The Second Founder: Sultan Mehmed I and the Restoration of the Ottoman Empire (1413-121)

Part I: The Sultan – Forging a Ruler in the Crucible of Civil War

The story of Sultan Mehmed I is inseparable from the cataclysm that nearly erased the Ottoman state from history. His reign was not one of glorious conquest in the vein of his father or grandson, but a painstaking and deliberate act of restoration. To understand the man who would become known as the "Second Founder" of the Ottoman Empire, one must first comprehend the crucible of chaos, defeat, and fratricidal warfare that forged his character and defined his rule. His journey from a provincial governor under a seemingly invincible father to the sole ruler of a shattered empire reveals how the catastrophic events of the Ottoman Interregnum shaped his cautious statecraft, his diplomatic acumen, and his ultimate historical legacy.

The Prince of Amasya and the Ankara Catastrophe

Born around 1386 or 1387, Mehmed was the fourth son of the reigning Ottoman Sultan, Bayezid I, and one of his consorts, the slave girl Devlet Hatun.¹ In accordance with established Ottoman tradition for training princes in the art of governance, the adolescent Mehmed was dispatched in 1399 to serve as the provincial governor of the Rûm Eyalet, with his seat of power in the city of Amasya in central northern Anatolia.¹ This appointment, standard for a prince of his standing, would prove to be a stroke of immense fortune, placing him in a defensible Anatolian heartland, strategically distanced from the epicenters of power that would be consumed by the chaos to come.

His father, Sultan Bayezid I, was a figure of legendary energy and military prowess, earning the epithet *Yıldırım*, or "The Thunderbolt," for the astonishing speed of his campaigns.² Bayezid's reign had been a period of meteoric expansion for the nascent Ottoman state. In the Balkans, he had consolidated Ottoman authority and, in 1396, had decisively crushed a large European crusader army at the Battle of Nicopolis, a victory that sent shockwaves through Christendom and solidified Ottoman dominance in the region.² In Anatolia, he had aggressively pursued a

policy of annexation, subjugating the various Turkish principalities, or beyliks, and extending Ottoman control to the borders of the Mamluk Sultanate. By the turn of the 15th century, Bayezid's empire seemed poised to achieve the ultimate prize: the conquest of the ancient Byzantine capital, Constantinople.

This relentless expansion, however, set Bayezid on a collision course with an even greater power from the east: the formidable Turco-Mongol conqueror, Timur, known in the West as Tamerlane.² The conflict was born of competing imperial ambitions. Timur, who was carving out a vast empire across Central Asia and Persia, viewed the recently annexed Anatolian beyliks as his own vassals and offered refuge to their deposed rulers.⁵ The ensuing diplomatic exchange between the two potentates devolved into a series of escalating insults, with Timur dismissing Bayezid as a mere "ant" and Bayezid retorting by calling Timur an "old dog".³ By 1400, war was inevitable.

The decisive confrontation occurred on July 28, 1402, on the plains of Çubuk near Ankara.⁷ The Battle of Ankara was an unmitigated disaster for the Ottomans. Timur, a master strategist, had outmaneuvered Bayezid, secretly marching his army to the rear of the Ottoman forces and seizing their water supply.⁹ Bayezid's army, numbering around 85,000, was exhausted and thirsty after a forced march through the blistering midsummer heat to meet Timur's larger, well-rested force of approximately 140,000, which included 32 war elephants.⁵ The battle was a rout. The Ottoman defeat was sealed by the mass desertion of their Anatolian sipahi cavalry and contingents of Black Tatars, who, feeling a greater kinship with the fellow Turkic conqueror Timur, switched their allegiance mid-battle.³

The aftermath of the battle was a political cataclysm that decapitated the Ottoman state. Sultan Bayezid I was captured, becoming the only reigning Ottoman sultan in the empire's long history to be taken prisoner by an enemy. He would die in Timurid captivity the following year, his end shrouded in tales of humiliation. This event was more than a military defeat; it was a fundamental crisis of legitimacy. The persona of the Ottoman sultan was built upon the image of the invincible

ghazi, the holy warrior for Islam, an image Bayezid had cultivated with great success. His capture and death shattered this aura of invincibility. The immediate defection of the Anatolian troops on the battlefield revealed the shallow roots of Ottoman authority in the region; their loyalty was conditional, based on success, and easily transferred to a more powerful Turkic leader. Timur's subsequent policy was not to absorb the Ottoman lands but to dismember them, restoring the independence of the Anatolian beyliks that Bayezid had conquered. This action effectively reversed a generation of Ottoman consolidation and plunged the state into a power vacuum. The empire fractured, and what remained of its core territories became the prize in a brutal, decade-long civil war among Bayezid's surviving sons. From the wreckage of the battlefield, the young prince Mehmed, who had commanded the rear guard, was rescued by his loyal general, Bayezid Pasha, and spirited away to the relative safety of his governorship in Amasya, the starting point of his long and arduous path to the throne.

