# Programming Languages and Compiler Design Natural Operational Semantics of Languages Block and Proc

Yliès Falcone, Jean-Claude Fernandez

Master of Sciences in Informatics at Grenoble (MoSIG) Univ. Grenoble Alpes (Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble INP)

Academic Year 2015 - 2016

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedures

Motivating Examples

**Preliminaries** 

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

Extending the Syntax of **While** with Blocks and Procedures
Language **Block**Language **Proc** 

Motivating Examples

**Preliminaries** 

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedures
Language Block
Language Proc

Motivating Examples

**Preliminaries** 

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

## Blocks and variable declarations: syntax

Extending language While.

### Definition (Language Block)

$$S \in Stm$$
  
 $S ::= x := a \mid skip \mid S; S \mid if b then S else S fi \mid while b do S od \mid begin  $D_V S$  end$ 

### Definition (Syntactic category $\mathbf{Dec}_V$ )

$$D_V ::= \text{var } x; \ D_V \mid \text{var } x := a; \ D_V \mid \epsilon$$

# Example of program in **Block**

## Example (Example of program in **Block**)

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{begin} & \operatorname{var} y := 1; \\ & \operatorname{var} x := 1; \\ & \operatorname{begin} & \operatorname{var} x := 2 \\ & y := x + 1 \\ & \operatorname{end}; \\ & x := y + x \end{array} end
```

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedures
Language Block
Language Proc

Motivating Examples

**Preliminaries** 

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

## Introducing Procedures in the syntax

Extending **Block** with procedure declarations.

#### Definition (Language Proc)

Statements

$$S \in \mathbf{Stm}$$
  
 $S ::= x := a \mid \text{skip} \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \text{ fi} \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } S \text{ od} \mid \text{begin } D_V D_P S \text{ end } \mid \text{call } p$ 

Variable declarations:

$$D_V$$
 ::= var  $x$ ;  $D_V \mid \text{var } x$  :=  $a$ ;  $D_V \mid \epsilon$ 

## Definition (Syntactic category $\mathbf{Dec}_P$ )

$$D_P ::= \operatorname{proc} p \text{ is } S; D_P \mid \epsilon$$

## Example: a program with procedures

## Example (Program in **Proc**)

```
begin var x := 0;

var y := 1;

proc p is x := x * 2;

proc q is call p;

begin var x := 5;

proc p is x := x + 1;

call q; y := x;

end;
```

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedure

**Motivating Examples** 

**Preliminaries** 

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

## Example of program in **Block**

### Example (Program in **Block**)

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{begin} & \operatorname{var} y := 1; \\ & \operatorname{var} x := 1; \\ & \operatorname{begin} & \operatorname{var} x := 2 \\ & y := x + 1 \\ & \operatorname{end}; \\ & x := y + x \\ \operatorname{end} \end{array}
```

#### Questions:

- 1. Are the declarations active during declaration execution?
- 2. Which order to choose when executing the declarations?
- 3. Do we need to restore the initial state?
- 4. If so, how to restore the initial state?

## Example of program in **Proc**

### Example (Program in **Proc**)

```
begin var \mathbf{x} := 0;

var \mathbf{y} := 1;

proc \mathbf{p} is \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} * 2;

proc \mathbf{q} is call \mathbf{p};

begin var \mathbf{x} := 5;

proc \mathbf{p} is \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} + 1;

call \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y} := \mathbf{x};

end;
```

What is the final value of y?

### Example: a program with procedures

Example (Dynamic binding for variables and procedures)

```
begin var x := 0;

var y := 1;

proc p is x := x * 2;

proc q is call p;

begin var x := 5;

proc p is x := x + 1;

call q; y := x;

end;
```

We need to have some "memorization" of the current "procedure mapping"

```
\hookrightarrow when we call q we call p and modify x
```

## Example: a program with procedures

#### Example (Static binding for procedures)

```
begin var x := 0;

var y := 1;

proc p is x := x * 2;

proc q is call p;

begin var x := 5;

proc p is x := x + 1;

call q; y := x;

end;
```

#### We need to:

- ► have some "memorization" of the current "procedure mapping" that "remembers the current procedure definitions when it has been defined"
- $\hookrightarrow$  when we call q we call p and modify x

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedures

Motivating Examples

#### **Preliminaries**

Notations

Revisiting the Semantics of Language While

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedures

Motivating Examples

#### **Preliminaries**

Notations

Revisiting the Semantics of Language While

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

## Some preliminary notations: stacks

We use a stack structure to manage local declarations.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of (partial) functions.

