Genom_Proj2

May 30, 2020

1 Emre Erdem 150115501

In this project I'll present you my motif generator, DNA generator and my randomized motif search algorithm. The parameters are flexible and you can toy with it to see how they change Let me start

```
[983]: import random as rd import string import timeit
```

1.0.1 Text Generation

In this segment, we have necessary functions to implement motif generation. As you can see from the output it generates the motif with the desired mutation level with given parameters (or default).

```
[3]: def randString(length=10, endline=True): #Random dna string generator
         # put your letters in the following string
         your_letters='ATGC'
         if(endline):
             return ''.join((rd.choice(your_letters) for i in range(length)))+"\n"
         else:
             return ''.join((rd.choice(your_letters) for i in range(length)))
     def randMut(oldGene):
         your_letters='atgc'
         mutate = rd.choice(your_letters)
         while (oldGene.lower() == mutate):
             mutate = rd.choice(your_letters)
         return mutate
     def checkIfMutated(check = [], rand = 0): #qoes thru already mutated indexes_
      \rightarrow to check if already mutated
         for i in check:
             if(i == rand):
                 return True
         return False
```

```
def generateMotif(length=10, mutation=4): #qenerates a motif of qiven of q
   \rightarrow length and given mutation
              check = [0 for i in range(mutation)]
              string = randString(length, endline=False)
              for i in range(mutation):
                                                                                                                                                                #generate numbers 4 distinct index_
   \rightarrow numbers to mutate
                            rand = rd.randint(0,length-1)
                                                                                                                                                                      #generate mutation index number
                            while(checkIfMutated(check, rand)): #check if the gene in given index_
   \rightarrow already mutated
                                           rand = rd.randint(0,length-1) #if mutated choose another random
   \rightarrow index
                            check[i] = rand
              #print(string)
                                                                                                                                                               #changes to list form to be able tou
              string = list(string)
   \rightarrow edit the string
              for i in check:
                             string[i] = randMut(string[i])
                                                                                                                                              #mutate the indexes. Indexes stored_
   →in 'check'
              string = ''.join(string)
              return string
#print(generateMotif())
```

TcGccTCCGt

This segment generates the whole DNA text and inserts motifs randomly to each line. You can differentiate each line with the indentation in text. Since it can't contain 500 characters in one line in jupyter

```
[4]: def generateText(length=10, mutation=4, lineLength=500, numberOfLines=5):
         listToFill = ""
         for i in range(numberOfLines):
                                                     #iterates through number of
             listToFill = listToFill + randString(lineLength) #adds another line of
      \rightarrow dna string
             indexToMotif = rd.randint(i*lineLength,__
      →i*lineLength+(lineLength-length)) #decides the index to put the motif to
             while (indexToMotif%lineLength == 0 and indexToMotif != 0):
      →#checks to make sure not to hit a '\n'
                 indexToMotif = rd.randint(i*lineLength,__
      \rightarrowi*lineLength+(lineLength-length)) #if it hits a '\n' randomize again
             motifToInsert = generateMotif(length, mutation)
                                                                     #generates the
      \rightarrow motif to insert
             listToFill = list(listToFill)
             for k in range(length): #inserts motif to the random index as the
      \rightarrow length of the motif
                 listToFill[indexToMotif+k] = motifToInsert[k] #replaces char by char
```

```
listToFill = ''.join(listToFill)
return ''.join(listToFill)
#print(generateText())
```

GACGGTACTAGCCGGGCTTCTTAGCGATGGTTTATTCGACCATGGTGGTGGTCGACCACTCCGTCTCGGTGAGTTGATGG
TTGTTTAGGCATTCGCGGAACTGCACGCCGTAGCAGAGACCATAATACCTAGGTTACTTTCACTTGCTACCTTCCGACCG
ATGCGTTCGTTCCGAACAGTAGCCACTTTTATATTTCTATTTAGCCACATATTCCCCCGAGGTGTGCGGCCTAACCGGTA
GAGAATAGAGCCTTTAGAAGGCAAACtAcTTgGtCAGACGACGACGACTTACTCTGGCCACGGAGTTTACCGTACCATAAT
GCAGCGATTATTTTACCCGGGCAAGAATGCTCCAACGATATTACGCCAGGTTACACAACAATACACCAAGATTAGCGTAC
CCAGGGTTGGCGCACATTGGCTCTAGGTTGCTAGGGCAATTTAACTGCGGCGGGTTACCAAAAGGCTTTTCCAAAGTGTA
TCAGCCAGCGCTAGAGAGGT

