

The Lion of Bitlis: Abdal Khan, the Rozhîkî, and the Struggle for Autonomy on the Ottoman-Safavid Frontier

Executive Summary

This report provides an exhaustive analysis of the mid-17th-century revolt led by Abdal Khan, the Rozhîkî Emir of the Kurdish Principality of Bitlis. Situated precariously on the frontier between the Ottoman and Safavid Empires, the Emirate of Bitlis had long maintained a state of semi-autonomy, a strategic necessity for the Ottoman Empire during its century-long conflict with Persia. Abdal Khan, a figure of remarkable cultural sophistication and fierce independence, embodied the zenith of this autonomous power. Described by contemporaries as a polymath and the most powerful of Kurdish princes, his reign represented a challenge to the centralizing ambitions of the Ottoman state. The 1639 Treaty of Zuhâb, which stabilized the Ottoman-Safavid border, rendered the strategic utility of autonomous Kurdish emirates obsolete, setting the stage for conflict. The revolt of 1655, triggered by accusations of insubordination and illicit alliances, was the culmination of this geopolitical shift. Led by the Ottoman governor of Van, Melek Ahmed Pasha, a punitive expedition resulted in the sacking of Bitlis and the temporary deposition of Abdal Khan. Although briefly reinstated, his continued defiance led to his final removal, exile to Istanbul, and execution in 1667-68. This event marked the definitive end of the Bitlis Emirate's autonomy and signaled a fundamental transformation in Ottoman-Kurdish relations, away from negotiated suzerainty and towards direct imperial control. The legacy of Abdal Khan persists as a potent symbol of Kurdish independence, his story preserved largely through the detailed, albeit biased, eyewitness account of the Ottoman traveler Evliya Çelebi.

I. The Emirate of Bitlis: A Kurdish Principality Between Two Empires

The revolt of Abdal Khan in 1655 cannot be understood as an isolated incident of provincial insubordination. It was, rather, the violent culmination of centuries of unique political and cultural development, conditioned by the emirate's strategic geography. The Principality of Bitlis, a bastion of Kurdish power, owed its long-standing autonomy to its critical position as a

buffer between the rival Ottoman and Safavid Empires. This semi-independent status was a product of a specific imperial policy that, by the mid-17th century, had become strategically obsolete, setting the stage for a direct confrontation with an increasingly centralizing Ottoman state.

The Rozhîkî (Rojakî) Foundation

The Emirate of Bitlis was a historic Kurdish principality with deep roots in the region.¹ Its origins lay with the Rozhîkî (also spelled Rojaki or Rozagî) tribal confederation, which carved out a domain in the mountainous terrain of eastern Anatolia.² As early as the 10th century, Rozhîkî forces defeated the Georgian King David the Curopalate, conquering the strategic fortress-city of Bitlis and the nearby region of Sasun.² This victory established a durable political entity that would persist for nearly nine centuries.

The ruling dynasty of Bitlis was itself Rozhîkî, a lineage that produced one of the most important figures in Kurdish historiography, Sharaf Khan Bitlisi.⁴ His seminal work, the *Sharafnama* (1597), provides the most comprehensive history of the Kurdish dynasties and is a testament to the court's high level of cultural and intellectual life.⁶ Abdal Khan, born in the 1580s, was a direct descendant of this prestigious ruling house, a fact that endowed him with immense traditional legitimacy and authority among the Kurdish tribes of the region.⁸ The emirate's strength was not merely dynastic; it was founded upon the military power of its constituent tribes. These tribes provided a formidable and loyal cavalry force, making the emirs of Bitlis indispensable players in the complex politics of the frontier.⁶

A Precarious Autonomy in the Ottoman-Safavid Crucible

The political landscape of the 16th and 17th centuries in the Middle East was dominated by the protracted rivalry between the Sunni Ottoman Empire and the Shia Safavid Empire of Persia.¹¹ This conflict was not merely territorial but deeply ideological, and Kurdistan, with its strategic mountain passes and diverse population, became the primary theater of war.¹¹ The Kurdish emirates were caught directly between these two superpowers.

The Battle of Chaldiran in 1514 was a pivotal moment. The Ottoman Sultan Selim I decisively defeated the Safavid Shah Ismail I, and in the aftermath, the Ottoman state solidified its control over eastern Anatolia.⁶ A crucial element of this consolidation was an alliance, brokered by the Kurdish scholar Idris-i Bidlisi, with the semi-independent Kurdish emirs.¹⁵ In exchange for their allegiance and military service against the Safavids, the Ottoman Porte granted the Kurdish rulers, including the Rozhîkî of Bitlis, a special status. They were allowed to maintain hereditary rule and were granted significant administrative, judicial, and fiscal autonomy.¹⁶ This was a pragmatic arrangement for the Ottomans, who recognized the difficulty of imposing direct rule on the fiercely independent and geographically remote