#### Definition (Stack notations)

- ▶ The set of stacks over  $\mathcal{F}$  is noted  $\mathcal{F}^*$ .
- ▶ Elements of  $\mathcal{F}^*$  are noted  $\hat{f}, \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2...$
- ▶ The empty stack is denoted by  $\emptyset$ .

#### Remark A stack can be seen as a sequence where:

- ▶ the push operation consists in appending to the right,
- ▶ the pop operation consists in suppressing from the right.

Remark When a stack  $\hat{f}$  is reduced to one element we use sometimes notation f instead of  $\hat{f}$ .

# Some preliminary notations: stacks (ctd)

#### Definition (Evaluation on stacks)

Evaluation is defined inductively on stacks:

$$(\hat{f} \oplus f')(x) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} f'(x) & \mbox{if } x \in {\tt Dom}(f'), \\ \hat{f}(x) & \mbox{otherwise}. \end{array} \right.$$

 $(\hat{f} \oplus f')$  is the stack resulting in pushing local function f' to stack  $\hat{f}$ .)

▶  $\emptyset(x) = \text{undef}$ .

**Remark** Consider the stack  $\hat{f} = \hat{f}_1 \oplus \hat{f}_2$ ,  $\hat{f}_1$  is a prefix of  $\hat{f}$ .

Definition (Substitution (reminder))

$$f[y \mapsto v](x) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } x = y, \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedures

Motivating Examples

#### **Preliminaries**

Notations

Revisiting the Semantics of Language While

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

#### Semantic domains

States are replaced by a symbol table plus a memory:

- a symbol table associates a memory address to a variable (an identifier);
- a memory associates a value to an address.

## Definition (Symbol table: variable environment)

$$\mathsf{Env}_V = \mathsf{Var} \overset{\mathit{part.}}{\to} \mathsf{Loc} \ni \rho$$

Thus,  $\hat{\rho}$  denotes a stack of tables.

### Definition (Memory)

**Store** = **Loc** 
$$\overset{part.}{\rightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \ni \sigma$$

Intuition: function state corresponds to  $\sigma \circ \hat{\rho}$ .

Notation: new() is a function that returns a fresh memory location.

# Semantic functions for arithmetical and boolean expressions

#### Definition (Semantic function for arithmetical expressions)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}: \mathbf{Aexp} & \rightarrow ((\mathbf{Env}_V{}^* \times \mathbf{Store}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathcal{A}[n](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) & = \mathcal{N}[n] \\ \mathcal{A}[x](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) & = \sigma(\hat{\rho}(x)) \\ \mathcal{A}[a_1 + a_2](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) & = \mathcal{A}[a_1](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) +_I \mathcal{A}[a_2](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) \\ \mathcal{A}[a_1 * a_2](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) & = \mathcal{A}[a_1](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) *_I \mathcal{A}[a_2](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) \\ \mathcal{A}[a_1 - a_2](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) & = \mathcal{A}[a_1](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) -_I \mathcal{A}[a_2](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) \end{split}$$

#### Exercise

Give the semantic function for boolean expressions.

# Transition rules for assignment, skip, and sequential composition

## Definition (Transition system for While)

Configurations:

$$\mathsf{Stm} \times \mathsf{Env}_V^* \times \mathsf{Store} \cup \mathsf{Store}$$

Transitions:

Assignment:

$$(x := a, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma[\hat{\rho}(x) \mapsto \mathcal{A}[a](\hat{\rho}, \sigma)]$$

Skip:

$$(\mathsf{skip}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma$$

Sequential composition:

$$\frac{(S_1, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma' \quad (S_2, \hat{\rho}, \sigma') \to \sigma''}{(S_1; S_2, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma''}$$

#### Transition rules for while and if

### Definition (Transition system for While)

- While:
  - if  $\mathcal{B}[b](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) = \mathbf{tt}$

$$\frac{(\mathcal{S}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma', \quad \text{(while } b \text{ do } \mathcal{S} \text{ od }, \hat{\rho}, \sigma') \to \sigma''}{\text{(while } b \text{ do } \mathcal{S} \text{ od }, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma''}$$

• if  $\mathcal{B}[b](\hat{\rho}, \sigma) = \mathbf{ff}$ 

(while 
$$b$$
 do  $S$  od  $,\hat{
ho},\sigma)
ightarrow\sigma$ 

#### Exercise

Give the rules for the if ... then ... else ... fi statement.

