The Owned Rulers: An In-Depth Analysis of the Mamluk Sultanate (1250-1517)

Introduction: The Mamluk Paradox

The annals of world history present few phenomena as paradoxical as the Mamluks: a class of enslaved soldiers who rose to establish and govern one of the most powerful and culturally resplendent Islamic empires of the later Middle Ages.[1] For over two and a half centuries, from 1250 to 1517, the Mamluk Sultanate, with its capital in Cairo, dominated the geopolitical landscape of the Middle East. Its rulers, men who began their lives as property, became the undisputed masters of Egypt, Syria, and the Hijaz, controlling the holy cities of Mecca and Medina. Their story is not merely a political history but a unique socio-military experiment, where the institution of slavery was paradoxically transformed into a crucible for forging an imperial dynasty.

The Mamluks emerged onto the world stage at a moment of profound crisis for the Islamic world. They secured their legitimacy and power through two monumental military achievements that reshaped the course of history. In 1260, at the pivotal Battle of Ain Jalut, they shattered the aura of invincibility surrounding the Mongol Empire, inflicting upon it the first decisive defeat in its westward expansion and permanently halting its advance into the Islamic heartlands.[5] In the decades that followed, they systematically dismantled the last vestiges of the Crusader states in the Levant, culminating in the conquest of Acre in 1291, thereby ending two centuries of Frankish presence in the region.[9] These victories positioned the Mamluks as the preeminent defenders of Sunni Islam, a role they embraced with vigor.

This report provides an in-depth analysis of the Mamluk Sultanate, moving beyond a simple chronological narrative to dissect the intricate systems that defined its existence. It will investigate the origins of the Mamluk institution, tracing the evolution of military slavery and the rigorous training that transformed foreign-born boys into an elite warrior caste. It will examine their dramatic seizure of power from their Ayyubid masters and the consolidation of their empire. The report will then explore the two distinct dynastic periods of Mamluk rule—the Turkic Bahri and the Circassian Burji—before delving into the anatomy of their unique state structure, formidable military machine, and vibrant economy, which was fueled by control over global trade routes. Finally, it will assess their remarkable cultural achievements in art and architecture, the confluence of catastrophic events such as the Black Death that precipitated their decline, and their eventual conquest by the rising Ottoman Empire. Through this comprehensive examination, the report seeks to illuminate the complexities of the Mamluk paradox and their enduring legacy on the Middle East.

Part I: Forging a Warrior Caste

Chapter 1: The Genesis of the Mamluk System

From Ghulam to Mamluk: The Evolution of Military Slavery

The term *mamluk* (مملوك) derives from the past participle of the Arabic verb *malaka* ("he possessed"), meaning "one who is owned" or a "purchased slave".[13] While its literal meaning is broad, within the historical context of the medieval Islamic world, it came to denote a specific and highly influential institution: military slavery. This system was distinct from other forms of bondage. The
mamluk was differentiated from the *ghulam* (غلام), another term for a slave often used for household servants or pages, although in practice the terms were sometimes used interchangeably to refer to elite slave soldiers.[1] The Mamluk institution was built around the acquisition of young, non-Muslim boys from outside the borders of the Islamic world, who were then trained exclusively for military and administrative service.
The practice of employing slave soldiers was not an Ayyubid innovation but a long-standing feature of Islamic civilization. The precedent was established as early as the 9th century by the Abbasid Caliph al-Mu'tasim (r. 833–842), who, facing factionalism among his Arab and Persian troops, recruited Turkic mercenaries and slave soldiers, known as *ghilman*, from Central Asia to form a loyal personal guard.[2] This model of creating a military elite personally beholden to the ruler proved effective and soon spread throughout the Muslim world. The Fatimid Caliphate in Egypt forcibly recruited Armenian, Turkic, and Sudanese boys, while the Buyid dynasty in Iran and Iraq also relied heavily on Turkic slave soldiers.[2] The Ayyubids, therefore, inherited a well-established tradition, which they would refine and expand to an unprecedented scale, ultimately creating a force that would supplant them.

Recruitment and Demographics: The Steppes and the Caucasus as Human Reservoirs

The Mamluk Sultanate was ruled by two successive ethnic groups, defined by their geographic origins. The first, the Bahri dynasty (1250-1382), was composed predominantly of Kipchak Turks from the Eurasian Steppe, a vast region encompassing parts of modern-day southern Russia, Ukraine, and Central Asia.[12] The second, the Burji dynasty (1382-1517), was primarily made up of Circassians, a people from the mountainous Caucasus region.[12] While

these two groups formed the overwhelming majority, the Mamluk ranks also included, in smaller numbers, Mongols, Georgians, Armenians, Russians, Hungarians, and even a contingent of Arab warriors from the Banu Murra tribe recruited around 1280.[13]

The acquisition of these young recruits, typically non-Muslim (*kafir*) boys around the age of 13, occurred through several channels.[12] Many were captured in wars and raids on the frontiers of the Islamic world. Others were sold into slavery by their own families, sometimes voluntarily, as the Mamluk path, despite its lack of freedom, was known to offer a route to immense power and status. A pivotal event that swelled the supply of recruits was the Mongol invasion of the 13th century, which devastated the lands of the Kipchaks and displaced vast populations, making them readily available in the slave markets. Slave merchants, known as the
khawajah, played a crucial role, maintaining trade contacts outside the Sultanate's borders and bringing the "green recruits" to major slave markets, most notably the *tabaqah* located within the Citadel of Cairo.[12] This trade was a significant international enterprise, with Italian maritime republics like Genoa and Venice acting as key intermediaries, despite papal prohibitions against the sale of humans to Muslim powers.

The Mamluk system was fundamentally designed around a continuous and deliberate separation from the society it governed. Its reliance on a constant influx of foreign-born, non-Muslim slaves was not an incidental feature but a core structural principle intended to guarantee loyalty to the state above all else. The sources repeatedly emphasize that Mamluks were not native to Egypt or Syria; they were outsiders by definition.[12] This foreignness was systematically preserved across generations. A key, albeit not perfectly enforced, rule of the system was that the sons of Mamluks, known as the
awlad al-nas ("sons of the people"), were barred from joining the elite Mamluk regiments.[2] Having been born in Egypt as free Muslims, they lacked the formative and transformative experience of enslavement, conversion, and rigorous indoctrination that was believed to forge the unbreakable bonds of loyalty and esprit de corps. This created what was essentially a "single-generational" elite, a military aristocracy that could not perpetuate itself through lineage and thus had to be constantly replenished with new imports from the steppe and the Caucasus.[12] This constant importation fostered a ruling class with its own language—a Turkic dialect filled with Persian and Arabic loanwords—as well as distinct customs and social norms.[24] This isolation was a strategic choice, designed to instill allegiance to their masters and their comrades (
khushdashiyya) rather than to any local kinship networks or regional interests. The result was a permanent cultural and social gulf between the rulers and the ruled, ensuring loyalty to the state apparatus but also creating a dynamic where the Mamluks were perpetual foreigners governing a populace with whom they shared little common identity. This fundamental disconnect would have profound and lasting implications for the nature of Mamluk governance, social stability, and the eventual perception of the Mamluks by their subjects as an exploitative, alien caste.[25]

Chapter 2: The Crucible of Training

Furusiyya: The Science of War and Horsemanship

Once a young boy entered the Mamluk system, he was subjected to a comprehensive and transformative process of education and training. The first steps were cultural and religious assimilation: recruits were converted to Sunni Islam, taught the Arabic language, and instructed in the fundamentals of their new faith.[1] Following this initial indoctrination, they embarked on a rigorous military education centered on the sophisticated martial discipline known as
furusiyya. This was not mere military drill but a holistic "science of martial exercise" that was meticulously documented in extensive training manuals, many of which survive to this day.[12] This training took place in specially constructed, state-of-the-art facilities called *maydan* (pl. *mayadin*). Sultan Baybars, a key architect of the Mamluk state, is known to have constructed at least two enormous *mayadin* near the Cairo Citadel. These were far more than simple training fields; they were elaborate complexes featuring wells, stables, water wheels, shaded rest areas, and even opulent quarters for the sultan and his emirs to observe the exercises. The curriculum of *furusiyya* was designed to produce the most versatile and skilled cavalryman of the medieval era. Recruits were trained in a wide array of disciplines, including engaging with the lance from horseback, mounted and ground archery against various targets, fencing with swords, wielding heavy maces, wrestling, and hunting. The aristocratic game of polo was also a central part of the training, honing horsemanship and teamwork. Intriguingly, the training also included the use of a special type of blow-pipe known as a *zabtanah*. This exhaustive regimen was intended not only to perfect combat skills but also to instill an innate sense of discipline, cohesion, and unwavering adherence to Mamluk martial traditions.

Indoctrination, Loyalty, and the Creation of a Cohesive Military Elite

The Mamluk training process was as much about psychological conditioning as it was about physical prowess. By being taken from their homes at a young age and stripped of all familial and tribal ties, the recruits were made wholly dependent on their new environment. This isolation was the foundation upon which a new, artificial kinship was built. The master who purchased and trained a Mamluk, the *ustadh*, became a surrogate father, and the Mamluks who trained together formed a powerful fraternal bond, referring to each other as *khushdash* (singular *khushdashi*).[1] This created a tight-knit cohort whose primary loyalty was not to an abstract state or a nation, but to their master and their comrades-in-arms. This loyalty was the bedrock of the Mamluk political and military system.

Upon the successful completion of this arduous training, a process that could take many years, the Mamluk underwent the *kharj* ceremony, a graduation rite during which he was formally manumitted, or freed. However, this manumission did not sever his bond of loyalty; rather, it transformed him from a chattel slave into a member of the ruling military elite, forever indebted to his master. As a freedman, he was given a position in the army or administration and, crucially, was granted an *iqta*. The *iqta* was a right to collect revenue from a designated parcel of agricultural land, which served as his salary and the means to maintain his horse, armor, and weaponry.[1] This final step completed the transformation: the slave boy from the steppes was now a powerful, land-holding warrior, a full-fledged member of the Mamluk aristocracy, ready to serve and, potentially, one day to rule.