The War of the Brothers: Mehmed's Ascent Through the Interregnum (1402-1413)

The eleven-year period following the Battle of Ankara, known as the Ottoman Interregnum or Civil War (*Fetret Devri*), was one of the most complex and socially divisive episodes in the dynasty's history. It was a bloody, multi-sided struggle for succession between four of Bayezid's sons—Süleyman, isa, Musa, and Mehmed—that saw the Ottoman realm torn apart, with shifting alliances and the constant intervention of regional powers. For Mehmed, it was a formative ordeal that demanded not just military skill, but immense strategic patience and diplomatic cunning. His ultimate victory was a testament to his ability to outlast, outmaneuver, and out-think his more impetuous brothers.

| Year(s) | Key Events | Anatolian Front | Balkan Front | Key Alliances & |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | | (Mehmed vs. | (Süleyman vs. | Diplomatic Shifts |
| | | İsa/Süleyman) | Musa) | |
| 1402-1403 | Battle of Ankara; | Mehmed | N/A | Timur confirms |
| | Bayezid I | establishes a | | Mehmed as ruler |
| | captured. Death | power base in | | of Amasya, |
| | of Bayezid in | Amasya. İsa | | effectively |
| | captivity (1403). | Çelebi takes | | sanctioning the |
| | | control of Bursa. | | division of the |
| | | Süleyman Çelebi | | empire. |
| | | controls Rumelia | | |
| | | from Edirne. | | |
| 1403-1406 | Battles of | Mehmed defeats | N/A | Mehmed mints |
| | Ermeni-beli and | isa, occupies | | coins |
| | Ulubad. | Bursa. İsa flees to | | acknowledging |
| | | Constantinople, | | Timur as overlord. |
| | | then seeks refuge | | isa allies with the |
| | | with Süleyman. İsa | | Byzantines and |
| | | is eventually killed | | Süleyman. |
| | | by Mehmed's | | |
| | | agents (1406). | | |
| 1404-1410 | Stalemate in | Süleyman crosses | Mehmed sends | Byzantine |
| | Anatolia. | into Anatolia, | Musa to Rumelia | Emperor Manuel II |
| | | captures Bursa | (c. 1409) to open | Palaiologos allies |
| | | (1404) and | a second front | with Süleyman. |
| | | Ankara, pushing | against Süleyman. | Musa allies with |
| | | Mehmed back. | | Wallachia. |
| 1410-1411 | Battle of | Mehmed | Süleyman initially | Musa gains |
| | Kosmidion. | maintains his | defeats Musa at | support from |
| | Süleyman's defeat | position in | Kosmidion (1410). | Balkan <i>ghazi</i> s and |

| | and death. | Anatolia, waiting | Musa rallies | Serbian Despot. |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | for the outcome in support; | | Süleyman's |
| | | the Balkans. | Süleyman's army | Christian alliances |
| | | | deserts to him. | weaken. |
| | | | Süleyman is | |
| | | | captured and | |
| | | | executed on | |
| | | | Musa's orders | |
| | | | (1411). | |
| 1411-1413 | Musa's rule in | Mehmed prepares | Musa consolidates | Musa's aggression |
| | Rumelia. Battle of | to cross into | control over | alienates former |
| | Çamurlu. | Europe to | Rumelia and | allies. Manuel II |
| | | confront Musa. | besieges | and the Serbian |
| | | | Constantinople. | Despot Stefan |
| | | | | Lazarević switch |
| | | | | their allegiance to |
| | | | | Mehmed. |
| July 5, 1413 | Mehmed I | N/A | At the Battle of | Mehmed's victory |
| | becomes sole | | Çamurlu (Serbia), | is secured with |
| | ruler. | | | crucial support |
| | | | coalition army | from his Byzantine |
| | | | defeats Musa. | and Serbian allies. |
| | | | Musa is captured | |
| | | | and executed. | |

The Initial Division and Mehmed's Consolidation (1402-1405)