Kurdish tribes.¹⁶ For over a century, this system of negotiated suzerainty defined Ottoman-Kurdish relations. The emirs of Bitlis skillfully navigated this delicate balance of power, though the risks were high. A notable shift in allegiance towards the Safavids in 1531 resulted in a swift Ottoman capture of the principality, a stark reminder of the precariousness of their position.²

The Post-Zuhab Shift

The geopolitical calculus that sustained Kurdish autonomy was fundamentally altered by the Treaty of Zuhab (also known as Qasr-e Shirin) in 1639.¹³ This landmark treaty brought an end to nearly 150 years of intermittent warfare and established a permanent, recognized border between the Ottoman and Safavid realms.¹² While the treaty ushered in an era of relative peace for the war-torn frontier, it simultaneously eroded the strategic foundation of the Kurdish emirates' special status. With the immediate Safavid military threat neutralized, the Ottoman central government's reliance on the Kurdish principalities as a first line of defense dramatically decreased.¹² The very conditions that had made figures like Abdal Khan valuable allies now made them obstacles to the empire's evolving objectives. The peace of 1639 rendered the old system of autonomous buffer states strategically obsolete, paving the way for a new imperial policy aimed at eroding local power and asserting direct control from Istanbul.¹⁶ The revolt of 1655 was a direct, though delayed, consequence of this profound strategic shift.

A Center of Persianate Culture

Despite its political allegiance to the Ottomans, the court of Bitlis remained deeply immersed in the cultural and linguistic traditions of the Persianate world.² For centuries, Persian was the dominant language of administration, literature, diplomacy, and elite culture in Bitlis and neighboring Kurdish principalities.² This cultural orientation was a legacy of long-standing ties to Persian-centered empires and served as a marker of aristocratic prestige, connecting the Kurdish nobility to a broader, highly esteemed civilizational sphere.² The great historical works of Idris-i Bidlisi and Sharaf Khan were composed in Persian, and Abdal Khan himself was a product of this environment. He was a patron of a multilingual library and an author of numerous books, the majority of which were in Persian, underscoring the deep-seated cultural identity of his court.² This cultural distinctiveness further set the emirate apart from the Turkish-speaking administrative core of the Ottoman Empire.

II. Abdal Khan: The 'Hezar-Fen' Prince of the Highlands

At the heart of the 1655 conflict was Abdal Khan, a ruler whose personality, skills, and ambitions were vividly captured by his contemporaries. He was not a simple tribal chieftain but a complex figure who embodied the dual nature of his emirate: a center of high culture and a bastion of formidable military power. The accounts of travelers like the Ottoman Evliya Çelebi and the Frenchman Jean-Baptiste Tavernier paint a portrait of a sovereign prince whose cultivation of art and learning was a deliberate political strategy, an assertion of a status far exceeding that of a mere provincial governor.

The Polymath Ruler (Hezar-Fen)

The most intimate and detailed portrait of Abdal Khan comes from the Ottoman traveler Evliya Çelebi, who was a guest at his court in Bitlis.⁸ Evliya famously bestowed upon the emir the epithet

hezar-fen, a Persian term meaning "master of a thousand skills," in admiration of his vast and varied talents.²⁵ Abdal Khan's abilities were not limited to statecraft. He was an accomplished artisan, skilled as a clockmaker, goldsmith, falconer, and arrow maker.²⁵ He was also a patron of architecture, personally designing his own palace and a magnificent bathhouse (*hammam*) that left a profound impression on Evliya.⁸

Beyond his practical skills, Abdal Khan was a significant intellectual and cultural figure. He was the proprietor of an extensive library containing manuscripts in Arabic, Persian, and Turkish.² He was a prolific author in his own right, credited with writing 76 books, primarily in Persian, the literary and administrative language of his court.⁸ His deep knowledge of regional history, heavily influenced by his ancestor Sharaf Khan's monumental *Sharafnama*, was well-known.⁸ This combination of artistic talent and scholarly pursuit marked him as a ruler in the mold of a "Renaissance prince," a patron whose court was a center of learning and sophistication.³

The Sovereign Prince

This cultural refinement was matched by an uncompromising assertion of political independence. The French traveler Jean-Baptiste Tavernier, who also visited the region, described Abdal Khan as "the most powerful Kurdish prince" and noted that he was independent, acknowledging neither the Ottoman Sultan nor the Safavid Shah as his overlord.² This claim to sovereignty was projected through the considerable opulence of his court. Evliya Çelebi's description of Abdal Khan's

hammam is a testament to this splendor, depicting a hall with a central basin from which "300 fountains were spurting water to the ceilings" and attended by Circassian and Georgian slaves adorned with jewels, who looked "like peacocks from paradise".²⁵ Such displays were not mere extravagance but calculated projections of royal power, designed to place the court of

Bitlis on par with the imperial centers of Istanbul and Isfahan.

