Social and Educational Impacts on Students' Performance

## Library installations necesssary for project

library(ggplot2)  
library(glmulti)

## Loading required package: rJava

library(plyr)  
library(dplyr)

##   
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:plyr':  
##   
## arrange, count, desc, failwith, id, mutate, rename, summarise,  
## summarize

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':  
##   
## filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':  
##   
## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

library(caret)

## Loading required package: lattice

library(mlbench)  
library(randomForest)

## randomForest 4.6-12

## Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.

##   
## Attaching package: 'randomForest'

## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':  
##   
## combine

## The following object is masked from 'package:ggplot2':  
##   
## margin

library(bnlearn)

##   
## Attaching package: 'bnlearn'

## The following object is masked from 'package:stats':  
##   
## sigma

library(pcalg)

##   
## Attaching package: 'pcalg'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:bnlearn':  
##   
## dsep, pdag2dag, shd, skeleton

##Installing source for plotting graphics (will be used for Bayesian Network)  
source("https://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")

## Bioconductor version 3.4 (BiocInstaller 1.24.0), ?biocLite for help

biocLite(c("Rgraphviz","RBGL"))

## BioC\_mirror: https://bioconductor.org

## Using Bioconductor 3.4 (BiocInstaller 1.24.0), R 3.3.3 (2017-03-06).

## Installing package(s) 'Rgraphviz', 'RBGL'

##   
## The downloaded binary packages are in  
## /var/folders/7j/z\_jp4f0n7\_38zpwtn9l\_tw6w0000gn/T//RtmpH6z7zL/downloaded\_packages

library(bnlearn)  
library(Rgraphviz)

## Loading required package: graph

## Loading required package: BiocGenerics

## Loading required package: parallel

##   
## Attaching package: 'BiocGenerics'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:parallel':  
##   
## clusterApply, clusterApplyLB, clusterCall, clusterEvalQ,  
## clusterExport, clusterMap, parApply, parCapply, parLapply,  
## parLapplyLB, parRapply, parSapply, parSapplyLB

## The following object is masked from 'package:bnlearn':  
##   
## score

## The following object is masked from 'package:randomForest':  
##   
## combine

## The following objects are masked from 'package:dplyr':  
##   
## combine, intersect, setdiff, union

## The following objects are masked from 'package:rJava':  
##   
## anyDuplicated, duplicated, sort, unique

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':  
##   
## IQR, mad, xtabs

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':  
##   
## anyDuplicated, append, as.data.frame, cbind, colnames,  
## do.call, duplicated, eval, evalq, Filter, Find, get, grep,  
## grepl, intersect, is.unsorted, lapply, lengths, Map, mapply,  
## match, mget, order, paste, pmax, pmax.int, pmin, pmin.int,  
## Position, rank, rbind, Reduce, rownames, sapply, setdiff,  
## sort, table, tapply, union, unique, unsplit, which, which.max,  
## which.min

##   
## Attaching package: 'graph'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:bnlearn':  
##   
## degree, nodes, nodes<-

## The following object is masked from 'package:plyr':  
##   
## join

## Loading required package: grid

## Import student datasets who are enrolled in Portugese and Math Class. Based on the two dataset I found students that were enrolled both classes and will only use these students to conduct analysis.

mathclass=read.csv(file="/Users/suzannechung/Desktop/student-mat.csv",sep=";",header=TRUE)  
portclass=read.csv(file="/Users/suzannechung/Desktop/student-por.csv",sep=";",header=TRUE)  
  
cclass=merge(mathclass,portclass,by=c("school","sex","age","address","famsize","Pstatus","Medu","Fedu","Mjob","Fjob","reason","nursery","internet"))


## Data Preparation

## All attributes have been converted into numeric values.


cclass[1:53]<- lapply(cclass[1:53], as.numeric)  
mathclass[1:33] <- lapply(mathclass[1:33], as.numeric)

## What are the parents education history? How many received higher education (university/college)?

barplot(table(cclass$Medu), names.arg = c("none", "primary", "middle", "secondary", "higher"), xlab = "Mothers Education", main = "Mother's with Education")




barplot(table(cclass$Fedu), names.arg = c("none", "primary", "middle", "secondary", "higher"), xlab = "Father Education", main = "Fathers with Education")




Analysis: Majority of the mothers received either middle education or higher. Whereas the Fathers education seem to be high in all educational classes.