Part II: The Seizure of an Empire

Chapter 3: The Ayyubid Twilight and the Mamluk Dawn

The Bahriyya Regiment and the Consolidation of Mamluk Power

During the Ayyubid Sultanate, founded by Saladin, Mamluk regiments steadily grew in importance, eventually forming the backbone of the Egyptian military.[1] The last effective Ayyubid sultan, al-Salih Ayyub (r. 1240–49), dramatically accelerated this process. Beset by challenges from rival Ayyubid princes and the persistent threat of the Crusaders, al-Salih embarked on a massive recruitment drive, purchasing Mamluks in unprecedented numbers to create a military force loyal only to him.[2] His most elite regiment was the Bahri Mamluks (*al-Bahriyya*), so named because their barracks were located on Roda Island in the Nile River (*al-bahr*).[3] These Mamluks, known collectively as the
Salihiyya after their master al-Salih, became the dominant power in the state. Al-Salih promoted them to the highest military and administrative offices and endowed them with extensive *iqta'at* (fiefs), often confiscated from the emirs of his predecessors. In doing so, he forged a formidable paramilitary force that was personally beholden to him, effectively creating a state within a state.
The Mamluk seizure of power in 1250 was not a sudden, opportunistic coup but rather the inevitable culmination of a decades-long process in which the Ayyubid dynasty systematically engineered a military force that became more powerful, cohesive, and effective than the state it was meant to serve. The Ayyubid state under al-Salih faced a dual crisis: the internal fragmentation and incessant squabbling among Ayyubid princes, and the relentless external pressure from the Crusades.[2] Al-Salih's rational response was to build a hyper-loyal and supremely competent army, the Bahri Mamluks, whose allegiance was directed personally to

him, not to the broader Ayyubid family or any abstract concept of state ideology. By empowering this group with land, wealth, and high office, he succeeded in securing his own reign but simultaneously rendered the traditional Ayyubid power structure obsolete. The death of al-Salih in 1249 was the critical event that broke this crucial personal bond of loyalty. His successor, Turanshah, was an outsider to this intricate power network. He failed to co-opt the Bahris and instead attempted to supplant them with his own retinue, a fatal miscalculation. The Seventh Crusade then provided the Bahris with the perfect stage to demonstrate their military indispensability, as they decisively defeated the Frankish army at al-Mansura while Turanshah was still en route to Egypt.[1] This victory endowed them with immense political and moral capital. Consequently, Turanshah's assassination was not merely a reaction to his personal provocations; it was a final, logical assertion of power by a group that already controlled the state's entire military apparatus and now saw no further utility in an Ayyubid figurehead. The Ayyubid dynasty had, in effect, forged the very instrument of its own destruction.

The Seventh Crusade as a Catalyst for Revolution

The invasion of Egypt in 1249 by the Seventh Crusade, led by King Louis IX of France, proved to be the direct catalyst for the Mamluk revolution.[1] The Crusaders captured the port of Damietta and began their advance toward Cairo. At this critical juncture, Sultan al-Salih Ayyub fell gravely ill and died. His wife, Shajar al-Durr, concealed his death to prevent panic and, in concert with the Mamluk emirs, managed the defense of the realm. In February 1250, the Mamluk forces, under the command of emirs like Baybars al-Bunduqdari, met the advancing Crusaders at the Battle of al-Mansura and inflicted a crushing defeat upon them.[1]
Al-Salih's son and heir, Turanshah, finally arrived in Egypt from his post in Mesopotamia to assume command. However, instead of rewarding the Salihi Mamluks who had saved the kingdom, he immediately sought to sideline them. He promoted his own entourage, the *Mu'azzamiya*, to positions of power and treated the veteran Bahri emirs with suspicion and disdain, threatening their preeminent status.

The Assassination of Turanshah and the Rise of the Sultanate

The Mamluks' resentment toward Turanshah boiled over. Despite leading the Egyptian army to a final, decisive victory over the Crusaders at the Battle of Fariskur in April 1250, where King Louis IX himself was captured, Turanshah's fate was sealed. On May 2, 1250, a group of senior Bahri emirs, including Baybars, stormed his camp and assassinated him in a brutal fashion.[1]
The murder of the last Ayyubid sultan of Egypt created a power vacuum that the Mamluks were uniquely positioned to fill.
In an extraordinary move, the Mamluk emirs installed al-Salih's widow, Shajar al-Durr, as Sultana, making her one of the very few female sovereigns in Islamic history.[12] Her rule was

short-lived, as pressure from the Abbasid Caliph in Baghdad and the need for a male military leader compelled a more permanent solution. To legitimize her position and consolidate Mamluk authority, she married the
atabeg al-askar (commander-in-chief), Izz al-Din Aybak. She then abdicated in his favor, and Aybak was proclaimed the first Mamluk sultan, officially inaugurating the Mamluk Sultanate and the Bahri dynasty in 1250.[12]

Chapter 4: The Battle of Ain Jalut (1260): The Empire Solidified

Confronting the Mongol Juggernaut

The fledgling Mamluk Sultanate faced its ultimate existential threat just a decade after its founding. By 1260, the Mongol Empire, under the command of Hulegu Khan, a grandson of Genghis Khan, had carved a path of destruction across the Islamic world. In 1258, they had sacked Baghdad, the intellectual and spiritual heart of the Abbasid Caliphate, massacring its population and ending five centuries of Abbasid rule.[7] The Mongol tide continued westward, overwhelming Syria and capturing the great cities of Aleppo and Damascus.[1] Their advance seemed inexorable, and Egypt was their next target.
Hulegu dispatched envoys to Cairo with a letter demanding the submission of the Mamluk Sultan, Sayf al-Din Qutuz. The message was a stark ultimatum: surrender or face annihilation. In a legendary act of defiance that left no room for diplomacy, Qutuz had the Mongol envoys executed and their heads displayed on the Bab Zuweila gate of Cairo, a clear and unambiguous declaration of war. At this critical moment, fate intervened in favor of the Mamluks. News arrived from the Mongol heartland of the death of the Great Khan Möngke. This event compelled Hulegu, as a potential successor, to withdraw the main body of his formidable army back toward Persia, leaving a smaller, though still substantial, occupation force in Syria under the command of his trusted general, the Nestorian Christian Kitbuqa.[6] This presented the Mamluks with a strategic opportunity they could not afford to miss.

A Tactical Masterclass: Strategy and Execution

The decisive confrontation took place on September 3, 1260, in the Jezreel Valley of Palestine, at a site known as Ain Jalut, or "Goliath's Spring".[6] Sultan Qutuz marched the Mamluk army north to meet the Mongol threat. His leading general was the brilliant and ambitious Baybars, who, having been a fugitive in the region earlier in his life, possessed intimate knowledge of the terrain.
This local knowledge proved crucial. The Mamluks devised a strategy that cleverly turned one of the Mongols' own most successful tactics against them: the feigned retreat. Baybars led a

vanguard force to engage the Mongol army, provoking them and then staging a disciplined, fighting withdrawal. Kitbuqa, confident in the superiority of his warriors, fell for the ruse and ordered his army to give chase.[6] Baybars lured the pursuing Mongols into a highland valley, where the main body of the Mamluk army lay concealed in ambush among the trees on the surrounding hills.

Once the Mongols were drawn into the trap, the Mamluks sprang it, attacking from three sides with devastating cavalry charges and a relentless storm of arrows. The battle was ferocious, and the Mongols, fighting with their typical tenacity, managed to break the Mamluk left wing. At this critical moment, Sultan Qutuz personally entered the fray, reportedly throwing off his helmet and shouting the famous battle cry "Wa Islamah!" ("Oh, my Islam!"), rallying his men and turning the tide of the battle.[7] Some accounts also mention the Mamluks using primitive hand cannons (
midfa), not as killing weapons, but to create noise and panic among the Mongol horses, which were unaccustomed to such explosions. The Mongol army was ultimately surrounded and annihilated. Kitbuqa was captured and, after defiantly proclaiming that the Khan would avenge his defeat, was executed on the battlefield.[6]

The Aftermath: Securing the Empire and Reshaping the Geopolitical Landscape

The victory at Ain Jalut was a world-historical event. It was the first time a Mongol army had been decisively defeated in a major pitched battle, shattering their carefully cultivated aura of invincibility.[6] The battle permanently halted the Mongol advance into Egypt, North Africa, and, by extension, Europe, marking the high-water mark of their empire's westward expansion.[5]

For the Mamluks, the victory was a monumental triumph that solidified their rule and cemented their legitimacy as the new paramount power in the Islamic world and the saviors of their faith.[8] They quickly recaptured Damascus and the rest of Syria, consolidating an empire that would dominate the region for the next two and a half centuries.[6] The propaganda value of the victory was immense, and Qutuz dispatched a messenger to Cairo bearing Kitbuqa's severed head as proof of their success. However, the unity forged in the face of the Mongol threat was short-lived. On the triumphant journey back to Cairo, a conspiracy of emirs led by Baybars, who felt he had not been adequately rewarded by Qutuz, assassinated the victorious sultan.[7] Baybars promptly seized the throne for himself, becoming Sultan Baybars I. This violent transfer of power set a bloody precedent for succession that would become a defining characteristic of Mamluk political life.[9]

Part III: The Sultanate in Power

The history of the Mamluk Sultanate is traditionally divided into two distinct periods, based on the predominant ethnicity and power base of the ruling elite: the Bahri period from 1250 to

1382, and the Burji period from 1382 to 1517.[19] The following table provides a chronological overview of the most significant sultans who shaped these eras.