This performance of sovereignty was backed by significant military might. European travelers observed that the emirate had the capacity to field a militia of up to 12,000 cavalymen for its defense, a formidable force in the rugged terrain of Kurdistan.² Abdal Khan's army was a confederation of loyal tribes, a diverse coalition that notably included Yazidi groups like the Rojkî, who were among his key supporters.²⁶

A Complex and Pragmatic Ruler

The portrait of Abdal Khan is not without its shadows. Evliya Çelebi's relationship with the emir was complex and reveals a more volatile side to his character. While initially full of praise, Evliya was later forced to flee Abdal Khan's court in the dead of winter, through snow "as deep as a minaret," hinting at a falling out or a dangerous turn in the emir's disposition.²⁵ On a subsequent visit in 1656, after Abdal Khan had been reinstated, Evliya was even held as a hostage for a time, demonstrating the unpredictable and tense nature of their interactions.²⁸ Despite this volatility, Abdal Khan was also a shrewd and pragmatic leader. When faced with the impending invasion by Melek Ahmed Pasha's army, he displayed tactical caution. While sending a conciliatory letter to the Pasha, he simultaneously took defensive measures, moving his vast treasury into the safety of the mountains and preparing his city for a siege. He only abandoned Bitlis when its fall became inevitable, a calculated decision to preserve his own life and the potential for a future return to power.⁸ Evliya's physical description of the Khan completes the picture of a formidable leader: "short-statured... broad-shouldered, slim-waisted... dark-skinned, hawk-nosed... with a resounding voice, vigorous and sturdy," possessing a demeanor that "befitted monarchs".⁸

By cultivating a court that mirrored the high culture of the great Persianate empires while simultaneously maintaining a formidable independent army, Abdal Khan was performing sovereignty. His patronage of the arts and sciences was not merely a personal inclination but a deliberate political strategy. In the early modern world, the legitimacy of a ruler was demonstrated through both cultural splendor and military strength. Abdal Khan's library, his architectural projects, and his own literary works were markers of a sophisticated and legitimate court that could stand on its own, independent of Istanbul.² His ability to muster a large cavalry force provided the military means to back up this claim.² This combination represented a direct challenge to the Ottoman ideal of a centralized empire in which provincial governors were merely appointed officials. Abdal Khan acted as a king in his own right, making a confrontation with the centralizing Ottoman state almost inevitable.

III. The Path to Confrontation: Centralization and Defiance

The 1655 revolt was not a spontaneous uprising but the eruption of long-simmering tensions between an imperial center bent on consolidation and a periphery determined to preserve its traditional autonomy. While the underlying cause was the fundamental shift in Ottoman strategic policy following the peace with Safavid Persia, the conflict was ignited by a series of specific actions and accusations. These provided the Ottoman state, in the person of the ambitious governor Melek Ahmed Pasha, with the necessary pretext to dismantle the power of Bitlis.

The Central Antagonist: Melek Ahmed Pasha

The immediate catalyst for the conflict was the Ottoman governor-general (*Wāli*) of the neighboring Van Eyalet, Melek Ahmed Pasha.² The Emirate of Bitlis was nominally subordinate to the authority of Van, making Melek Ahmed Pasha the direct superior of Abdal Khan in the Ottoman administrative hierarchy.²⁹ Melek Ahmed Pasha was a formidable figure in the Ottoman establishment. An experienced statesman of Abkhaz origin, he had previously served as the empire's Grand Vizier from 1650 to 1651 and held numerous other high-level governorships.³⁰ His appointment to the critical frontier province of Van signaled the Porte's intent to assert strong central authority in the region.

Our primary narrative of the conflict, the *Seyahatnâme* (Book of Travels), was penned by Evliya Çelebi, who was Melek Ahmed Pasha's maternal relative and a member of his traveling retinue.²⁸ This intimate connection provides an unparalleled eyewitness perspective on the events, but it also colors the account with the political and personal biases of a loyal servant of the Ottoman state.

The Pretext for War: Accusations and Transgressions

A series of official complaints and acts of defiance provided Melek Ahmed Pasha with the justification he needed to launch his campaign. These can be categorized into three main areas:

1. **Economic Disputes:** A formal complaint was lodged by merchants from Van, who accused Abdal Khan of illegally confiscating their properties and goods within the city of Bitlis.² This provided a legalistic and seemingly legitimate basis for Ottoman intervention, casting the Pasha as an enforcer of imperial justice and commercial order.
2. **Religious and Tribal Alliances:** A more insidious accusation was that Abdal Khan was too close to the Yazidis.² The Yazidis, a non-Abrahamic religious group, were not recognized as a protected *millet* ("People of the Book") within the Ottoman system. They were often viewed by orthodox Sunni authorities as heretics or apostates, and persecuting them could be seen as a meritorious act.²⁶ Abdal Khan's reliance on Yazidi tribes, including his own Rozhîkî kinsmen, for military support was a political reality in his diverse domain, but it

was a vulnerability that his enemies could easily exploit.²⁶ This charge of consorting with heretics was a powerful ideological weapon, allowing the Ottomans to frame a political power struggle as a defense of Sunni orthodoxy.

