Sultan Ahmed II and the Ottoman Empire at a Crossroads (1691-1695)

Part I: The Sultan - A Portrait of Ahmed II

Section 1: The Prince in the Gilded Cage: The Formative Years of Ahmed II

1.1 The Institutionalization of Confinement: From Fratricide to the Kafes

The accession of Sultan Ahmed II in 1691 cannot be understood apart from the unique and deeply consequential institution that shaped nearly his entire life: the *Kafes* (literally, "the cage"). For centuries, the Ottoman dynasty had been plagued by brutal succession wars among rival princes. To secure the throne and eliminate challengers, the practice of fratricide became an accepted, and eventually codified, tool of statecraft under Sultan Mehmed II.¹ A new sultan would routinely order the execution of his brothers, including infants, to consolidate his power.²

This tradition was irrevocably broken in the early 17th century. Upon his accession in 1603, Sultan Ahmed I chose to spare his mentally handicapped brother, Mustafa, opting instead for confinement.⁴ When Ahmed I died in 1617, his own son was still a minor, and the Imperial Council, for the first time in generations, altered the succession to install the adult Mustafa I on the throne. Though quickly deposed, Mustafa became the first formal inmate of the *Kafes*, a series of well-appointed but heavily guarded apartments within the Topkapi Palace, often located in the Fourth Courtyard and connected to the Imperial Harem.² This innovation served a dual purpose: it protected the reigning sultan from coups and rebellions while simultaneously ensuring the survival of the male line of Osman should the sultan die without an heir.²

1.2 The Principle of Agnatic Seniority and a Life in Waiting

The establishment of the *Kafes* was inextricably linked to a new rule of succession that became firmly entrenched after 1687: the principle of agnatic seniority.² Under this system, the throne passed not necessarily from father to son, but to the eldest living male of the dynasty. This meant that a deceased sultan's brothers would succeed before his sons, creating a gerontocracy where princes could wait for decades in confinement.²
Ahmed II, born in 1643 to Sultan Ibrahim I and Hatice Muazzez Sultan, was a product of this system.⁸ He spent forty-nine years of his life imprisoned within the palace walls before ascending the throne upon the death of his elder brother, Suleiman II, who had himself endured a 36-year confinement.⁵ This prolonged period of waiting, isolated from all matters of state and military affairs, became the defining experience for an entire generation of Ottoman rulers.

1.3 The Psychological Crucible: Daily Life and the Toll of Isolation

Life in the *Kafes* was a study in paradox. The princes resided in lavishly decorated chambers, surrounded by the splendor of the imperial court, yet they were prisoners under the constant watch of palace guards.² Their formal education and training in governance, once a hallmark of Ottoman princely life through provincial appointments, ceased upon their entry into confinement at puberty.¹ Their world shrank to the company of slaves, harem women, and occasionally a deposed relative.¹ While they were permitted tutors and concubines—who were often selected to be barren to prevent the birth of new claimants to the throne—they were utterly detached from the political, military, and social realities of the empire they might one day rule.¹

The psychological impact of this gilded imprisonment was devastating. Many princes developed severe mental disorders, paranoia, and depression, with at least one heir and one deposed sultan committing suicide within the *Kafes*. The erratic and cruel reigns of sultans like Murad IV and Ibrahim I ("the Mad") are often cited as extreme examples of the deranging effects of this upbringing. The profound suffering it caused was articulated by Ahmed II's immediate predecessor, Suleiman II, who, upon his release, declared: "If my death has been commanded, say so... Since my childhood, I have suffered forty years of imprisonment. It is better to die once than to die every day. What terror we endure for a single breath". Ahmed II's own near half-century in this environment was the primary crucible in which his character was forged.

