutrino emissions but with discretized zenith angles θ thus discretized u . Hence the ELN is independent of azimuth angle ϕ . For neutrino emission with 2 zenith angles, the ELN spectrum is

$$G(u) = \sum_{i=1}^2 g_i \delta(u - u_i). \quad (4.43)$$

The MAA solution becomes an equation of hypobola for ω and k , which has asymptotes $\omega = ku_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Meanwhile, hyperbola equation has two solutions of $\omega(k)$ for any given real $k(\omega)$. The solutions are either real which indicates stable solutions or complex which indicates exponential growth or decrease in linear regime. On the other hand, non-existence of real solutions of $\omega(k)$ for given real $k(\omega)$ is equivalent to gap in dispersion relation. Thus the equivalence of gap and instabilities is guaranteed in neutrino emission with two-zenith-angle emission. The numerical calculations is normalized using the maximum value in spectrum which is a convention we follow for all discrete emission calculations. Unit for ω and k can be determined once the exact spectrum is determined. Upper panels of Fig. 4.2 is reproduction of left panels of Fig. 1 in reference [40]. The dispersion relation is

shown as black lines. The real part ω_R is shown as red solid lines. $\omega_R \pm \omega_I$ are shown as red dashed lines, where ω_I is the imaginary part of ω .




Figure 4.2: Dispersion relation and instabilities of two zenith angles spectrum (upper panels) and three zenith angles spectrum (lower panels). The black lines are the dispersion relations and the colored dots are examples of complex ω for real k . The left panels are the dispersion relation and linear stability analysis of MAA solutions while the right panels are for MZA solutions.

In core collapse supernova and neutron star mergers, neutrino emission is not in discrete zenith angles. More realistic models involve continuous zenith angle ELN spectra. In the case that the smooth and continuous ELN spectrum has no crossing, gap indeed indicates instabilities, as shown in reference [40]. In this section we prove that the instabilities in MAA, MZA+, or MZA- solution can only appear in either region $\omega \leq 0$ or region $\omega \geq 0$. As it suggests, the instability regions propagate




Figure 4.3: Dispersion relation and linear stability analysis (right panel) for a spectrum constructed from Garching 1D simulation data (left panel). Solid red line is dispersion relation for MAA solution while blue and green lines are for MZA solutions. Light red (green and green) blob is instability for MAA (MZA) solution.

only between the dispersion relation curves and the axis $\omega = 0$. We reproduced the calculation in reference [40] using the same Garching core-collapse supernova data set [43]. The spectrum shown in the left panel of Fig. 4.3 is polynomial fitting of the Garching 1D supernova simulation data. On the right of Fig. 4.3, the dispersion relation for MAA (MZA) solution is shown as red (green, blue) solid lines. Instabilities associated with MAA (MZA) solution is shown as light red (light green, light blue) blobs. The two branches of MZA solutions appear at the top half (MZA+) and lower half (MZA-). The result shows that instabilities occur either in region $\omega > 0$ or region $\omega < 0$ and with limits set the dispersion relation. We will prove that the instabilities appear between the dispersion relation and the axis $\omega = 0$.

Suppose we are looking for complex solutions for given real omega as in Fig. 4.3, MAA solution Eq. (4.43) is rewritten as a function $k(\omega/k)$. More explicitly, we have to solve the integral function to find out k for real ω ,

$$k = \frac{1}{4} \int du G(u) \frac{1 - u^2}{\omega/k - u}. \quad (4.44)$$

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

To investigate how instabilities developed around the horizontal axes, we solve Eq. (4.46) in the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$. For complex k , the integral can be decomposed into the principal value $\text{Re}(k)$ and imaginary part $\text{Im}(k)$ using Sokhotski–Plemelj theorem,

$$\text{Re}(k) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathcal{P} \int du G(u) \frac{1-u^2}{-u} \right) \quad (4.45a)$$

$$\text{Im}(k) = \frac{\pi}{4} G(0) \text{Sign}(\omega) \text{Sign}(\text{Im}(k)). \quad (4.45b)$$

Assuming no crossing is found in sepctrum $G(u)$ at $u = 0$, Eq. (4.47b) shows that ω must have the same sign as $G(0)$ if we find nonzero imaginary part in k . We conclude that instabilities can only grow either in the upper plane $\omega > 0$ or lower plane $\omega < 0$. What's more, the value of k at limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ can be solved out of Eq. (4.47a) and Eq. (4.47b). For instabilities the imaginary part of k tells us the growth rate is,

$$|\text{Im}(k)| = \frac{\pi}{4} |G(0)|. \quad (4.46)$$

Similar result is obtained for MZA solutions,

$$\left(4 \text{Re}(k) - \mathcal{P} \int \frac{G(u)}{u} du + U_1 \right)^2 - (\text{Sign}(\omega \text{Im}(k)) \pi G(0) + 4 \text{Im}(k))^2 \quad (4.47)$$

$$= - \left(\mathcal{P} \int \frac{G(u)}{u} du + U_1 \right) \pi \text{Sign}(\omega \text{Im}(k)) G(0), \quad (4.48)$$

where $U_m = \int G(u) u^m du$ and all the integrals are over all the sepctrum. The equations are quadratic in both $\text{Re}(k)$ and $\text{Im}(k)$ so the real solutions can be calculated and verified with linear stability analysis. The imaginary part $\text{Im}(k)$

$$\text{Im}(k) = -\frac{1}{4} \pi G(0) \text{Sign}(\omega \text{Im}(k)) \left(1 \pm \frac{\mathcal{P} \int \frac{G(u)}{u} du + \int G(u) u du}{4 \text{Re}(k) - \mathcal{P} \int \frac{G(u)}{u} du + \int G(u) u du} \right) \quad (4.49)$$

determines that the two different solutions are either in the region $\omega > 0$ or in the region $\omega < 0$ which corresponds to MZA+ and MZA- solutions. The instabilities in the two regions are not continuous at $\omega = 0$.

4.3.3 Instabilities Do Not Always Show Up as Gap

Even though the concept of gap leads to instabilities works well for the models in 4.3.2, it can not be generalized to arbitrary number of emission angles nor to continuous spectra with crossings. As an example, we perform linear stability analysis of the three zenith angles emission configuration which is determined by a cubic function both in ω and k . Three solutions of $k(\omega)$ for given real $\omega(k)$ are expected. As long as real solutions disappear, complex solutions emerge, which leads to instabilities occur even without an actual gap. Rather the decrease in the number of real solutions for fixed ω or k corresponds to the instabilities. As an example, we plotted dispersion relation and instabilities for three zenith angles in lower panels of Fig. 4.2. For a given value of ω such as $\omega = 0.5$, the three MAA solutions (Fig. 4.2 lower left panel) of k are $k = -4.6, 0.29, 1.2$. All three solutions are all real and indicate no spatial instabilities which is confirmed by calculation of instabilities shown as red blob. However, for another real $\omega = 0.2$, we find only one real solution $k = 0.4$ from dispersion relation. The other solutions are complex and proven to be $k = -0.557106 \pm 0.966535i$ where the value with positive imaginary part leads to exponential growth. We conclude that instabilities doesn't require gap in dispersion relation except for two emission angles.

