

The Gilded Cage and the Faltering State: Sultan Ibrahim and the Ottoman Empire in Crisis, 1640-1648

Part I: The Sultan – A Portrait of Ibrahim I

The eight-year reign of Sultan Ibrahim (1640–1648) stands as one of the most notorious and turbulent periods in the history of the Ottoman Empire. Often dismissed with the epithet *Deli* ("the Mad"), Ibrahim's rule was characterized by personal excess, administrative chaos, and fiscal collapse, culminating in the second regicide in the dynasty's history. A comprehensive analysis, however, reveals a figure more complex than a simple caricature of insanity. Ibrahim was the tragic product of a systemic dynastic crisis, a man whose profound psychological trauma, inflicted by the very institution that preserved his life, intersected with and catastrophically accelerated the structural weaknesses of the 17th-century Ottoman state. His reign was not merely an anomaly but a crucible in which personal pathology and imperial crisis fused, ultimately leading to a violent political reckoning that would reshape the nature of Ottoman governance.

The Prince in the Cage: The Crucible of a Reign (1615-1640)

To understand the reign of Sultan Ibrahim, one must first comprehend the psychological torment of his formative years. His entire life before ascending the throne was spent in the *Kafes* ("the Cage"), a secluded and often windowless quarter of the Topkapi Palace harem designed to imprison potential claimants to the throne.¹ Confined from the age of eight, Ibrahim was subjected to decades of isolation and sensory deprivation, a stark contrast to the rigorous education in statecraft and military affairs afforded to earlier Ottoman princes.⁴ This system of confinement was a direct response to the earlier dynastic practice of sanctioned fratricide, where a new sultan would execute his brothers to eliminate rivals.⁶ While the *Kafes* ended this brutal tradition, it created a new, more insidious problem: it produced rulers who were psychologically damaged, inexperienced, and wholly unprepared for the burdens of power.

The defining feature of Ibrahim's existence in the *Kafes* was a constant and well-founded terror of assassination.¹ His elder brother, the formidable and notoriously brutal Sultan Murad

IV (r. 1623-1640), had already executed three of their other brothers—Bayezid, Süleyman, and Kasım—to secure his throne.⁵ Ibrahim lived each day with the knowledge that a single command from Murad, prompted by a moment of suspicion or a foul mood, would result in his death by strangulation with a silk bowstring, the customary method for executing royalty.¹ His life was spared only through the persistent intercession of their powerful mother, Kösem Sultan, who successfully argued that Ibrahim's evident mental instability rendered him a non-threat to Murad's rule.⁸

This prolonged trauma left indelible scars. By the time he was summoned to the throne, Ibrahim was a man plagued by severe paranoia, recurring headaches, and attacks of physical weakness.⁸ Modern Turkish historians have moved beyond the simplistic label of "madness," suggesting instead that he suffered from a severe form of "psychoneurosis" or post-traumatic stress disorder, a direct consequence of his upbringing.⁵ The very system that had ensured his survival had simultaneously broken him, making him the quintessential example of the *Kafes*'s systemic failure. It had solved the problem of dynastic bloodshed only to replace it with the risk of dynastic incompetence and instability.

The Unwilling Sovereign (1640)

The circumstances of Ibrahim's accession in February 1640 vividly demonstrated the depth of his paranoia. Following the death of Murad IV from cirrhosis, Ibrahim was the sole surviving male of the House of Osman, a fact that made his succession imperative for the dynasty's continuation.⁵ Yet, when the Grand Vizier, Kemankeş Kara Mustafa Pasha, arrived at the *Kafes* to announce his brother's death and proclaim him Sultan, Ibrahim was convinced it was a ruse.⁸ He barricaded himself in his quarters, certain that the summons was a trap designed to lure him to his execution.⁵

It took the combined efforts of the most powerful figures in the empire to coax him out. His mother, Kösem Sultan, and the Grand Vizier pleaded with him, but Ibrahim remained resolute in his terror.⁸ Only after his brother's corpse was brought and displayed before his door did he finally accept the truth and agree to assume the throne.⁵ This dramatic episode was a direct continuation of the psychological trauma forged in the *Kafes*, revealing a man utterly terrified of the power he was about to inherit.