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedure

Motivating Examples

**Preliminaries** 

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

#### To define the semantics, we define:

- ▶ a transition system for declarations, and
- an extended transition system for statements.

#### Definition (Transition system for Variable Declarations)

► Configurations:

$$(\mathbf{Dec}_V \times \mathbf{Env}_V^* \times \mathbf{Env}_V \times \mathbf{Store}) \cup (\mathbf{Env}_V \times \mathbf{Store})$$
 (i.e., of the form  $(D_v, \hat{\rho}, \rho', \sigma)$  or  $(\rho', \sigma)$ )

To define the semantics, we define:

- ▶ a transition system for declarations, and
- an extended transition system for statements.

#### Definition (Transition system for Variable Declarations)

▶ Transitions given by the transition relation  $\rightarrow_D$  (where I = new()):

$$(\epsilon, \hat{\rho}, \rho', \sigma) \rightarrow_{D} (\rho', \sigma)$$

$$\frac{(D_V, \hat{\rho}, \rho[x \mapsto l], \sigma) \to_D (\rho', \sigma')}{(\text{var } x; \ D_V, \hat{\rho}, \rho, \sigma) \to_D (\rho', \sigma')}$$

$$\frac{(D_V, \hat{\rho}, \rho[x \mapsto I], \sigma[I \mapsto \mathcal{A}[a](\hat{\rho} \oplus \rho, \sigma)]) \to_D (\rho', \sigma')}{(\text{var } x := a; \ D_V, \hat{\rho}, \rho, \sigma) \to_D (\rho', \sigma')}$$

( $\hat{\rho}$  means that the global env. is used to evaluate expressions.) ( $\rho$  means that the local env. is used to evaluate expressions.)

If we allow only declarations of the form var x:

$$D_V ::= \text{var } x; \ D_V \mid \epsilon$$

Then, the transition system for declarations can be simplified.

#### Definition (Transition system for Variable Declarations)

► Configurations:

$$(\mathsf{Dec}_V \times \mathsf{Env}_V) \cup \mathsf{Env}_V$$

(i.e., of the form  $(D_{\nu}, \rho)$  or  $\rho$ )

▶ Transitions given by the transition relation  $\rightarrow_D$  (where I = new()):

$$(\epsilon, \rho') \rightarrow_D \rho'$$

$$\frac{(D_V, \rho[x \mapsto l]) \to_D \rho'}{(\text{var } x; \ D_V, \rho) \to_D \rho'}$$

To define the semantics we define:

- a transition system for declarations, and
- a transition system for statements.

#### Definition (Natural Semantics for statements of **Block**)

► Configurations:

$$\mathsf{Stm} \times \mathsf{Env}_V^* \times \mathsf{Store} \cup \mathsf{Store}$$

► Transitions:

$$\frac{\left(D_{V},\hat{\rho},\emptyset,\sigma\right)\rightarrow_{D}\left(\rho_{I},\sigma'\right)\quad\left(S,\hat{\rho}\oplus\rho_{I},\sigma'\right)\rightarrow\sigma''}{\left(\mathsf{begin}\ D_{V}\ S\ \mathsf{end},\hat{\rho},\sigma\right)\rightarrow\sigma''}$$

#### Execution of one statement of **Block**

```
Example
 begin var v := 1;
           var \times := 1:
           begin var x := 2 y := x + 1 end
           x := v + x
 end
Let us note:
  ▶ D_{V_0}: var y := 1; var x := 1;
  ▶ S_0: (begin var x := 2 y := x + 1 end); x := y + x
  • S_{00}: (begin var x := 2 y := x + 1 end)
  \triangleright S_{01}: x := v + x
  \triangleright D_{V_1}: \text{var } x := 2

ightharpoonup S_1 = v := x + 1
Let us compute a derivation tree of root
(begin D_{V_0} S_0 end, \hat{\rho}_0, \sigma_0) \to \sigma_0'' starting from \sigma_0 = \emptyset, \hat{\rho}_0 = \emptyset.
```