## Find the outliers in Math class in relation to Parent's Education and Final grades

ggplot(cclass, aes(x=Medu, y=G3.x, group=Medu))+geom\_boxplot()+geom\_jitter()+ xlab("Mothers Eduation")+ylab("Math Final Grades")+ggtitle("Mothers Education vs Math Student Performance")




ggplot(cclass, aes(x=Fedu, y=G3.x, group=Fedu))+geom\_boxplot()+geom\_jitter()+ xlab("Fathers Eduation")+ylab("Math Final Grades")+ggtitle("Fathers Education vs Math Student Performance")




Analysis: As we can see in both plots, we have very distinct outliers in this dataset that will be removed in order to receive optimal results.

## Find the outliers in Portugese classin relation to Parent's Education and Final grades

ggplot(cclass, aes(x=Medu, y=G3.y, group=Medu))+geom\_boxplot()+geom\_jitter()+ xlab("Mothers Eduation")+ylab("Portugese Final Grades")+ggtitle("Mothers Education vs Portugese Student Performance")




ggplot(cclass, aes(x=Fedu, y=G3.y, group=Fedu))+geom\_boxplot()+geom\_jitter()+ xlab("Fathers Eduation")+ylab("Portugese Final Grades")+ggtitle("Fathers Education vs Portugese Student Performance")



Analysis: Similarly to the math class results, very distinct outliers that will be removed to optimize results in analysis.

## Mother education status support students' school in Math class?


cclass %>% group\_by(Medu,famsup.x) %>% summarise(n=n()) %>%  
 ddply("Medu",transform,percent=n/sum(n)\*100) %>%  
 ggplot(aes(x=Medu,y=percent,fill=famsup.x))+  
 geom\_bar(stat="identity")+ggtitle("Family Support(%) and education level of the mother")



Analysis: It is evident that the family support increases based on the higher education the mother receives and in return the lower the mothers educational background the lower the family support.

## Father education status support students' school in Math class?


cclass %>% group\_by(Fedu,famsup.x) %>% summarise(n=n()) %>%  
 ddply("Fedu",transform,percent=n/sum(n)\*100) %>%  
 ggplot(aes(x=Fedu,y=percent,fill=famsup.x))+  
 geom\_bar(stat="identity")+ggtitle("Family Support(%) and education level of the father")



Analysis: It seems that the family support and fathers' education seem to be fairly even with the exception of the father receiver the highest education showing more family support to the students pursuit in school.


## Mother education status support students' school in Portugese class?

cclass %>% group\_by(Medu,famsup.y) %>% summarise(n=n()) %>%  
 ddply("Medu",transform,percent=n/sum(n)\*100) %>%  
 ggplot(aes(x=Medu,y=percent,fill=famsup.y))+  
 geom\_bar(stat="identity")+ggtitle("Family Support(%) and education level of the mother")



## Father education status support students' school in portugese class?


cclass %>% group\_by(Fedu,famsup.y) %>% summarise(n=n()) %>%  
 ddply("Fedu",transform,percent=n/sum(n)\*100) %>%  
 ggplot(aes(x=Fedu,y=percent,fill=famsup.y))+  
 geom\_bar(stat="identity")+ggtitle("Family Support(%) and education level of the father")




Analysis on Parents education status in relation to students educational goals: No matter what class room they are in math or portuegese the family support is the same in both classes where the mother is more likely to support the student if they have a high educational background whereas the father from low to mid educational level are about 50% to provide educational support.

## Student commitment to studying related to amount of failures?

ggplot(cclass, aes(x=studytime.x, fill=failures.x)) +  
geom\_histogram(position="identity", alpha=0.4,binwidth=1.0)



ggplot(cclass, aes(x=studytime.y, fill=failures.y)) +  
geom\_histogram(position="identity", alpha=0.4,binwidth=1.0)



Analysis:In both classes, the trends are the same where the less you study the higher the failure rate.