In the immediate aftermath of Ankara, Timur's decision to partition the Ottoman realm rather than conquer it set the stage for the civil war. He confirmed Mehmed as the ruler of a rump state based in Amasya, while İsa Çelebi took control of the old capital, Bursa, and the eldest surviving son, Süleyman Çelebi, held the rich and militarily vital European provinces (Rumelia) from his capital at Edirne.⁷ Mehmed's first priority was to secure his position in Anatolia. He moved swiftly against his brother İsa in Bursa. In a series of engagements in 1403, including the Battles of Ermeni-beli and Ulubad, Mehmed's forces proved superior, and he successfully occupied the city.⁷ The defeated İsa fled, first seeking refuge with the Byzantines and later with Süleyman, but his career as a contender was over; he was eventually hunted down and strangled by Mehmed's agents in a bathhouse in 1406.³ During this precarious early phase, Mehmed demonstrated a shrewd understanding of power politics. To secure his eastern flank from any further Timurid interference, he behaved as a loyal vassal to Timur, going so far as to mint coins that bore Timur's name alongside his own.¹ This was a pragmatic act of submission

that bought him the time and security needed to eliminate his first rival.

The Three-Way Struggle (1405-1411)

With isa removed from the board, the conflict escalated into a more dangerous three-way struggle between Mehmed in Anatolia, Süleyman in Rumelia, and their brother Musa, who was initially a pawn in their game. Süleyman, commanding the wealth and the seasoned troops of the Balkans, was the most powerful of the brothers. Feeling secure with his Christian alliances, he crossed the Dardanelles in 1404 with a large army, determined to crush Mehmed and reunite the empire under his own rule. He was initially successful, capturing Bursa and Ankara and forcing Mehmed to retreat.²

Faced with a superior force and a protracted stalemate, Mehmed executed a masterful strategic gambit. He arranged for the release of his brother Musa Çelebi, who had been a captive since Ankara, and dispatched him with a small force across the Black Sea to Wallachia. Musa's mission was to foment rebellion in Süleyman's European heartland, opening a second front that his brother could not ignore. The plan worked perfectly. Musa, who possessed a more radical and aggressive temperament, quickly found support among the frontier

ghazi warriors and disaffected elements in the Balkans who resented Süleyman's cozy relations with Christian powers.² This forced Süleyman to abandon his campaign in Anatolia and rush back to Europe to defend his throne. The ensuing conflict between Süleyman and Musa was short but decisive. Though Süleyman won an initial victory at the Battle of Kosmidion in 1410, Musa's support base grew rapidly. In 1411, Süleyman's army, camped near Edirne, deserted him for Musa. While attempting to flee, Süleyman was captured and, on Musa's orders, executed.²

The Final Confrontation: Mehmed vs. Musa (1411-1413)

Musa's victory made him the master of Rumelia, but his aggressive and uncompromising nature quickly proved to be his undoing. Almost immediately, he turned on the very powers that had facilitated his rise. He launched a siege of Constantinople, punishing the Byzantine Emperor Manuel II Palaiologos for his prior alliance with Süleyman.² This act was a catastrophic political miscalculation. The Byzantines, the Serbs, and other regional players, who had previously balanced their support among the brothers, now saw the volatile Musa as the greatest threat to their own security.

This was the opening Mehmed had been patiently waiting for. He forged a new and powerful coalition, centered on an alliance with Emperor Manuel II. In a remarkable turn of events, Mehmed's Ottoman troops were welcomed into Constantinople and garrisoned there to help defend the city against Musa's Ottoman army.² Mehmed also secured the renewed support of the Serbian Despot Stefan Lazarević.² With his alliances solidified, Mehmed crossed into

Europe for the final confrontation. The armies of the last two rival brothers met at the Battle of Çamurlu, on the plains of Serbia, on July 5, 1413.⁷ Mehmed's coalition army emerged victorious. Musa fled the field but was soon captured and, like his brothers before him, was strangled.² After eleven years of brutal civil war, Mehmed Çelebi was the sole surviving son of Bayezid I and the undisputed sultan of a reunited Ottoman state.

His victory was not simply a matter of military might, but a triumph of superior strategy and political acumen. While his brothers sought rapid, decisive victories and ruled through intimidation, Mehmed played a longer game. He cultivated alliances, patiently built his power base in Anatolia, and skillfully used one brother (Musa) as a proxy to weaken another (Süleyman). He then capitalized on Musa's political blunders to position himself as the candidate of stability and order, attracting the support of the very Christian powers his rivals had alienated. His path to the throne was a masterclass in exploiting his opponents' weaknesses and leveraging foreign support, a clear lesson learned from observing the fragility of his father's power, which had rested on conquest alone.