Dynasty	Sultan	Reign(s)	Significance
Bahri	Shajar al-Durr	1250	First and only female ruler; facilitated the transfer of power to the Mamluks.[18]
Bahri	Izz al-Din Aybak	1250–1257	First Mamluk Sultan; began the consolidation of Mamluk rule.[18]
Bahri	Sayf al-Din Qutuz	1259–1260	Victor of the decisive Battle of Ain Jalut against the Mongols.[18]
Bahri	Baybars I	1260–1277	Considered the true founder of the Mamluk state; defeated Crusaders and Mongols, revived the Abbasid Caliphate in Cairo.[18]
Bahri	Al-Mansur Qalawun	1279–1290	Continued campaigns against Crusaders; founded a hereditary line that ruled for nearly a century; major architectural patron.[39]
Bahri	Al-Ashraf Khalil	1290–1293	Conquered Acre in 1291, ending the Crusader presence in the Levant.[1]
Bahri	Al-Nasir Muhammad	1293–94, 1299–1309, 1310–1341	His long third reign marked the zenith of Bahri power, prosperity, and cultural patronage.[1]
Burji	Al-Zahir Barquq	1382–89, 1390–99	First Circassian sultan; founder of the Burji dynasty.[29]
Burji	Al-Ashraf Barsbay	1422–1438	Reasserted Mamluk

			authority abroad, notably forcing Cyprus to submit in 1426; attempted to monopolize trade.[1]
Burji	Al-Ashraf Qaytbay	1468–1496	The greatest of the later Mamluk sultans; a prolific patron of architecture who restored shrines in Mecca and Medina.[3]
Burji	Al-Ashraf Qansuh al-Ghawri	1501–1516	Last effective Mamluk sultan; killed fighting the Ottomans at the Battle of Marj Dabiq.[3]
Burji	Tuman Bay II	1516–1517	The final Mamluk sultan; defeated and executed by the Ottomans in Cairo.

Chapter 5: The Bahri Period (1250-1382): The Turkic Zenith

The Age of Titans: Baybars, Qalawun, and al-Nasir Muhammad

The Bahri period, dominated by Mamluks of primarily Kipchak Turkic origin, represents the zenith of the Sultanate's power and prestige. This era was shaped by a succession of formidable and long-reigning sultans who consolidated the state, expanded its borders, and fostered a vibrant culture.

Sultan Baybars I (r. 1260–77) is widely regarded as the true founder and architect of the Mamluk state.[10] A brilliant military commander and a ruthless politician, he systematically solidified the empire's foundations. He conducted extensive administrative reforms, creating an efficient and centralized state. A key innovation was the establishment of a highly effective postal network (*barid*), which not only carried mail but also served as an intelligence service, allowing the sultan to maintain tight control over his vast territories. Militarily, Baybars waged a relentless war against the remaining Crusader states, capturing major strongholds such as Arsuf, Safed, Krak des Chevaliers, and the great city of Antioch.[9] To secure the ultimate religious legitimacy for his rule, Baybars took a momentous step in 1261: he located a surviving member of the Abbasid family, which had been decimated by the Mongols in Baghdad, and installed him in

Cairo as Caliph.[2] This revived the Abbasid Caliphate, albeit as a purely symbolic institution under the complete surveillance and control of the Mamluk sultan, thereby positioning Cairo as the new spiritual center of the Sunni Islamic world.[1]

Sultan al-Mansur Qalawun (r. 1279–90), another of Baybars's powerful emirs, continued his predecessor's policies. He inflicted another major defeat on the Mongols at the Second Battle of Homs in 1281 and pressed the campaign against the Crusaders, capturing the vital port of Tripoli in 1289. Qalawun's most significant political achievement was establishing a de facto hereditary dynasty; his direct descendants, the Qalawunids, would rule the Sultanate for nearly a century, providing a period of relative dynastic stability unusual in Mamluk history.[40] His reign is also immortalized by his monumental architectural complex in the heart of Cairo, which included a hospital (*maristan*), a madrasa, and his own mausoleum, and remains one of the city's most impressive landmarks.[3]

The apogee of the Bahri period occurred during the long and remarkably stable third reign of Qalawun's grandson, **al-Nasir Muhammad (r. 1310–41)**.[1] Having been twice deposed in his youth, his final, uninterrupted three decades on the throne are considered the golden age of the Mamluk Sultanate. His reign was marked by immense wealth, generated by the flourishing East-West trade that flowed through Mamluk lands, and extensive diplomatic relations with powers across Eurasia. This prosperity fueled an unprecedented wave of architectural patronage, with al-Nasir Muhammad and his powerful emirs endowing Cairo with dozens of mosques, schools, and other public works.[3] Under his rule, Cairo grew to become arguably the largest and most magnificent city in the region, the undisputed economic, cultural, and artistic center of the Arab Islamic world.[3]

Imperial Administration, Expansion, and the Final Expulsion of the Crusaders

The Bahri sultans pursued a systematic policy of territorial expansion and consolidation. Their primary military objective after neutralizing the Mongol threat was the complete eradication of the Crusader presence in the Levant. This long campaign reached its climax under Sultan al-Ashraf Khalil (r. 1290–93), Qalawun's son. In 1291, he marshaled a massive Mamluk army and laid siege to Acre, the last major Crusader capital. After a brutal assault, the city fell, and the remaining Frankish strongholds along the coast quickly followed suit, bringing an end to the two-hundred-year history of the Crusader states in the Holy Land.[4] Beyond the Levant, the Mamluks extended their suzerainty south into Makuria (Nubia), west into Cyrenaica (eastern Libya), and north into the Armenian Kingdom of Cilicia in southern Anatolia.[1] Crucially, they also solidified their control over the Hejaz, assuming the prestigious role of protectors of the holy cities of Mecca and Medina, a key pillar of their claim to leadership of the Islamic world.[2]

Chapter 6: The Burji Period (1382-1517): The Circassian Era

A Shift in Power: The Rise of Sultan Barquq

The stability of the Qalawunid dynasty disintegrated after the death of al-Nasir Muhammad in 1341. His sons and grandsons who followed him on the throne were largely weak and ineffectual, acting as mere puppets for the powerful Mamluk emirs who vied for control behind the scenes.[1] This period of political decay was compounded by the arrival of the Black Death in 1349, a demographic catastrophe that severely weakened the state's foundations. Within this crucible of crisis, a fundamental shift occurred in the Mamluk power structure. The dominance of the Turkic Mamluks of the Bahri faction waned, and a new group, the Circassians, rose to prominence.[12] These Mamluks were known as the Burji faction, named after their barracks in the towers (
burj, pl. *abraj*) of the Cairo Citadel.[9] The transition was gradual but was definitively solidified in 1382 when the powerful Circassian emir, Barquq, deposed the last Qalawunid child-sultan and seized the throne for himself, inaugurating the Burji dynasty.[18]

An Age of Crises: Political Instability, Plague, and Economic Strain

The Burji period was markedly different from the Bahri era that preceded it. It was characterized by chronic political instability, with the reigns of sultans being generally shorter and more violent.[9] The principle of non-hereditary succession, always a feature of Mamluk politics, became even more pronounced; power was almost exclusively transferred through coups and factional warfare among the Circassian emirs, rather than through lineage.
The Sultanate was beset by a series of compounding crises. It faced major foreign invasions, most devastatingly from the Central Asian conqueror Timur (Tamerlane), whose armies swept through Syria in the early 1400s, sacking Aleppo and Damascus and temporarily shattering Mamluk authority in the region.[12] The plague, having become endemic after the Black Death, returned in recurrent waves, continually devastating the population and disrupting economic life.[18] The state entered a long and debilitating period of financial distress. This economic decline was exacerbated at the turn of the 16th century by the Portuguese discovery of a direct sea route to India around Africa. This momentous shift in global trade patterns began to divert the lucrative spice trade away from the traditional overland and Red Sea routes controlled by the Mamluks, depriving the Sultanate of its most critical source of revenue.[12]

Patronage and Piety in an Era of Decline

Despite the pervasive atmosphere of crisis and decline, the Burji sultans continued the Mamluk tradition of lavish patronage of art and architecture. This served as a vital means of projecting power, piety, and legitimacy in an era of instability. Sultans such as Barsbay, Qaytbay, and Qansuh al-Ghawri were prolific builders who endowed Cairo with some of its most refined and elegant architectural masterpieces.[3]

Sultan al-Ashraf Qaytbay (r. 1468–96) stands out as the greatest patron of the later Mamluk period. During his long reign, he commissioned an extensive program of building and restoration across the empire. He undertook major renovations of the holy shrines in Mecca and Medina, reinforcing the Mamluk claim to custodianship of Islam's holiest sites. In Cairo, his funerary complex in the Northern Cemetery is widely regarded as one of the jewels of Islamic architecture, a testament to the remarkable artistic vitality that persisted even as the Sultanate's political and economic foundations were eroding.[3] This continued investment in monumental architecture demonstrates a conscious strategy by the Burji rulers to assert their authority and legacy through cultural and religious endowment, leaving behind a tangible record of their power that would long outlast their state.

Part IV: Anatomy of the Mamluk State

Chapter 7: A Unique Political Order

The Principle of "The Reign Belongs to the Victor": Succession and Strife

The Mamluk political system was unique in the Islamic world for its institutionalized rejection of the hereditary principle of succession. The throne was not a birthright but a prize to be won through strength, cunning, and the support of a powerful military faction. This unwritten constitution was encapsulated by the Arabic maxims *wilayat al-istila'* (seizure of power) and, more bluntly, *al-hukm liman ghalab* (rule belongs to the victor).[38] This system fundamentally embedded conflict within the state's DNA. The death of a sultan was not a moment for orderly transition but a trigger for a violent and often chaotic power struggle among the most powerful emirs, each backed by his own household of loyal Mamluks (*mamalik al-umara'*).[12]

As a result, Mamluk political history is a turbulent saga of coups, assassinations, and depositions. The average reign of a sultan was a mere six years, and a significant number of rulers met violent ends.[9] Even the relatively stable Bahri period under the Qalawunid dynasty was punctuated by the forceful seizure of power by emirs from outside the ruling family and by periods where the Qalawunid sultans were mere puppets controlled by their senior commanders.[1] The Burji period saw this instability intensify, with the path to the throne almost

exclusively running through rebellion and regicide.
Despite the non-hereditary nature of their status and land grants, the Mamluk elite devised an ingenious method to create inheritable wealth and provide for their descendants, effectively subverting a core principle of their own system. This was achieved through the strategic use of the Islamic legal instrument of the *waqf* (pious endowment). The foundational rule of the Mamluk state stipulated that an emir's status and his *iqta'* (land grant) were not directly heritable by his children, the *awlad al-nas*.[12] This was a deliberate policy designed to prevent the formation of a landed, hereditary aristocracy that could challenge the central authority of the sultan. This, however, created a significant dilemma for powerful and wealthy Mamluks who naturally sought to secure a future for their families. The solution lay in establishing a *waqf*. A Mamluk patron could legally dedicate the revenue from his properties—be it agricultural land or urban real estate like markets and bathhouses—to support a charitable or religious institution, such as a mosque, a *madrasa*, or a hospital.[24] The crucial element of this arrangement was that the founder of the
waqf had the legal right to appoint the administrators (*nazir*) of the endowment and to stipulate that this position, which came with a generous salary drawn from the endowment's revenue, be passed down to his own descendants in perpetuity. This mechanism effectively privatized wealth derived from state resources, transforming it into a secure, inalienable, and inheritable income stream for the Mamluk's family, legally shielded from confiscation by future sultans. Therefore, the spectacular architectural patronage that defines the Mamluk era was not driven solely by piety or the desire for political prestige; it was also a sophisticated economic strategy to create lasting family fortunes, ensuring that the wealth of one generation of Mamluk rulers could be passed on to their non-Mamluk children.