GGGTATAGGCGActActCCCATACAACTTCGGCGTGTGGGGTTGACGGGTTGACAATATACGCAACAAGGTAAAGAGCGT
TCCTTAGCGCGAGGTATACTAATTATAAGTGTCAGTGCCGCGTTGACTCTATCGTATTTTCCCAGGAAAACTGTGCTAAA
GTAGTGGGTCCGCGAGTTATCAACGTCAAAGATGGAAACTATATCTTTTTTGGGATTCTGCTGTAACATGCTGCACAGTGA
CAAGAGGCACAGCTTACAAGCTGATGTTCTCAGGGAGCAGAGCAGTACCCATTGGGGCTGAATTATTGATTATAGCATG
AATCAGAAGATCATGTCATTATCAGTCCTCGGTGTAAAATTTTGACCCCTACGTCAGCCACTAGCGGATTCCGTAGATAA
CTCAGACGGTTGAGAATCGCAAACATTTGCGGTCGATCGCTCACATGCCAGAACCAATACGTCAGTAGCTGCCGAAGAG
GTGCTTTTGTTCGACATTTA

Using 5 lines for easier implementation and taking a quick look of how it performs. Also testing for reading and writing operations

```
[5]: f = open("Generated.txt", "w")
   f.write(generateText(length=10, mutation=4, lineLength=500, numberOfLines=5))
   f.close()
   f = open("Generated.txt", "r")
```

```
text = f.read()
f.close()
#print(text)
```

TACCAAGTACTTTCAGGACGCTGTGGGTATCAGTGTCATATTACGAGATCACGGCAGTTTACCGAAGAAACAACTGTTTA
AAAACTTACTGTGTGCCTTAaCGGgAttTATCCAACTCGGTGTCACACGTTTCCTGACTTTGCTGGGCCTGTCAGTGCTA
CATGATTTACTATTTACCCAGCAACGATATCCCGCGAGAGGGAGCGCCCCCAGCACCTCATCACATAAGGGCATCTGAT
TGAAAACCATGGGGGTCGAAAAGTCATTAGTATATAACTGGATTAAACATTCAATTTAGCCAGGTTATTGTGTAATCTTG
TCGGACCCTTCTTAAAACGGCTGAAAAGCGGGGATGGAGGCCCGTGGGCTTTTAGCCCCGCTTGAGCTCAGGGCCCCCA
GCTACTAAACTTGCCATCATCACAACGTAGCCCGAGAGTCTGTTTCTGTTCGAGCCTGTATCATGCAAGGGTAATCAAG
TGTTTGACCATTCGAAGAAC

AGATATCGCGCCGACGGATTATGTAGGCCAAAGGCTCTCACCGCGCATTAGGGTAGTCAATGCACCGGCATCTTGTCTC
AAGGACCAACTAAGGCTAGTTCAATCCTCAATAGCGATCATCCAACGAAGTAGTGCAGCGCATTCGACGATTGCTTATTT
GGGGAGAGGCAGGCACAGTATGCGGTACAGGATAGCTTGGGGGGCGATAGCGCCGTTGCTAAACCACCGTCTGTGCACAAC
CCCCGTCAGATAAGCGGTCTATGTAAGGCAGCATTCCACGGCTTGTAGCCGGTAGTACCCCGAGAGGTACAAACCCTCGC
GTTAcAtttgaactgcggaaacatctaataaataaactgctgctactcggcacaatgtccgccttttctctctgcacccgat
TCTTCACAGACGGCCAAGTCATAGCACTAGCCTCTGACGGGTCTTCGAGTGGCCGGACGCCTAATCGCTCACATCTAGTC
ATTAGAGCTGCAGCGTAAGC

Splitting each line in to a different dna text

- [6]: DNA = text.splitlines()
- [6]: ['ATGCGCCTTTACAGACGCTGACCCCTTAAACTCCTCTGAGTCGTTAGATTGACTAGCGGATGGTCTGACAACGCGATA AGGCTGTGCGGTGGACGTTCCATCTCGTTGTGACCGCTACAGAATCACACCCTACATTTCCGCAGCAGGGCTCGCGTGAA AGTGCGACAGGCTGCCGGGGGGTCCCTCATCACTCACCCCGATCTTTGagATTgtAATAAGCCAAACTAGCTCACGTCTAG