## Execution of one statement of **Block** (ctd)

Rule of block

$$\frac{(D_{V_0}, \hat{\rho}_0, \emptyset, \sigma_0) \to_D (\rho_1, \sigma_1) \quad (S_0, \hat{\rho}_0 \oplus \rho_1, \sigma_1) \to \sigma_0''}{(\text{begin } D_{V_0} \quad S_0 \text{ end, } \hat{\rho}_0, \sigma_0) \to \sigma_0''}$$

Rules of sequential composition and block

$$\frac{\left(D_{V_1},\hat{\rho}_1,\emptyset,\sigma_1\right)\rightarrow_D\left(\rho_2,\sigma_2\right)\quad\left(S_1,\hat{\rho}_1\oplus\rho_2,\sigma_2\right)\rightarrow\sigma_3}{\left(S_{00},\hat{\rho}_0\oplus\rho_1,\sigma_1\right)\rightarrow\sigma_3}\quad\left(S_{01},\hat{\rho}_0\oplus\rho_1,\sigma_3\right)\rightarrow\sigma_0''}{\left(S_0,\hat{\rho}_0\oplus\rho_1,\sigma_1\right)\rightarrow\sigma_0''}$$

where

$$\rho_{0} = \emptyset 
\rho_{1} = [y \mapsto l_{1}, x \mapsto l_{2}] 
\sigma_{1} = [l_{1} \mapsto 1, l_{2} \mapsto 1] 
\hat{\rho}_{1} = \hat{\rho}_{0} \oplus \rho_{1} = \emptyset \oplus \rho_{1} = \rho_{1} 
\rho_{2} = [x \mapsto l_{3}] 
\sigma_{2} = [l_{1} \mapsto 1, l_{2} \mapsto 1, l_{3} \mapsto 2] 
\sigma_{3} = [l_{1} \mapsto 3, l_{2} \mapsto 1, l_{3} \mapsto 2] 
\sigma''_{0} = [l_{1} \mapsto 3, l_{2} \mapsto 4, l_{3} \mapsto 2]$$

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedures

Motivating Examples

**Preliminaries** 

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

## Dynamic bindings: remember the intuition

Example (Dynamic binding for variables and procedures)

```
begin var x := 0;

var y := 1

proc p is x := x * 2;

proc q is call p;

begin var x := 5;

proc p is x := x + 1;

call q; y := x;

end;
```

We need to have some "memorization" of the current "procedure mapping"

```
\hookrightarrow when we call q we call p and modify x
```

# Semantics with dynamic bindings

Procedure names belong to a syntactic category called **Pname**.

```
\mathsf{Env}_V = \mathsf{Var} \overset{\mathit{part}}{\to} \mathsf{Loc} \ni \rho Variable environment
```

$$\mathsf{Store} \ = \ \mathsf{Loc} \overset{\mathit{part.}}{\to} \mathbb{Z} \ni \sigma \qquad \qquad \mathsf{Store}$$

$$\mathbf{Env}_P = \mathbf{Pname} \xrightarrow{part.} \mathbf{Stm} \ni \lambda \quad \mathsf{Procedure environment}$$

#### Example (Environment)

- ▶  $[p \mapsto x := x + 1]$ : procedure name p is associated to statement x := x + 1.
- ▶  $[q \mapsto \text{call } p]$ : procedure name q is associated to procedure call to p.

## Semantics with dynamic bindings: transition system

Configurations:  $(\mathbf{Stm} \times \mathbf{Env}_P^* \times \mathbf{Env}_V^* \times \mathbf{Store}) \cup \mathbf{Store}$ Transition rules:

$$\frac{(D_V, \hat{\rho}, \emptyset, \sigma) \to_D (\rho_I, \sigma') \ (S, \hat{\lambda} \oplus \mathsf{upd}(\emptyset, D_P), \hat{\rho} \oplus \rho_I, \sigma') \to \sigma''}{(\mathsf{begin}\ D_V\ D_P\ S\ \mathsf{end}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma''}$$

where

- $\operatorname{upd}(\lambda, \epsilon) = \lambda$  and
- ▶  $\operatorname{upd}(\lambda, \operatorname{proc} p \text{ is } S; D_P) = \operatorname{upd}(\lambda[p \mapsto S], D_P)$

$$\frac{(\hat{\lambda}(p), \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma'}{(\mathsf{call}\ p, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma'}$$

Updating the rule for sequential composition:

$$\frac{(S_1, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma' \ (S_2, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma') \to \sigma''}{(S_1; S_2, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma''}$$

Similarly, other rules are adapted in a straightforward manner...