- 3. **Insubordination and "Kurdish Obstinacy":** The most direct *casus belli* was an act of overt defiance. Abdal Khan refused to comply with an Ottoman order to perform a feudal military service: the removal of a mound of earth outside the walls of Van Castle.¹¹ Melek Ahmed Pasha interpreted this refusal not as a minor dispute but as a fundamental breach of feudal obligations and an act of rebellion.¹¹ The cultural and ethnic undertones of the conflict are laid bare in a letter the Pasha sent to the emir, warning him not to let his "Kurdish obstinacy" lead him to ruin, a phrase that reveals the deep-seated Ottoman stereotypes of their Kurdish subjects.³³

The Underlying Cause: The End of Autonomy

While these specific charges provided the immediate justification, the true driver of the conflict was the broader shift in imperial policy. As discussed previously, the end of the long wars with the Safavids had rendered the semi-independent Kurdish emirates strategically redundant. The 17th century witnessed a concerted, though often inconsistent, effort by the Ottoman central government to curtail the autonomy of the Kurdish emirs and integrate their territories more fully into the imperial administrative system.¹⁶ The campaign against Abdal Khan was the most dramatic and consequential application of this centralizing policy. The accusations against him were convenient pretexts for achieving a long-term strategic goal: the elimination of a powerful, independent-minded vassal and the assertion of direct Ottoman sovereignty over a vital frontier region.¹⁴

IV. The 1655 Revolt: The Siege and Sacking of Bitlis

The confrontation that erupted in the summer of 1655 was not a prolonged war of equals but a swift and brutal punitive expedition. The campaign, meticulously documented by the eyewitness Evliya Çelebi, culminated in the fall of Bitlis and the destruction of a vibrant cultural and economic center. The events of 1655 marked the violent end of the emirate's de facto independence.

Table 1: Chronology of the Bitlis Crisis (c. 1640–1668)

Date (CE)	Date (AH)	Event	Significance	Sources
c. 1640s	c. 1050s	Abdal Khan	Establishes the	²

		consolidates power, gaining a reputation for independence and strength.	peak of Bitlis's autonomy prior to the conflict.	
1654–1655	1064–1065	Melek Ahmed Pasha is appointed Wāli of Van. Tensions with Abdal Khan escalate.	The arrival of a powerful, centralizing governor creates the conditions for conflict.	²⁸
Summer 1655	1065	Melek Ahmed Pasha launches a punitive expedition against Bitlis.	The official start of the military campaign to subjugate the emirate.	¹¹
Late 1655	1065	Bitlis is besieged, Abdal Khan flees, and the city is sacked by Ottoman forces.	The end of the revolt and the beginning of direct Ottoman intervention.	⁸
Late 1655	1065	Abdal Khan's son, Ziyaeddin, is installed as the new emir by the Ottomans.	Classic imperial tactic of replacing a rebellious ruler with a compliant heir.	²⁹
1656	1066	Evliya Çelebi returns to Bitlis and finds Abdal Khan has been reinstated as ruler.	Demonstrates the weakness of Ottoman control and Abdal Khan's strong local support.	²⁸
1665	1076	Abdal Khan is definitively demoted and exiled to Istanbul. His son Badr al-Din is appointed.	The final political removal of Abdal Khan from power in Bitlis.	³⁴
1667–1668	1078	Abdal Khan is executed in Istanbul on the	A symbolic act of state power, ending the threat	³⁴

		orders of Sultan Mehmed IV.	of his return and warning other emirs.	
--	--	-----------------------------	--	--

The Punitive Expedition

In response to Abdal Khan's defiance, Melek Ahmed Pasha mobilized a large and well-equipped army from the garrisons and tribal levies of the Van Eyalet and marched on Bitlis.²⁹ Evliya Çelebi, as part of his patron's entourage, chronicled the campaign's progress, providing a unique ground-level view of the Ottoman military in action.²⁸ Abdal Khan, despite his tactical preparations and the formidable defenses of his city, recognized that his forces were no match for the full might of an imperial army. Rather than be trapped in a hopeless siege, he made the strategic decision to flee into the mountains, preserving his life and leaving the city open to the invaders.⁸

The Sacking of a Cultural Capital

The entry of the Ottoman army into Bitlis was not a peaceful occupation but a violent and destructive sacking. Evliya Çelebi's account is filled with a sense of horror and regret at the devastation he witnessed. He reserves particular scorn for the irregular troops, the "rough mountain Kurds" who served in the Ottoman army, whom he blames for the most wanton destruction. He laments how they "tore to pieces those precious silk carpets from Isfahan, Cairo, and Ushak, worthy of kings, and used the rags to cover the mud and dirt in their tents".³⁵

The greatest tragedy, from a cultural perspective, was the plundering of Abdal Khan's renowned library. This repository of learning, which housed priceless manuscripts in Persian, Arabic, and Turkish, was looted by the soldiers, and a significant part of the region's intellectual heritage was lost.²⁸ The violence against the population was equally brutal. Evliya describes soldiers competing to bring back severed heads, noses, and ears to claim bounties, a grim testament to the ferocity of the campaign.³⁶ The vast wealth of the emir, along with the property of the city's inhabitants, was confiscated and sold at a public auction, with the proceeds enriching the victorious army.³⁶