The Power of the Amirs and the Administrative Apparatus

In the Mamluk Sultanate, ultimate authority was often contested. While the sultan sat at the apex of the state, real power frequently resided with a collective oligarchy of the highest-ranking military commanders, the *amirs* (الأمراء).[5] The Mamluk military was organized into a strict hierarchy based on the size of the force an emir commanded. The highest rank was the "Amir of One Hundred, Commander of One Thousand," who led one hundred of his own Mamluks and commanded a force of one thousand soldiers in times of war. Below them were "Amirs of Forty" (also known as
amir tablkhana, as they had the right to a military band) and "Amirs of Ten". These powerful emirs formed a council that could elect, and frequently depose, the sultan.
The empire was managed through a complex bureaucracy that administered justice, collected taxes, and oversaw the state's affairs. Key officials included the *atabeg al-askar* (commander-in-chief of the army), who was often the most powerful man in the Sultanate after the sultan himself, and the provincial governors (*na'ib*), who ruled over key regions like Syria.[1] The economic foundation of this military-administrative structure was the
iqta system. The state's primary resource, agricultural land, was not privately owned but was

distributed as temporary, non-hereditary grants to the Mamluk elite as payment for their military service.[1] This system ensured that the military class directly controlled the economic output of the country, reinforcing their political dominance.

Chapter 8: The Military Machine

Organization, Corps, and Command Structure

The Mamluk army was a professional, standing military force, a characteristic that distinguished it from the seasonal, feudal levies common in contemporary Europe.[40] Its structure was complex, consisting of several distinct corps, each with a specific role and status.

1. **The Royal Mamluks (*al-mamalik al-sultaniyya*)**: This was the elite of the elite, the personal regiments owned, trained, and equipped by the sultan himself. They were the best-armed, best-paid, and most loyal troops, forming the core and backbone of the army. They were quartered in the Cairo Citadel and served as the sultan's personal guard and the army's primary strike force.[18]
2. **The Amirs' Mamluks (*mamalik al-umara'*)**: These were the private Mamluk armies maintained by the high-ranking emirs. While still professional soldiers, they were generally considered slightly inferior in quality and equipment to the Royal Mamluks, as their masters lacked the vast resources of the sultan.[52]
3. **The *Halqa***: This was a unique corps of freeborn, non-Mamluk cavalrymen. It was a diverse body that included the sons of Mamluks (*awlad al-nas*), who were ineligible for the elite regiments, as well as established military units of Kurdish and Turkmen origin. It also absorbed the *Wafidiyya*, refugees (often Mongol warriors) who had fled their homelands and sought service with the Mamluks.[18] The *halqa* served as an important part of the army in the early Bahri period but saw its status and numbers decline over time.

In addition to these core cavalry units, the Mamluk army was supported by a large number of auxiliary forces, particularly on major campaigns. These included Bedouin and Turkmen tribal levies who were expert light cavalry and served as scouts, skirmishers, and flank guards, often performing crucial encircling maneuvers in battle.[18] The army was also sustained by a sophisticated logistical apparatus, with specialized units for engineering (including the construction and operation of siege engines like mangonels), medicine, military music (*tablakhana*), and supply transport, which extensively used camels.[53] For rapid communication across the vast empire, the Mamluks maintained a network of stations for homing pigeons, which served as an effective "air mail" service to transmit intelligence and news of victories.

The Dominance of Mamluk Cavalry Tactics on the Medieval Battlefield

The Mamluk army was, above all, a heavy cavalry force, and its dominance on the battlefields of the 13th and 14th centuries stemmed from the superb quality of its individual troopers and their mastery of sophisticated, disciplined tactics.[52] The rigorous and comprehensive *furusiyya* training produced a warrior who was a master of the "combined arms" approach on horseback, equally proficient with the shock impact of the lance, the close-quarters lethality of the sword and mace, and the ranged firepower of the powerful composite bow.[13]
Mamluk commanders employed complex battlefield maneuvers that required immense discipline and coordination. They were masters of the feigned retreat, as demonstrated to devastating effect at Ain Jalut, as well as flanking operations and disciplined charges in tight formations known as *talab*.[7] A particularly effective tactic was "shower shooting," where a static or slowly advancing line of heavily armored cavalry archers would unleash a massive, coordinated volley of arrows. This was a psychological as well as a physical weapon, designed to disrupt enemy formations and shatter their morale before the final, decisive charge with lances and swords. This ability to seamlessly combine the functions of heavy shock cavalry and mobile missile cavalry within the same units made the Mamluk army one of the most versatile and formidable military forces of its time.

Chapter 9: Society and Economy

The Ruling Caste and the Subject Populace: A Study in Social Stratification

Mamluk society was characterized by a rigid and sharply defined social hierarchy, with a profound division between the rulers and the ruled. At the pinnacle of this structure was the Mamluk military caste, a distinct and exclusive ruling elite.[50] This class comprised the sultan, the powerful emirs, and the rank-and-file Mamluks who formed the backbone of the army and administration.
Below this military aristocracy were the local elites, a diverse group that included wealthy merchants who controlled the empire's commerce and, most importantly, the learned religious scholars, the *ulama*.[50] The vast majority of the population, however, consisted of the commoners (
'amma). This group included the urban artisans, laborers, and shopkeepers, as well as the rural peasantry (*fellahin*) who worked the agricultural lands of the Nile Valley and Syria.[50]
This social structure was exceptionally rigid due to the foreign origin of the ruling class. The Mamluks were a closed social body, ethnically, linguistically, and culturally distinct from the Arabic-speaking Egyptian and Syrian populations they governed.[24] They maintained their own Turkic and Circassian languages for internal communication, married primarily among

themselves or to female slaves from their lands of origin, and consciously cultivated a separate identity.[20] This resulted in a society where the rulers were perpetual aliens, governing their subjects from a position of detached military superiority. This inherent alienation was a defining feature of the Mamluk state, shaping its politics, its relationship with its people, and the eventual perception of its rule as exploitative.[24]

The Symbiosis of Power: The Sultanate and the Ulama

Within this stratified society, the *ulama* (the class of religious scholars) occupied a uniquely influential position. They were the guardians of Islamic law and tradition, serving as judges (*qadis*), jurists, teachers in the great *madrasas*, and high-level administrators within the state bureaucracy.[49] The relationship between the Mamluk military elite and the civilian *ulama* was complex and symbiotic, a partnership of mutual necessity.

The Mamluks, as a ruling class of foreign-born former slaves, lacked inherent legitimacy in the eyes of their Muslim subjects. They desperately needed the *ulama* to endorse their rule, interpret Islamic law in their favor, and provide the religious justification for their authority. The *ulama*, in turn, depended on the patronage of the Mamluk sultans and emirs. This patronage came in the form of appointments to powerful and lucrative judicial and administrative posts, as well as the funding for the construction and maintenance of the mosques, *madrasas*, and other pious foundations that were the centers of their scholarly and religious life.[49] The *ulama* were not merely passive functionaries; they served as a crucial bridge between the alien military rulers and the local population. They often acted as advocates for the people, mediating disputes and sometimes protesting the excesses of the Mamluk emirs.[49] During times of crisis, such as outbreaks of the plague, it was the *ulama* who interpreted the calamity in religious terms and guided the social and spiritual response of both the rulers and the populace. This intricate partnership was essential to the functioning and stability of the Mamluk state.

The Economic Engine: Controlling the Spice Trade and Global Commerce

The Mamluk Sultanate's immense wealth and power were built upon its strategic geographic position, which allowed it to dominate the major arteries of global trade in the later Middle Ages.[2] The Sultanate controlled the land and sea routes that connected the Mediterranean world with the Indian Ocean, effectively acting as the indispensable middleman in the lucrative commerce between Europe and Asia.[60]

The most critical component of this trade was the flow of spices—pepper, cloves, cinnamon, nutmeg—as well as silks, perfumes, and other luxury goods from India, the Indonesian archipelago, and East Asia.[3] These commodities traveled across the Indian Ocean to ports in Yemen, such as Aden, and then up the Red Sea to Mamluk-controlled harbors like 'Aidhāb and Qusair. From there, they were transported by overland caravan to the Nile River, then

downstream to Cairo and the great Mediterranean port of Alexandria.[63] In Alexandria, these valuable goods were sold to European merchants, primarily from Venice and Genoa, who then distributed them throughout Europe at a massive profit.[3] The Mamluk state extracted enormous revenues from this transit trade through customs duties and taxes, and sultans often established state monopolies over the most valuable commodities.[60] This commercial wealth was the economic engine that funded the Mamluks' vast military expenditures, their extensive administrative apparatus, and their spectacular patronage of art and architecture, making Cairo one of the richest cities in the world.

