

## 12.9 — Dynamic casting

BY ALEX ON NOVEMBER 22ND, 2016 | LAST MODIFIED BY ALEX ON NOVEMBER 5TH, 2017

Way back in lesson [4.4a -- Explicit type conversion \(casting\)](#), we examined the concept of casting, and the use of `static_cast` to convert variables from type to another.

In this lesson, we'll continue by examining another type of cast: `dynamic_cast`.

### The need for `dynamic_cast`

When dealing with polymorphism, you'll often encounter cases where you have a pointer to a base class, but you want to access some information that exists only in a derived class.

Consider the following (slightly contrived) program:

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string>
3
4  class Base
5  {
6  protected:
7      int m_value;
8
9  public:
10     Base(int value)
11         : m_value(value)
12     {
13     }
14
15     virtual ~Base() {}
16 };
17
18 class Derived : public Base
19 {
20 protected:
21     std::string m_name;
22
23 public:
24     Derived(int value, std::string name)
25         : Base(value), m_name(name)
26     {
27     }
28
29     const std::string& getName() { return m_name; }
30 };
31
32 Base* getObject(bool bReturnDerived)
33 {
34     if (bReturnDerived)
35         return new Derived(1, "Apple");
36     else
37         return new Base(2);
38 }
39
40 int main()
41 {
42     Base *b = getObject(true);
43
44     // how do we print the Derived object's name here, having only a Base pointer?
45
46     delete b;
47
48     return 0;
```

In this program, function `getObject()` always returns a `Base` pointer, but that pointer may be pointing to either a `Base` or a `Derived` object. In the case where the pointer is pointing to a `Derived` object, how would we call `Derived::getName()`?

One way would be to add a virtual function to `Base` called `getName()` (so we could call it with a `Base` object, and have it dynamically resolve to `Derived::getName()`). But what would this function return if you called it with a `Base` object? There isn't really any value that makes sense. Furthermore, we would be polluting our `Base` class with things that really should only be the concern of the `Derived` class.

We know that C++ will implicitly let you convert a `Derived` pointer into a `Base` pointer (in fact, `getObject()` does just that). This process is sometimes called **upcasting**. However, what if there was a way to convert a `Base` pointer back into a `Derived` pointer? Then we could call `Derived::getName()` directly using that pointer, and not have to worry about virtual function resolution at all.

### dynamic\_cast

C++ provides a casting operator named **dynamic\_cast** that can be used for just this purpose. Although dynamic casts have a few different capabilities, by far the most common use for dynamic casting is for converting base-class pointers into derived-class pointers. This process is called **downcasting**.

Using `dynamic_cast` works just like `static_cast`. Here's our example `main()` from above, using a `dynamic_cast` to convert our `Base` pointer back into a `Derived` pointer:

```
1  int main()
2  {
3      Base *b = getObject(true);
4
5      Derived *d = dynamic_cast<Derived*>(b); // use dynamic cast to convert Base pointer into Derived
6      d pointer
7
8      std::cout << "The name of the Derived is: " << d->getName() << '\n';
9
10     delete b;
11
12     return 0;
13 }
```

This prints:

The name of the Derived is: Apple

### dynamic\_cast failure

The above example works because `b` is actually pointing to a `Derived` object, so converting `b` into a `Derived` pointer is successful.

However, we've made quite a dangerous assumption: that `b` is pointing to a `Derived` object. What if `b` wasn't pointing to a `Derived` object? This is easily tested by changing the argument to `getObject()` from `true` to `false`. In that case, `getObject()` will return a `Base` pointer to a `Base` object. When we try to `dynamic_cast` that to a `Derived`, it will fail, because the conversion can't be made.

If a `dynamic_cast` fails, the result of the conversion will be a null pointer.

Because we haven't checked for a null pointer result, we access `d->getName()`, which will try to dereference a null pointer, leading to undefined behavior (probably a crash).

In order to make this program safe, we need to ensure the result of the `dynamic_cast` actually succeeded:

```
1  int main()
2  {
3      Base *b = getObject(true);
4
5      Derived *d = dynamic_cast<Derived*>(b); // use dynamic cast to convert Base pointer into Derived
6      d pointer
7
8      if (d) // make sure d is non-null
9          std::cout << "The name of the Derived is: " << d->getName() << '\n';
```