 $\label{thm:coargacact} $$^{\mathsf{TACCAAGTACTTTCAGGACGCTGTTGGGTATCAGTGTCATATTACGAGATCACGGCAGTTTACCGAAGAAACAACTGTT}$$$ TAAAAACTTACTGTGTGCCTTAaCGGGATTTCCAACTCGGTGTCACACGTTTCCTGACTTTGCTGGGCCTGTCAGTGC TACATGATTTACCAGTGCCAGCAACGATATCCCGCGAGAGGGAGCGCCCCCAGCACCTCATCACATAAGGGCATCTG ATTGAAAACCATGGGGGTCGAAAAGTCATTAGTATATAACTGGATTAAACATTCAATTTAGCCAGGTTATTGTGTAATCT TGTCGGACCCTTCTTAAAACGGCTGAAAAGCGGGGATGGAGGGCCGTGGGCTTTTAGCCCCGCTTGAGCTCAGGGCCCCC CAGCTACTAAACTTGCCATCATCACTAACGTAGCCCGAGAGTCTGTTTCTGTTCGAGCCTGTATCATGCAAGGGTAATCA AGTGTTTGACCATTCGAAGAAC'.$

 $\label{thm:color$

1.0.2 Randomized Motif Search Functions

This function takes random motifs with length 'k' from each line. This function is also used in gibbs sampler

```
return randMotif
print(randomKmer(DNA,20))
```

```
['TCGCATTGGTCTCCGTCGTG', 'TTGCTGCGACCGCGATCATA', 'TTCCTAGTCCCAAACTACTT',
'TCCGCAATATACAGCTATTC', 'TCGGGGGACCACCGACGGGT', 'GCTCTCCGGGACGTCCGGCA',
'ATCCTTCTGGGCATCGTCTC', 'AGCAGCGTTGATCCCCCCTC', 'TGTTCTTACAGTATAATCTT',
'GTATGTTTCCTAGGTATCGT']
```

This function finds out the probabilities of motif lists we give it to them. This is pretty important for us to find out and choose which motifs we'll get from DNA text lines Since we need to iterate through each motif first and character the second, the for loop is inverted from the conventional ones

```
[494]: def probabilities(randomMotifList):
           score = 0
           motifs = randomMotifList
           motifProb = [\{'A':0.0, 'T':0.0, 'G':0.0, 'C':0.0\} for i in
        →range(len(motifs[0]))] #creates a dictionary for each characters probability
           #print(motifs)
           for i in range(len(motifs[0])): #iterates through every character
               A,T,G,C = 0,0,0,0
               for m in range(len(motifs)): #iterates through every motif to add save_
        → their char counts
                   if(motifs[m][i].upper() == "A"): A = A + 1
                   elif(motifs[m][i].upper() == "T"): T = T + 1 #increase the char
       \hookrightarrow found
                   elif(motifs[m][i].upper() == "G"): G = G + 1
                   elif(motifs[m][i].upper() == "C"): C = C + 1
                   else:
                       #return
                       print("Unknown Character in motif sequence")
               \#print(i,A,T,G,C,A+T+G+C)
               motifProb[i]['A'] = A/(A+T+G+C) #find the probability for each
               motifProb[i]['T'] = T/(A+T+G+C)
               motifProb[i]['G'] = G/(A+T+G+C)
               motifProb[i]['C'] = C/(A+T+G+C)
           consensusMotif = []
           for i in range(len(motifs[0])): #find the consensus motif
               consensusMotif.append(max(motifProb[i], key=motifProb[i].get))
           consensusMotif = ''.join(consensusMotif)
           for a in range(len(motifs)): # find the consensus motifs score
               for i in range(len(consensusMotif)):
                   if(consensusMotif[i] != motifs[a][i]): score = score + 1
           #print("Consensus Motif: ", consensusMotif, "Score:", score)
           return motifProb, score
```

```
print(probabilities(randomKmer(DNA,k=5)))
```

```
([{'A': 0.1, 'T': 0.3, 'G': 0.3, 'C': 0.3}, {'A': 0.1, 'T': 0.1, 'G': 0.2, 'C': 0.6}, {'A': 0.3, 'T': 0.2, 'G': 0.2, 'C': 0.3}, {'A': 0.4, 'T': 0.3, 'G': 0.2, 'C': 0.1}, {'A': 0.4, 'T': 0.2, 'G': 0.3, 'C': 0.1}], 32)
```