Overwhelmed by his new role, Ibrahim initially retreated from the complexities of governance. He delegated the management of the state almost entirely to Grand Vizier Kemankeş Kara Mustafa Pasha, while he himself sought refuge and pleasure in the imperial harem.⁸ This created an immediate power vacuum, which was filled by two distinct forces. On one hand, the formal state apparatus continued to function with a degree of competence under the Grand Vizier.⁸ On the other, the informal power of the palace, embodied by Kösem Sultan, grew immensely. As *Valide Sultan* (Queen Mother) to a mentally fragile ruler with no heirs, her primary objective was to secure the dynasty. She took it upon herself to supply her son with a stream of concubines, an effort that soon produced three future sultans: Mehmed IV,

Suleiman II, and Ahmed II.⁸ This established a fragile equilibrium: a capable vizier managed the state, while a powerful mother managed the Sultan. The stability of the empire during these first years rested entirely on this precarious balance.

The Reign of Whim and Indulgence: Eccentricities and Excesses

Once secure on the throne and free from the austerity and terror of the *Kafes*, Ibrahim's traumatized psyche sought compensation through unrestrained indulgence. His reign quickly descended into a spectacle of personal excess, where the Sultan's whims became matters of state policy and his obsessions drained the imperial treasury.⁶

He developed a series of notorious fetishes. His passion for expensive furs, particularly sable and lynx, was so extreme that he had an entire room in the palace lined with them, earning him the French moniker "Le Fou de Fourrures" (The Madman of Furs).⁸ This was no mere personal extravagance; it led to the imposition of a massive new tax specifically to fund the acquisition of sable.¹⁵ He also harbored a craving for overweight women, whom his mother procured for him from slave markets.⁸ His infatuation with one particularly large Armenian concubine, whom he nicknamed Şekerpare ("Sugar Cube"), was so great that he reportedly appointed her Governor-General of Damascus.² The palace was drenched in exotic perfumes like ambergris, and the Sultan adorned his own beard with diamonds.²

This hedonism was matched by a capacity for shocking cruelty, often directed at the women of his harem. The most infamous act of his reign was the mass execution of his 280 concubines. Acting on an unsubstantiated rumor from Şekerpare that one of the women had been "compromised by an outsider," Ibrahim ordered the entire harem to be bound in weighted sacks and drowned in the Bosphorus.¹ His depravity extended to acts of extreme sexual violence. In a flagrant abuse of power that defied all religious and social norms, he became obsessed with the daughter of the Şeyhülislam (Grand Mufti), the highest religious authority in the empire. When her father refused the Sultan's demand for her hand in marriage, Ibrahim had the girl kidnapped, raped her for several days, and then sent her back to her family.¹ His erratic violence also manifested within his own family; in a fit of rage, he reportedly seized his infant son and heir, the future Mehmed IV, and threw him into a cistern, leaving the child with a permanent scar on his head.²

These pathological behaviors were not merely random acts of madness but can be understood as a desperate and violent assertion of the absolute power he now possessed, a stark contrast to the decades of powerlessness he had endured. His flagrant violation of Islamic law and social custom, especially in the assault on the Grand Mufti's daughter, was a symbolic declaration that his will was supreme. The more outrageous the act, the more he demonstrated his authority, in a self-destructive attempt to erase the memory of his own impotence.

Deconstructing "Deli İbrahim": A Historiographical Debate

The historical narrative has overwhelmingly branded Ibrahim as "the Mad," a label largely shaped by the lurid accounts of contemporary and near-contemporary chroniclers, including European observers like Paul Rycaut and Demetrius Cantemir.⁸ These sensational stories of debauchery and cruelty have cemented his popular image as a deranged tyrant.⁶ However, modern scholarship, particularly among Turkish historians, has challenged this simplistic characterization, arguing that it serves more as political propaganda than as an accurate clinical diagnosis.