## Applying simple linear regression

mathlm <- lm(G3~., data = mathclass)  
summary(mathlm)

##   
## Call:  
## lm(formula = G3 ~ ., data = mathclass)  
##   
## Residuals:  
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max   
## -8.2675 -0.5346 0.2828 1.0312 4.2503   
##   
## Coefficients:  
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)   
## (Intercept) -0.66193 2.43410 -0.272 0.785821   
## school 0.47055 0.35673 1.319 0.187990   
## sex 0.14948 0.22891 0.653 0.514155   
## age -0.20047 0.09549 -2.099 0.036484 \*   
## address 0.02827 0.26222 0.108 0.914201   
## famsize 0.03095 0.22248 0.139 0.889450   
## Pstatus -0.14999 0.33034 -0.454 0.650066   
## Medu 0.12916 0.12933 0.999 0.318628   
## Fedu -0.12667 0.11963 -1.059 0.290371   
## Mjob 0.01978 0.09353 0.212 0.832588   
## Fjob -0.11456 0.11787 -0.972 0.331746   
## reason 0.07309 0.08347 0.876 0.381771   
## guardian 0.08313 0.19626 0.424 0.672130   
## traveltime 0.10412 0.15338 0.679 0.497671   
## studytime -0.11183 0.13058 -0.856 0.392331   
## failures -0.20758 0.15172 -1.368 0.172099   
## schoolsup 0.49208 0.31227 1.576 0.115939   
## famsup 0.15866 0.21972 0.722 0.470695   
## paid 0.05743 0.21723 0.264 0.791649   
## activities -0.37216 0.20205 -1.842 0.066314 .   
## nursery -0.22423 0.24797 -0.904 0.366457   
## higher 0.09953 0.48441 0.205 0.837325   
## internet -0.20259 0.28054 -0.722 0.470665   
## romantic -0.26683 0.21565 -1.237 0.216759   
## famrel 0.35177 0.11153 3.154 0.001745 \*\*   
## freetime 0.05260 0.10736 0.490 0.624470   
## goout 0.02773 0.10283 0.270 0.787535   
## Dalc -0.17804 0.14675 -1.213 0.225830   
## Walc 0.16969 0.11100 1.529 0.127190   
## health 0.07055 0.07269 0.971 0.332399   
## absences 0.04395 0.01310 3.356 0.000876 \*\*\*  
## G1 0.18886 0.05939 3.180 0.001600 \*\*   
## G2 0.95658 0.05203 18.384 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## ---  
## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1  
##   
## Residual standard error: 1.888 on 362 degrees of freedom  
## Multiple R-squared: 0.844, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8302   
## F-statistic: 61.2 on 32 and 362 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Analysis: Through a simple linear regression model, we are able to see that the age, family relationship, absences, G1 grades and G2 grades are significant to the final grades G3.

## High correlation between each attribute will be removed for math class

mathcorrelationMatrix <- cor(mathclass[,1:32])  
mathhighlycorrelated <- findCorrelation(mathcorrelationMatrix, cutoff=0.5)  
print(mathhighlycorrelated)

## [1] 7 32 28

Analysis: The correlation matrix has demonstrated that Mothers education, Weekend Alcohol consumption, G2 are highly correlated with other attributes in the dataset. Therefore, I've eliminated these attributes from further analysis. Cut off is set to another over 75% correlated.

Overall results from Explanatory Analysis: I've found through explanatory analysis, both math and portuegese classes show similar or the same trend. Therefore, I've decided to move forward with only analyzing the students enrolled into the math class to narrow my focus on one dataset.

## What attributes are important in this dataset by a rank

mathcontrol <- trainControl(method="repeatedcv", number=10, repeats=3)  
mathmodelknn <- train(G3~., data=mathclass[,c(1:6, 8:27, 29:31, 33)], method="kknn", preProcess="scale", trControl=mathcontrol)

## Loading required package: kknn

##   
## Attaching package: 'kknn'

## The following object is masked from 'package:caret':  
##   
## contr.dummy

gbmIMPknn <- varImp(mathmodelknn, scale = FALSE)  
print(gbmIMPknn)

Analysis: One of the methods we used to to train and test is the KNN model, we are able to produce the top 20 attributes ranked by importance. However, what does other methods tell us? Same ranks or different?