This system, designed to secure the sultan's absolute authority by neutralizing his rivals, had the unintended consequence of eroding that very authority over the long term. By systematically producing rulers who were deliberately kept ignorant of statecraft, inexperienced in leadership, and often psychologically scarred, the *Kafes* created a recurring power vacuum at the apex of the empire. This void was inevitably filled by the permanent, experienced state bureaucracy, most notably the office of the Grand Vizier. The sultan, once the engine of the state, was gradually transformed into a figurehead whose effectiveness

depended almost entirely on the competence of the administration he inherited. Ahmed II's profound reliance on his Grand Vizier, Köprülüzade Fazıl Mustafa Pasha, was not a personal failing but a direct and predictable outcome of this institutional arrangement.¹⁰

Section 2: The Character and Mind of the Sultan

2.1 The Pious Scholar and Artist

Despite the stultifying environment of the *Kafes*, or perhaps as a direct response to it, Ahmed II cultivated a sophisticated intellectual and spiritual life. Ottoman and modern sources consistently portray him as a man of culture and learning. He was fluent in Arabic and Persian, the preeminent languages of Islamic scholarship and literature, and took a deep personal interest in poetry and music, regularly reading the works of celebrated Turkish and Persian poets. 8

His most noted talent was in the field of calligraphy, an art form held in the highest esteem in the Islamic world. He was a skilled calligrapher who personally transcribed numerous copies of the Qur'an.⁸ This patronage of the arts and sciences was a core element of his identity.¹² His piety was also a defining characteristic. His first Grand Vizier, Fazıl Mustafa Pasha, a man not known for idle flattery, described him as "good-natured, pious, knowledgeable in every science, mature and magnanimous".¹¹

This deep devotion to structured, contemplative pursuits was likely more than a simple pastime; it can be understood as a sophisticated psychological survival strategy. Faced with decades of powerlessness, existential dread, and enforced idleness, the disciplined and meditative practices of religious study and calligraphy would have provided a vital sense of purpose, order, and control.² These activities offered an intellectual and spiritual escape, connecting him to a timeless reality beyond the suffocating confines of his gilded prison and allowing him to preserve his sanity and sense of self.

2.2 The Dutiful but Temperamental Ruler

When Ahmed II finally ascended the throne at the age of 49, he displayed a striking sense of duty and a serious approach to governance. He followed state affairs with intense focus and reinstated the practice of the Imperial Council (Divan) meeting four days a week, personally attending the sessions even when his health was failing.⁸ This commitment to the responsibilities of his office stands in stark contrast to the image of a ruler wholly incapacitated by his long confinement.

However, this conscientiousness was paired with what sources describe as a "sensitive and fierce temperament". He was known to be short-tempered, a trait likely exacerbated by the

frustrations of applying theoretical knowledge to a world he had never been allowed to experience. 11 Yet, he was also reportedly quick to regain his composure, respect those who spoke the truth, and correct his own mistakes. This internal tension between his scholarly nature and his volatile temper reveals a man struggling to reconcile his inner world with the overwhelming crises of the outer one. In his personal habits, he was modest, dressing simply and disliking ostentation, and was regarded as a compassionate and patriotic ruler. 11

2.3 A Prince's Diary and a Sultan's Sorrow

A rare and valuable window into Ahmed II's mind is a diary he maintained during his time as a prince (*şehzade*). The survival of this document attests to a man with a "sense of responsibility and vision for the future," who actively prepared for a role he had little certainty of ever assuming.¹¹ It reflects the cognitive framework he built to give his life meaning during the long years of waiting.

His short reign, however, was dominated by sorrow. The relentless military disasters, especially the catastrophic defeat at Slankamen and the loss of the island of Chios, weighed heavily upon him. His physical health declined precipitously. Like his brother and predecessor Suleiman II, he suffered from hydropsy (also known as dropsy), a painful condition characterized by the accumulation of fluid in the body's tissues. On February 6, 1695, after a reign of less than four years, Sultan Ahmed II died in Edirne at the age of 52, reportedly "worn out by disease and sorrow".

Part II: The Empire in Crisis – The Reign of Ahmed II (1691-1695)

Section 3: A Reign Defined by War: The Great Turkish War at its Nadir

Ahmed II inherited an empire in the throes of the Great Turkish War (1683-1699), a calamitous, multi-front conflict against a formidable European coalition known as the Holy League, comprising the Habsburg Empire, Poland-Lithuania, Venice, and later, Russia. His entire reign was consumed by the struggle to stave off collapse.