This function finds out the most probable motifs in for every line and every possible iteration of sliding. Then returns its list of motifs

```
[1171]: def mostProbableMotifs(DNA,probabilityList,k=10):
            lineNum = 0
            newMotifs = ["" for i in range(len(DNA))]
            if(len(probabilityList)!=2):
                probList = probabilityList
            else:
                probList, _ = probabilityList #probabilities(randomKmer(DNA))
            for lineNum in range(len(DNA)): #iterates lines in DNA
                kMer = [{'motif':"", 'prob':1.0000000000000} for i in_
         →range(len(DNA[lineNum])-k)] #creates a new kMer list for that line
                for m in range(len(DNA[lineNum])-k): #slides k length kMer in that line
                    kMer[m]['motif'] = DNA[lineNum][m:m+k] #saves the motif of that k
         \rightarrow length kMer in that line
                    for i in range(k): #iteratively multiplies probabilities to achieve
         →probability of that motif
                        kMer[m]['prob'] = kMer[m]['prob']*probList[i][DNA[lineNum][m+i].
         →upper()]
                     #if(kMer[m]['prob']>0):
                         #print(kMer[m])
                maxMotif = 0.0000000000000
                for 1 in range(len(kMer)): #to have the maximum probable motif in that
         \rightarrow line
                    if(maxMotif < kMer[l]['prob']):</pre>
                        maxMotif = kMer[l]['prob']
                        newMotifs[lineNum] = kMer[l]['motif'] #update the maximum for_
         → that line number
                #print("Line:",lineNum,"Motif:",newMotifs[lineNum],"Probability:
         \rightarrow ", maxMotif)
            return newMotifs
        print(mostProbableMotifs(DNA,probabilities(randomKmer(DNA))))
```

```
['AGTTTACTCC', 'AATATCCTAA', 'AGAAATCACG', 'AAATTGCTAT', 'AGTACTCTAT', 'GAAGTACTAT', 'AAAACACGCA', 'AGGGCTCTAA', 'AGGATTCTCG', 'GAAACACATA']
```

This was what I originally planned the function would be but after 3 or 5 iterations it reaches a

maximum point for that random start and couldn't go further. So I implemented another better version below that uses this.

```
[1172]: def RandomizedMotifStarter(DNA, k=10, t=10):
            check = 0
            bestMotifs = randomKmer(DNA,k)
            probs, initialScore = probabilities(bestMotifs)
            for i in range(t):
                probMotifs = mostProbableMotifs(DNA,probs,k)
                probs, score = probabilities(probMotifs)
                if(score < initialScore):</pre>
                    check = 0
                    bestMotifs = probMotifs
                    initialScore = score
                check = check+1
                if(check >= 50):
                    print("I give up after", check, "iterations")
                    break
            motifProb , finalScore = probabilities(bestMotifs)
            consensusMotif = []
            for i in range(k): #find the consensus motif
                consensusMotif.append(max(motifProb[i], key=motifProb[i].get))
            consensusMotif = ''.join(consensusMotif)
            #print("Consensus: ", consensusMotif, "Score: ", finalScore)
            return bestMotifs, score, consensusMotif
```

This function calls the earlier one a bunch of times and compares their scores and takes the best one. With this, we reach a much better solution because we compare and converge from different starting points. You can toy with all the parameters for best score value.