Revisionist historians such as Erhan Afyoncu and İlber Ortaylı contend that the label *Deli* is an unjust and misleading oversimplification.⁵ They argue that Ibrahim was not clinically insane—in the sense of being detached from reality—but rather suffered from severe psychological trauma, which they classify as "psychoneurosis" or a form of post-traumatic stress disorder.⁵ Evidence for his underlying rationality can be found in his early, coherent communications with his Grand Vizier regarding state affairs and his prayer upon his accession, in which he asked God to make his nation happy during his reign.⁸ His condition was marked by chronic physical ailments, nervousness, and a volatile temper, rather than a complete loss of reason.⁵ Furthermore, there is compelling evidence that the narrative of his "madness" was deliberately constructed and propagated by the very faction that sought to overthrow him. Deposing and executing a sultan was a grave and perilous act, a violation of the sacred allegiance owed to the House of Osman that required extraordinary justification.⁷ To legitimize the second regicide in Ottoman history, the conspirators needed to portray Ibrahim not merely as a poor ruler, but as an illegitimate one whose mental state made him a danger to the state and to Islam itself.¹

Key figures in his downfall were also the authors of his historical reputation. The chronicler Karaçelebizade Abdülaziz Efendi, who penned one of the most damning accounts of Ibrahim's reign, was a leading member of the group that dethroned him.²⁰ Similarly, some sources suggest that Ibrahim's own wife, Turhan Sultan, actively spread rumors of his insanity to discredit him and secure the throne for her young son, Mehmed.⁵ In this context, Ibrahim's genuine psychological afflictions were weaponized, his eccentricities exaggerated into proof of madness, and his reputation systematically destroyed to provide a post-facto justification for his murder. The historical record is thus a blend of fact and political caricature, making it difficult to separate the man's true condition from the legend created to sanction his death.

The Inevitable Fall: Deposition and Execution (1647-1648)

By 1648, Ibrahim's misrule had alienated every pillar of Ottoman power, creating a confluence of crises that made his deposition inevitable. The state was buckling under severe economic, military, and political pressure, all of which was directly attributed to the Sultan's incompetence and extravagance.

Mass discontent spread through the capital and the provinces. The imposition of heavy new taxes, designed to fund both the costly Cretan War and the Sultan's insatiable whims, enraged

the populace.⁸ This economic hardship was compounded by a successful Venetian naval blockade of the Dardanelles, which created severe food shortages and famine in Istanbul, further fueling public anger against the regime.⁸ The military, particularly the Janissary corps, was on the verge of open revolt. Their pay was in arrears, and they were incensed by the new taxes and the seemingly endless war in Crete.¹ The religious establishment, the *ulema*, was morally outraged by the Sultan's decadent and impious behavior, culminating in the unforgivable assault on the Grand Mufti's daughter. This gave the *ulema*, led by the aggrieved Mufti himself, the religious justification to sanction his removal.¹

Within the palace, even Ibrahim's staunchest protector, his mother Kösem Sultan, had turned against him. An initial plot to depose him in 1647, involving Grand Vizier Salih Pasha, had failed, leading to the vizier's execution and Kösem's temporary banishment from the harem.⁸ By 1648, however, she concluded that her son was an uncontrollable liability who threatened not only the stability of the empire but her own life and power. She gave her consent to a new conspiracy, reportedly stating, "In the end, he will leave neither you nor me alive. We will lose control of the government. The whole society is in ruins. Have him removed from the throne immediately".⁸

On August 8, 1648, the Janissaries and members of the *ulema* revolted. They seized the notoriously corrupt Grand Vizier, Hezarpare Ahmed Pasha, who was torn to shreds by an angry mob.¹ On the same day, Ibrahim was captured and imprisoned once more in the *Kafes*. His six-year-old son, Mehmed IV, was placed on the throne.⁸ Ten days later, on August 18, after the new Grand Vizier, Sofu Mehmed Pasha, obtained a *fatwā* from the Sheikh ul-Islam sanctioning the execution on the principle that "if there are two caliphs, kill one of them," executioners entered his cell.⁸ As his mother and other officials watched from a palace window, Ibrahim was strangled while crying out for mercy, becoming the second Ottoman sultan to be murdered.¹ His downfall was not a simple palace coup but a systemic rejection by every institution—military, religious, administrative, and dynastic—that upheld the Ottoman throne.