Evliya Çelebi's narrative is uniquely valuable due to his conflicted position. His primary loyalty was to his patron and relative, Melek Ahmed Pasha, whom he served faithfully for twelve years.³⁰ He accompanied the Pasha on the campaign as a member of his official retinue and dutifully recorded the official justifications for the war. Yet, he had also been a guest of Abdal Khan and had developed a profound admiration for his culture, his skills, and the splendor of his court.⁸ His description of the sacking of Bitlis is therefore not a triumphant military report but a mournful elegy. He focuses on the destruction of beautiful objects and the loss of

knowledge, revealing a deep tension between his duty as an Ottoman official and his horror as a cultured man witnessing the destruction of a center of civilization he respected. This internal conflict lends his account a powerful sense of authenticity and provides a rare, human-level view of the cost of imperial centralization.

V. The Aftermath: Deposition, Exile, and Execution

The sacking of Bitlis in 1655 was the decisive military event of the revolt, but it did not immediately resolve the political situation. The subsequent years were marked by instability, a surprising reversal of fortune for Abdal Khan, and a final, brutal crackdown by the Ottoman state that culminated in his execution. This period illustrates the empire's struggle to impose its will and the ultimate, violent end of the Rozhîkî dynasty's effective power.

An Ottoman Puppet and a Surprising Return

Following the flight of Abdal Khan and the capture of the city, Melek Ahmed Pasha moved to establish a new, compliant regime. In a classic imperial strategy of "divide and rule," he bypassed the rebellious emir in favor of his son. Citing the "unanimous wish of the town's inhabitants"—a consensus likely manufactured under duress—the Ottomans installed Ziyaeddin, one of Abdal Khan's sons, as the new emir of Bitlis.²⁸ The goal was to maintain the facade of hereditary Rozhîkî rule, thereby placating local sensibilities, while ensuring the new ruler was a loyal puppet of the Ottoman governor in Van.

This arrangement, however, proved to be short-lived. In a remarkable turn of events, when Evliya Çelebi passed through Bitlis again a year later in 1656, he was astonished to find Abdal Khan back in power.²⁸ The historical sources do not provide a clear account of how he engineered this comeback, but it strongly suggests that his personal authority and deep-rooted support among the local tribes were far stronger than that of his Ottoman-backed son. He likely leveraged this support to oust Ziyaeddin and reclaim his throne. The relationship with the Ottomans remained fraught with tension; during this visit, Evliya was briefly held as a hostage by the reinstated Khan, a clear sign of the continued volatility and mistrust.²⁸

The Final Downfall and Execution

Abdal Khan's second reign was a final act of defiance that the Ottoman state would not tolerate. His persistent "independent-mindedness" and the failure of the initial attempt to replace him convinced the Porte that a more permanent solution was required.³⁴ In 1076 AH (1665 CE), a decade after the initial revolt, Abdal Khan was definitively demoted. Stripped of his titles and authority, he was arrested and exiled to the imperial capital, Istanbul, where he

could be kept under the direct watch of the central government.³⁴

In his place, the Porte once again appointed one of his sons, Badr al-Din Khan, to rule Bitlis.³⁴ However, the political turmoil in the emirate continued. The precise reasons for Abdal Khan's execution remain obscure, but scholarly analysis suggests it was directly linked to this ongoing instability.³⁴ It is theorized that the Ottoman-appointed Badr al-Din was himself overthrown in a local revolt, which brought another of Abdal Khan's sons, Sharaf Khan III, to power.³⁴ Faced with this unending cycle of defiance from the Rozhîkî family, the Ottoman authorities opted for a final, brutal measure. In 1078 AH (1667–68 CE), Abdal Khan was executed in Istanbul on the direct order of Sultan Mehmed IV.³⁴

The execution of Abdal Khan in Istanbul, far from his homeland and years after his military defeat, was a calculated and symbolic act of state power. For centuries, the primary tool of Ottoman control over the Kurdish emirates had been political manipulation: deposing a troublesome ruler and replacing him with a more pliable relative. The initial installation of Ziyaeddin in 1655 followed this traditional playbook. Abdal Khan's successful return to power in 1656 demonstrated the failure of this method; it showed that his personal authority was too great and that the dynasty itself was the source of defiance. By bringing the patriarch of this defiant dynasty to the imperial capital and publicly executing him, the Ottoman state was sending an unambiguous message to all other Kurdish emirs. This was not a death in battle, but a judicial killing at the very heart of the empire. It signaled a fundamental shift in imperial policy: hereditary status and local power no longer guaranteed protection. The old era of negotiated autonomy was over, and the price for defying central authority was no longer mere deposition, but death.

VI. Legacy: The End of an Autonomous Era

The suppression of Abdal Khan's revolt and his subsequent execution were more than just the downfall of a single Kurdish ruler. This series of events represented a watershed moment in Ottoman-Kurdish relations and marked the beginning of the end for the system of semi-independent Kurdish principalities that had characterized the region for centuries. The fall of Bitlis was a harbinger of a new era of imperial centralization that would fundamentally reshape the political landscape of Kurdistan.