The Character of the Restorer

The man who emerged from the crucible of the Interregnum was profoundly shaped by its lessons. Sultan Mehmed I's reign was a direct reflection of his life experience; having witnessed the near-total collapse of his father's empire and having spent over a decade fighting his own kin, his paramount objectives were consolidation, stability, and peace. This focus on rebuilding rather than on new conquests defined his character as a ruler and earned him his enduring historical reputation.

He is consistently described in historical accounts as a ruler who pursued a "policy of relative restraint," a stark contrast to the aggressive expansionism of his father. He was known for being a "brilliant statesman and politician," characterized by his mercy, fairness, and gentle demeanor. This temperament was channeled into a foreign policy that prioritized diplomacy over war. His most significant diplomatic achievement was the maintenance of the pact he had made with the Byzantine Emperor Manuel II Palaiologos, a relationship of respect and cooperation that he honored for the remainder of his life. This cautious and peaceful approach to his powerful neighbors gave the traumatized and fragmented empire a desperately needed period of convalescence, allowing its institutions and population to recover from two decades of warfare.

In his personal life, Mehmed was the son of Devlet Hatun.¹ He had several consorts, with the most prominent being Emine Hatun, a princess from the Dulkadirid beylik, whose marriage cemented a key political alliance and who was the mother of his son and successor, Murad II.¹ As his reign drew to a close, his overriding concern was preventing a repeat of the succession crisis that had defined his own rise to power. The traditional Turkish practice, which held that any son of a ruler could succeed him, had proven to be a recipe for civil war.¹ Mehmed was determined to break this destructive cycle. To ensure a smooth and uncontested succession for Murad II, he took ruthless preemptive measures that, while seemingly at odds with his

"gentle" reputation, were a logical consequence of his experiences. He had his ambitious nephew Orhan Çelebi (the son of his brother Süleyman) blinded, a common Byzantine practice for neutralizing political rivals without execution. He also used his diplomatic ties with the Byzantines to contain the threat of his still-at-large brother Mustafa, arranging for him to be held in exile by the Emperor. When Mehmed I died on May 26, 1421, at the young age of 34 or 35, likely from dysentery or a heart attack, his death was concealed from the public and the army for over a month to allow Murad II to travel from his governorship and securely ascend the throne.

This careful management of the succession was perhaps his most profound success and a key part of his legacy.¹⁷ His common epithet,

Çelebi, meaning "noble-born," "lord," or "gentleman," points to a perception of him as a cultured and sophisticated figure, distinct from a mere warrior. However, his most significant historical title is that of the "second founder" (

ikinci kurucu) of the Ottoman Sultanate.¹ This title is a profound acknowledgment of his role: he did not create the Ottoman state, but he rescued it from the brink of annihilation, painstakingly reuniting its sundered territories and re-establishing the central authority that had been lost.¹¹ Some historians have even compared his role to that of the Prophet Noah, who saved his people from a great flood with his ark, a metaphor for how Mehmed saved the Ottoman state from the deluge of the Interregnum.¹⁸

Mehmed I's reign thus marks a crucial evolution in Ottoman political philosophy. He had learned firsthand that the traditional system of succession was an existential threat to the dynasty. His actions to secure his son's throne represented a conceptual leap: the survival and stability of the state and the dynasty were now explicitly prioritized over the individual succession rights of princes. This was a clear move away from a looser, patrimonial model of rule toward a more centralized, imperial one. By ensuring a peaceful transition of power, he broke the cycle of fratricide that had consumed his own generation and created the stable political foundation upon which his successors would build one of the world's great empires.

Part II: The Empire – Rebuilding a Fractured Realm

Having secured the throne, Sultan Mehmed I faced the monumental task of rebuilding a state that had been shattered by foreign invasion and hollowed out by a decade of civil war. The second half of his reign was dedicated to the systematic restoration of Ottoman institutions, the suppression of internal threats, and the implementation of a foreign policy designed to consolidate power rather than to expand it. This section will analyze the administrative, military, social, and diplomatic policies of his reign, demonstrating how he translated his personal experience into a comprehensive program of imperial restoration that truly earned him the title of "Second Founder."