1.0.3 Gibbs Sampler Functions

This function is similar to the randomized motif search's function. The difference being that it skips the line we chose and have different approach to calculating probabilities.

```
[1159]: def probabilitiesGibbs(randomMotifList,lineToIgnore):
            #print(randomMotifList, "length" , len(randomMotifList))
            #print("line to ignore", lineToIgnore)
            if(lineToIgnore>=len(randomMotifList)):
                return "Error: The line number to ignore is greater than lines_
         \hookrightarrowcontained"
            score = 0
            motifs = randomMotifList
            motifProb = [\{'A':0.0, 'T':0.0, 'G':0.0, 'C':0.0\} for i in
         →range(len(motifs[0]))] #creates a dictionary for each characters probability
            #print(motifs)
            for i in range(len(motifs[0])): #iterates through every character
                A,T,G,C = 0,0,0,0
                for m in range(len(motifs)): #iterates through every motif to add save_
         → their char counts
                     if(m==lineToIgnore): continue #skips the chosen line
                     if(motifs[m][i].upper() == "A"): A = A + 1
                     elif(motifs[m][i].upper() == "T"): T = T + 1 #increase the char
         \hookrightarrow found
                     elif(motifs[m][i].upper() == "G"): G = G + 1
                     elif(motifs[m][i].upper() == "C"): C = C + 1
                     else:
                         #return
                         print("Unknown Character in motif sequence")
```

```
#print(i, A, T, G, C, A+T+G+C)
A,T,G,C = A+1,T+1,G+1,C+1
motifProb[i]['A'] = A/(A+T+G+C) #find the probability for each
motifProb[i]['T'] = T/(A+T+G+C)
motifProb[i]['G'] = G/(A+T+G+C)
motifProb[i]['C'] = C/(A+T+G+C)

consensusMotif = []
for i in range(len(motifs[0])): #find the consensus motif
    consensusMotif.append(max(motifProb[i], key=motifProb[i].get))

consensusMotif = ''.join(consensusMotif)

return motifProb, consensusMotif
print(probabilitiesGibbs(randomKmer(DNA,k=10),5))
```

```
([{'A': 0.3076923076923077, 'T': 0.3076923076923077, 'G': 0.3076923076923077, 'C': 0.07692307692307693}, {'A': 0.07692307692307693, 'T': 0.5384615384615384, 'G': 0.15384615384615385, 'C': 0.23076923076923078}, {'A': 0.38461538461538461538464, 'T': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.15384615384615384615385, 'C': 0.23076923076923076923078}, {'A': 0.4615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615385}, {'A': 0.15384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615384615385}, {'A': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.3076923076923077, 'C': 0.23076923076923078}, {'A': 0.3076923076923077, 'T': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.3076923076923077, 'C': 0.38461538461538464}, {'A': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.3076923076923077, 'C': 0.38461538461538464}, {'A': 0.23076923076923078}, 'T': 0.3076923076923077, 'G': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.3076923076923077, 'G': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.3076923076923077, 'G': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.23076923076923077, 'G': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.3076923076923077, 'G': 0.23076923076923078, 'G': 0.3076923076923078], 'ATAAAAGACTG')
```

This function applies the probabilities we calculated earlier to the skipped line. After that, it adds them up to reach 'C'. Keep in mind this C is not citozine but the denumerator for our formula as given in the study material

```
for m in range(len(DNA[lineNum])-k): #slides k length kMer in that line
       kMer[m]['motif'] = DNA[lineNum][m:m+k] #saves the motif of that k_
 \rightarrow length kMer in that line
       for i in range(k): #iteratively multiplies probabilities to achieve
 → probability of that motif
           kMer[m]['prob'] = kMer[m]['prob']*probList[i][DNA[lineNum][m+i].
 →upper()]
       #if(kMer[m]['prob']>0):
           #print(kMer[m])
   motifList = [] #hold the motif strings of the porbability values
   weights = [] #holds the probability values of motifs
   for i in range(len(kMer)):
       C = C + kMer[i]['prob']
   for i in range(len(kMer)): #create the distribution
       motifList.append(kMer[i]['motif'])
       weights.append(kMer[i]['prob']/C)
   chosenMotif = rd.choices(motifList, weights, k = 1) #choose from
\rightarrow distribution
   return chosenMotif
print(mostProbableMotifGibbs(DNA,probabilitiesGibbs(randomKmer(DNA),5),5))
```

['ACGTCTCTCT']

After choosing a better motif for the skipped line, we insert it to our original motif list. By doing that we completed everything necessary for one iteration.

This function also computes the score. Because we needed the updated version of the skipped line to compute it.

```
[855]: def insertTheIgnored(motifList, chosenMotif, ignoreIndex,consensusMotif):
    score = 0
    newMotifList = ["" for i in range(len(motifList))]

for i in range(len(motifList)):
    if(i == ignoreIndex):
        newMotifList[i] = ''.join(chosenMotif)
    else:
        newMotifList[i] = motifList[i]

for a in range(len(newMotifList[i]): # find the consensus motifs score
    #print(newMotifList[a])
    for i in range(len(consensusMotif)):
        pass
```

```
if(consensusMotif[i] != newMotifList[a][i]): score = score + 1
return newMotifList, score
```