The Integration of Bitlis

With the final removal and execution of Abdal Khan, the long-standing autonomy of the Principality of Bitlis was formally extinguished. The emirate was fully integrated into the Ottoman provincial system, its administration absorbed into the surrounding Van Eyalet.² While members of the Rozhîkî family, such as Sharaf Khan III, continued to hold titles and some local influence, they were stripped of their sovereign, hereditary power. The principality, which had existed as a distinct political entity since the 12th century, was effectively

dissolved.² The family's internal power struggles continued through the 18th century, further weakening their position, until their remaining territories were absorbed by the neighboring Emirate of Muş in the early 19th century, before the entire system of emirates was dismantled by the Ottomans.³

A Turning Point in Ottoman-Kurdish Relations

The crushing of Abdal Khan served as a powerful precedent for the Ottoman state's dealings with other Kurdish emirates. It signaled a decisive shift away from the post-1514 policy of accommodating autonomous local rulers and towards a determined effort to impose direct rule from Istanbul.¹⁴ This policy of centralization would intensify dramatically in the 19th century during the Tanzimat reform era, which saw the systematic abolition of the remaining Kurdish principalities, such as those of Soran, Botan, and Baban.³⁹ The era of powerful, culturally distinct, and militarily independent Kurdish princes who could negotiate with the Sultan as near-equals was over. The relationship was irrevocably transformed from one of strategic alliance and negotiated suzerainty to one of direct administration and, increasingly, subjugation.

Abdal Khan in Historical Memory

The legacy of Abdal Khan is contested and viewed through vastly different lenses. In Ottoman historiography, particularly in the indispensable account of Evliya Çelebi, he is a complex and somewhat tragic figure: a man of immense culture, talent, and charm, but also a flawed ruler whose "Kurdish obstinacy" and rebellious pride ultimately forced a reluctant but necessary imperial intervention.³³ He is both admired for his personal qualities and condemned for his political defiance.

In modern Kurdish historiography and collective memory, however, Abdal Khan has been reclaimed as a national hero. He is often remembered as a symbol of Kurdish independence and a martyr in the long struggle against foreign domination.¹¹ His prosperous and culturally brilliant court is invoked as evidence of a golden age of Kurdish autonomy, a testament to what was possible before its violent suppression by the Ottoman state.⁴¹ His story, like those of other rebellious emirs, has been woven into the foundational narrative of Kurdish nationalism, which often interprets these historical struggles for autonomy as early expressions of a modern national consciousness.⁴²

This divergence in memory highlights a profound paradox at the heart of Abdal Khan's story. Our most detailed and vivid understanding of this figure of Kurdish resistance comes almost entirely from an Ottoman source: Evliya Çelebi's *Seyahatnâme*. Without this text, Abdal Khan would be a far more obscure historical character. Yet, Evliya was an agent of the very empire Abdal Khan resisted, a loyal servant traveling in the retinue of the man sent to destroy him. Consequently, every detail of his account is filtered through an Ottoman imperial lens. We see

Abdal Khan as an Ottoman saw him: a brilliant but ultimately subordinate figure whose assertion of sovereignty was an act of rebellion. This creates a historical challenge, as the figure who has become a symbol of Kurdish independence is immortalized chiefly by his conqueror's chronicler. Reconstructing the history of Abdal Khan and his revolt requires a critical reading of this essential source, an attempt to uncover the story of Kurdish autonomy from within a narrative that simultaneously documents its violent suppression. This reliance on an "outsider's" account underscores the immense difficulties in writing the history of peoples whose own written records, like the contents of Abdal Khan's magnificent library, were often casualties of the very conflicts they describe.