3.1 The Austrian Front: The Catastrophe at Slankamen

Upon his accession in June 1691, Ahmed II's most critical decision was to confirm the highly capable Köprülüzade Fazıl Mustafa Pasha as Grand Vizier, signaling a commitment to vigorous

military and administrative action.¹⁰ Hope for a reversal of fortunes was short-lived. On August 19, 1691, the main Ottoman army, led by the Grand Vizier himself, confronted the Habsburg imperial forces under the command of Margrave Louis William of Baden near Slankamen, north of Belgrade.¹⁸

The ensuing battle was an unmitigated disaster for the Ottomans. In what contemporary observers described as "the bloodiest battle of the century," the Ottoman forces were completely routed. An estimated 20,000 to 25,000 Ottoman soldiers were killed, but the most devastating loss was that of Grand Vizier Fazıl Mustafa Pasha, who was struck down by a stray bullet. The defeat was absolute: the army disintegrated, abandoning its artillery, its standards, and the entire war chest to the enemy. The Battle of Slankamen effectively shattered any realistic Ottoman prospect of regaining the vast territories lost in Hungary during the 1680s. It stabilized the Balkan front in favor of the Habsburgs and reduced subsequent Ottoman campaigns in the region to defensive actions. The Habsburgs continued their advance, capturing the key fortresses of Oradea (Varad) in 1692 and Gyula in 1695.

3.2 The Venetian Front: The Morean War and the Struggle for the Aegean

Simultaneously, the empire was embroiled in the Morean War (1684-1699) against the Republic of Venice.²⁰ While Venice's main conquest of the Peloponnese (Morea) had occurred prior to Ahmed's reign, the conflict continued to drain Ottoman resources. In 1692, a Venetian force, augmented by Papal and Maltese ships, attacked the island of Crete and laid siege to the city of Chania, but the Ottoman garrison successfully repulsed the assault.¹¹ A more significant blow fell in 1694, when the Venetian fleet captured the prosperous and strategically vital Aegean island of Chios.⁸ Though the Ottomans would recapture the island in 1695, shortly after Ahmed's death, its temporary loss was a profound humiliation, a source of great personal grief for the sultan, and a stark indicator of the decline of Ottoman naval power.¹¹

3.3 The Polish and Russian Fronts: Wars of Attrition

On the empire's vast northern frontiers, the war was one of attrition. The Polish-Ottoman War (1683-1699) saw continued hostilities that, while lacking a decisive battle, tied down significant Ottoman forces. In 1691, the Polish King John III Sobieski led another expedition into Moldavia, which, like previous campaigns, ended without a clear victory.²³ The front was characterized by a series of raids and smaller-scale engagements, such as the battles of Pererîta (1691) and Hodów (1694), which served to further stretch the empire's over-extended military resources.²³

At the same time, a formidable new adversary was intensifying its pressure. The Tsardom of Russia, having joined the Holy League in 1686, began to probe the Ottoman defenses in the

north.¹⁶ During Ahmed II's reign, this conflict escalated from border raids to a full-scale campaign when Tsar Peter the Great launched his first major siege of the strategic fortress of Azov at the mouth of the Don River in 1695, the final year of the sultan's life.¹⁶ This campaign heralded the beginning of the long and fateful history of major Russo-Turkish wars that would define the next two centuries.

Year	Front	Battle/Campaign	Key Commanders	Outcome &
			(Ottoman vs. Holy	Strategic
			League)	Significance
1691	Austrian	Battle of	Köprülüzade Fazıl	Decisive Holy
		Slankamen	Mustafa Pasha	League victory.
			(KIA) vs. Louis	Loss of the Grand
			William of Baden	Vizier and the
				main field army;
				end of Ottoman
				offensive
				capability in
				Hungary.
1691	Polish	Sobieski's	N/A vs. John III	Indecisive.
		Moldavian	Sobieski	Drained Ottoman
		Expedition		resources and
				prevented troop
				redeployment.
1692	Venetian	Siege of Chania	Ottoman Garrison	
		(Crete)	vs. Venetian	defensive victory.
			forces	Venetians
				repulsed.
1694	Venetian	Capture of Chios	Ottoman Garrison	1
			vs. Venetian Fleet	1
				Aegean island,
				highlighting
				Ottoman naval
				decline.
1695	Russian	First Azov	Ottoman Garrison	
		Campaign	vs. Peter the Great	-
				(siege failed this
				year). Marked the
				intensification of
				the Russian
				threat.