We will prove that instabilities for continuous emission angles do not necessarily correspond to gap in dispersion relation. In the earlier works of fast modes, Sawyer analyzed a box shaped angular distribution of neutrino emission [36]. To address the generality of our conclusion, we repeat the calculation for box spectrum with crossing.

We construct a box spectrum with value -0.1 within $u \in [-1, -0.3)$ and value 1 within $[-0.3, 1]$ as shown in the top left panel of Fig. 4.4. As in the discrete emission case, we normalize all quantities using the maximum value of the spectrum. With




Figure 4.4: Dispersion relation and linear stability analysis for box spectrum. The box spectrum is defined to be -0.1 within range $u \in [-1, -0.3)$ and 1 within range $u \in [-0.3, 1]$. Left panel shows the dispersion relation and the complex k for real ω for MAA solution. Right panel is the corresponding result for MZA solution. Dash-dotted gray lines are $\omega = \pm k$ which sets the boundaries of the forbidden region for dispersion relation.

the spectrum defined, we calculate the dispersion relation and find out complex values of k for real ω . The result shows that the dispersion relation of both MAA solution and MZA solution contains only one curve. No gap is formed but we observe instabilities between this curve and $\omega = 0$ in MAA solution as well as two unstable regions of k in MZA solution, which are plotted as red lines.

4.4 Neutrino Halo Problem

One of the big questions about neutrino oscillations in supernovae is the so called halo problem. Cherry et al showed that neutrino flavor conversions are greatly affected by the back scattered neutrinos in supernovae [21]. Neutrinos around supernovae are scattered and some of them are scattered to move almost backward. On the other hand, neutrino self-interactions is proportional to the inner product of momenta of neutrinos, which leads to the dependence on $1 - \cos \theta$ where θ is the angles between

momenta of two neutrinos. Most of the research has been concentrating on mostly forward scattering, with small values for $1 - \cos \theta$. For back ward scattered neutrinos, the interaction potential can be much larger than the forward scattered neutrino contributions. Though the work by Sarikas et al showed that matter suppression is still significant within this region [23], it is not clear how exactly the neutrino halo alters neutrino oscillations. The halo problem itself is worth more calculations. In this chapter, I will present a relaxation method for this problem. The focus will be on the numerical method itself.

4.4.1 Line Model

We continue to use the simplified line model and build our intuitions out of it. The halo problem is simplified to have neutrinos emitted from a line $z = 0$ homogeneously, which are reflected from a certain distance $z = L$. In principle, the reflection angles doesn't have to be Snell's law. The scattering can be in any angle with different amplitudes. Here I am using this very simple Snell's law just to explore the effect of halo. It's crucial to keep an eye on the simplifications in this line model.

- Neutrinos are emitted from a line, which is not the case in a real supernova.
- Neutrinos are emitted with translation symmetry on the line. Breaking the symmetry might bring in other qualitatively different results.
- Neutrinos are reflected from a certain surface $z = L$, which is different from reality where neutrinos are scattered everywhere.
- Neutrinos are reflected according to Snell's law.
- Neutrinos are homogeneously reflected at $z = L$.




Figure 4.5: Line model used for halo problem. Neutrinos are emitted from the bottom line and reflected at the top line. Two neutrino beams are demonstrated in the figure. The beams are reflected from a surface at $z = L$.

The algorithm that I used is relaxation method. The algorithm is meant to find the equilibrium state of neutrino oscillations with the presence of halo.

1. Calculate forward beam using 0 backward beam;
2. Calculate backward beam using forward beam calculated in 1;
3. Calculate forward beam using backward beam calculated in 2;
4. Repeat until the beams reach equilibrium.

4.4.2 Neutrino Beams Only

As a first step, I calculated neutrino oscillations with only neutrino beams. Before we rush to the numerical results, I linearized the equation of motion and worked out

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

the linear stability analysis.

In linear regime, we define the density matrices for forward and backward beams to be

$$\rho_F = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon_F \\ \epsilon_B^* & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rho_B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon_B \\ \epsilon_B^* & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Hamiltonian for forward and backward beams are

$$H_F = H_v + R\mu\rho_B$$

$$H_B = H_v + \mu\rho_F.$$

We will investigate the instability for zero mixing angle for new instabilities. The linearized equation of motion can be simplified to

$$i\partial_z \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_F \\ \epsilon_B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_v + R\xi\mu & -R\xi\mu \\ \xi\mu & \omega_v - \xi\mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_F \\ \epsilon_B \end{pmatrix}.$$

This equation can be easily solved. The eigenvalues are

$$\Omega_+ = \frac{1}{2}((R-1)\xi\mu + \sqrt{\Delta})$$

$$\Omega_- = \frac{1}{2}((R-1)\xi\mu - \sqrt{\Delta}),$$

where

$$\Delta = (1-R)^2\mu^2\xi^2 - 4\mu\xi\omega_v(1+R) + 4\omega_v^2. \quad (4.50)$$

The corresponding eigenvectors are

$$V_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-2\omega_v + \xi\mu(1+R) + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2\xi\mu} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_- = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-2\omega_v + \xi\mu(1+R) - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2\xi\mu} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

The general solution to the equation is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_F(z) \\ \epsilon_B(z) \end{pmatrix} = C_+ V_+ e^{-i\Omega_+ z} + C_- V_- e^{-i\Omega_- z}.$$

The special property about this reflection problem is that the density matrices for the forward and backward beams should be the same at the reflection point, say $z = L$. With such a simple relation, we can find the relations between C_\pm by setting $\epsilon_F(L) = \epsilon_B(L)$,

$$\frac{C_+}{C_-} = e^{-i(\Omega_- - \Omega_+)L} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta} + 2\omega_v + \mu\xi(1 - R)}{\sqrt{\Delta} - 2\omega_v - \mu\xi(1 - R)}. \quad (4.51)$$

The solution to the problem can be simplified,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_F(z) \\ \epsilon_B(z) \end{pmatrix} = C_- e^{-i\Omega_- L} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta} + 2\omega_v + \mu\xi(1 - R)}{\sqrt{\Delta} - 2\omega_v - \mu\xi(1 - R)} V_+ e^{-i\Omega_+(z-L)} + V_- e^{-i\Omega_-(z-L)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.52)$$