Part V: Culture, Catastrophe, and Conquest

Chapter 10: The Cairene Renaissance: Mamluk Art and Architecture

Building for Eternity: The Monumental Complexes of Cairo

The Mamluk era, particularly the Bahri period, marked a golden age for Islamic art and architecture. The sultans and their powerful emirs were among the most prolific patrons in Islamic history, and their immense wealth, derived from trade and agriculture, was channeled into an unprecedented building campaign.[2] Their capital, Cairo, was transformed into the unrivaled artistic and cultural center of the Arab-Islamic world, attracting artisans and craftsmen from across the region, including many who fled the Mongol invasions of Persia and Iraq.[3]

Mamluk architecture is distinguished by its monumental scale and the construction of large, multi-functional complexes. These pious foundations, often centered around the mausoleum of the patron, typically combined a mosque, a *madrasa* (a college for Islamic law), a *khanqah* (a Sufi monastery), and charitable institutions like a public fountain (*sabil*) or a hospital (*maristan*).[33] Some of the most iconic examples that still dominate the skyline of historic Cairo include the massive complex of Sultan Hasan, the intricate complex of Sultan Qalawun, and the elegant funerary complex of Sultan Qaytbay.[3]

The Mamluk architectural style developed a distinctive vocabulary. Key features include the use of high-quality stone masonry, often in alternating bands of color (a technique known as *ablaq*); towering and elaborate entrance portals crowned with intricate *muqarnas* (stalactite-like) vaults; and increasingly ornate carved stone domes featuring complex geometric, star-shaped, or arabesque patterns.[41] Mamluk minarets also evolved a characteristic form, often consisting of a square base, an octagonal second story, and a circular top section, creating a slender and elegant three-tiered profile.[69]

The Apex of Islamic Decorative Arts: Metalwork, Glass, and Manuscripts

The patronage of the Mamluk elite spurred the decorative arts to new heights of technical and aesthetic achievement. Mamluk arts were highly prized not only within the Islamic world but also in Europe, where they were imported as luxury goods and had a profound impact on local production.[3]

Metalwork: Mamluk artisans in Cairo and Damascus perfected the art of inlaying brass and bronze vessels with intricate designs in silver and gold. These objects, which included basins, ewers, incense burners, and Qur'an boxes, were decorated with complex geometric patterns, flowing arabesques, and, most importantly, bold calligraphic inscriptions that often named the patron and his titles.[67] A distinctive feature was the use of heraldic blazons, or *ranks*, pictorial emblems that indicated the patron's office in the Mamluk court, such as a cup for the cup-bearer or polo sticks for the master of the polo game.[67]

Glassmaking: The Mamluk period is considered the golden age of Islamic glass. Craftsmen in Egypt and Syria produced exquisite enameled and gilded glass objects of unparalleled quality.[3] The most famous of these are the large mosque lamps commissioned by sultans and emirs to illuminate their religious foundations. These lamps were typically adorned with brilliant enamel colors and gold, featuring large bands of calligraphy with verses from the Qur'an—most fittingly the "Verse of Light" (Qur'an 24:35)—as well as the name and blazon of the patron.[74] The technical mastery and opulent beauty of Mamluk glass had a direct and lasting influence on the nascent glass industry of Venice.

Manuscript Illumination: The arts of the book also flourished under Mamluk patronage. Royal workshops produced some of the most luxurious and artistically sophisticated Qur'an manuscripts ever created.[71] These large-format manuscripts were written by master calligraphers in elegant scripts and were lavishly decorated with intricate frontispieces and chapter headings featuring complex geometric interlaces and flowing arabesque patterns executed in gold and vibrant colors like lapis lazuli blue.[66]

Chapter 11: The Long Decline and Final Fall

The Black Death: Demographic Collapse and its Socio-Economic Consequences

The arrival of the Black Death in Egypt and Syria between 1347 and 1349 was a demographic catastrophe of unimaginable proportions and a critical turning point in the history of the Mamluk Sultanate.[18] Contemporary chroniclers like al-Maqrizi and Ibn Battuta describe apocalyptic scenes of mass death. In Cairo, one of the largest cities in the world at the time, the daily death toll reached into the thousands, with some estimates suggesting the city lost

at least a third of its population in the initial outbreak.[45] The devastation was not limited to the cities; rural areas were decimated, with entire villages being abandoned and agricultural land falling out of cultivation.[45]

Crucially, the Black Death was not a singular event. The plague became endemic in the region, returning in devastating waves every few years for the remainder of the Mamluk period.[44] This prevented any meaningful demographic recovery and created a state of chronic crisis. The long-term consequences were profound. The massive loss of population led to a severe and persistent labor shortage, which crippled the agricultural sector—the foundation of the Mamluk economy and the *iqta* system. The intricate irrigation network of the Nile Delta fell into disrepair, further reducing agricultural output. This collapse in production led directly to a drastic decline in state revenues, weakening the Sultanate's ability to fund its military and administration.

Shifting Tides: The Portuguese Maritime Threat and the Rise of the Ottomans

As the 15th century drew to a close, the Mamluk Sultanate, already weakened by internal political strife and the long-term effects of the plague, faced two new and formidable external threats. The first was economic. In 1498, Portuguese explorer Vasco da Gama successfully navigated around Africa to India, opening up a direct maritime route between Europe and the spice-producing regions of the East. This revolutionary development in global trade allowed the Portuguese to bypass the traditional trade routes that ran through Mamluk territory.[12] Portuguese fleets soon began to dominate the Indian Ocean, blockading Mamluk ports on the Red Sea and siphoning away the lucrative spice trade that had been the lifeblood of the Sultanate's treasury.[28] This economic strangulation compounded the state's already severe financial distress.

The second threat was military. To the north, in Anatolia, the Ottoman Empire had been steadily growing in power and ambition. The Ottomans had embraced the military revolution brought about by gunpowder, building a formidable modern army centered on a large corps of musketeers (the Janissaries) and a powerful siege artillery train. The Mamluks, by contrast, remained wedded to their traditional military ethos, which prized the chivalric skills of the individual cavalryman—the *furusiyya*—and were slow to adopt firearms and artillery on a large scale. This created a critical technological and tactical gap between the two rival empires.

The Ottoman Conquest (1516-1517): Gunpowder and the End of an Era

The final, decisive conflict between the two powers erupted in 1516. The Ottoman army, led by the aggressive Sultan Selim I, marched into Mamluk-controlled Syria. The two armies met at the Battle of Marj Dabiq, north of Aleppo. The result was a catastrophic defeat for the Mamluks. The Ottoman artillery and musket fire tore through the ranks of the famed Mamluk cavalry, whose traditional charges were rendered obsolete and suicidal in the face of

gunpowder weaponry. The elderly Mamluk Sultan, Qansuh al-Ghawri, was killed in the battle.[5] Following this victory, the Ottomans swiftly occupied Syria and advanced into Egypt. The Mamluks rallied under a new sultan, Tuman Bay II, and met the Ottoman army at the Battle of Ridaniyya, just outside Cairo, in January 1517. Once again, the outcome was the same. The Ottomans arranged their cannons and musketeers behind a line of fortifications, and the Mamluks' desperate cavalry charges were annihilated. Cairo fell, and Tuman Bay was captured and executed. This marked the end of the Mamluk Sultanate as an independent state. Egypt and Syria were incorporated as provinces into the rapidly expanding Ottoman Empire.[1] While the Sultanate was destroyed, the Mamluk class itself was not. They were allowed to remain as a land-holding, influential elite within Ottoman Egypt, a position they would maintain for another three centuries until their final, bloody suppression by Muhammad Ali Pasha in 1811.[2]
The fall of the Mamluk Sultanate was not the result of a single cause but rather the culmination of a "perfect storm" of interconnected, long-term crises that systematically eroded the foundations of the state over more than a century. The political decay inherent in the Burji succession system, which favored factional strife over stability, created a chronic internal weakness that prevented any effective, long-term response to the mounting external challenges.[40] This political fragility was compounded by the demographic catastrophe of the Black Death and its recurrent waves, which created a permanent labor shortage, crippling the agricultural sector that was the basis of the state's revenue and the *iqta* system.[28] The economic strangulation that resulted from this agrarian decline was then intensified by the Portuguese outflanking of the Mamluk-controlled spice trade, which cut off the Sultanate's other main source of wealth.[28] This deep and prolonged financial crisis made it impossible for the state to adequately fund its army or invest in new military technology. Finally, the Mamluks' cultural and military conservatism, their deep-seated pride in the traditional skills of *furusiyya*, led to a fatal failure to adapt to the gunpowder revolution that was transforming early modern warfare.[12] These factors were mutually reinforcing: political instability hampered responses to the plague and economic crises; economic weakness precluded military modernization; and the demographic collapse undermined the entire socio-economic structure. The Ottoman conquest, therefore, was not the primary cause of the Mamluk collapse but rather the final, decisive blow delivered to a once-great power that had already been hollowed out from within.

Conclusion: The Enduring Legacy of the Mamluks

The Mamluk Sultanate, born from the unique institution of military slavery, left an indelible and multifaceted legacy on the Middle East. Their historical impact was profound. By decisively halting the Mongol advance at Ain Jalut, they saved the Islamic heartlands of Egypt and Syria from the devastation that had befallen Persia and Iraq, preserving the continuity of Arab-Islamic civilization. By systematically expelling the last of the Crusader states, they brought an end to a two-hundred-year chapter of conflict in the Levant. For two and a half

centuries, they stood as the dominant power in the central Islamic world, the custodians of its holy cities, and the champions of Sunni Islam.

Their most visible and enduring legacy is cultural, etched into the very fabric of the cities they ruled. The Mamluks were unparalleled patrons of architecture, and their capital, Cairo, is a living museum of their artistic achievements. The magnificent mosques, madrasas, and mausoleums they constructed continue to define the city's historic skyline, representing a high point in the history of Islamic architecture. Their contributions to the decorative arts—inlaid metalwork, enameled glass, and illuminated manuscripts—were of such quality that they were sought after from Europe to China and remain benchmarks of artistic excellence.

The Mamluk system of governance and military organization also had a lasting influence. The subsequent Ottoman Empire, which conquered and absorbed the Mamluk realm, did not simply erase its predecessor's institutions. The Ottomans adopted and adapted Mamluk administrative practices in their governance of Egypt and Syria and were clearly influenced by the Mamluk model of a slave-soldier elite in the development and organization of their own famed Janissary corps. Thus, while the Sultanate itself fell in 1517, its institutional and cultural DNA persisted, shaping the political and artistic landscape of the region for centuries to come. The Mamluks remain a powerful testament to one of history's most compelling paradoxes: that a class of men who were owned could rise to become rulers, and in doing so, create a legacy of power and beauty that has endured for centuries.

The Mamluk institution of military slavery was a highly developed version of a practice found in other Islamic states, but it possessed unique characteristics that set it apart from its counterparts, such as the Ottoman Janissaries and the Safavid *ghulams*. The following table provides a comparative analysis of these three elite slave-soldier systems.