Part II: The Empire – A State in Turmoil

The crisis of Sultan Ibrahim's reign cannot be understood solely through the lens of his personal failings. His troubled personality was a catalyst that ignited the deep-seated structural problems afflicting the Ottoman Empire in the mid-17th century. He inherited a state that was superficially strong but institutionally brittle, and his rule exposed and exacerbated every underlying weakness, from administrative corruption to fiscal insolvency and military overreach.

The Inherited Realm: The Ottoman Empire in 1640

When Ibrahim ascended the throne in 1640, he inherited an empire that had just been pulled back from the brink of collapse by his brother, Murad IV. Murad had taken power in 1623 amid widespread chaos: the treasury was empty, provincial rebellions were rampant, the Janissaries were mutinous, and key territories like Baghdad had been lost to the Safavid Empire of Persia.²⁴ Through a reign of terror and brutal, heavy-handed measures, Murad had ruthlessly restored order. He executed tens of thousands, including corrupt officials and his own brothers, suppressed revolts, and banned public gathering places like coffeehouses and taverns, which he viewed as nests of sedition.²⁴

Militarily, Murad was a formidable commander. He personally led the Ottoman army on a successful campaign to recapture Baghdad in 1638, a victory that culminated in the Treaty of Kasr-i Shirin in 1639. This treaty established the border with Persia, a demarcation that would last for centuries and remains the approximate basis for the modern borders of Turkey, Iraq, and Iran.²⁴ By 1640, Murad had replenished the treasury, reasserted central authority, and restored the empire's military prestige.²⁴

However, this stability was dangerously brittle. Murad's reforms were not institutional but personal, enforced by his own will and the pervasive climate of fear he had created.²⁴ He had suppressed the symptoms of 17th-century Ottoman decline—corruption, factionalism, and military indiscipline—without curing the underlying diseases.²⁹ The state he bequeathed to Ibrahim was orderly on the surface but lacked resilient institutions. Its functionality was entirely dependent on the presence of a strong, ruthless personality on the throne. This created a catastrophic vulnerability, for the system that had produced the iron-willed Murad had also produced the psychologically fragile Ibrahim. The rapid descent into chaos under Ibrahim's rule demonstrates that Murad's restoration was a temporary remission, not a permanent recovery. The house of cards he had built was waiting for the slightest gust of wind to collapse.

The Grand Vizierate: From Stability to Chaos

The trajectory of the Grand Vizierate during Ibrahim's reign serves as a stark barometer of the state's administrative collapse. The office transitioned from a center of competent reform to a revolving door of corrupt favorites and terrified functionaries, mirroring the empire's broader descent into chaos.

For the first four years of the reign (1640–1644), the empire was in the capable hands of Grand Vizier Kemankeş Kara Mustafa Pasha.⁸ An ambitious but able statesman held over from Murad IV's reign, he maintained stability and pursued a vigorous reform agenda. He stabilized the currency with a coinage reform, initiated a new land survey to improve the tax base, reduced the bloated rolls of the Janissary corps, and curbed the power of disobedient provincial governors.⁸ In foreign policy, he renewed peace treaties with Austria and Persia and successfully recovered the fortress of Azov from the Cossacks.⁸ During this period, Ibrahim himself showed some interest in governance, corresponding with his vizier and conducting inspections of Istanbul's markets in disguise.⁸

This period of stability came to an abrupt end in January 1644 with the execution of Kara Mustafa Pasha. He fell victim to a palace conspiracy orchestrated by a rising faction of the Sultan's favorites, including the charlatan healer Cinci Hoca and the ambitious courtier Silahdar Yusuf Agha, who saw the powerful vizier as an obstacle to their own influence and enrichment.⁸ His execution was the pivotal event of Ibrahim's reign. It marked the decisive victory of the informal, parasitic court faction over the formal, meritocratic state administration. From this point forward, competence was a liability, and proximity to the Sultan's erratic whims was the key to power and survival.

The subsequent Grand Viziers were a procession of ineffective and often short-lived appointees, whose tenures reflect the deepening crisis. Their primary policy was one of *idare-i maslahat* (tinkering or temporizing), as they were too afraid of the Sultan's unpredictable reactions to enact any meaningful governance.¹³ This paralysis allowed corruption to flourish and the state to drift toward ruin.