## Static binding for procedures: remember the intuition

#### Example (Static binding for variables and procedures)

```
begin var \mathbf{x} := 0;

var \mathbf{y} := 1

proc \mathbf{p} is \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} * 2;

proc \mathbf{q} is call \mathbf{p};

begin var \mathbf{x} := 5;

proc \mathbf{p} is \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} + 1;

call \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y} := \mathbf{x};

end;
```

#### We need to:

- ► have some "memorization" of the current "procedure mapping" that "remembers the current procedure definitions when it has been defined"
- $\hookrightarrow$  when we call q we call p and modify x

# Semantics with static bindings

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{Env}_{V} & = & \mathbf{Var} \overset{part.}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{Loc} \ni \rho & \mathbf{Variable \ environment} \\ \mathbf{Store} & = & \mathbf{Loc} \overset{part.}{\rightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \ni \sigma & \mathbf{Store} \\ \mathbf{Env}_{P} & = & \mathbf{Pname} \overset{part.}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{Stm} \times \mathbf{Env}_{P}^{*} \times \mathbf{Env}_{V}^{*} \ni \rho & \mathbf{Procedure \ environment} \end{array}
```

#### Definition (Updating the procedure environment)

$$\mathsf{upd} : \mathsf{Env}_P^* \times \mathsf{Env}_V^* \times \mathsf{Env}_P \times \mathsf{Dec}_P \longrightarrow \mathsf{Env}_P$$

- $\operatorname{\mathsf{upd}}(\hat{\lambda}_{\mathsf{g}},\hat{\rho},\lambda_{\mathsf{I}},\epsilon)=\lambda_{\mathsf{I}}$ , and
- ▶ upd( $\hat{\lambda}_g$ ,  $\hat{\rho}$ ,  $\lambda_I$ , proc p is S;  $D_P$ ) = upd( $\hat{\lambda}_g$ ,  $\hat{\rho}$ ,  $\lambda_I[p \mapsto (S, \hat{\lambda}_g \oplus \lambda_I, \hat{\rho})], D_P$ ).

## Semantics with static bindings: transition system

Configurations:  $(\mathbf{Stm} \times \mathbf{Env}_P^* \times \mathbf{Env}_V^* \times \mathbf{Store}) \cup \mathbf{Store}$ 

Transition rules:

$$\frac{(D_V, \hat{\rho}, \emptyset, \sigma) \to_D (\rho_I, \sigma') \ (S, \hat{\lambda} \oplus \mathsf{upd}(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho} \oplus \rho_I, \emptyset, D_P), \hat{\rho} \oplus \rho_I, \sigma') \to \sigma''}{(\mathsf{begin} \ D_V \ D_P \ \ S \ \mathsf{end}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma''}$$

Procedure call:

[call] 
$$\frac{(S, \hat{\lambda}', \hat{\rho}', \sigma) \to \sigma''}{(\text{call } p, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\rho}, \sigma) \to \sigma''}$$

where  $\hat{\lambda}(p) = (S, \hat{\lambda}', \hat{\rho}')$ .

## Example dynamic bindings

### Example (Program in **Proc**)