We are interested in the absolute values of each elements so that the overall factors can be neglected. The forward beam evolution is obtained by taking the absolute value of ϵ_F ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\epsilon_F| \propto & |(2\omega_v + \xi\mu(1 - R) + i\delta)(-2\omega_v + \xi\mu(1 + R) + i\delta)e^{\delta(z-L)} \\ & + (-2\omega_v - \xi\mu(1 - R) + i\delta)(-2\omega_v + \xi\mu(1 + R) - i\delta)e^{-\delta(z-L)}|, \end{aligned}$$

in which $\sqrt{\Delta}$ is replaced by $i\delta$. We collecting terms and verify that it has the form

$$|\epsilon_F| \propto A + B \cosh(2\delta(L - z)), \quad (4.53)$$

where $B \leq 0$. The only z dependent term is $\cosh(2\delta(L - z))$, which is decreasing within $[0, L]$ and is increasing in $[L, 2L]$. The slope at $z = L$ is 0. An example is plotted in Fig. 4.6.

We expect the numerical calculations bare the same behavior that the instability leads to no growth but decrease in flavor conversion, assuming the neutrinos start from electron flavor. The result indeed confirms it. Fig. 4.7 is an example of it.




Figure 4.6: An example of $\cosh(2\delta(z - L))$ with $\delta = 1$, and $L = 5$. This function always reach the minimum at $z = L$.

For linear stability analysis, we usually identify real characteristic values of the linearized equation of motion. In bipolar model as explained in Sec. B.3, real characteristic values of the equation of motion indicates exponential growth, while it always indicates exponential decrease in this simplified halo problem.

We expect that only normal hierarchy has an instability region which is trivial since we noticed that the backward beam is acting like antineutrino beams but with different hierarchies.

$$i\partial_t \mathbf{s}_F = \mathbf{s}_F \times (\mathbf{H}_v + R\mu \mathbf{s}_B) \quad (4.54)$$

$$i\partial_t \mathbf{s}_B = \mathbf{s}_B \times (-\mathbf{H}_v - \mu \mathbf{s}_F). \quad (4.55)$$

Compare to Eq. B.25, we notice that the reflected beam works as an antineutrino




Figure 4.7: Absolute value of off diagonal element for $\mu = 1.0$, $R = 0.07$, $L = 5$, with normal hierarchy. The red dots are for the forward beam and the black dots are for the backward beams. The lines are indicating the predictions of linear stability analysis.

beam but the system becomes the opposite hierarchy compared to bipolar model. We find the instability regions in Fig. 4.8.

4.4.3 Two Beams Model with Reflection

The model is naturally extended to a two beams model including both neutrinos and antineutrinos. The configuration is exactly the same as shown in Fig. 4.5.

As the first step we work out the linear stability analysis. The four equations of

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations




Figure 4.8: Instability regions for normal hierarchy (left) and inverted hierarchy (right) as a function of neutrino potential μ and reflection coefficient R , with vacuum mixing angle set to 0. No instabilities is found in inverted hierarchy.

motion are

$$i\partial_z\rho_1=[H_1,\rho_1], \quad (4.56)$$

$$i\partial_z\rho_2=[H_2,\rho_2], \quad (4.57)$$

$$-i\partial_z\rho_3=[H_3,\rho_3], \quad (4.58)$$

$$-i\partial_z\rho_4=[H_4,\rho_4], \quad (4.59)$$

where $_1$ and $_2$ are the quantities for two forward beams while $_3$ and $_4$ are the quantities for the corresponding backward going beams. For the purpose of this analysis we set $\theta_v = 0$. The Hamiltonians are

$$H_1 = -\eta\omega_v\sigma_3 + g_2\chi_-\mu_2\rho_2 + g_2\chi_+R\mu_2\rho_4 + g_1\chi_1R\mu_1\rho_3, \quad (4.60)$$

$$H_2 = \eta\omega_v\sigma_3 + g_1\chi_-\mu_1\rho_1 + g_2\chi_2R\mu_2\rho_4 + g_1\chi_+R\mu_1\rho_3, \quad (4.61)$$

$$H_3 = -\eta\omega_v\sigma_3 + Rg_2\chi_-\mu_2\rho_4 + g_2\chi_+\mu_2\rho_2 + g_1\chi_1\mu_1\rho_1, \quad (4.62)$$

$$H_4 = \eta\omega_v\sigma_3 + Rg_1\mu_1\chi_-\rho_3 + g_1\chi_+\mu_1\rho_1 + g_2\chi_2\mu_2\rho_2. \quad (4.63)$$

I have defined

$$\chi_+ = 1 - \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2),$$

$$\chi_- = 1 - \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2),$$

$$\chi_1 = 1 - \cos(2\theta_1),$$

$$\chi_2 = 1 - \cos(2\theta_2),$$

and g_i represents the energy spectrum of the neutrinos and η stands for the hierarchy.

4.5 Relaxation Method for Neutrino Halo Problem

We choose a relaxation method scheme to solve this non-local boundary value problem numerically. To begin with, we write down the discretization scheme.

$$\rho(t + \Delta t) = [\cos(2h\Delta t)\rho_n - 2u_i\rho_i u_n] \sigma_n \quad (4.64)$$

$$= [\cos(2h\Delta t)\rho_n + 2\sin^2(h\Delta t)u'_i\rho_i u'_n] \sigma_n \quad (4.65)$$

The reason that we use fixed step size for this problem is that it's easier to calculate the neutrino self-interactions on such fixed grids. The algorithm is described as

1. Calculate forward beam using 0 backward beam;
2. Calculate backward beam and forward beam together using the state of beams from the previous step current counter beams;
3. Repeat the previous step until the state of the beams reach equilibrium.

To speed up the calculations, I also implemented OpenMP for parallel computing. The code is tested using vacuum oscillations (shown in Fig. 4.9) and bipolar models.

The reason that we still have conversions is because of the vacuum term, which is additional to linear stability analysis. It is also proven to reach equilibrium quickly as shown in Fig. 4.10.




Figure 4.9: The left panel validates code by setting reflection to zero and approach vacuum for single forward beam. Meanwhile, we notice that for nonzero reflections, more conversion is done, which makes sense due to the similarity between R and the asymmetry parameter α in bipolar model. The right panel validates the code by setting reflection to zero and compare with bipolar model for two beams case, where the slope is matching the theoretical value 3.85.