The Foundations of a New Order: Domestic and Administrative

Revival

The first and most urgent task for Mehmed I was the re-imposition of central authority over the provinces in both Anatolia and the Balkans. He chose to consolidate his power from the Thracian city of Edirne, making it the most important of the empire's dual capitals, with the former capital of Bursa retaining significant prestige. This strategic choice firmly anchored the political center of the restored empire in Europe, from which the sultan could effectively govern both halves of his realm and keep a close watch on the volatile Balkan frontier. Essential to this project of centralization was the reconstruction of the empire's military and fiscal apparatus. The backbone of both was the *timar* system, a sophisticated method of land tenure in which the state granted the rights to collect revenue from a parcel of land to a cavalryman, or *sipahi*, in exchange for his military service and the provisioning of a set number of armed retainers. This system allowed the state to maintain a large and effective provincial cavalry without the need for a massive central treasury to pay salaries. The chaos of the Interregnum had severely disrupted this system, with lands being illegally seized and loyalties constantly shifting. Mehmed I's reign was a critical period for the systematic re-establishment and standardization of the

timar system. Historical analysis suggests that it was under Mehmed I, after 1413, that the land tenure system became "distinctly Timar," evolving from its various predecessors into a standardized imperial institution.²⁹ By methodically surveying lands and re-issuing timar grants to loyal followers, Mehmed simultaneously rebuilt the provincial army and restored the state's fiscal control over the agricultural production of the countryside. Beyond these administrative necessities, Mehmed I understood the importance of projecting an image of dynastic legitimacy, stability, and pious authority. He undertook a significant program of architectural patronage, the centerpiece of which was the magnificent Yeşil Külliye, or Green Complex, in Bursa.³⁰ Commissioned by Mehmed and constructed between 1412 and 1424, this sprawling complex was a powerful symbol of the dynasty's renewal. It included the Green Mosque (Yeşil Cami), a madrasa (theological school), a public kitchen (imaret), a bathhouse (hamam), and the sultan's own mausoleum, the Green Tomb (Yeşil Türbe).31 The mosque itself is widely regarded as the "culmination of the early Ottoman architectural style".31 Its interior is renowned for its lavish and exquisite decoration of green and blue tiles, a testament to a new level of imperial wealth and artistic ambition. The work was carried out by a team of craftsmen known as the "Masters of Tabriz," indicating a deliberate and prestigious artistic influence from the Timurid and Persianate world.³¹ By building such a complex, Mehmed was making a profound political statement. He was demonstrating not only the recovery of the empire's wealth but also his commitment to the traditional duties of a Muslim sovereign: providing for worship, fostering Islamic scholarship, and dispensing charity to his subjects.¹

Mehmed's domestic policies were, at their core, fundamentally conservative. He did not seek to innovate but to restore. He faced a system that had not failed but had been broken by external shock and internal strife. His actions—re-establishing central authority, reinforcing

the capital, rebuilding the *timar*-based army, and re-asserting dynastic legitimacy through monumental architecture—were all aimed at repairing what had been shattered. His decision to complete a mosque in Bursa that his grandfather Murad I had started was another symbolic act of continuity, deliberately bridging the gap of the Interregnum and linking his own reign directly to the successful, pre-Ankara era of the dynasty. His was a program of institutional and symbolic restoration, not revolution.

Challenges from Within: Pretenders and Rebels

Despite his victory in 1413, Mehmed's rule was not uncontested. The unity he had painstakingly forged remained fragile, and he faced two significant internal threats that challenged his authority from different ends of the political spectrum. These challenges revealed the lingering fissures within the newly restored state.

The first threat was dynastic, a direct continuation of the civil war. Mehmed's older brother, Mustafa Çelebi, who had been captured at the Battle of Ankara and later held in Samarkand, re-emerged shortly after Mehmed consolidated his rule.¹ Claiming to be the rightful heir, he gathered supporters and challenged Mehmed for the throne. This was a classic succession struggle, and Mehmed's response was swift and military. His forces met and easily defeated Mustafa's army.¹ However, Mustafa managed to escape and sought refuge in the Byzantine city of Thessaloniki. Here, Mehmed demonstrated his preference for diplomacy over protracted conflict. Instead of launching a costly siege, he negotiated an agreement with Emperor Manuel II. In exchange for an annual payment, the Emperor agreed to hold Mustafa in exile on the Aegean island of Lemnos, effectively neutralizing the pretender without further bloodshed.¹ This episode underscores the complex, pragmatic relationship between the Ottomans and the Byzantines at this time, with the Emperor still able to play a role, albeit a subordinate one, in Ottoman internal politics.