Feature	Mamluks (Egypt & Syria)	Ottoman Janissaries	Safavid Ghulams (Persia)
Primary Recruitment Pool	Kipchak Turks, then Circassians [12]	Balkan Christians (Serbs, Albanians, Greeks, etc.) [83]	Caucasian Christians (Georgians, Armenians, Circassians) [17]
Method of Recruitment	Primarily purchase from slave markets; some prisoners of war [12]	*Devşirme* system (systematic child levy/tax) [83]	Primarily prisoners of war from Caucasian campaigns [17]
Religious Status at Recruitment	Non-Muslim (*kafir*)	Christian [83]	Christian
Path to Ultimate Power	Could, and frequently did, become the absolute ruler (Sultan)	Could rise to the highest office (Grand Vizier) but could not become Sultan [83]	Could become high commanders and governors but could not become the Shah [85]

Hereditary Status	Status was explicitly non-hereditary; sons (*awlad al-nas*) were excluded from the elite corps [12]	Initially non-hereditary and celibate; later became a hereditary corps with the right to marry [83]	Created specifically to be a non-hereditary force loyal to the Shah, countering the power of the hereditary Turkic Qizilbash tribal elite [85]
Primary Military Role	Elite heavy cavalry, masters of the bow, lance, and sword from horseback [52]	Elite infantry, pioneers in the widespread use of firearms (muskets)	Elite cavalry and infantry corps equipped with firearms, created to modernize the army

This comparison highlights the most exceptional feature of the Mamluk system: its capacity for complete political takeover. While the Janissaries and *ghulams* were created as instruments to serve and protect a ruling dynasty, the Mamluks became the dynasty itself. Their ability to seize the sultanate, a feat never replicated by their counterparts, marks them as the ultimate expression of the slave-soldier phenomenon in world history.

Works Cited

. "Mamluks: The History of the Legendary Slave Warriors of Islam." RealmofHistory.com.
. "Mamluk Sultanate." Wikipedia.
. "Who Were the Mamluks?" History Today.
. "Mamluk." Wikipedia.
. "Mamluk." Britannica.
. "The Art of the Mamluk Period (1250–1517)." The Metropolitan Museum of Art.
. "Life in the Mamluk Sultanate." Ottoman History Podcast.
. "The Mamluk Sultanate for Unit 1 of AP World History." The Productive Teacher.
. "History of the Mamluk Sultanate." Wikipedia.
. "Mamluks (1250-1517)." Middle East And North Africa — Facts and Details.
. "The History of Mamluk Sultanate: Every Year (1249~1517)." YouTube.
. "Mamluk Dynasties in Egypt." Epic World History.
. "Bahri dynasty." Kiddle.
. "Mamluks Timeline." History Draft.
. "Burji Mamluk." Joukowsky Institute for Archaeology & the Ancient World, Brown University.
. "Mamluk dynasty." British Museum.
. "Battle of Ain Jalut." Wikipedia.
. "Battle of Ain Jalut." Wikipedia.
. "Battle of ʿAyn Jālūt." Britannica.
. "Bahri Mamluk Dynasty." Egyptian Ministry of Tourism and Antiquities. (Translated from Arabic)
. "History of Egypt in the Mamluk Era." Arabic Wikipedia. (Translated from Arabic)

. "History of the Mamluk State." YouTube. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Origins of the Mamluks." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Mamluks." YouTube. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Mamluk State in Egypt." University of Guelma Institutional Repository. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Who are the Mamluks and where did they come from?" Mawdoo3.com. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Mamluk State." Arabic Wikipedia. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Mamluks in the Ayyubid State." ASJP CERIST.
. "The Mamluks." YouTube. (Translated from Arabic)
. "From the Ayyubid Era to the Mamluks: The History." Akhbar El Yom. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Revolt of Tha'lab against al-Mu'izz Aybak." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Circassian Mamluks (Burji) Dynasty." Egyptian Ministry of Tourism and Antiquities. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Bahri and Burji Mamluk States." YouTube. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Difference Between the Bahri and Burji Mamluk States." Mawdoo3.com. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Bahri Mamluks." Arabic Wikipedia. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Battle of Ain Jalut." Wikipedia.
. "Ain Jalut: The battle that revived the Mamluk state and broke the Mongols." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Battle of Ain Jalut." Arabic Wikipedia. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Where did the Battle of Ain Jalut take place?" Mawdoo3.com. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Power Struggles in the Mamluk Sultanate." Medievalists.net.
. "Mamluk Sultanate." Wikipedia.
. Blaydes, Lisa. "How Did the Mamluk Sultanate Survive for So Long?" Stanford University.
. "Military of the Mamluk Sultanate." Wikipedia.
. "The Mamluk Military: A Professional Medieval Army." Medievalists.net.
. "C avalry tactics." Wikipedia.
. "Mongol Heavy Cavalry." YouTube.
. "Mamluk Sultanate: Politics, Society, Economy." Number Analytics.
. "Mamluk Sultanate: Politics, Society, Economy." Number Analytics.
. "Mamluk Sultanate: Politics, Society, Economy." Number Analytics.
. "Life in the Mamluk Sultanate." Ottoman History Podcast.
. Lev, Yaacov. "The Ulama and the Mamluk State." Mamluk Studies Review.
. "Ulama." Wikipedia.
. "The Socio-Political Role of the Ulama in Egypt." Islamic Literary Society.
. "Mamluk Sultanate: Politics, Society, Economy." Number Analytics.
. Petry, Carl F. "The Mamluk Sultanate from a Global Perspective." Cambridge University Press.
. "Venice and the Mamluks." The Metropolitan Museum of Art.
. Fischel, Walter J. "The Spice Trade in Mamluk Egypt."
. Borsch, Stuart J. "Refugees of the Black Death: Quantifying rural migration." Annales de Démographie Historique.

. "Black Death in the Middle East." Wikipedia.
. Borsch, Stuart J. "The Black Death in the Middle East." Islamic Law Blog.
. Varlik, Nükhet. "The Black Death and the Rise of the Ottomans." Cambridge University Press.
. "The Political Structure of the Mamluks." IASJ. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Origins of the Mamluks." Al Jazeera.
. "The Educational Curriculum of the Mamluks and its Strategic Impact." Rawahel.org. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Mamluk Army Organization and Cavalry Tactics." Archive.org. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Army of Egypt in the Mamluk Era." Egyptian Arabic Wikipedia. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Social Hierarchy in the Mamluk Sultanate." Mohamed Rabeea Library. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Mamluk (History)." Arabic Wikipedia. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Mamluk Sultanate." Marefa.org. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Role of the Ulama in the Mamluk Era." EKB Journals. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Scientific and Cultural Life in the Mamluk Era." IslamOnline. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Role of the Ulama in the Mamluk State." Al-Mustansiriya University Repository. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Trade Routes in the Mamluk Sultanate." University of Jordan Journals. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Society and Economy in the Mamluk Era." Antoine Doumit. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Global Trade Routes Before the Portuguese." ASJP CERIST. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Black Death in Mamluk Egypt and Syria." YouTube. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Plague of 749 H. / 1348 A.D. and its Impact on Egypt." Mandumah. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Black Death: What Ibn Battuta Saw in Damascus and Cairo." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Mamluk Architecture." Museum With No Frontiers.
. "Mamluk architecture." Wikipedia.
. "Mamluk Architectural and Artistic Trends." Google Arts & Culture.
. "A Study on the Characteristics of the Mamluk Art and Design." International Journals of Scientific & Engineering Research.
. "Art Minute: Mamluk Sultanate, 'Footed Bowl with Lid'." Toledo Museum of Art.
. "A Gift of Light: Glass Lamps and Their Illumination of the Mamluk State." University of Michigan Deep Blue.
. "Mosque Lamp of Amir Qawsun." The Metropolitan Museum of Art.
. "Mamluk Sultanate." Wikipedia.
. "Power Struggles in the Mamluk Sultanate." Medievalists.net.
. "What led to the collapse of the Mamluk Sultanate?" Reddit.
. Petry, Carl F. "The Cultural Legacy." Cambridge University Press.
. "The Mamluk Legacy: Ottoman Empire's Roots." Number Analytics.
. "The Mamluk Sultanate: A Historical Perspective." Number Analytics.
. "Janissary." Wikipedia.
. "The Devshirme System: An Ultimate Guide." Number Analytics.

. "Origin and Recruitment of the Janissaries." Ludwig Heinrich Dyck Blog.
. "Ghilman." Wikipedia.
. "The Safavid Empire." University of Chicago.
. "What were the differences between the Mamluks and Janissaries?" Reddit.
. "Why did the use of slave soldiers (ghilmans/mamluks) become so widespread in the Islamic world?" Reddit.
. Freamon, Bernard K. "The 'Mamluk/Ghulam Phenomenon'." Brill.
. "Mamluk Architecture." Marefa.org. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Mamluk Architecture between Cairo and Aleppo." RAHA AC. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Entrances of Religious Buildings in Tripoli during the Mamluk Era." MJAF EKB. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Mamluk Glass." Damanhour University. (Translated from Arabic)
. "History of Islamic Art in the Mamluk Era." Dar Alzaman. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Research on Mamluk Weapons." Civilization Lovers Blog. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Economic Reasons for the Fall of the Mamluk State." Ida2at. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Causes of the Fall of the Mamluk State." Qpedia. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Ottoman-Safavid and Mamluk Conflict." DML NELC. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Bahri Mamluk Dynasty." Egyptian Ministry of Tourism and Antiquities.
. "The Janissaries were the most famous units of the Ottoman army..." Arabi Post. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Ottoman Janissary Army..." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Janissaries and their role in the Ottoman State until 1826." Scribd. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Crimes of Shah Ismail Safavi." YouTube. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Noble Ghulams." Arabic Wikipedia. (Translated from Arabic)
. "The Safavid State." Arabic Wikipedia. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Ain Jalut: The battle that revived the Mamluk state..." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic)
. "Mamluk Sultanate." Wikipedia. (Synthesized)
. "Who Were the Mamluks?" History Today. (Synthesized)
. "Battle of ʿAyn Jālūt." Britannica. (Synthesized)
. "The importance of the Battle of Ain Jalut in Mamluk history." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "The demographic, social, and economic impact of the Black Death on Mamluk Egypt and Syria." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "The organization of the Mamluk army..." Medievalists.net. (Synthesized)
. "The social hierarchy of the Mamluk Sultanate..." Number Analytics. (Synthesized)
. "The role and influence of the ulama..." Mamluk Studies Review. (Synthesized)
. "The major trade routes controlled by the Mamluk Sultanate..." Cambridge University Press. (Synthesized)
. "The demographic, social, and economic impact of the Black Death..." Wikipedia. (Synthesized)
. "Details on the Mamluk political structure..." IASJ. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "The organization of the Mamluk army..." Archive.org. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)