This is the main iterator for our algorithm. This somewhat closer to what you'll find in the text book pseudo-codes. Since this is only from one starting point, the score is not so great

```
[1175]: def GibbsMotifStart(DNA, k=10, t=10):
            check = 0
            initialMotifs = randomKmer(DNA,k)
            indexToIgnore = rd.randint(0,len(DNA)-1)
            probList = probabilitiesGibbs(initialMotifs,indexToIgnore)[0]
            consensus = probabilitiesGibbs(initialMotifs,indexToIgnore)[1]
            chosenMotif = mostProbableMotifGibbs(DNA,probList,indexToIgnore,k)
            updatedMotifList, initialScore = ___
         →insertTheIgnored(initialMotifs,chosenMotif,indexToIgnore,consensus)
            for i in range(t):
                indexToIgnore = rd.randint(0,len(DNA)-1)
                if(len(probabilitiesGibbs(updatedMotifList,indexToIgnore))>2):
                    print(probabilitiesGibbs(updatedMotifList,indexToIgnore))
                probList, consensus = probabilitiesGibbs(updatedMotifList,indexToIgnore)
                chosenMotif = mostProbableMotifGibbs(DNA,probList,indexToIgnore,k)
                updatedMotifList, score =__
         →insertTheIgnored(updatedMotifList,chosenMotif,indexToIgnore,consensus)
                if(score<initialScore):</pre>
                    check = 0
                    initialScore = score
                    bestMotifs = updatedMotifList
                check = check+1
                if(check >= 50):
                    print("I give up after", check, "iterations")
            motifProb , finalScore = probabilities(bestMotifs)
            consensusMotif = []
            for i in range(k): #find the consensus motif
                consensusMotif.append(max(motifProb[i], key=motifProb[i].get))
            consensusMotif = ''.join(consensusMotif)
            #print("Consensus: ", consensusMotif, "Score: ", finalScore)
            return bestMotifs, finalScore, consensusMotif
        GibbsMotifStart(DNA)
```

That's why I also implemented a somekind of initiator. This function randomly initiates and takes the best score from each of the randomly initiated batches. This had a huge impact for the score

```
[1210]: def GibbsSamplerSearch(DNA, k=10, t=10, randomNum=10): #Random
          print("----- Gibbs Sampler Search Started
        bestMotifs, initialScore, consensusMotif = GibbsMotifStart(DNA,k,t)
          print("Consensus: ", consensusMotif, "Score: ", initialScore)
          for i in range(randomNum-1):
              probMotifs, score, consensusMotif = GibbsMotifStart(DNA,k,t)
              print("Consensus: ", consensusMotif, "Score: ", score)
              if(i\%2 == 0):
                  print("----- Random Batch",i+2,u
        →"-----")
              if(score < initialScore):</pre>
                  bestMotifs = probMotifs
                  initialScore = score
          print("\nConclusion after", randomNum, "random batch iteration:")
          motifProb , finalScore = probabilities(bestMotifs)
          consensusMotif = []
          for i in range(k): #find the consensus motif
              consensusMotif.append(max(motifProb[i], key=motifProb[i].get))
           consensusMotif = ''.join(consensusMotif)
          print("Consensus: ", consensusMotif, "Score: ", finalScore)
          print("Best Motifs: ", bestMotifs)
          return consensusMotif, finalScore
```

1.0.4 Algorithm Testing and Comparison

The final tests with the actual parameters presented in assignment with all the functions.

First we generate and read a DNA text file.

Then we split the text file we read line by line and contain it in a list

Then we initiate our general parameters for our functions

```
[1089]: f = open("Generated.txt", "w")
      f.write(generateText(length=10, mutation=4, lineLength=500, numberOfLines=10))
      f.close()
      f = open("Generated.txt", "r")
      text = f.read()
      f.close()
      #print(text)
      DNA = text.splitlines()
      t = 200 #if it can't converge after 200 just take it
      randomNum = 10 #how many random starting points?
[1214]: consensus_rand9, score_rand9 =
       →RandomizedMotifSearch(DNA, k=9, t=t, randomNum=randomNum)
      ----- Random Motif Search Started
      _____
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: GCAACGTCC Score:
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TAAGGGGAT Score:
            ----- Random Batch 2 ------
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: ATAGTAGGG Score:
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TCTACGGCT Score:
      ----- Random Batch 4 -----
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TTCTACTGA Score:
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: GCCGTATCG Score:
                              26
      ----- Random Batch 6 -----
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TAGCATCTT Score:
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TAGCACGAA Score:
      ----- Random Batch 8 ------
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: AGGGGAGGC Score:
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: GCGGAGGGC Score: 26
      ----- Random Batch 10 -----
```