Grand Vizier	Term of Office	Time in Office	Fate	Significance
Kemankeş Kara Mustafa Pasha	Dec 1638 – Jan 1644	5 years, 44 days	Executed	Last competent administrator; pursued fiscal and military reforms, ensuring stability in the early reign. ⁸
Sultanzade Mehmed Pasha	Jan 1644 – Dec 1645	1 year, 320 days	Executed	Member of the court faction that overthrew Kara Mustafa; presided over the start of administrative decay. ⁸
Nevesinli Salih Pasha	Dec 1645 – Sep 1647	1 year, 273 days	Executed	Executed for plotting to depose Ibrahim and for disagreeing with the Sultan's military orders. ⁸
Kara Musa Pasha	Sep 1647 – Sep 1647	5 days	Executed	His extremely short tenure highlights the terminal instability of the government. ³¹
Hezarpare Ahmed Pasha	Sep 1647 – Aug 1648	10 months, 18 days	Lynched by mob	Notoriously corrupt; his

				imposition of heavy taxes was the final trigger for the 1648 revolt. ¹
--	--	--	--	---

This rapid and violent turnover demonstrates a complete breakdown of administrative authority. The execution of Kara Mustafa Pasha had sent a clear message: rational policy was secondary to appeasing the Sultan. This administrative vacuum ensured that when the dual crises of war and bankruptcy hit, there was no one with the authority or ability to manage them effectively.

The Strained Coffers: Fiscal Crisis and Economic Misrule

Ibrahim's reign acted as a powerful accelerant on the long-burning fiscal crisis that had afflicted the Ottoman Empire since the late 16th century. His personal profligacy and the disastrous decision to launch the Cretan War transformed a chronic economic vulnerability into an acute, regime-threatening catastrophe.

The empire of 1640 was already on precarious financial footing. It was still grappling with the inflationary effects of the "Price Revolution," the massive influx of silver from the Americas into Europe which had severely devalued the Ottoman silver coin, the *akçe*.³⁰ Between 1580 and 1640, the silver-to-gold ratio had collapsed, with the number of *akçe* needed to equal one gold coin rising from 60 to 250.³³ This rampant inflation led to persistent budgetary deficits, strained state finances, and caused significant social unrest.³⁰ The state's revenue system was heavily reliant on agriculture, which provided around 40% of taxes directly or through customs duties.³⁵ A primary tool for collection was *iltizam*, or tax farming, a system where the right to collect taxes in a region was auctioned to the highest bidder. While this provided the state with immediate cash, it was inherently corrupt, as tax farmers ruthlessly exploited the peasantry to maximize their profits.³⁶

Into this fragile economic environment, Ibrahim introduced two immense pressures. First was his own extravagant spending on the luxuries of the harem—furs, jewels, perfumes, and lavish construction projects—which created a constant, non-productive drain on the treasury.¹⁵ Second, and far more devastating, was the outbreak of the Cretan War in 1645. This conflict immediately became a financial black hole, demanding massive and sustained expenditures on the army and navy.³⁹

To fund this dual burden of personal extravagance and total war, the corrupt administration of Ibrahim's later viziers resorted to desperate measures. They imposed a series of new, heavy taxes on a population already suffering from inflation and scarcity.⁸ These included the infamous "sable tax" to fund the Sultan's obsession.¹⁵ This fiscal policy proved to be the final straw. It directly targeted the livelihoods of both the general populace and the soldiers of the Janissary corps, uniting them in opposition to the regime and providing the critical fuel for the

revolt of 1648.¹ The economic crisis thus directly precipitated the political explosion that ended Ibrahim's reign.

The Cretan Quagmire (1645-1648)

The single most significant foreign policy event of Ibrahim's reign was the initiation of the long and ruinous war with the Republic of Venice over the island of Crete (known to the Venetians as Candia). The conflict, which began in 1645, was a strategic blunder born of court intrigue and imperial hubris. It quickly became a quagmire that would drain the empire's resources for 24 years and serve as a primary catalyst for Ibrahim's overthrow.

