

Objective

- ▶ Investigate the effectiveness of CDR for prioritising partner services/contact tracing
- ▶ Quantify the effectiveness of CDR-based allocation of partner services relative to other strategies

Approach

- ▶ Develop a network-based stochastic branching process model to describe the spread of HIV transmission clusters in MSM
- ▶ Simulate HIV evolution and genetic distances in clusters
- ▶ Identify how quickly clusters can be detected
- ▶ Simulate variable rates of sampling, variable time to diagnosis, variable time to sequencing, and variable time to intervention
- ▶ Simultaneously consider alternative ways of prioritising patients for intervention:
 - ▶ Random allocation amongst new diagnoses
 - ▶ RITA based methods (prioritising recent infections)
 - ▶ Network-based interventions (prioritise new diagnoses with more self-reported recent partners)

Model

Population structure

- ▶ Dynamic network model with three modes of contact:
 - ▶ Long-term partnerships (mean duration 7 mo's)
 - ▶ Casual partnerships (mean duration 2 mo's)
 - ▶ One-off contacts
- ▶ Joint distribution of contact types calibrated to US MSM ARTNet survey data (Weiss et al, Epidemics 2020)

Model

Dynamics

- ▶ Continuous time stochastic branching process
- ▶ Simulation progresses using Gillespie exact simulation with competing rates for the following events:
 - ▶ Changing partnerships
 - ▶ One-off contacts
 - ▶ Transmission
 - ▶ Diagnosis
 - ▶ Treatment
 - ▶ Sequencing
 - ▶ Progression to AIDS and death
- ▶ Transmissibility per contact follows viral loads resulting in time-dependent rate of transmission per partnership
- ▶ Diagnosis & treatment further modify transmission rate

Model

Interventions

- ▶ Intervention effectiveness is measured in terms of how quickly diagnosis is accelerated by partner services/contact tracing.
- ▶ Developed a metric for the cumulative undiagnosed time averted (UTA) per patient
- ▶ Cluster-based prioritisation studied with genetic distance thresholds from 0.5% to 2% and cluster size thresholds from 2-5
 - ▶ Not currently considering cluster growth. Should we?
- ▶ Network-based prioritisation uses a threshold self-reported cumulative partners over 7 months
- ▶ Intervention is modelled with exponential (90 day) delays
- ▶ Sequencing turnaround times are based on San Diego cohort data and are relatively short

Model

Calibration

- ▶ All model parameters are based when possible on R Goyal et al's individual-based model:
https://github.com/mathematica-pub/abm_hiv
- ▶ Contact rates per partnership were calibrated to yeild stable incidence ($R_0 = 1$)