Conclusion after 10 random batch iteration:

```
'GCAACGAGC', 'GCACCGTCC', 'GCAGCGTCC', 'GCAACGCCC', 'GAATCCGGC']
[1215]: consensus_rand10, score_rand10 =
       →RandomizedMotifSearch(DNA, k=10, t=t, randomNum=randomNum)
      ----- Random Motif Search Started
      -----
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TACCTGGAGG Score: 35
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TAGTAGATGC Score: 40
      ----- Random Batch 2 ------
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: AGAACTACAT Score: 30
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: ATAGCTTGAG Score:
                               33
      ----- Random Batch 4 ------
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TTATTATTAT Score:
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: CGGAGCGACC Score: 33
      ----- Random Batch 6 -----
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: GTTACTGAGA Score: 33
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: CTTGCTCGCG Score:
                               33
             ------ Random Batch 8 ------
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: AGTACAAAGC Score:
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: CAATACATAT Score: 34
      ----- Random Batch 10 -----
      Conclusion after 10 random batch iteration:
      Consensus: AGAACTACAT Score: 30
      Best Motifs: ['AGAACACAT', 'ATGAATACCT', 'ATAACTACAC', 'AGGACAAGGT',
      'GGGACTCAAG', 'AGATATACGT', 'AGAACTATAt', 'GGGAGTACGT', 'GGAACTACGG',
      'AGAAACACAT']
[1216]: consensus_rand11, score_rand11 =__
       →RandomizedMotifSearch(DNA, k=11, t=t, randomNum=randomNum)
       ----- Random Motif Search Started
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TAGCGCGAATT Score: 39
```

Best Motifs: ['GCATCGTCC', 'GAAACCCCC', 'GCATGGAGC', 'GCAACGTCA', 'GGATCCCCC',

Consensus: GCAACGTCC Score: 23

```
I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: TGAAACATTTG Score:
     ----- Random Batch 2 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: CTCTCTATCTG Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: CTTTCGGAGAT Score:
     ----- Random Batch 4 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: ATAGCGTAGTA Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: GTCGTGTCGAG Score:
                               37
     ----- Random Batch 6 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: CACGTCCGGCC Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: CCGCGGAGGCT Score: 36
      ----- Random Batch 8 ------
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: AATCCGGAGAA Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: GAGAAGCAGCT Score: 38
     ----- Random Batch 10 -----
     Conclusion after 10 random batch iteration:
     Consensus: TGAAACATTTG Score: 34
     Best Motifs: ['TGGAACATTTg', 'AGATACCTGTC', 'TGATACAGTCG', 'TGATCCAGTTG',
      'TGAAGGATTTT', 'AGATATACGTG', 'GGAAACCTTGA', 'TAaATCATTTG', 'TTAAACTTTGC',
      'TGAACCATGTG']
[1217]: consensus_gibbs9, score_gibbs9 =
       →GibbsSamplerSearch(DNA, k=9, t=t, randomNum=randomNum)
     ----- Gibbs Sampler Search Started
     _____
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: GTATGAATG Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: CTTTTGCAA Score:
            ----- Random Batch 2 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: CTCCGGTCT Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: TTAGATATG Score: 33
     ----- Random Batch 4 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: GTGTTAAGC Score: 29
     I give up after 50 iterations
```

```
----- Random Batch 6 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: ATCCCCAAA Score: 31
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: TTTCAGTCG Score:
         ----- Random Batch 8 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: AGAATGACC Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: CCAGGAGTT Score: 29
     ----- Random Batch 10 ------
     Conclusion after 10 random batch iteration:
     Consensus: GTATGAATG Score: 24
     Best Motifs: ['ATATTAAAG', 'GAATGAATG', 'GTATGCATG', 'GAAAAAAGG', 'GTATTAATG',
     'GTATGAACG', 'CTAGCAAAT', 'GAATGAATT', 'GTTTAAACT', 'GTAAGAAAG']
[1218]: consensus gibbs10, score gibbs10 = 11
      →GibbsSamplerSearch(DNA, k=10, t=t, randomNum=randomNum)
     ----- Gibbs Sampler Search Started
     -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: GCCTTGTAGA Score:
                             35
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: GGAGCACCAA Score: 32
     ----- Random Batch 2 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: TCGCAGTGCA Score:
                             39
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: AGCACGTATT Score:
                             28
     ----- Random Batch 4 ------
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: AATATCTTAG Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: TATACGAGTC Score:
                             40
     ----- Random Batch 6 ------
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: GATAACTTGA Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: CAATAACATG Score:
                             43
     ----- Random Batch 8 -----
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: TGATTGAATC Score:
     I give up after 50 iterations
     Consensus: GTTTTGAATA Score: 38
     ----- Random Batch 10 ------
```