4.6 Conclusion

The dispersion relations can be extracted from the linearized form of the equation of motion for collective neutrino oscillations. The dispersion relation becomes quadratic for neutrino emission with two zenith angles. Thus two solutions should be found for ω and k . The dispersion relations are hyperbolas and the gaps between the lines corresponds to instabilities. However, this correspondence doesn't hold for neutrinos emitted from more zenith angles. For more realistic spectrum, I have proved that instabilities propagate in regions of either $\omega > 0$ or $\omega < 0$ and never cross $\omega = 0$. Hence the dispersion relation gaps should be defined as gaps between the dispersion relation curves and the axis $\omega = 0$ instead of the dispersion relation curves. I




Figure 4.10: Relaxation method reaches equilibrium after some steps. The horizontal axis is the z direction while the vertical axis is the number of iteration steps. The color indicates the survival probability for electron flavor. This calculation sets $\mu = 4$, $R = 0.2$, and is done within range $[0, 1]$. Equilibrium is reached around step 400 and the neutrino states stays in equilibrium.

have also showed that instabilities is not necessarily shown as gap in dispersion relations for neutrino emission with more than two zenith angles and box spectrum with crossing. Through the discussions, I demonstrated that the relation between dispersion relation gaps and instabilities should be used with caution.

The second problem discussed in this chapter is the halo problem, which brings in more complexities to the neutrino oscillations. In the spirit of numerical methods, I developed a parallelable relaxation method, using C++ and OpenMP. Both the analytical and numerical results showed that for the two realms, either single forward beam or two forward beams, the results are compared with bipolar model. This

Chapter 4. Collective Neutrino Oscillations

simple model is very similar to the bipolar model thus I can perform linear stability analysis and verify my numerical method. The reflection indeed may enhance the flavor conversions but it is not a new type of instability. Future work should be done to explore the effect of symmetry breaking and multiple emission beams.

Chapter 5

Conclusion

The neutrino is a common product in astrophysical environments which makes neutrino physics a key ingredient of astrophysics. Since different neutrino flavors have different impact on the dynamics of astrophysical objects, identifying the flavor dynamics of the neutrino becomes important. On the other hand, the neutrino converts between different flavors while it propagates due to the mismatch of flavor states and mass states. Thus the neutrino doesn't maintain its flavor as produced. Even more, the conversions are altered by neutrino interactions with the matter or the neutrino itself.

The interactions with matter lead to the so called MSW effect where neutrinos may experience maximum flavor conversion for some specific matter density. In this dissertation, I have also explained the neutrino parametric oscillations where the varying matter profile serves as the driving field for the neutrino two-level quantum system. The matter profile drives the neutrino oscillations to resonance when the frequency of the driving potential matches the energy gap of the two energy levels, which is quite similar to Rabi oscillations. However, the matter potential provides more than one driving frequencies. Those different driving frequencies interfere with

Chapter 5. Conclusion

each other, which indicates that a second driving potential might lead to destruction of resonances of the original driving potential. This has been proved in the dissertation. I also prove that the interference might also enhance the resonance. The Rabi oscillations point of view has provided a simple picture to understand the neutrino oscillations in oscillatory matter profile.

For future research, neutrino oscillations in more realistic matter profile has to be calculated to locate the possible resonances. For example, the matter density in supernovae is Kolmogorov-like. To understand the matter effect on neutrino oscillations in supernovae, the possible resonances can be identified using the resonance condition and the interference effect. As for applications of this parametric resonance, one of them is neutrino tomography. Using resonances of neutrino oscillations at different energies, it is possible to infer the interior structure of celestial objects.

As for neutrino oscillations with self-interactions, the equation of motion can be linearized for linear stability analysis in this dissertation. Linear stability analysis is a very useful tool for neutrino oscillations in dense neutrino media. It reveals whether the neutrino will be converted to other flavors. While linear stability analysis has been used frequently in the research, I. Izaguirre et al. has concluded in [40] that the dispersion relations of neutrino oscillations can be defined and they correspond to flavor instabilities. The idea may sound pretty but I showed in this dissertation that dispersion relations gaps and flavor instabilities are not simply related. Flavor instabilities do not always exist within gaps of dispersion relations. They exist even when no gaps are found in the dispersion relations.

Recently, F. Capozzi has developed more theories to use the dispersion relations to understand flavor instabilities [38]. They have found criteria for four different types of instabilities. The two important instabilities are absolute flavor instability and convective flavor instability, where the neutrino flavor perturbation grows everywhere and the neutrino flavor perturbation decays locally but grows in other locations,

Chapter 5. Conclusion

respectively. However, the theories has to be verified for multiple emission angles.

The so called neutrino halo problem is also discussed in this dissertation. The problem arises since the supernova neutrinos are scattered when they are propagating. The backward propagating neutrinos encounters the forward propagating neutrinos and interact with them. The problem becomes mathematically and numerically difficult since it is a nonlocal boundary value problem. In this dissertation, I have designed and tested the relaxation method to solve the halo problem numerically. The relaxation method starts with some initial configuration of neutrino states in space and allow the neutrinos to relax to an equilibrium configuration. To efficiently solve the problem, the code utilizes the power of parallel computing. I also found that the single beam line model, where neutrinos are emitted on a line all in one direction and reflected at the same distance, has similar dynamics as the bipolar model. This similarity makes it possible to solve the linear regime of the problem and validate the numerical.

The neutrino halo problem brings in a lot of variables to the neutrino oscillations around supernovae. Since the relaxation method has been proven to work, more variable models can be solved numerically and understand the effect of the neutrino halo. The symmetries in the halo problem should be broken to investigate the possible new flavor instabilities.

Appendices

Appendix A

Conventions

A.1 Notations

- Variables with sans-serif fonts are matrices.
- Variables in bold are vectors in space-time.
- Variables with arrows are vectors in flavor-isospin space.

A.2 Terms

- Normal hierarchy for two-flavor scenario is always defined as $m_2^2 - m_1^2 > 0$, i.e., $\omega_v > 0$.
- Inverted hierarchy for two-flavor scenario is defined as $m_2^2 - m_1^2 < 0$, i.e., $\omega_v < 0$.
- Solar neutrino mass splitting is δm_{12} , while atmospheric neutrino mass splitting refers to δm_{23} .

A.3 Units

Natural units system makes the calculations of neutrinos convenient. By definition, we set reduced Planck constant and speed of light to be 1, $\hbar = 1 = c$. The conversion between natural units and SI can be down by using the following relations,

$$1\text{GeV} = 5.08 \times 10^{15}\text{m}^{-1} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$1\text{GeV} = 1.8 \times 10^{-27}\text{kg} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

To convert between different physical quantities in this thesis, I always use the following tips.