The official pretext for war arose in 1644, when corsairs of the Knights of Malta attacked a high-profile Ottoman convoy. After seizing the vessel, the Knights docked at a port in Venetian-controlled Crete, thereby implicating Venice in the attack.⁴¹ The hawkish faction at Ibrahim's court, ascendant after the execution of the more cautious Kemankuş Kara Mustafa Pasha, seized upon this incident as the perfect justification to conquer Venice's last and most valuable possession in the Aegean.²²

The Ottoman leadership, expecting a swift victory, assembled a massive expeditionary force of over 50,000 men.⁴² Employing a feint towards Malta, the Ottoman fleet achieved strategic surprise, landing on Crete in June 1645.⁴⁰ The initial campaign was marked by success. The key western fortress of Canea (Chania) fell in August 1645 after a 56-day siege, and the city of Rettimo (Rethymno) was captured the following year.⁴⁰ By 1648, the Ottomans controlled nearly the entire island.⁴²

However, these early victories were deceptive. The heavily fortified capital city of Candia (modern Heraklion) withstood the Ottoman assault. The siege of Candia, which began in May 1648, would devolve into one of the longest and most costly in history, lasting for 21 years.⁴¹ The campaign that was intended to be a quick and glorious conquest turned into a protracted war of attrition. The conflict became a massive drain on the Ottoman treasury and military.³⁹ Venice, unable to match the Ottoman army on land, leveraged its superior navy to harass Ottoman supply lines and blockade the Dardanelles, exacerbating the economic crisis in Istanbul.⁴⁰ The war, launched by an administration that lacked the financial stability and administrative competence to sustain it, exposed every weakness of Ibrahim's government. The conflict that was meant to bring glory instead brought the empire to the brink of bankruptcy and directly triggered the popular uprising against its author.

Legacy of a Troubled Reign: The Empire in 1648

The deposition and execution of Sultan Ibrahim in August 1648 left the Ottoman Empire at its lowest ebb in decades. The state was in a condition of profound crisis: the treasury was bankrupt, a costly and seemingly unwinnable war was raging in Crete, the capital faced

shortages, and the throne was now occupied by a six-year-old child, Mehmed IV.⁴⁶ The immediate aftermath was a continuation of instability, as a vicious power struggle erupted in the palace between the new *Valide Sultan*, Turhan Hatice, and the powerful grandmother, Kösem Sultan, who had previously ruled as regent for two of her sons.⁴⁶

This period of female regency and administrative paralysis lasted for eight years, pushing the empire ever closer to total collapse. By 1656, with the Venetian navy threatening the capital and revolts spreading, the situation had become so dire that it forced a radical solution.⁴⁶ In a desperate bid to restore order, Turhan Sultan made a historic decision: she appointed the elderly but ruthless Köprülü Mehmed Pasha as Grand Vizier, granting him absolute and unconditional authority to govern.⁴⁹

This act, born from the chaos of Ibrahim's reign and its aftermath, paradoxically paved the way for a temporary revival of Ottoman power. The complete breakdown of the state under Ibrahim had demonstrated the catastrophic danger of a weak sultanate and a government paralyzed by court factions. The crisis he created was so total that it generated a consensus among the ruling elite for drastic measures. By ceding effective power to the Grand Vizier's office, Turhan Sultan enabled the rise of the Köprülü dynasty of viziers. For the next two decades, Köprülü Mehmed Pasha and his even more capable son, Fazıl Ahmed Pasha, would rule the empire with an iron fist. They bypassed court politics, ruthlessly purged corruption, restored fiscal discipline, rebuilt the military, and ultimately brought the long Cretan War to a successful conclusion in 1669.⁴⁹

In this sense, the disastrous reign of Ibrahim served as a brutal but necessary catalyst for institutional adaptation. His failure was so complete that it forced the Ottoman system to evolve in order to survive. The chaos of 1640-1648 was the dark prelude to the stability and resurgence of the Köprülü era, the last great period of Ottoman military success and expansion. Ibrahim, the man broken by the gilded cage, had, through his own tragic incompetence, inadvertently set the stage for the empire's brief but brilliant recovery.