A far more dangerous and ideologically potent threat emerged in 1416 with the rebellion of Sheikh Bedreddin.¹¹ Bedreddin was not a rival prince but a charismatic and eminent Sufi theologian who had served as the chief military judge (

kadiasker) under Mehmed's defeated brother, Musa.³⁵ His movement was a direct challenge to the very foundations of the restored Ottoman order. Bedreddin preached a radical, syncretic doctrine that promoted the communal ownership of property and the spiritual equality of all monotheists, including Muslims, Christians, and Jews.³⁵ This egalitarian message found a receptive audience among a diverse coalition of groups who felt disenfranchised by the re-imposition of centralized, hierarchical Ottoman rule. His followers included Turcoman nomads resentful of state control,

*sipahi*s who had been dispossessed of their *timars* during the civil war, idealistic madrasa students, and Christian peasants burdened by the feudal structure.³⁵

The rebellion was a well-coordinated, multi-regional uprising that posed a serious challenge to Mehmed's authority.³⁵ One of Bedreddin's chief disciples, Börklüce Mustafa, led a major revolt in the Karaburun peninsula near İzmir, while another, Torlak Kemal, instigated an uprising

in Manisa. Bedreddin himself raised the standard of revolt in Dobruja, in the Balkan province of Rumelia.³⁵ The Ottoman state responded with overwhelming and ruthless force. While the rebels under Börklüce Mustafa initially defeated two provincial armies, Mehmed dispatched his grand vizier, Bayezid Pasha, with a major force that crushed the Anatolian rebellions. Börklüce Mustafa, Torlak Kemal, and thousands of their followers were executed in a wave of brutal suppression.³⁵ Sheikh Bedreddin himself was soon captured in the Balkans, taken to the city of Serres, summarily tried for disturbing the public order, and hanged in the public marketplace in 1420.¹

The contrasting natures of these two challenges, and Mehmed's differing responses, are telling. The threat from Mustafa was dynastic and traditional; it operated within the known rules of Ottoman power politics and could be managed through a combination of military action and diplomacy. The rebellion of Sheikh Bedreddin, however, was ideological and revolutionary. His call for communal property was a direct assault on the economic basis of the state—the *timar* system. His preaching of religious equality threatened the social and administrative structure of the empire, which was predicated on the legal distinction between the ruling Muslims and the tax-paying non-Muslim *dhimmi* communities. The broad, cross-communal coalition of his followers demonstrated that the Interregnum had created deep social and economic grievances that could unite disparate groups against the ruling establishment. Mehmed's response to Mustafa was political containment; his response to Bedreddin was eradication. A rival prince was a manageable problem; a popular ideology that could unite the empire's diverse and discontented populations was an existential threat that had to be extinguished without mercy.

A Foreign Policy of Consolidation

Sultan Mehmed I's foreign policy was a direct extension of his domestic agenda: to secure, stabilize, and consolidate the empire rather than to embark on risky new campaigns of expansion. His approach was characterized by a pragmatic and cautious realism, shaped by the memory of the Ankara disaster and a keen awareness of the empire's remaining vulnerabilities.

In the Balkans, he pursued a policy of "relative restraint". He successfully reasserted Ottoman suzerainty over Wallachia, reducing it to vassal status in 1416, and made limited but strategic territorial gains in Albania in 1417. While he conducted occasional raids into the Kingdom of Hungary, he carefully avoided provoking a full-scale war with the major Christian power on his northern frontier. He maintained the peaceful and cooperative relationships he had forged during the Interregnum with the Byzantine Empire and the Serbian Despotate, recognizing their value as stable buffers and occasional allies.

In Anatolia, his policy was more assertive, as re-establishing dominance over the Turkish heartland was essential for the empire's security and integrity. He moved decisively to bring the independent-minded beyliks back into the fold. He re-established firm Ottoman control over most of the western Anatolian provinces and conquered the Jandarid emirate in the

north.¹ His most significant achievement in Anatolia was the subjugation of the powerful Karamanid principality, which was centered at Konya and had long been the Ottomans' chief rival for supremacy in the region. By reducing Karaman to submission, Mehmed secured his Anatolian core and re-affirmed the Ottoman Sultanate as the preeminent Turkish power.⁶ The one area where Mehmed's cautious policy was met with a significant setback was at sea. The Ottoman navy, which had been rebuilt and augmented with the fleets of the annexed maritime beyliks, began to pose a threat to the commercial dominance of the Republic of Venice in the Aegean Sea.³9 A series of Ottoman naval raids on Venetian shipping and island possessions between 1414 and 1415 provoked a strong response from the maritime republic.⁴0 On May 29, 1416, a Venetian fleet commanded by Pietro Loredan engaged the main Ottoman fleet near its primary base at Gallipoli.⁴0 The Battle of Gallipoli was a crushing defeat for the Ottomans. The more experienced and technologically superior Venetians annihilated the Ottoman fleet, killing its admiral, Çali Bey, capturing a large number of ships, and taking more than a thousand sailors prisoner.¹