- The energy-mass-momentum relations becomes $E^2 = p^2 + m^2c^2 = p^2 + m^2$. Thus mass m , momentum \mathbf{p} and energy E have the same dimension.
- An example of angular momentum in quantum mechanics is $L_z = m\hbar = m$ where m is a quantum number. \hbar is of dimension angular momentum.
- A plane wave in quantum mechanics is $\Psi = Ae^{\frac{Et-px}{\hbar}}$. $\frac{Et-px}{\hbar}$ should be dimensionless, which means px has dimension angular momentum, which is obvious, meanwhile we notice that Et also has the dimension of angular momentum. Previously we noticed momentum has the same dimension with energy, we should have time t with the same dimension of length x . Also we can conclude that length and time have the same dimension as $1/E$.

A.4 Pauli Matrices and Rotations

Given a rotation

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Appendix A. Conventions

its effect on Pauli matrices are

$$U^\dagger \sigma_3 U = \cos 2\theta \sigma_3 + \sin 2\theta \sigma_1 \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$U^\dagger \sigma_1 U = -\sin 2\theta \sigma_3 + \cos 2\theta \sigma_1. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

A.5 Lorentzian Distribution

Three-parameter Lorentzian function is

$$f_{x_0, \sigma, A}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + (x - x_0)^2}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

which has a width 2γ .

A.6 Fourier Series

The convention for the Fourier series used in this thesis is

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda_n \exp\left(\frac{i2\pi nx}{X}\right) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda_n \exp(i\omega_0 nx), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $\omega_0 = \frac{2\pi}{X}$. The coefficients are evaluated using the orthogonal relation of exponential functions for $n \neq 0$,

$$\Lambda_n = \frac{1}{X} \int_0^X \lambda(x) e^{-i\omega_0 nx} dx \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$= \frac{1}{X} \left(\int_0^{X_1} \lambda_1 e^{-i\omega_0 nx} dx + \int_{X_1}^{X_1+X_2} \lambda_2 e^{-i\omega_0 nx} dx \right) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$= \frac{1}{X} \frac{X}{-i2\pi n} (\lambda_1 e^{-i\omega_0 n X_1} + \lambda_2 (e^{-i\omega_0 n X} - e^{-i\omega_0 n X_1})) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$= \frac{i}{2\pi n} (-\lambda_1 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) e^{-i2\pi n X_1/X} + \lambda_2 e^{-i2\pi n}). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

For $n = 0$, we have

$$\Lambda_0 = \frac{X_1 \lambda_1 + X_2 \lambda_2}{X}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Appendix A. Conventions

For functions with specific parity, the Fourier series is much more simpler. I'll list below the Fourier series for even functions, since no odd functions are used in this thesis. In general the Fourier series of a periodic function defined on $[-\frac{X}{2}, \frac{X}{2}]$ is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(n2\pi x/X) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin(n2\pi x/X), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{2}{X} \int_{-X/2}^{X/2} \lambda(x) dx \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$a_n = \frac{2}{X} \int_{-X/2}^{X/2} \lambda(x) \cos(n2\pi x/X) dx \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$b_n = \frac{2}{X} \int_{-X/2}^{X/2} \lambda(x) \sin(n2\pi x/X) dx. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

For even function $\lambda(x)$, we have

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(n2\pi x/X). \quad (\text{A.17})$$

We shift the castle wall profile and make it always even, as shown in Fig. A.1, so that

$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_2, & -\frac{X_2}{2} - \frac{X_1}{2} \leq x \leq -\frac{X_1}{2} \\ \lambda_1, & -\frac{X_1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{X_1}{2} \\ \lambda_2, & \frac{X_1}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{X_1}{2} + \frac{X_2}{2} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Fourier series of the profile is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0 + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_q \cos\left(\frac{2\pi qx}{X}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0 + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_q \cos(\omega_0 qx), \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Appendix A. Conventions




Figure A.1: Approaching an even function with Fourier series. The blue dash dotted line is the reconstruction of castle wall profile using 0 to 3 Fourier modes. The red dotted line is the reconstruction using 0 to 10 Fourier modes.

where

$$\Lambda_0 = \frac{2}{X} \int_{-X/2}^{X/2} \lambda(x) dx \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$= \frac{2}{X} (\lambda_2 X_2 + \lambda_1 X_1) \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$\Lambda_q = \frac{2}{X} \int_{-X/2}^{X/2} \lambda(x) \cos(n2\pi x/X) dx \quad (\text{A.22})$$

$$= \frac{2}{X} \left(\lambda_2 \int_{-X/2}^{-X_1/2} \cos(n2\pi x/X) dx + \lambda_1 \int_{-X_1/2}^{X_1/2} \cos(n2\pi x/X) dx \right. \quad (\text{A.23})$$

$$\left. + \lambda_2 \int_{X_1/2}^{X/2} \cos(n2\pi x/X) dx \right) \quad (\text{A.24})$$

$$= \frac{2}{q\pi} (\lambda_2 (\sin(q\omega_0 X/2) - \sin(q\omega_0 X_1/2)) + \lambda_1 \sin(q\omega_0 X_1/2)) \quad (\text{A.25})$$

$$= \frac{2}{q\pi} (\lambda_2 (\sin(q\pi) - \sin(q\pi X_1/X)) + \lambda_1 \sin(q\pi X_1/X)) \quad (\text{A.26})$$

A.7 Jacobi-Anger expansion

One of the forms of Jacobi-Anger expansion is

$$e^{iz \cos(\Phi)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} i^n J_n(z) e^{in\Phi}. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

A.8 Bessel Functions

A special relation of Bessel function is that

$$J_n(n \operatorname{sech} \alpha) \sim \frac{e^{n(\tanh \alpha - \alpha)}}{\sqrt{2\pi n \tanh \alpha}} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

for large n [17]. As a matter of fact, for all positive α , we always have $\tanh \alpha - \alpha < 0$.

Using this relation and defining $\operatorname{sech} \alpha = A \cos 2\theta_m$, which renders

$$\alpha = 2n\pi i + \ln \left(\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{-A^2 \cos^2 2\theta_m + 1}}{A \cos 2\theta_m} \right), \quad n \in \text{Integers}, \quad (\text{A.29})$$

where the Mathematica code to solve it is shown below,

```
In[1] := Solve[Exp[z] + Exp[-z]
== 2/(A Cos[2 Subscript[\[Theta], m]]), z] // FullSimplify
```

we find out an more human readable analytical expression for the width

$$\Gamma = \left| 2\hat{k} \tan 2\theta_m \frac{e^{n(\tanh \alpha - \alpha)}}{n_0 \sqrt{2\pi n_0 \tanh \alpha}} \right| \quad (\text{A.30})$$

where α is solved out in A.29. For small α , we have expansions for exponential functions and hyperbolic functions $\tanh \alpha \sim \alpha - \frac{\alpha^3}{3}$,

$$\Gamma \asymp 2 \tan 2\theta_m \frac{e^{n\alpha^3/3}}{\sqrt{2\pi \alpha n_0^{3/2}}}. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

However, it doesn't really help that much since n is large and no expansion could be done except for significantly small α .