Works Cited

1. Beyazıt, Y. (2011). An Important Portrait as Presented by Evliya Çelebi: Abdal Khan of Bitlis. *Pamukkale Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, (10), 71-85.
2. Bruinessen, M. van. (n.d.). *Kurdistan in the 16th and 17th centuries, as reflected in Evliya Çelebi's Seyahatname*. DSpace@Utrecht University.
3. Bruinessen, M. van. (1992). *Agha, Shaikh and State: The Social and Political Structures of Kurdistan*. Zed Books.
4. Çelebi, E. (2011). *The Unread Masterpiece of Evliya Çelebi*. AramcoWorld.
5. Conklin, T. (2014). *The Politics of Loyalty: The Rulers of the Emirate of Bitlis and their Role in the Ottoman-Safavid Rivalry* [Master's thesis, Central European University].
6. Dehqan, M., & Genç, V. (2017). Kurdish Power Holders in Seventeenth-Century Bidlīs: A Brief Introduction. *Kurdish Studies*, 5(1), 1-10.
7. Dergipark. (n.d.). *Evliya Çelebi'nin Sunduğu Önemli Bir Portre: Bitlis Hanı Abdal Han*.
8. Galip, S. A. (2011). *The Emergence of Kurdism with Special Reference to the Three Kurdish Emirates Within the Ottoman Empire, 1800-1850*.
9. Güngörürler, S. (2024). *The Ottoman Empire and Safavid Iran, 1639-1682: Diplomacy and Borderlands in the Early Modern Middle East*. Middle East Monitor.
10. James, B. (2021). The Rise and Fall of the Kurdish Emirates (Fifteenth to Nineteenth Centuries). In H. Bozarslan, C. Gunes, & V. Yadirgi (Eds.), *The Cambridge History of the Kurds* (pp. 25-44). Cambridge University Press.
11. Kurdistan Memory Programme. (n.d.). *History of the Kurds*.
12. Öpengin, E. (2017). The Sharafnāma in the Orbit of the Ottoman Empire: A Study of Three Turkish Translations. *Kurdish Studies*, 5(2), 143-166.
13. Salih, S. W. (2021). The Ottoman Empire and Emir Abdal Khan Bitlis (1623-1656). *Journal of University of Duhok*, 24(2), 486-499.
14. Tavernier, J. B. (n.d.). *Cited in various secondary sources*.
15. Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Principality of Bitlis*. Wikipedia.
16. Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Ottoman-Safavid relations*. Wikipedia.
17. Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Melek Ahmed Pasha*. Wikipedia.
18. Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *History of the Kurds*. Wikipedia.

19. Wikipedia contributors. (n.d.). *Kurdish emirates*. Wikipedia.
20. White Ink. (n.d.). *The Kurds of the Ottoman Empire between cooperation and rebellion, a renewed history*.
21. White Ink. (n.d.). *According to an Ottoman historian: They were the best to mutilate corpses The Ottoman massacre against the Kurds: The Great Bitlis*.
22. Various authors. (n.d.). *Encyclopaedia Iranica*.

Works cited

1. Bitlis - Turkey: Must-Visit Destinations 2025, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://visitturkey.in/city/bitlis/>
2. Principality of Bitlis - Wikipedia, accessed August 31, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principality_of_Bitlis
3. About: Principality of Bitlis - DBpedia, accessed August 31, 2025, https://dbpedia.org/page/Principality_of_Bitlis
4. Who was Sharaf Khan Bitlisi, the author of Sharafnama? - Quora, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://www.quora.com/Who-was-Sharaf-Khan-Bitlisi-the-author-of-Sharafnama>
5. Sharafkhan Bidlisi Facts for Kids, accessed August 31, 2025, https://kids.kiddle.co/Sharafkhan_Bidlisi
6. History of the Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed August 31, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_Kurds
7. Sharafkhan Bidlisi - Kurdipedia, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://www.kurdipedia.org/default.aspx?q=20220120173046402162&lng=7>
8. evliya çelebi'nin sunduğu önemli bir portre: bitlis hanı abdal han - isamveri.org, accessed August 31, 2025, https://isamveri.org/pdfdrg/G00365/2011_10/2011_10_BEYAZITY.pdf
9. Endülüs'ün Emevi Abdurrahman'ı bilinirken, Bitlis Beyliği'nin Kürd Abdal Han'ı hiç bilinmez, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://www.rupelanu.org/endulusun-emevi-abdurrahmani-bilinirken-bitlis-beyligi-nin-kurd-abdal-hani-hic-bilinme-15180h.htm>
10. Migration, memory and mythification: relocation of Suleymani tribes on the northern Ottoman-Iranian frontier, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://repository.bilkent.edu.tr/bitstreams/1ca91da6-57f3-4256-95c9-7ad6d31c2605/download>
11. History of the Kurds – The Kurdistan Memory Programme, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://kurdistanmemoryprogramme.com/history-of-the-kurds/>
12. Ottoman-Safavid Wars | EBSCO Research Starters, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/ottoman-safavid-wars>
13. Ottoman–Safavid relations - Wikipedia, accessed August 31, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman%E2%80%93Safavid_relations
14. A history of hostility and bloodshed The most famous Kurdish Emirates which fought back the Ottoman occupation - حبر أبيض, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://whiteink.info/a-history-of-hostility-and-bloodshed-the-most-famous-kurdish-emirates-which-fought-back-the-ottoman-occupation/?lang=en>