Consensus: CGTCATTCT Score: 33

```
Best Motifs: ['ATCACGAGTT', 'CGCACATATG', 'TGCACGGATA', 'AGGAAGTATT',
      'GGCATGTATT', 'AGCAGTTGTG', 'AGCAAATATT', 'AGTACGTATA', 'AACGAGGATT',
      'AGGACGCACT']
[1219]: consensus_gibbs11, score_gibbs11 = ___
       →GibbsSamplerSearch(DNA, k=12, t=t, randomNum=randomNum)
      ----- Gibbs Sampler Search Started
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: CAGGGCATGCAA Score: 42
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TCCTGCATAGTT Score:
      ----- Random Batch 2 -----
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TTTCTTAAATAT Score: 43
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: AAGGGTTGTGCT Score: 42
      ----- Random Batch 4 ------
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: CCTTGAGGCGAG Score: 47
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: AAGCGTAGCGCG Score: 37
      ----- Random Batch 6 -----
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: CGAACTTATCTT Score: 43
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TACAATCCATGT Score: 46
      ----- Random Batch 8 ------
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: TGTTAAGTCTTG Score:
      I give up after 50 iterations
      Consensus: GATTAAATGTTA Score: 51
      ----- Random Batch 10 -----
      Conclusion after 10 random batch iteration:
      Consensus: AAGCGTAGCGCG Score: 37
      Best Motifs: ['GAGCTTAGCACG', 'AAGCGCACCGGT', 'AAGCGTTGTGGG', 'GAGCCTAGCGCG',
      'ATGCATAATGCT', 'CTGCGTAGGGCG', 'CAGAGTAGCGCG', 'GAGGGTAACCTT', 'AAGCATAGCCTG',
      'CAGCGGAGGCTT']
[1220]: print("Random Motif Search")
      print("k = 9: ")
      print("Consensus: ", consensus_rand9, "Score: ", score_rand9)
```

Conclusion after 10 random batch iteration:

Consensus: AGCACGTATT Score: 28

```
print("k = 10: ")
print("Consensus: ", consensus_rand10, "Score: ", score_rand10)
print("k = 11: ")
print("Consensus: ", consensus rand11, "Score: ", score_rand11)
print("Gibbs Sampler Search")
print("k = 9: ")
print("Consensus: ", consensus_gibbs9, "Score: ", score_gibbs9)
print("k = 10: ")
print("Consensus: ", consensus gibbs10, "Score: ", score gibbs10)
print("k = 11: ")
print("Consensus: ", consensus_gibbs11, "Score: ", score_gibbs11)
```

Random Motif Search k = 9:Consensus: GCAACGTCC Score: k = 10:Consensus: AGAACTACAT Score: 30 k = 11:Consensus: TGAAACATTTG Score: 34 Gibbs Sampler Search k = 9: Consensus: GTATGAATG Score: k = 10:

Consensus: AGCACGTATT Score:

k = 11:

Consensus: AAGCGTAGCGCG Score: 37

Conclusion: 1.1

As you can see I implemented a random motif search algorithm and gibbs sampler from scratch and improved it as best as I could. Gibbs was especially challanging with all its list index management issues.

Iterating from same random starting point was pretty much pointless most of the time. Because even the 11 k-mer motif converged almost immidiately. Starting from different random points really improved the convergence point and should be considered more for every random initating algorithm.

I tried to compute time but they were quick enough to baffle my computers timer. So I did not used it in the final product. Yet in general, Randomized Motif Search is slower than Gibbs Sampler. I would say Gibbs is almost two times faster than Randomized Motif Search. Given that, Randomized Motif Search is slightly better at converging as you can see from the scores.

In conclusion, with very large data sets, Gibbs would be more usefull because of its speed. Even though it slightly scores less, it can iterate much more and possible get better results in the same time.

Emre Erdem - 150115501