A.9 Conversions in Neutrino Physics

Using natural units, length = time = 1/energy, we could rescale almost all quantities in neutrino oscillations using energy, or whatever characteristic scale we have.

We use two flavor vacuum oscillations between the two masses m_1 and m_2 as an example. The characteristic energy scale is the oscillation frequency $\omega_{v,21}$. The equation of motion

$$i \frac{d}{dx} \Psi = \frac{\omega_v}{2} (-\cos 2\theta_v \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 + \sin 2\theta_v \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1) \Psi, \quad (\text{A.32})$$

can be rescaled using the characteristic energy scale $\omega_{v,21}$

$$i \frac{d}{d\hat{x}} \Psi = \frac{1}{2} (-\cos 2\theta_v \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 + \sin 2\theta_v \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1) \Psi, \quad (\text{A.33})$$

where $\hat{x} = \omega_{v,21} x$. It is convenient for numerical calculations to convert quantities into dimensionless ones.

However, we usually discuss oscillation length in SI units for a grip of the picture. To convert from natural units to SI units, we write down the conversion here. The oscillation angular frequency is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{v,21} &= \frac{\delta m^2}{2E} \\ &= \left(\frac{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2}{2 \times 1 \text{MeV}} \right) \left(\frac{\delta m^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2} \right) \frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E} \\ &= 3.75 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV} \left(\frac{\delta m^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.34})$$

On the other hand, electro-volt is related to length through the useful formula

$$197 \text{MeV} \cdot \text{fm} = \hbar c = 1. \quad (\text{A.35})$$

Appendix A. Conventions

Thus we have the oscillation angular frequency written as

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{v,21} &= 3.75 \times 10^{-11} \text{eV} \frac{\delta m^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2} \frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E} \\ &= 3.75 \times 10^{-17} \text{MeV} \frac{\delta m^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2} \frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E} \\ &= 1.90 \times 10^{-4} \text{m}^{-1} \frac{\delta m^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2} \frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E}.\end{aligned}\tag{A.36}$$

Similarly for $\delta m_{32} = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2$ the frequency is

$$\omega_{v,32} = \frac{\delta m_{32}^2}{2E} = 6.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}^{-1} \frac{\delta m_{32}^2}{2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2} \frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E}.\tag{A.37}$$

With the results for angular frequencies, the rescaled length \hat{x} is restored using

$$x = \frac{\hat{x}}{\omega_v} = \frac{\hat{x}}{1.90 \times 10^{-4} \text{m}^{-1} \frac{\delta m^2}{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2} \frac{1 \text{MeV}}{E}}\tag{A.38}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{x}}{0.190} \text{km} \frac{7.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2}{\delta m^2} \frac{E}{1 \text{MeV}}.\tag{A.39}$$

Another important example is the 2 flavor neutrino oscillations in constant matter background potential $\lambda_c = \sqrt{2} G_F n_e$. The characteristic energy scale is ω_m which is calculated using

$$\omega_m = \omega_v \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_c^2}{\omega_v^2} + 1 - 2 \frac{\lambda_c}{\omega_v} \cos 2\theta_v}.\tag{A.40}$$

Meanwhile, the effective mixing angle θ_m is determined by

$$\tan 2\theta_m = \frac{\sin 2\theta_v}{\cos 2\theta_v - \frac{\lambda}{\omega_v}}.\tag{A.41}$$

Similar to vacuum equation of motion, we rescale the equation of motion in constant background using ω_m

$$i \frac{d}{d\hat{x}} \Psi = \frac{1}{2} (-\cos 2\theta_m \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 + \sin 2\theta_m \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1) \Psi,\tag{A.42}$$

we find out the scaled distance

$$\hat{x} = \omega_m x.\tag{A.43}$$

Appendix A. Conventions

To reverse the process and find out the actual SI unit distance after the numerical calculation, we use

$$x = \frac{\hat{x}}{\omega_m}. \quad (\text{A.44})$$

The procedure will be the following.

- Calculate ω_v using Eq. A.36.
- Calculate $\hat{\lambda}_c = \frac{\lambda_c}{\omega_v}$.
- Calculate ω_m using Eq. A.40.
- Find out the actual distance using Eq. A.44.

Appendix B

MSW Effect Revisited

B.1 Flavor Basis

In terms of formalism, vacuum oscillations is already a Rabi oscillation at resonance with oscillation width $\omega_v \sin 2\theta_v$. As derived, neutrino oscillations in matter are determined by Hamiltonian in flavor basis

$$H^{(f)} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\omega_v \cos 2\theta_v + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(x) \right) \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_v \sin 2\theta_v \sigma_1, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

with the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_x \Psi^{(f)} = H^{(f)} \Psi^{(f)}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

To make connections to Rabi oscillations, we would like to remove the changing σ_3 terms, using a transformation

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\eta(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\eta(x)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

which transform the flavor basis to another basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_e \\ \psi_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\eta(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\eta(x)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_a \\ \psi_b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Appendix B. MSW Effect Revisited

The Schrodinger equation can be written into this new basis

$$i\partial_x(T\Psi^{(r)}) = H^{(f)}T\Psi^{(r)}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

which is simplified to

$$i\partial_x\Psi^{(r)} = H^{(r)}\Psi^{(r)}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where

$$H^{(r)} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_v \cos 2\theta_v \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_v \sin 2\theta_v \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{2i\eta(x)} \\ e^{-2i\eta(x)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

in which we remove the varying component of σ_3 elements using

$$\frac{d}{dx}\eta(x) = \frac{\lambda(x)}{2}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The final Hamiltonian would have some form

$$H^{(r)} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_v \cos 2\theta_v \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_v \sin 2\theta_v \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\int_0^x \lambda(\tau)d\tau + 2i\eta(0)} \\ e^{-i\int_0^x \lambda(\tau)d\tau - 2i\eta(0)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where $\eta(0)$ is chosen to counter the constant terms from the integral.