15. The Kurds (2)- Issue 28 - حبر أبيض, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://whiteink.info/issue-28/?lang=en>
16. How were Kurds treated in the Ottoman Empire? : r/AskHistorians - Reddit, accessed August 31, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistorians/comments/derjs9/how_were_kurds_treated_in_the_ottoman_empire/
17. Kurds at the transition from the Ottoman empire to the Turkish Republic - ResearchGate, accessed August 31, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270645882_Kurds_at_the_transition_from_the_Ottoman_empire_to_the_Turkish_Republic
18. "The Kurds of the Ottoman Empire between cooperation and rebellion, a renewed history" - حبر أبيض, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://whiteink.info/the-kurds-of-the-ottoman-empire-between-cooperation-and-rebellion-a-renewed-history/?lang=en>
19. Ottoman–Safavid War (1623–1639) - Wikipedia, accessed August 31, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman%E2%80%93Safavid_War_\(1623%E2%80%931639\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman%E2%80%93Safavid_War_(1623%E2%80%931639))
20. Kurdish emirates - Wikipedia, accessed August 31, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdish_emirates
21. The Ottoman Empire and Safavid Iran, 1639 – 1682 - Middle East Monitor, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://www.middleeastmonitor.com/20240516-the-ottoman-empire-and-safavid-iran-1639-1682/>
22. Principality of Bitlis - Wikiwand, accessed August 31, 2025, https://www.wikiwand.com/en/articles/Principality_of_Bitlis
23. EVLİYA ÇELEBİ'NİN SUNDUĞU ÖNEMLİ BİR PORTRE: BİTLİS HANİ ABDAL HAN, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://arastirmax.com/en/publication/pamukkale-universitesi-sosyal-bilimler-enstitusu-dergisi/10/1/evliya-celebinin-sundugu-onemli-bir-portre-bitlis-hani-abdal-han/arid/4973b1d5-96f6-4ce3-a965-7d7299acaa25>
24. Pamukkale Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi » Makale ..., accessed August 31, 2025, <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/pausbed/issue/34722/383895>
25. The Unread Masterpiece of Evliya Çelebi - Saudi Aramco World, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://archive.aramcoworld.com/issue/201102/the.unread.masterpiece.of.evliya.celebi.htm>
26. Yazidis | Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Religion, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://oxfordre.com/religion/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780199340378.001.0001/acrefore-9780199340378-e-254?mediaType=Article>
27. The Yazidis - University of Exeter, accessed August 31, 2025, <https://ore.exeter.ac.uk/ndownloader/files/56774897>
28. Kurdistan in the 16th and 17th centuries, as reflected in Evliya Çelebi's Seyahatname - DSpace, accessed August 31, 2025, https://dspace.library.uu.nl/bitstream/handle/1874/20508/bruinessen_00_kurdistaninthe16th.pdf

29. Agha, Shaikh and State - UU Research Portal, accessed August 31, 2025,
https://research-portal.uu.nl/files/113227294/ASS_Zed.pdf
30. Melek Ahmed Pasha - Wikipedia, accessed August 31, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Melek_Ahmed_Pasha
31. Damat Melek Ahmed Pasha (c.1604 - 1662) - Genealogy - Geni, accessed August 31, 2025,
<https://www.geni.com/people/Damat-Melek-Ahmed-Pasha/6000000046829552824>
32. The Balkans and Anatolia in the year 1444 - Kurdish Emirates of Hasankeyf & Bitlis - Reddit, accessed August 31, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/kurdistan/comments/1i830bs/the_balkans_and_anatolia_in_the_year_1444_kurdish/
33. The Politics of Loyalty - Ceu - Central European University, accessed August 31, 2025, https://www.etd.ceu.edu/2014/conklin_tyler.pdf
34. Kurdish Studies, accessed August 31, 2025,
<https://kurdishstudies.net/menu-script/index.php/KS/article/download/145/132/133>
35. On the Way to the (Un)Known? : The Ottoman Empire in Travelogues (c. 1450-1900) - OAPEN Library, accessed August 31, 2025,
<https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/59452/9783110698046.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
36. According to an Ottoman historian: They were the best to mutilate corpses The Ottoman massacre against the Kurds: The Great Bitlis - حيدر أبيض, accessed August 31, 2025,
<https://whiteink.info/according-to-an-ottoman-historian-they-were-the-best-to-mutilate-corpses/?lang=en>
37. THE TRIP FROM BURSA TO EDİRNE
Inaperipateticlifethatincludedfortyyearsofsustainedtravel,EvliyaÇelebi spent twelve years in the - Brill, accessed August 31, 2025,
https://brill.com/previewpdf/book/9789004252950/B9789004252950_002.xml
38. Mehmed IV | Reign, Succession, Abolition | Britannica, accessed August 31, 2025,
<https://www.britannica.com/biography/Mehmed-IV>
39. The Emergence of Kurdistan with Special Reference to the Three Kurdish Emirates Within the Ottoman Empire, 1800-1850 - ProQuest, accessed August 31, 2025,
<https://search.proquest.com/openview/b69146cb9d9de676f971226c88982c3b/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=51922&diss=y>
40. The End of Kurdish Autonomy (Chapter 3) - The Cambridge History of the Kurds, accessed August 31, 2025,
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-history-of-the-kurds/end-of-kurdish-autonomy/9FA3CB919480C3748DA40C082FC2E5BF>
41. THE KURDS | Ecolnet, accessed August 31, 2025,
https://www.ecoi.net/en/file/local/1262738/90_1447760239_bfa-regiones-et-res-publicae-the-kurds-2015.pdf
42. Genealogies of the Kurds: Constructions of Nation and National Identity in Kurdish Historical Writing, accessed August 31, 2025,
<http://www.hewalname.com/ku/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Genealogies-of-the>

[-Kurds-.pdf](#)