mathcontrol <- trainControl(method="repeatedcv", number=10, repeats=3)  
mathmodellm <- train(G3~., data=mathclass[,c(1:6, 8:27, 29:31, 33)], method="lm", preProcess="scale", trControl=mathcontrol)  
gbmIMPlm <- varImp(mathmodellm, scale = FALSE)  
print(gbmIMPlm)

## lm variable importance  
##   
## only 20 most important variables shown (out of 29)  
##   
## Overall  
## G1 23.2310  
## absences 2.7794  
## age 2.7277  
## romantic 2.7126  
## schoolsup 2.1175  
## paid 1.8790  
## failures 1.6206  
## school 1.5873  
## Fedu 1.3574  
## famrel 1.3151  
## reason 1.2854  
## Pstatus 1.2106  
## sex 1.1933  
## traveltime 1.1162  
## activities 1.1001  
## address 0.9618  
## studytime 0.8323  
## nursery 0.7474  
## famsize 0.5386  
## internet 0.5359

Analysis: Using kknn and lm methods show that the ranking of the attributes are the same.

## Feature Selection for Math Class

mathcontrol2 <- rfeControl(functions=rfFuncs, method="cv", number=10)  
results <- rfe(mathclass[,c(1:6, 8:27, 29:31)], mathclass[,33], sizes=c(1:20), rfeControl = mathcontrol2)  
r1 <-predictors(results)  
print(r1)

## [1] "G1" "absences" "failures" "schoolsup" "age"   
## [6] "higher" "romantic" "guardian" "goout" "Mjob"   
## [11] "activities" "paid" "traveltime" "school" "Pstatus"   
## [16] "sex" "address" "famsize"

Analysis: Based on this Feature Selection method, we see that failures, absences, higher, schoolsup, goout, age, Mjob etc. are the attributes that are used in many combination models that perform with accuracy. Therefore these attributes will be used to create a Bayesian Network.

## Creation of a Bayesian Network for the Math Class


## based on the important attributes found from the Feature Selection analysis

math\_dag <- gs(mathclass[, c(r1,"G3")])

## Warning in FUN(newX[, i], ...): vstructure G1 -> G3 <- romantic is  
## not applicable, because one or both arcs are oriented in the opposite  
## direction.

## Warning in FUN(newX[, i], ...): vstructure age -> absences <- romantic  
## is not applicable, because one or both arcs are oriented in the opposite  
## direction.

graphviz.plot(math\_dag)




##Testing different Bayesian network methods  
math\_dag2 <- iamb(mathclass[, c(r1,"G3")])

## Warning in FUN(newX[, i], ...): vstructure age -> absences <- romantic  
## is not applicable, because one or both arcs are oriented in the opposite  
## direction.

## Warning in FUN(newX[, i], ...): vstructure age -> G3 <- romantic is  
## not applicable, because one or both arcs are oriented in the opposite  
## direction.

## Warning in FUN(newX[, i], ...): vstructure schoolsup -> G1 <- G3 is  
## not applicable, because one or both arcs are oriented in the opposite  
## direction.

graphviz.plot(math\_dag2)




Analysis: Based on the two results of the Bayesian Network, we can conclude that the attribute activities has no significant relationship with other attributes that we focused on. Given our prior analysis that we conducted in the Attribute ranking algorithm, the attribute activities was not listed in the top 20.


Based on the Bayesian Network, we can see that the students' final grades, G3, are affected by G1, and romantic. However, we see that using iamb method gives us G1, romantic and age.

## Casuality of Student final grades

suffStat <- list(C = cor(mathclass[, c(r1,"G3")]), n = nrow(mathclass[, c(r1,"G3")]))  
skelpc.fit <- skeleton(suffStat, indepTest = gaussCItest, p = ncol(mathclass[, c(r1,"G3")]), alpha = 0.05)  
pc.fit <- pc(suffStat, indepTest = gaussCItest, p = ncol(mathclass[, c(r1,"G3")]), alpha = 0.05)  
plot(skelpc.fit, main = "Estimated Dag")



plot(pc.fit, main = "True Dag")



Analysis: We are able to see that the two causes of the students final grading (1) is romantic involvement (6) and their first grading evaluation in the course.