```
begin
               D_{V_0} \begin{bmatrix} \text{var } x := 0; \\ \text{var } y := 1; \end{bmatrix}
               DP_0  proc p is x := x * 2; proc q is call p:
             S_0 begin DV_1 [ var x := 5; DP_1 [ proc p is x := x + 1; S_1 [ call q; y := x; end
end
```

## Derivation tree for dynamic case

$$\underbrace{ \gamma_1 \rightarrow (\rho_2, \sigma_2) \quad \overbrace{(S_1, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \sigma_0''}^{\mathcal{T}_1} }_{ \begin{array}{c} \gamma_0 \rightarrow (\rho_1, \sigma_1) \\ \end{array} \underbrace{ (S_0, \hat{\lambda}_1, \rho_1, \sigma_1) \rightarrow \sigma_0''}_{ \begin{array}{c} (\mathsf{begin} \ D_{V_0}; D_{P_0}; S_0 \ \mathsf{end}, \hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\rho}_0, \sigma_0) \rightarrow \sigma_0'' \end{array} }$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lll} \gamma_0 &=& (D_{V_0},\hat{\rho}_0,\emptyset,\sigma_0)\\ \hat{\lambda}_0 &=& \lambda_0=\emptyset\\ \hat{\rho}_0 &=& \rho_0=\emptyset\\ \sigma_0 &=& \emptyset\\ \gamma_1 &=& (D_{V_1},\hat{\rho}_1,\emptyset,\sigma_1)\\ \rho_1 &=& [x\mapsto l_1,y\mapsto l_2]\\ \sigma_1 &=& [l_1\mapsto 0,l_2\mapsto 1]\\ \hat{\lambda}_1 &=& \lambda_1=[p\mapsto x:=x*2,q\mapsto {\rm call}\ p]({\rm function\ upd})\\ \rho_2 &=& [x\mapsto l_3]\\ \sigma_2 &=& [l_1\mapsto 0,l_2\mapsto 1,l_3\mapsto 5]\\ \lambda_2 &=& [p\mapsto x:=x+1] \end{array}$$

## Derivation tree $T_1$ for dynamic case

$$\frac{\left(\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} + 1, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_2\right) \to \sigma_3}{\frac{\left(\mathsf{call} \ \boldsymbol{\rho}, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_2\right) \to \sigma_3}{\left(\mathsf{call} \ \boldsymbol{q}, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_2\right) \to \sigma_3}} \frac{\left(\mathbf{y} := \mathbf{x}, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_3\right) \to \sigma_0''}{\left(S_1, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_2\right) \to \sigma_0''}$$

where

$$\sigma_3 = [l_1 \mapsto 0, l_2 \mapsto 1, l_3 \mapsto 6] 
\sigma_0'' = [l_1 \mapsto 0, l_2 \mapsto 6, l_3 \mapsto 6]$$

## Example of static bindings

#### The only things that change are:

- ▶ function upd, and
- ightharpoonup derivation tree  $T_1$ .

#### Changes to function upd

$$\begin{array}{lll} \hat{\lambda}_{0} & = & \emptyset = \lambda_{0} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{01} & = & [p \mapsto (x := x * 2, \hat{\lambda}_{0}, \rho_{1})] = \lambda_{01} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{1} & = & [p \mapsto (x := x * 2, \hat{\lambda}_{0}, \rho_{1}), q \mapsto (\mathsf{call} \; p, \hat{\lambda}_{01}, \rho_{1})] = \lambda_{1} \\ \lambda_{2} & = & [p \mapsto (x := x + 1, \hat{\lambda}_{1}, \hat{\rho}_{1} \oplus \rho_{2})] \end{array}$$

30 | 30

## Derivation tree $T_1$ for the static case

$$\frac{(x := x * 2, \hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\rho}_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \sigma_3}{(\mathsf{call} \; p, \hat{\lambda}_{01}, \hat{\rho}_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \sigma_3}}{(\mathsf{call} \; q, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \sigma_3} \quad (y := x, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_3) \rightarrow \sigma_0''}$$
$$(S_0, \hat{\lambda}_1 \oplus \lambda_2, \hat{\rho}_1 \oplus \rho_2, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \sigma_0''}$$

where

$$\sigma_3 = [l_1 \mapsto 0, l_2 \mapsto 1, l_3 \mapsto 5] 
\sigma_0'' = [l_1 \mapsto 0, l_2 \mapsto 5, l_3 \mapsto 5]$$

Extending the Syntax of While with Blocks and Procedure

Motivating Examples

**Preliminaries** 

Natural Operational Semantics of Language Block

Natural Operational Semantics of Proc

## Summary

#### Summary Natural Operational Semantics

Definition of the programming languages While, Block, Proc:

- Syntax (inductive definitions of the syntactic categories)
- Semantics for arithmetical and Boolean expressions
- Semantics for statements
- Termination of programs
- Semantics of blocks (semantics of declaration)
- Semantics of procedures (environment for procedures):
  - dynamic link for variables and procedures
  - static link for variables and procedures (symbol table and a memory)
  - dynamic link for variables and static link for procedures
  - recursive vs non-recursive calls (in the tutorial)