. "The social hierarchy of the Mamluk Sultanate..." Mohamed Rabeea Library. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "The role and influence of the Ulama..." Islamic Literary Society. (Synthesized)
. "The major trade routes controlled by the Mamluk Sultanate..." University of Jordan Journals. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "The demographic, social, and economic impact of the Black Death..." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "Mamluk decorative arts..." The Metropolitan Museum of Art. (Synthesized)
. "Factors contributing to the decline and fall of the Mamluk Sultanate..." Medievalists.net. (Synthesized)
. "The lasting legacy of the Mamluks..." Cambridge University Press. (Synthesized)
. "The recruitment, training, and role of the Ottoman Janissaries." Wikipedia. (Synthesized)
. "Mamluk decorative arts..." Dar Alzaman. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "Factors contributing to the decline and fall of the Mamluk Sultanate..." Ida2at. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "The lasting legacy of the Mamluks..." Number Analytics. (Synthesized)
. "The recruitment, training, and role of the Ottoman Janissaries." Al Jazeera. (Translated from Arabic, Synthesized)
. "The recruitment, training, and role of the Safavid ghulams." Arabic Wikipedia. (Synthesized)

Works cited

1. Mamluk Sultanate - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mamluk_Sultanate
2. Mamluk | History, Significance, Leaders, & Decline - Britannica, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/topic/Mamluk-soldier
3. The Art of the Mamluk Period (1250–1517) - The Metropolitan Museum of Art, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.metmuseum.org/essays/the-art-of-the-mamluk-period-1250-1517
4. المنهج التربوي للمماليك وأثره الاستراتيجي على العالم الإسلامي - رواحل, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.rawahel.org/articles/%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%86%D9%87%D8%AC-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AA%D8%B1%D8%A8%D9%88%D9%8A-%D9%84%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83-%D9%88%D8%A3%D8%AB%D8%B1%D9%87-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A7%D8%B3%D8%AA%D8%B1%D8%A7%D8%AA%D9%8A%D8%AC%D9%8A-%D8%B9%D9%84%D9%89-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A5%D8%B3%D9%84%D8%A7%D9%85%D9%8A-209
5. The Mamluk Sultanate for Unit 1 of AP World History - Productive Teacher, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.theproductiveteacher.com/the-mamluk-sultanate-for-unit-1-of-ap-world-history
6. en.wikipedia.org, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Ain_Jalut
7. Battle of Ain Jalut (1260) | Description & Significance | Britannica, accessed

August 29, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/event/Battle-of-Ayn-Jalut
8. عين جالوت.. معركة أحيت دولة المماليك وكسرت شوكة المغول | الموسوعة ..., accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.aljazeera.net/encyclopedia/2023/4/8/%D8%B9%D9%8A%D9%86-%D8%AC%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%88%D8%AA-%D9%85%D8%B9%D8%B1%D9%83%D8%A9-%D8%A3%D8%AD%D9%8A%D8%AA-%D8%AF%D9%88%D9%84%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83
9. Mamluk Dynasties in Egypt - Epic World History, accessed August 29, 2025, http://epicworldhistory.blogspot.com/2012/06/mamluk-dynasties-in-egypt.html
10. تاريخ مصر في عهد المماليك - ويكيبيديا, accessed August 29, 2025, https://ar.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D8%AA%D8%A7%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%AE_%D9%85%D8%B5%D8%B1_%D9%81%D9%8A_%D8%B9%D9%87%D8%AF_%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83
11. (المماليك ودورهم في تصفية الشرق من الصليبيين (648-923هـ/1250-1517م) | ASJP, accessed August 29, 2025, https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/article/234768
12. Who Were the Mamluks? | History Today, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.historytoday.com/miscellanies/who-were-mamluks
13. Mamluks: The Incredible Islamic Slave-Warriors of Egypt, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.realmofhistory.com/2022/06/23/mamluks-slave-warriors-history/
14. Mamluk - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mamluk
15. الدولة المملوكية - ويكيبيديا, accessed August 29, 2025, https://ar.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AF%D9%88%D9%84%D8%A9_%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D9%84%D9%88%D9%83%D9%8A%D8%A9
16. The “Mamluk/Ghulam Phenomenon”—Slave Sultans, Soldiers ... - Brill, accessed August 29, 2025, https://brill.com/downloadpdf/book/9789004398795/BP000005.pdf
17. Ghilman - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ghilman
18. Bahri dynasty Facts for Kids, accessed August 29, 2025, https://kids.kiddle.co/Bahri_dynasty
19. Mamluk dynasty | British Museum, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.britishmuseum.org/collection/term/x23175
20. دولة المماليك كاملة من البداية للنهاية ⚔️ دولة تأسست وانتهت بجريمة قتل | عدو أوروبا اللدود, accessed August 29, 2025, https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=90bkNIPwlOA&pp=ygUNI9mF2YTZg9i02KfZhw%3D%3D
21. Burji Mamluks - Brown University, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.brown.edu/Departments/Joukowsky_Institute/courses/afterpharaohs2010/13947.html
22. من هم المماليك ومن أين جاؤوا - موضوع, accessed August 29, 2025, https://mawdoo3.com/%D9%85%D9%86_%D9%87%D9%85_%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83_%D9%88%D9%85%D9%86

_%D8%A3%D9%8A%D9%86_%D8%AC%D8%A7%D8%A4%D9%88%D8%A7
23. دولة المماليك الجراكسة (البرجية) - إكتشف الآثار المصرية - وزارة السياحة والآثار, accessed August 29, 2025, https://egymonuments.gov.eg/ar/historical-periods/circassian-mamluks-burji/
24. Mamluks, Property Rights, and Economic Development: Lessons from Medieval Egypt - Lisa Blaydes, accessed August 29, 2025, https://blaydes.people.stanford.edu/sites/g/files/sbiybj24621/files/media/file/land1.pdf
25. أصول المماليك.. هل كانوا عنصرا وطنيا أم كيانا احتلاليا لمصر والشام؟ | تاريخ - الجزيرة نت, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.aljazeera.net/history/2020/2/25/%D8%A3%D8%B5%D9%88%D9%84-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83
26. دولة المماليك في مصر.pdf, accessed August 29, 2025, https://dspace.univ-guelma.dz/jspui/bitstream/123456789/923/1/%D8%AF%D9%88%D9%84%D8%A9%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83%20%D9%81%D9%8A%20%D9%85%D8%B5%D8%B1.pdf
27. ثورة ثعلب.. لماذا انتفض العرب في مصر ضد المماليك؟ | تاريخ - الجزيرة نت, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.aljazeera.net/history/2023/7/13/%D8%AB%D9%88%D8%B1%D8%A9-%D8%AB%D8%B9%D9%84%D8%A8-%D8%B6%D8%AF-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D8%B9%D8%B2-%D8%A3%D9%8A%D8%A8%D9%83-%D9%8A%D9%88%D9%85-%D8%A7%D9%82%D8%AA%D8%B1%D8%A8
28. الأسباب الاقتصادية لسقوط دولة المماليك – إضاءات, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.ida2at.com/economic-reasons-fall-mamluk-state/
29. History of the Mamluk Sultanate - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_Mamluk_Sultanate
30. من العصر الأيوبي إلى المماليك.. التاريخ السياسي لحكام مصر - بوابة أخبار اليوم, accessed August 29, 2025, https://m.akhbarelyom.com/news/newdetails/4488849/1/%D9%85%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%B5%D8%B1-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D9%8A%D9%88%D8%A8%D9%8A-%D8%A5%D9%84%D9%89-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AA%D8%A7%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%AE-%D8%A7%D9%84
31. دولة المماليك البحرية - إكتشف الآثار المصرية - وزارة السياحة والآثار, accessed August 29, 2025, https://egymonuments.gov.eg/ar/historical-periods/mamluk-dynasty-bahri/
32. المماليك | الدحيح - YouTube, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YXcg79ZcQc4
33. Life in the Mamluk Sultanate - Ottoman History Podcast, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.ottomanhistorypodcast.com/2020/11/life-in-mamluk-sultanate.html
34. المماليك البحرية - ويكيبيديا, accessed August 29, 2025, https://ar.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83_%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A8%D8%AD%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%A9
35. أين وقعت معركة عين جالوت - موضوع, accessed August 29, 2025,

https://mawdoo3.com/%D8%A3%D9%8A%D9%86_%D9%88%D9%82%D8%B9%D8%AA_%D9%85%D8%B9%D8%B1%D9%83%D8%A9_%D8%B9%D9%8A%D9%86_%D8%AC%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%88%D8%AA
36. History of Mamluks - Timeline - Historydraft, accessed August 29, 2025, https://historydraft.com/story/mamluks/timeline/806
37. معركة عين جالوت - ويكيبيديا, accessed August 29, 2025, https://ar.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D9%85%D8%B9%D8%B1%D9%83%D8%A9_%D8%B9%D9%8A%D9%86_%D8%AC%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%88%D8%AA
38. النتائج السياسية لوالية االستيالء في دولة المماليك هـ(784 هـ/ 648 ..., accessed August 29, 2025, https://iasj.rdd.edu.iq/journals/uploads/2025/06/03/69ccc32871b377e5ffdbde692b666455.pdf
39. سلطنة المماليك - المعرفة, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.marefa.org/%D8%B3%D9%84%D8%B7%D9%86%D8%A9_%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%83
40. The Struggle for Power within the Mamluk Sultanate - Medievalists.net, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.medievalists.net/2020/08/power-struggles-mamluk/
41. Mamluk architecture - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mamluk_architecture
42. تاريخ دولة المماليك : صعود المماليك و مرحلة المماليك البحرية - YouTube, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CruDsyX0Tu4
43. دولة المماليك كاملة من البداية للنهاية ⚔️ دولة تأسست وانتهت بجريمة قتل | عدو أوروبا اللدود, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=90bkNIPwIOA
44. shs.cairn.info, accessed August 29, 2025, https://shs.cairn.info/revue-annales-de-demographie-historique-2017-2-page-63?lang=fr#:~:text=The%20resulting%20reported%20recurrence%20of,%2C%20one%20per%204.12%20years).
45. Black Death in the Middle East - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Black_Death_in_the_Middle_East
46. The Black Death in the Middle East and Central Asia - Islamic Law Blog, accessed August 29, 2025, https://islamiclaw.blog/2020/05/29/stuart-borsch/
47. en.wikipedia.org, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mamluk_Sultanate#:~:text=One%20such%20emir%2C%20Barquq%2C%20overthrew,long%20period%20of%20financial%20distress.
48. أسباب سقوط دولة المماليك - موسوعة كيوبيديا العالمية, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.qpedia.org/topics/10616.html?language=arabic
49. The Socio-Political Role of the Ulama in Egypt – Islamic Literary ..., accessed August 29, 2025, https://islamicliterarysociety.com/2020/12/2365/
50. The Mamluk Sultanate: A Deeper Dive - Number Analytics, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/mamluk-sultanate-politics-society-economy
51. صورة المجتمع الشامي في الدولة المملوكية الأولى ذ - ا.د محمد سعيد ربيع الغامدي, accessed August 29, 2025, http://mohamedrabeea.net/library/pdf/cb5cc335-e7dc-458b-b180-875893d2bcf6