This naval disaster had profound strategic implications. It starkly revealed a fundamental dichotomy in Ottoman military power that would persist for centuries: overwhelming strength on land contrasted with a persistent vulnerability at sea when faced with established European maritime powers. On land, Mehmed's armies could suppress massive internal rebellions and bring powerful principalities like Karaman to heel. At sea, however, in its first major test against a premier European navy, the Ottoman fleet was proven to be entirely outmatched. This sobering defeat likely reinforced Mehmed's overall policy of restraint. It demonstrated that a major war against a European coalition involving a naval power like Venice would be exceptionally difficult, as the enemy could dominate the seas, disrupt communications between the empire's European and Asian halves, and land troops at will. Mehmed's preference for diplomacy was, therefore, not merely a matter of personal temperament but a clear-eyed and realistic assessment of his restored empire's strategic limitations.

The Legacy of Restoration: An Empire Reborn

Sultan Mehmed I's reign as the sole, undisputed ruler of the Ottoman Empire lasted only eight years, from 1413 to 1421. Yet, in that short span, he accomplished a task of historic proportions. He inherited not a throne but a battlefield, a collection of warring principalities teetering on the edge of permanent dissolution.¹⁷ He bequeathed to his son a reunified, stable, and functioning empire, pulled back from the brink of collapse.¹⁶ His reign stands as the critical and indispensable bridge between the near-extinction of the Ottoman state in 1402 and the renewed era of spectacular expansion that would follow.

His most crucial legacy was the creation of the conditions necessary for future Ottoman success. The political stability, re-established central authority, reorganized administration, and restored military discipline achieved under Mehmed I provided the essential foundation for the great conquests of his son, Murad II, and his grandson, Mehmed II.¹⁶ Without the

"Second Founder's" painstaking work of consolidation, the unity, resources, and institutional resilience required for epic campaigns like the Battle of Varna against the Crusaders or the ultimate triumph of the Conquest of Constantinople would have been inconceivable. He healed the wounds of the civil war, allowing a new generation to grow up in a state defined by order rather than chaos.

Furthermore, Mehmed I's reign institutionalized the hard-won lessons of the Interregnum, subtly reshaping the character of the Ottoman sultanate itself. He tempered the pure *ghazi* ethos of relentless and often reckless conquest with the pragmatism of statecraft, the patience of diplomacy, and an overriding concern for internal stability and dynastic security. He demonstrated that true leadership was not only about glorious expansion but also about the "slow, hard work of healing" a fractured realm.¹⁷ This more measured, cautious, and institutional approach to governance became a hallmark of the classical Ottoman state, providing it with the resilience to endure for centuries. By restoring the empire, Mehmed I did more than simply win a civil war; he ensured that there would be an Ottoman Empire left to inherit, to expand, and to transform into one of the most powerful and enduring political entities in world history.

Works cited

- 1. Mehmed I Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mehmed |
- 2. Mehmed I Epic World History, accessed September 16, 2025, http://epicworldhistory.blogspot.com/2013/07/mehmed-i.html
- 3. The Tumultuous Ottoman Interregnum (Bayezid's Fall & Civil War) TheCollector, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.thecollector.com/ottoman-empire-interregnum-bayezid-fall-civil-war/
- 4. The Battle of Ankara 1402 Historical Quest, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.historical-quest.com/arxeio/english-articles/689-the-battle-of-ankara-1402.html
- 5. Battle of Ankara (1402) | Description, Casualties, & Significance | Britannica, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/event/Battle-of-Ankara-1402
- 6. Karaman | historical principality, Anatolia Britannica, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Karaman
- 7. Ottoman Interregnum Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Interregnum
- 8. Timeline of the Ottoman Empire Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline of the Ottoman Empire
- 9. Battle of Ankara Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle of Ankara
- 10. Battle of Ankara Timelinefy, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.timelinefv.com/events/1234
- 11. Mehmed I | Conqueror, Conqueror of Constantinople & Ottoman Empire |

