Milestone 3

Abhay Mishra Ashok Saini Ashutosh Gagan

February 18, 2022

1 Conflicts

We are facing 4 reduce/reduce conflicts whose description are as follows. These generated using the -Wcex/-Wcounterexamples flag in bison (bison -Wcex parse.y)

```
parse.y: warning: 4 reduce/reduce conflicts [-Wconflicts-rr]
Conflict 1
parse.y: warning: reduce/reduce conflict on token '.' [-Wcounterexamples]
  Example: ID ● '.' ID
  First reduce derivation
    PrimaryExpr
     92: Operand
           107: OperandName
                  119: QualifiedIdent
                         120: PackageName '.' ID
                                3: ID ●
  Second reduce derivation
    PrimaryExpr
     94: PrimaryExpr
                                  Selector
                                   96: '.' ID
           92: Operand
                 107: OperandName
                        118: ID ●
Conflict 2
parse.y: warning: reduce/reduce conflict on token '{' [-Wcounterexamples]
Productions leading up to the conflict state found.
  First example: '{' '}' '}'
  First reduce derivation
    IfStmt
     166: '{' STAR_Statement_SC Block
                                142: '}' '}'
                142:
  Second example: ID • '{' '}' Block
  Second reduce derivation
    IfStmt
                                                                                     Block
     166: Expression
            51: UnaryExpr
                  53: PrimaryExpr
                        92: Operand
                              106: Literal
```

110: CompositeLit

```
121: LiteralType
                                                                     LiteralValue
                                                                       126: '{' '}'
                                                    125: TypeName
                                                           197: ID ●
Conflict 3
parse.y: warning: reduce/reduce conflict on token '{' [-Wcounterexamples]
Productions leading up to the conflict state found.
  First example: '{' '}' '}'
  First reduce derivation
    IfStmt
     166: '{' STAR_Statement_SC Block
                                142: '}' '}'
                142:
  Second example: QualifiedIdent • '{' '}' Block
  Second reduce derivation
    IfStmt
     166: Expression
                                                                                     Block
            51: UnaryExpr
                  53: PrimaryExpr
                        92: Operand
                              106: Literal
                                      110: CompositeLit
                                             121: LiteralType
                                                                            LiteralValue
                                                                              126: '{' '}'
                                                    125: TypeName
                                                           198: QualifiedIdent •
COnflict 4
parse.y: warning: reduce/reduce conflict on token '.' [-Wcounterexamples]
  Example: ID ● '.' ID
  First reduce derivation
   PrimaryExpr
     92: Operand
           107: OperandName
                  119: QualifiedIdent
                         120: PackageName '.' ID
                                 3: ID ●
  Second reduce derivation
    PrimaryExpr
     94: PrimaryExpr
                                   Selector
           92: Operand
                                    96: '.' ID
                 107: OperandName
                        118: ID ●
```

1.1 Conflict 1 and 4

- The first and fourth conflicts are related to Qualified Identifier. To use the functionalities of packages we use a specific format for eg Math.sin(). So, here Math is qualified identifier.
- However we would be using the '.' for in the primary expressions like accessing the struct.
- So our parser is unable to determine whether the token after '.' is qualified identifier or selector

1.2 Conflict 2 and 3

• We are not able to figure out the derivation of the counterexample shown by bison in theses conflicts. It seems unclear. We are still in the process of locating the inconsistency in the grammar that is causing this conflict.

2 Git show output

```
Author: gagan-aryan <gagan@iitk.ac.in>
Date: Fri Feb 18 23:42:02 2022 +0530
    update: milestone 3
diff --git a/src/automata.png b/docs/automata.png
similarity index 59%
rename from src/automata.png
rename to docs/automata.png
index 10bac47..76f1dcb 100644
Binary files a/src/automata.png and b/docs/automata.png differ
diff --git a/src/Makefile b/src/Makefile
index fae1f17..3d55b3f 100644
--- a/src/Makefile
:...skipping...
commit 4fec95c3002230a1b4aa3a3c229b002058be43ee (HEAD -> abhay, tag: parser)
Author: gagan-aryan <gagan@iitk.ac.in>
Date: Fri Feb 18 23:42:02 2022 +0530
    update: milestone 3
diff --git a/src/automata.png b/docs/automata.png
similarity index 59%
rename from src/automata.png
rename to docs/automata.png
index 10bac47..76f1dcb 100644
Binary files a/src/automata.png and b/docs/automata.png differ
diff --git a/src/Makefile b/src/Makefile
index fae1f17..3d55b3f 100644
--- a/src/Makefile
+++ b/src/Makefile
00 -1,13 +1,13 00
 all:
        bison -d -v -Wconflicts-sr parse.y
        bison -d -v parse.y
        bison --graph parse.y
        python3 label.py
        sfdp -x -Goverlap=scale -Tpng new_parse.gv > automata.png
        flex lex.1
        flex lex.1
        gcc -c -lfl parse.tab.c
        gcc -c -lfl lex.yy.c
        gcc parse.tab.o lex.yy.o -o parse
        gcc parse.tab.o lex.yy.o -o parse.o
        rm -rf parse.gv
 clean:
diff --git a/src/label.py b/src/label.py
index 9e763bb..d8f48d3 100644
--- a/src/label.py
+++ b/src/label.py
@@ -5,8 +5,6 @@ file2 = open('new_parse.gv', 'x')
```

lines = file1.readlines()