```

10
11     delete b;
12
13     return 0;
    }

```

*Rule: Always ensure your dynamic casts actually succeeded by checking for a null pointer result.*

Note that Because `dynamic_cast` does some consistency checking at runtime (to ensure the conversion can be made), use of `dynamic_cast` does incur a performance penalty.

Also note that there are several cases where downcasting using `dynamic_cast` will not work:

- 1) With protected or private inheritance.
- 2) For classes that do not declare or inherit any virtual functions (and thus don't have a virtual table).
- 3) In certain cases involving virtual base classes (see [this page](#) for an example of some of these cases, and how to resolve them).

## Downcasting with `static_cast`

It turns out that downcasting can also be done with `static_cast`. The main difference is that `static_cast` does no runtime type checking to ensure that what you're doing makes sense. This makes using `static_cast` faster, but more dangerous. If you cast a `Base*` to a `Derived*`, it will "succeed" even if the `Base` pointer isn't pointing to a `Derived` object. This will result in undefined behavior when you try to access the resulting `Derived` pointer (that is actually pointing to a `Base` object).

If you're absolutely sure that the pointer you're downcasting will succeed, then using `static_cast` is acceptable. One way to ensure that you know what type of object you're pointing to is to use a virtual function. Here's one (not great because it uses a global variable) way to do that:

```

1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string>
3
4  // Class identifier
5  enum ClassID
6  {
7      BASE,
8      DERIVED
9      // Others can be added here later
10 };
11
12 class Base
13 {
14 protected:
15     int m_value;
16
17 public:
18     Base(int value)
19         : m_value(value)
20     {
21     }
22
23     virtual ~Base() {}
24     virtual ClassID getClassID() { return BASE; }
25 };
26
27 class Derived : public Base
28 {
29 protected:
30     std::string m_name;
31
32 public:
33     Derived(int value, std::string name)
34         : Base(value), m_name(name)
35     {
36     }
37
38     std::string& getName() { return m_name; }
39     virtual ClassID getClassID() { return DERIVED; }

```

```

40
41 };
42
43 Base* getObject(bool bReturnDerived)
44 {
45     if (bReturnDerived)
46         return new Derived(1, "Apple");
47     else
48         return new Base(2);
49 }
50
51 int main()
52 {
53     Base *b = getObject(true);
54
55     if (b->getClassID() == DERIVED)
56     {
57         // We already proved b is pointing to a Derived object, so this should always succeed
58         Derived *d = static_cast<Derived*>(b);
59         std::cout << "The name of the Derived is: " << d->getName() << '\n';
60     }
61
62     delete b;
63
64     return 0;
65 }

```

But if you're going to go through all of the trouble to implement this (and pay the cost of calling a virtual function and processing the result), you might as well just use `dynamic_cast`.

### **dynamic\_cast and references**

Although all of the above examples show dynamic casting of pointers (which is more common), `dynamic_cast` can also be used with references. This works analogously to how `dynamic_cast` works with pointers.

```

1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string>
3
4  class Base
5  {
6  protected:
7      int m_value;
8
9  public:
10     Base(int value)
11         : m_value(value)
12     {
13     }
14
15     virtual ~Base() {}
16 };
17
18 class Derived : public Base
19 {
20 protected:
21     std::string m_name;
22
23 public:
24     Derived(int value, std::string name)
25         : Base(value), m_name(name)
26     {
27     }
28
29     const std::string& getName() { return m_name; }
30 };
31
32 int main()

```

```

33 {
34     Derived apple(1, "Apple"); // create an apple
35     Base &b = apple; // set base reference to object
36     Derived &d = dynamic_cast<Derived&>(b); // dynamic cast using a reference instead of a pointer
37
38     std::cout << "The name of the Derived is: " << d.getName() << '\n'; // we can access Derived::getNa
39     me through d
40
41     return 0;
42 }

```

Because C++ does not have a “null reference”, `dynamic_cast` can’t return a null reference upon failure. Instead, if the `dynamic_cast` of a reference fails, an exception of type `std::bad_cast` is thrown. We talk about exceptions later in this tutorial.

### dynamic\_cast vs static\_cast

New programmers are sometimes confused about when to use `static_cast` vs `dynamic_cast`. The answer is quite simple: use `static_cast` unless you’re downcasting, in which case `dynamic_cast` is usually a better choice. However, you should also consider avoiding casting altogether and just using virtual functions.

### Downcasting vs virtual functions

There are some developers who believe `dynamic_cast` is evil and indicative of a bad class design. Instead, these programmers say you should use virtual functions.

In general, using a virtual function *should* be preferred over downcasting. However, there are times when downcasting is the better choice:

- When you can not modify the base class to add a virtual function (e.g. because the base class is part of the standard library)
- When you need access to something that is derived-class specific (e.g. an access function that only exists in the derived class)
- When adding a virtual function to your base class doesn’t make sense (e.g. there is no appropriate value for the base class to return). Using a pure virtual function may be an option here if you don’t need to instantiate the base class.



[12.10 -- Printing inherited classes using operator<<](#)



[Index](#)



[12.8 -- Object slicing](#)

### Share this:



[C++ TUTORIAL](#) | [PRINT THIS POST](#)

## 27 comments to 12.9 — Dynamic casting



johnsmith29a

[June 3, 2018 at 8:59 am](#) · [Reply](#)

"Also note that there are several cases where downcasting using `dynamic_cast` will not work:  
2) For classes that do not declare or inherit any virtual functions (and thus don’t have a virtual table)."