Works cited

1. 1648: Sultan Ibrahim the Mad | Executed Today, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.executedtoday.com/2018/08/18/1648-sultan-ibrahim-the-mad/>
2. Biography of the Ottoman Sultan Ibrahim I the Mad (1616-1648) - Madmonarchs.nl, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.madmonarchs.nl/madmonarchs/ibrahim1/ibrahim1_bio.htm
3. Topkapi Palace & the Harem, the residence of Ottoman Sultans - The Winged Bone, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://the-winged-bone.com/2022/12/04/topkapi-palace-the-harem-the-residence-of-ottoman-sultans/>
4. Distinctive Facts About The Mighty Ottoman Empire - History Collection, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://historycollection.com/distinctive-facts-about-the-mighty-ottoman-empire/>
5. İlim Kültür ve Edebiyat Dergisi - Somuncu Baba Dergisi, accessed October 14,

- 2025, <https://www.somuncubaba.net/?p=22603>
6. The detestable, debauched life of Ibrahim the Mad - Big Think, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://bigthink.com/the-past/detestable-debauched-life-ibrahim-the-mad-ottoman-empire-sultan/>
 7. Fratricide in Ottoman Law | Aralık 2018, Cilt 82 - Sayı 295 - Belleten, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://belleten.gov.tr/tam-metin/354/eng>
 8. Ibrahim of the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ibrahim_of_the_Ottoman_Empire
 9. The Story of Kösem Sultan Who Ruled the Ottoman Empire With an ..., accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.thecollector.com/kosem-sultan-woman-ruled-ottoman-empire/>
 10. I. İbrahim kimdir? I. İbrahim dönemi olayları ve 1. İbrahim'in hayatı - Hürriyet, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.hurriyet.com.tr/egitim/i-ibrahim-kimdir-i-ibrahim-donemi-olaylari-ve-1-ibrahimin-hayati-41684705>
 11. Sultan Ibrahim I – 18th Ruler of the Ottoman Empire (1640–1648) - YouTube, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MA6LZ3QfQIs>
 12. The Turkish history from the original of that nation, to the growth of the Ottoman empire with the lives and conquests of their princes and emperours / by Richard Knolles - Digital Collections, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/e/eebo/A47555.0001.001/1:135?rgn=div1;view=fulltext>
 13. OSMANLI KRONİKLERİ IŞIĞINDA SULTAN İBRAHİM ... - DergiPark, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/1067687>
 14. 1.İbrahim ve 4.Mehmet Padişahlığında Kösem Sultan ve Ölümü - YouTube, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K_TO_ws_O7Y
 15. History's Most Insane Rulers, Part 3: Ibrahim I - The Sultan Who Loved Fur and Drowned His Harem - HistoryOnTheNet, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.historyonthenet.com/historys-insane-rulers-part-3-ibrahim-sultan-loved-fur-drowned-harem>
 16. History's Most Insane Rulers, Part 3: Ibrahim I -- The Sultan Who Loved Fur and Drowned His Harem (p - YouTube, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M2De2X2iel8>
 17. Ibrahim I was Sultan of the Ottoman Empire from 1640–1648. In the short time he ruled, he nearly single-handedly destroyed it all. He supposedly suffered from stress, though his obsession with extremely obese women may have relieved some of it. : r/Damnthat'sinteresting - Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Damnthat'sinteresting/comments/yog03y/ibrahim_i_was_sultan_of_the_ottoman_empire_from/
 18. Which Ottoman Sultan was worse: Mustafa I or Ibrahim I? - Quora, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.quora.com/Which-Ottoman-Sultan-was-worse-Mustafa-I-or-Ibrah-m-I>
 19. Facts About Ibrahim I, The Man Who Lived In A Cage - YouTube, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bErnEW4HWS8>