For arbitrary matter profile, we could first apply Fourier expand the profile into trig function then use Jacobi-Anger expansion so that the system becomes a lot of Rabi oscillations. Any transformations or expansions that decompose $\exp(i\int_0^x \lambda(\tau)d\tau)$ into many summations of $\exp(iax + b)$ would be enough for an Rabi oscillation interpretation. As for constant matter profile, $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0$, we have

$$\eta(x) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 x. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The Hamiltonian becomes

$$H^{(r)} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_v \cos 2\theta_v \sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_v \sin 2\theta_v \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\lambda_0 x} \\ e^{-i\lambda_0 x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.11})$$

which is exactly a Rabi oscillation. The resonance condition is

$$\lambda_0 = \omega_v \cos 2\theta_v. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

B.2 Instantaneous Matter Basis

Neutrino oscillations can be calculated in instantaneous matter basis, where the Schrödinger equation is transformed to instantaneous matter basis by applying a rotation U ,

$$i\partial_x (U\Psi^{(m)}) = H^{(f)}U\Psi^{(m)}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_m & \sin \theta_m \\ -\sin \theta_m & \cos \theta_m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

With some simple algebra, we can write the system into

$$i\partial_x \Psi^{(m)} = H^{(m)}\Psi^{(m)}, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

where

$$H^{(m)} = U^\dagger H^{(f)} U - iU^\dagger \partial_x U. \quad (\text{B.16})$$

By setting the off-diagonal elements of the first term $U^\dagger H^{(f)} U$ to zero, we can derive the relation

$$\tan 2\theta_m = \frac{\sin 2\theta_v}{\cos 2\theta_v - \lambda/\omega_v}. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

Furthermore, we derive the term

$$iU^\dagger \partial_x U = -\dot{\theta}_m \sigma_2. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

We can calculate $\dot{\theta}_m$ by taking the derivative of $\tan 2\theta_m$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tan 2\theta_m = \frac{2}{\cos^2 2\theta_m} \dot{\theta}_m, \quad (\text{B.19})$$

Appendix B. MSW Effect Revisited

so that

$$\dot{\theta}_m = \frac{1}{2} \cos^2(2\theta_m) \frac{d}{dx} \tan 2\theta_m \quad (\text{B.20})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\cos 2\theta_v - \lambda/\omega_v)^2}{(\lambda/\omega_v)^2 + 1 - 2\lambda \cos 2\theta_v/\omega_v} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\sin 2\theta_v}{\cos 2\theta_v - \lambda/\omega_v} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\cos 2\theta_v - \lambda/\omega_v)^2}{(\lambda/\omega_v)^2 + 1 - 2\lambda \cos 2\theta_v/\omega_v} \frac{\sin 2\theta_v}{(\cos 2\theta_v - \lambda/\omega_v)^2} \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{d}{dx} \lambda(x) \quad (\text{B.22})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta_m \frac{1}{\omega_m} \frac{d}{dx} \lambda(x). \quad (\text{B.23})$$

B.3 Bipolar Model

The nature of this section is to provide the linear stability analysis of bipolar model. Bipolar model is a model of neutrino oscillations with the presence of neutrino and antineutrinos. It is also called bimodal oscillations [10], which means two frequencies in the context. An example of such instability happens in a system composed of equal amounts of neutrinos and antineutrinos.

Neutrino oscillations has a small amplitude inside a SN core (suppressed by matter effects) [5], which basically pins down the flavour transformation. As the neutrinos reaches a further distance, matter effect could drop out. Neutrino self-interaction becomes more important. S. Samuel considers a system of neutrinos and antineutrinos with only vacuum and neutrino self-interactions [10]. The neutrinos and antineutrino forms a bipolar vector in flavor isospin space. The flavor isospin of neutrinos and that of antineutrinos are coupled.

The equation of motion is

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \rho &= \left[-\frac{\omega_v}{2} \cos 2\theta \sigma_3 + \frac{\omega_v}{2} \sin 2\theta \sigma_1 - \mu \alpha \bar{\rho}, \rho \right] \\ i\partial_t \bar{\rho} &= \left[\frac{\omega_v}{2} \cos 2\theta \sigma_3 - \frac{\omega_v}{2} \sin 2\theta \sigma_1 + \mu \rho, \bar{\rho} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Appendix B. MSW Effect Revisited

For the purpose of linear stability analysis, we assume that

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon^* & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{\epsilon} \\ \bar{\epsilon}^* & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Plug them into equation of motion and set $\theta = 0$, we have the linearized ones,

$$i\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \bar{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha\mu - \omega_v & \alpha\mu \\ -\mu & \mu + \omega_v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \bar{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To have real eigenvalues, we require

$$(-1 + \alpha)^2 \mu^2 + 4(1 + \alpha)\mu\omega_v + 4\omega_v^2 < 0,$$

which is reduced to

$$\frac{-2\omega_v(1 + \alpha) - 4\sqrt{\alpha}|\omega_v|}{(1 - \alpha)^2} < \mu < \frac{-2\omega_v(1 + \alpha) + 4\sqrt{\alpha}|\omega_v|}{(1 - \alpha)^2}.$$

It is simplified to

$$\sqrt{-2\omega_v}(1 - \sqrt{\alpha})^2 < \mu < \sqrt{-2\omega_v}(1 + \sqrt{\alpha})^2,$$

assuming normal hierarchy, i.e., $\omega_v > 0$. We immediately notice that this can not happen.

For inverted hierarchy, we have $\omega_v < 0$, so that

$$\sqrt{2|\omega_v|}(1 + \sqrt{\alpha})^2 < \mu < \sqrt{2|\omega_v|}(1 - \sqrt{\alpha})^2,$$

Within this region, neutrinos experience exponential growth.

For completeness, we also write down the formalism in flavor isospin picture.

$$i\partial_t \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} \times (\eta \mathbf{H}_v + \alpha \mu \bar{\mathbf{s}}) \tag{B.24}$$

$$i\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{s}} = \bar{\mathbf{s}} \times (\eta \mathbf{H}_v + \mu \mathbf{s}), \tag{B.25}$$

where η is the hierarchy, and α is the ratio of neutrino number density and antineutrino number density.