- Britannica, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Mehmed-l
- 12. library.fiveable.me, accessed September 16, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/battle-ankara#:~:text =The%20outcome%20of%20the%20Battle%20of%20Ankara%20significantly%2 Oaltered%20the,control%20during%20the%20Ottoman%20Interregnum.
- 13. Battle of Ankara (World History Before 1500) Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed September 16, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/battle-ankara
- 14. The Ottoman Interregnum (1402-1413): Politics and Narratives of Dynastic Succession | Center for Middle Eastern Studies - Harvard University, accessed September 16, 2025, https://cmes.fas.harvard.edu/publications/ottoman-interregnum-1402-1413-politics-and-narratives-dynastic-succession
- 15. The Ottoman Civil War al Muqaddima, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.almuqaddima.com/post/the-ottoman-civil-war
- 16. Mehmed I (World History Before 1500) Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed September 16, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/mehmed
- 17. Mehmed I: Bayezid's Son Who Revived Ottoman Power YouTube, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Dn3tLfmDNYc
- 18. Top 10 Amazing Facts about Mehmed I Discover Walks Blog, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.discoverwalks.com/blog/istanbul/top-10-amazing-facts-about-mehmed-i/
- 19. Ottoman TheOttomans.org, accessed September 16, 2025, http://www.theottomans.org/english/family/mehmetcelebi.asp
- 20. Mehmed I (World History Before 1500) Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed September 16, 2025, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/mehmed
- 21. Mehmed 1 Çelebi, ottoman sultan (1389 1421) Genealogy Geni, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.geni.com/people/Mehmed-1-%C3%87elebi-ottoman-sultan/3852195-96870013828
- 22. Mighty sovereigns of the Ottoman throne: Sultan Mehmed I | Daily Sabah, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.dailysabah.com/arts/portrait/mighty-sovereigns-of-the-ottoman-throne-sultan-mehmed-i
- 23. What's the history of the Çelebi family in the Ottoman Empire? Were they considered to be an elite class? Quora, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.quora.com/Whats-the-history-of-the-%C3%87elebi-family-in-the-Ottoman-Empire-Were-they-considered-to-be-an-elite-class
- 24. en.wikipedia.org, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mehmed_l#:~:text=He%20consolidated%20his%20power%2C%20made.founder%22%20of%20the%20Ottoman%20Sultanate.

- 25. Sultan Mehmed I | All About Turkey, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.allaboutturkey.com/mehmet1.html
- 26. The Ottomans and the Mongols | World History Before 1500 Class Notes Fiveable, accessed September 16, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/world-history-to-1500/unit-17/1-ottomans-mongols/study-quide/ui4920DrZma6rb8k
- 27. Thread: The timar system of the Ottoman Empire total war.org, accessed September 16, 2025, https://forums.totalwar.org/vb/showthread.php/69648-The-timar-system-of-the-Ottoman-Empire
- 28. Timar | Ottoman land tenure Britannica, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/topic/timar
- 29. Timar Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timar
- Immersive Space (Two) Architecture and Material Politics in the
 Fifteenth-century Ottoman Empire Cambridge University Press, accessed
 September 16, 2025,
 https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/architecture-and-material-politics-in-the-fifteenthcentury-ottoman-empire/immersive-space/70E7BF72EDDCECC808D52
 1BD5DC4D75A
- 31. Green Mosque, Bursa Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Green Mosque, Bursa
- 32. Green Mosque Complex: A Detailed Guide to a Symbol of Bursa Nomadic Niko, accessed September 16, 2025, https://nomadicniko.com/turkey/bursa/green-mosque-complex/
- 33. The Green Complex of Sultan Mehmed I and its context Harvard DASH, accessed September 16, 2025, https://dash.harvard.edu/entities/publication/b1ec71c8-0dc0-494d-a8ed-bb4633 46c8b4
- 34. Early Ottoman architecture Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Early_Ottoman_architecture
- 35. Sheikh Bedreddin Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sheikh_Bedreddin
- 36. Bedreddin | Mystic, Sufi & Scholar Britannica, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Bedreddin
- 37. Sheikh Bedreddin's Rebellion, 1413-6 | All Things Medieval Ruth Johnston, accessed September 16, 2025, https://ruthjohnston.com/AllThingsMedieval/?p=4870
- 38. Sheikh Bedreddin: A Greco-Turkish Communist Internationalist Avant la Lettre, accessed September 16, 2025, https://socialistproject.ca/2016/12/b1347/
- 39. Ottoman Venetian Wars 1 1463 1573 | PDF Scribd, accessed September 16, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/doc/88349214/68356684-Ottoman-Venetian-Wars-1-146 3-1573
- 40. Battle of Gallipoli (1416) Wikipedia, accessed September 16, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Gallipoli (1416)