20. MIGHTY SOVEREIGNS of the OTTOMAN THRONE: SULTAN IBRAHIM, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.ekrembugraekinci.com/article/?ID=1223&mighty-sovereigns-of-the-ottoman-throne:-sultan-ibrahim>
21. Sultan İbrahim sahiden deli miydi? - Dünya Bizim Kültür Portalı, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.dunyabizim.com/sultan-ibrahim-sahiden-deli-miydi>
22. İbrahim | Reformer, Conqueror, Conqueror of Baghdad - Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/biography/Ibrahim-Ottoman-sultan>
23. Mighty sovereigns of the Ottoman throne: Sultan Ibrahim | Daily Sabah, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.dailysabah.com/arts/portrait/mighty-sovereigns-of-the-ottoman-throne-sultan-ibrahim>
24. Reign of Murad IV | Research Starters | EBSCO Research, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/reign-murad-iv>
25. Murad IV | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/murad-iv>
26. Murad IV | Reign, Military Campaigns & Succession - Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/biography/Murad-IV>
27. Murad IV - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murad_IV
28. Murad IV Legacy - Confinity, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.confinitly.com/legacies/murad-iv>
29. Ottoman Empire | Facts, History, & Map | Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire>
30. Decline of the Ottoman Empire | Reasons, Collapse, & Maps - Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/place/decline-of-the-Ottoman-Empire-2230672>
31. List of Ottoman grand viziers - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Ottoman_grand_viziers
32. Sevkett Pamuk THE PRICE REVOLUTION IN THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE RECONSIDERED - The Atatürk Institute for Modern Turkish History, accessed October 14, 2025, https://ata.bogazici.edu.tr/sites/ata.boun.edu.tr/files/faculty/sevket.pamuk/pamuk_price_revolution.pdf
33. The Decline of the Ottoman Empire: Politics, Economics, Islam and Nationalism | Sunnah Muakada, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://sunnahmuakada.wordpress.com/2013/10/11/the-decline-of-the-ottoman-empire-politics-economics-islam-and-nationalism/>
34. Transformation of the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transformation_of_the_Ottoman_Empire
35. Economic history of the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economic_history_of_the_Ottoman_Empire
36. Economy of the Ottoman Empire - Modern Diplomacy, accessed October 14, 2025, <https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2023/01/12/economy-of-the-ottoman-empire/>

37. Fiscal Crisis and Institutional Change in the Ottoman Empire and France - Paris School of Economics, accessed October 14, 2025,
http://www.parisschoolofeconomics.com/hautcoeur-pierre-cyrille/M2_histoirefinanciere/Balla-johnson_fiscal-crisis-modernFrance.pdf
38. Ibrahim I Facts For Kids | AstroSafe Search - DIY.ORG, accessed October 14, 2025,
https://www.diy.org/article/ibrahim_i
39. The Rise and Fall of the Ottoman Empire Part 9: The Cretan War : r/polandball - Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/polandball/comments/do08u7/the_rise_and_fall_of_the_ottoman_empire_part_9/
40. Cretan War (1645–1669) - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025,
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cretan_War_\(1645%E2%80%931669\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cretan_War_(1645%E2%80%931669))
41. Turks Conquer Crete | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025,
<https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/turks-conquer-crete>
42. Cretan War (1645–1669) - New World Encyclopedia, accessed October 14, 2025,
[https://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Cretan_War_\(1645%E2%80%931669\)](https://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Cretan_War_(1645%E2%80%931669))
43. RCIN 723080 - Siege of Candia, 1648 - Military Maps, accessed October 14, 2025,
<https://militarymaps.rct.uk/other-17th-century-conflicts/siege-of-candia-1648>
44. The Cretan War of 1645 Began on This Day - GreekReporter.com, accessed October 14, 2025,
<https://greekreporter.com/2025/06/23/cretan-war-1645/>
45. The Cretan War 1645-1669 | PDF | Ottoman Empire | Armed Conflict - Scribd, accessed October 14, 2025,
<https://www.scribd.com/document/68379052/The-Cretan-War-1645-1669>
46. Mehmed IV. The Hunter Sultan Who Watched an Empire... - Medium, accessed October 14, 2025,
<https://medium.com/@groupwala124/mehmed-iv-1ca2f1c0c0ca>
47. Mehmed IV | Reign, Succession, Abolition | Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025,
<https://www.britannica.com/biography/Mehmed-IV>
48. Mehmed IV - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mehmed_IV
49. Ottoman Empire's Brief Recovery | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025,
<https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/ottoman-empires-brief-recovery>
50. Mehmed IV/Ottoman Empire Thrived Under Sultan Mehmed IV, Revival of Ottoman Power Under - Amazing Bible Timeline with World History, accessed October 14, 2025,
<https://amazingbibletimeline.com/blog/mehmed-ivottoman-empire-thrived-sultan-mehmed-iv-revival-ottoman-power/>