.pdf
52. The Mamluk Military: A Professional Medieval Army - Medievalists.net, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.medievalists.net/2020/05/mamluk-military-professional-medieval-army/
53. Full text of "النظام العسكري في دولة المماليك (648-923ه / 1250-1517م)", accessed August 29, 2025, https://archive.org/stream/library-elhamma-6262022/Library%20Elhamma_6262022_djvu.txt
54. Military of the Mamluk Sultanate - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Military_of_the_Mamluk_Sultanate
55. Cavalry tactics - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cavalry_tactics
56. www.numberanalytics.com, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/mamluk-sultanate-politics-society-economy#:~:text=Social%20Hierarchies%20and%20Patronage%20Networks,the%20Caucasus%20and%20other%20regions.
57. Symbiotic Relations: Ulama and the Mamluk Sultans (MSR XIII.1 ..., accessed August 29, 2025, https://knowledge.uchicago.edu/record/1159/files/MSR_XIII-1_2009-Lev_pp1-26.pdf
58. دور العلماء يف مصر خالل العصر - الجامعة المستنصرية, accessed August 29, 2025, https://uomustansiriyah.edu.iq/media/library/dissertations/1861.pdf
59. دور العلماء في المعارضة السياسية في مصر في عصر دولة المماليك الأولى (648-784 ه / 1250, accessed August 29, 2025, https://journals.ekb.eg/article_296101.html
60. The Mamluk Sultanate from a Global Perspective (Chapter 3) - The ..., accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/mamluk-sultanate/mamluk-sultanate-from-a-global-perspective/326DE7820ED1D27C20AD166816F73B02
61. Mamluk Sultanate: A Historical Perspective - Number Analytics, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/mamluk-sultanate-historical-perspective
62. السلع التجارية في الأسواق المصرية في دولة المماليك البحرية (٦٤٨, accessed August 29, 2025, https://archives.ju.edu.jo/index.php/jjha/article/download/3262/2830/6420
63. The Spice Trade in Mamluk Egypt: A Contribution to the Economic History of Medieval Islam Author(s): Walter J. Fischel Source, accessed August 29, 2025, https://medpopculture.voices.wooster.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/140/2018/01/fischel_spice-trade-in-mamluk-egypt.pdf
64. طرق التجارة ومسالكها بالمشرق الإسالمي وأهميتها في حركة التجارة العال, accessed August 29, 2025, https://asjp.cerist.dz/en/downArticle/634/3/2/161963
65. Venice and the Mamluks | The Metropolitan Museum of Art, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.metmuseum.org/learn/educators/curriculum-resources/art-of-the-islamic-world/unit-seven/chapter-two/venice-and-the-mamluks
66. Mamluk Architectural and Artistic Trends - Google Arts & Culture, accessed

August 29, 2025, https://artsandculture.google.com/story/mamluk-architectural-and-artistic-trends-american-research-center-in-egypt/LAWhg2VFPHFybQ?hl=en
67. Overview of Islamic Art and Architecture: The Mamluk Period (1250 – 1517), accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.internationaljournalssrg.org/IJHSS/2020/Volume7-Issue3/IJHSS-V7I3P104.pdf
68. العمارة المملوكية بين القاهرة وحلب..سمات وخصائص - رحى للمدن القديمة, accessed August 29, 2025, https://raha-ac.com/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D9%85%D8%A7%D8%B1%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D9%84%D9%88%D9%83%D9%8A%D8%A9-%D8%A8%D9%8A%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%82%D8%A7%D9%87%D8%B1%D8%A9-%D9%88%D8%AD%D9%84%D8%A8-%D8%B3%D9%85/
69. Artistic Introduction - Discover Islamic Art, accessed August 29, 2025, https://islamicart.museumwnf.org/gai/isl/page.php?theme=9
70. العمارة المملوكية - المعرفة, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.marefa.org/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D9%85%D8%A7%D8%B1%D8%A9_%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D9%84%D9%88%D9%83%D9%8A%D8%A9
71. Art Minute: Mamluk Sultanate, "Footed Bowl with Lid" | Toledo Museum of Art, accessed August 29, 2025, https://toledomuseum.org/collection/art-minute/art-minute-mamluk-sultanate-footed-bowl-with-lid
72. تاريخ الفن الاسلامي في العصر المملوكي – مكتبة دار الزمان للنشر ..., accessed August 29, 2025, https://daralzaman.sa/%D8%AA%D8%A7%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%AE-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%81%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A7%D8%B3%D9%84%D8%A7%D9%85%D9%8A-%D9%81%D9%8A-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%B5%D8%B1-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D9%84%D9%88%D9%83%D9%8A/
73. بحث عن الاسلحه المملوكيه - Civilization Lovers, accessed August 29, 2025, https://civilizationlovers.wordpress.com/2012/07/10/%D8%A8%D8%AD%D8%AB-%D8%B9%D9%86-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A7%D8%B3%D9%84%D8%AD%D9%87-%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D9%84%D9%88%D9%83%D9%8A%D9%87/
74. 'Ali ibn Muhammad al-Barmaki | Mosque Lamp of Amir Qawsun | The Metropolitan Museum of Art, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.metmuseum.org/art/collection/search/447006
75. الفنون المملوكية د منى عثمان الغُباشي الفرقة الثالثة, accessed August 29, 2025, https://damanhour.edu.eg/pdf/artsfac/%D9%85%D8%AD%D8%A7%D8%B6%D8%B1%D8%A9%205%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AC%D8%B2%D8%A1%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D9%88%D9%84%20%D9%85%D9%86%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B2%D8%AC%D8%A7%D8%AC%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%85%D9%84%D9%88%D9%83%D9%8A%20%D8%AF%20%D9%85%D9%86%D9%89%20%D8%B9%D8%AB%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%86%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%81%D8%B1%D9%82%D8%A9%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AB%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AB%D8%A9%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A2%D8%AB%D8%A7%D8%B1%20%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A5%D8%B3%D9%84%D8%A7%D9%85%D9%8A%D8%A9.p

df
76. A Gift of Light: Glass Lamps and Their Illumination of the Mamluk State, accessed August 29, 2025, https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/handle/2027.42/193928
77. The Black Death and the Rise of the Ottomans (Chapter 1) - Natural Disasters in the Ottoman Empire - Cambridge University Press & Assessment, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/natural-disasters-in-the-ottoman-empire/black-death-and-the-rise-of-the-ottomans/D83E412C0BB3C092E79683722AFFFC33
78. قصة مصر مع وباء الطاعون الأسود، أكثر من ٦٠٠ سنة - YouTube, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QOeZ7qxvcDw
79. الموت الأسود.. ماذا رأى ابن بطوطة في الطاعون العظيم؟ وكيف نجا منه ..., accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.aljazeera.net/history/2021/7/31/%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%85%D9%88%D8%AA-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D8%B3%D9%88%D8%AF-%D9%85%D8%A7%D8%B0%D8%A7-%D8%B1%D8%A3%D9%89-%D8%A7%D8%A8%D9%86-%D8%A8%D8%B7%D9%88%D8%B7%D8%A9-%D9%81%D9%8A
80. أسباب هزيمة المماليك في مصر والشام (٢) - DML, accessed August 29, 2025, http://www.dml.nelc.edu.eg/sites/default/files/lo/lo_4299_892/sources/page2-10.html
81. What led to the collapse of the Mamluk sultanate in 1517? : r/AskHistorians - Reddit, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistorians/comments/p4y43o/what_led_to_the_collapse_of_the_mamluk_sultanate/
82. Janissary - Wikipedia, accessed August 29, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Janissary
83. Origin and Recruitment of the Janissaries | Ludwig H. Dyck's Historical Writings, accessed August 29, 2025, https://ludwigheinrichdyck.wordpress.com/2017/11/04/origin-and-recruitment-of-the-janissaries/
84. The Digital Library : Social Studies : The Middle East : The Ottoman Empire - eCUIP, accessed August 29, 2025, https://ecuip.lib.uchicago.edu/diglib/social/mideast/encyclopedia/mideast_sec04_safavid_01.html
85. Devshirme: The Ottoman Empire's Complex Recruitment - Number Analytics, accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/devshirme-ultimate-guide
86. الغلمان الأشراف - ويكيبيديا, accessed August 29, 2025, https://ar.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%BA%D9%84%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%86_%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D8%B4%D8%B1%D8%A7%D9%81
87. الجيش الانكشاري العثماني.. خلع 12 سلطانا وتآمر لقتل آخرين | الموسوعة ..., accessed August 29, 2025, https://www.aljazeera.net/encyclopedia/2023/8/31/%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%AC%D9%8A%D8%B4-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A7%D9%86%D9%83%D8%B4%D8%A7%D8%B1%D9%8A-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%AB%D9%85%D8%A7%D9%86%D9%8A-%D8%AE%D9%84%D8%B9-12-%D8%B3%D9%84%D8%B7%D8%A7%D9%86%D8%A7