Bibliography

- ¹C. L. Cowan, F. Reines, F. B. Harrison, H. W. Kruse, and A. D. McGuire, “Detection of the Free Neutrino: a Confirmation.”, *Science* (New York, N.Y.) **124**, 103–4 (1956).
- ²B. Pontecorvo, “Neutrino experiments and the problem of conservation of leptonic charge”, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **26**, 984–988 (1968).
- ³J. Bahcall, “The solar neutrino problem”, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods* **110**, 381–384 (1973).
- ⁴E. G. Flowers and P. G. Sutherland, “Neutrino-neutrino scattering and supernovae”, *The Astrophysical Journal* **208**, L19 (1976).
- ⁵L. Wolfenstein, “Neutrino oscillations in matter”, *Physical Review D* **17**, 2369–2374 (1978).
- ⁶J. N. Bahcall, A. Dar, and T. Piran, “Neutrinos from the recent LMC supernova”, *Nature* **326**, 135–136 (1987).
- ⁷P. Krastev and A. Smirnov, “Parametric effects in neutrino oscillations”, *Physics Letters B* **226**, 341–346 (1989).
- ⁸G. Sigl and G. Raffelt, “General kinetic description of relativistic mixed neutrinos”, *Nuclear Physics B* **406**, 423–451 (1993).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ⁹F. N. Loreti and A. B. Balantekin, “Neutrino oscillations in noisy media”, *Physical Review D* **50**, 4762–4770 (1994).
- ¹⁰S. Samuel, “Bimodal coherence in dense self-interacting neutrino gases”, *Physical Review D* **53**, 5382–5393 (1996).
- ¹¹E. Akhmedov, “Parametric resonance of neutrino oscillations and passage of solar and atmospheric neutrinos through the earth”, *Nuclear Physics B* **538**, 25–51 (1999).
- ¹²E. K. Akhmedov, “Parametric resonance in neutrino oscillations in matter”, *Pramana* **54**, 47–63 (2000).
- ¹³M. F. Altmann, R. L. Mößbauer, and L. J. N. Oberauer, “Solar neutrinos”, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **64**, 97–146 (2001).
- ¹⁴H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, J. Carlson, and Y. Z. Qian, “Simulation of coherent non-linear neutrino flavor transformation in the supernova environment: Correlated neutrino trajectories”, *Physical Review D - Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology* **74**, 1–22 (2006).
- ¹⁵H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, and Y.-Z. Qian, “Analysis of collective neutrino flavor transformation in supernovae”, *Physical Review D* **74**, 123004 (2006).
- ¹⁶A. Friedland and A. Gruzinov, “Neutrino signatures of supernova turbulence”, July 2006.
- ¹⁷I. Ploumistakis, S. D. Moustazis, and I. Tsohantjis, “Towards laser based improved experimental schemes for multiphoton e+e-pair production from vacuum”, *Physics Letters, Section A: General, Atomic and Solid State Physics* **373**, 2897–2900 (2009).
- ¹⁸H. Duan, G. M. Fuller, and Y.-Z. Qian, “Collective Neutrino Oscillations”, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **60**, 569–594 (2010).
- ¹⁹J. Kneller and C. Volpe, “Turbulence effects on supernova neutrinos”, *Physical Review D* **82**, 123004 (2010).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ²⁰E. Adelberger and a. García, “Solar fusion cross sections. II. The pp chain and CNO cycles”, *Reviews of Modern ...* **83** (2011).
- ²¹J. F. Cherry, J. Carlson, A. Friedland, G. M. Fuller, and A. Vlasenko, “Neutrino scattering and flavor transformation in supernovae”, *Physical Review Letters* **108**, 1–5 (2012).
- ²²O. Pejcha and T. A. Thompson, “THE PHYSICS OF THE NEUTRINO MECHANISM OF CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVAE”, *The Astrophysical Journal* **746**, 106 (2012).
- ²³S. Sarikas, I. Tamborra, G. Raffelt, L. Hüdepohl, and H. T. Janka, “Supernova neutrino halo and the suppression of self-induced flavor conversion”, *Physical Review D - Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology* **85**, 1–5 (2012).
- ²⁴J. P. Kneller, G. C. McLaughlin, and K. M. Patton, “Stimulated neutrino transformation with sinusoidal density profiles”, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **40**, 055002 (2013).
- ²⁵G. Raffelt, S. Sarikas, and D. D. S. Seixas, “Axial Symmetry Breaking in Self-Induced Flavor Conversion of Supernova Neutrino Fluxes”, *Physical Review Letters* **111**, 091101 (2013).
- ²⁶a. Malkus, A. Friedland, and G. C. McLaughlin, “Matter-Neutrino Resonance Above Merging Compact Objects”, 1–6 (2014).
- ²⁷G. Mangano, A. Mirizzi, and N. Saviano, “Damping the neutrino flavor pendulum by breaking homogeneity”, *Physical Review D* **89**, 073017 (2014).
- ²⁸K. M. Patton, J. P. Kneller, and G. C. McLaughlin, “Stimulated neutrino transformation through turbulence”, *Physical Review D* **89**, 073022 (2014).
- ²⁹S. M. Couch and C. D. Ott, “The Role of Turbulence in Neutrino-driven Core-collapse Supernova Explosions”, *The Astrophysical Journal* **799**, 5 (2015).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ³⁰B. Muller and H.-. T. Janka, “Non-radial instabilities and progenitor asphericities in core-collapse supernovae”, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **448**, 2141–2174 (2015).
- ³¹K. M. Patton, J. P. Kneller, and G. C. McLaughlin, “Stimulated neutrino transformation through turbulence on a changing density profile and application to supernovae”, *Phys. Rev.* **D91**, 025001 (2015).
- ³²D. Vaananen and G. C. McLaughlin, “Uncovering the Matter-Neutrino Resonance”, 1–16 (2015).
- ³³S. Chakraborty, R. S. Hansen, I. Izaguirre, and G. Raffelt, “Self-induced neutrino flavor conversion without flavor mixing”, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2016**, 042–042 (2016).
- ³⁴H.-T. Janka, T. Melson, and A. Summa, “Physics of Core-Collapse Supernovae in Three Dimensions: A Sneak Preview”, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **66**, 341–375 (2016).
- ³⁵C. Patrignani et al., “Review of Particle Physics”, *Chin. Phys.* **C40**, 100001 (2016).
- ³⁶R. F. Sawyer, “Neutrino Cloud Instabilities Just above the Neutrino Sphere of a Supernova”, *Physical Review Letters* **116**, 1–5 (2016).
- ³⁷M.-R. Wu, H. Duan, and Y.-Z. Qian, “Physics of neutrino flavor transformation through resonances”, *Physics Letters B* **752**, 89–94 (2016).
- ³⁸F. Capozzi, B. Dasgupta, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, and A. Mirizzi, “Fast flavor conversions of supernova neutrinos: Classifying instabilities via dispersion relations”, *Physical Review D* **96**, 1–20 (2017).
- ³⁹B. Dasgupta, A. Mirizzi, and M. Sen, “Fast neutrino flavor conversions near the supernova core with realistic flavor-dependent angular distributions”, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2017**, 019–019 (2017).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

⁴⁰I. Izaguirre, G. Raffelt, and I. Tamborra, “Fast Pairwise Conversion of Supernova Neutrinos: A Dispersion Relation Approach”, *Physical Review Letters* **118**, 021101 (2017).

⁴¹H.-T. Janka, *Neutrino emission from supernovae*, edited by A. W. Alsabti and P. Murdin (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017), pp. 1575–1604.

⁴²E. Kemp, “The Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment: The precision era of neutrino physics”, *Astronomische Nachrichten* **338**, 993–999 (2017).

⁴³*The Garching Core-Collapse Supernova Archive*, <http://wwwmpa.mpa-garching.mpg.de/ccsnarchive/>