Section 4: The Challenge of Governance in a Failing State

4.1 The Köprülü Legacy and the Leadership Vacuum

Sultan Ahmed II's reign began with the continuation of the Köprülü era, a period of relative stability and reform driven by a dynasty of exceptionally able grand viziers. Köprülüzade Fazıl Mustafa Pasha was the fifth and last of this illustrious family to hold the empire's highest administrative office.²⁶ He embodied the family's legacy of competence, immediately undertaking reforms to purge corruption, rationalize the tax system, and improve military recruitment.¹⁰

His death at Slankamen was therefore a dual catastrophe. The empire lost not only its most effective military commander but also its most skilled administrator at a moment of extreme crisis.¹¹ This created a leadership vacuum at the heart of the government. The grand viziers who followed Fazıl Mustafa Pasha during Ahmed II's reign—including Arabacı Ali Pasha (1691-1692) and Çalık Ali Pasha (1692-1693)—were unable to match his stature or effectiveness, and their tenures coincided with continued military defeats and administrative drift.²⁸

4.2 Ahmed II's Administrative Policies: The Malikâne System

The most significant and enduring administrative policy of Ahmed II's reign was a direct response to the state's desperate financial situation. To generate immediate revenue for the war effort, the government introduced the *malikâne* system, or lifelong tax farm.¹⁰ This new institution was a radical departure from the traditional system of short-term tax farming (*iltizam*). Under the *malikâne* system, the right to collect taxes from a specific source of state revenue was auctioned off to the highest bidder not for a few years, but for the lifetime of the purchaser.²⁹ In return for this lifelong contract, the tax farmer paid a large upfront sum (*muaccele*) to the treasury, followed by smaller annual payments.²⁹ This policy was an act of state desperation that traded long-term stability for short-term

survival. While it provided a crucial, immediate infusion of cash to the war-starved treasury, its consequences were profound and irreversible. The system effectively privatized a core function of the state and created a new, powerful class of provincial notables (*ayan*) who became entrenched as a landed aristocracy. This decision, born from the military-fiscal crisis of the 1690s, fundamentally altered the relationship between the central government in Istanbul and the provinces, accelerating a process of decentralization that would define the Ottoman 18th century.²⁷

4.3 Other State Initiatives

Despite the overwhelming focus on war and finance, Ahmed II's administration pursued other policies aimed at addressing internal issues. Reflecting the sultan's personal concern for justice and the welfare of his subjects, efforts were made to end certain abuses within the Ottoman land administration system. Furthermore, the government encouraged the settlement of nomadic Turkmen tribes in Anatolia and Syria. This policy aimed to bring stability to the provinces by sedentarizing these groups and providing them with land, thereby integrating them more fully into the state's administrative and economic fabric. These initiatives, however, were largely overshadowed by the existential crises unfolding on the empire's borders.

Section 5: An Empire on the Brink: Economy and Society

5.1 A Wartime Economy in Distress

The late 17th-century Ottoman economy was buckling under the immense pressure of continuous, multi-front warfare. Though it remained a fundamentally agrarian economy with sophisticated internal trade networks, its resources were being systematically drained.²⁹ The imperial government operated with chronic budgetary deficits, as military salaries and related expenses consumed the overwhelming majority of state revenue.³² The loss of productive provinces, most notably Hungary, further eroded the state's tax base, intensifying the fiscal crisis.¹⁹ The government's response was a series of desperate fiscal measures, including the introduction of the *malikâne* system and, most disruptively, the debasement of the empire's currency.³²

5.2 The Monetary Crisis: Debasement and Foreign Coinage

The 17th century was a period of profound monetary instability for the empire, which reached a critical point during the Great Turkish War. To meet its financial obligations, the state repeatedly resorted to debasing the primary silver coin, the *akçe*, by reducing its silver content while maintaining its face value.³² This practice was a hidden tax that fueled rampant inflation and shattered public confidence in the imperial currency.³⁴ The result was widespread currency substitution. For extended periods, the Ottoman economy became reliant on foreign coinage, including heavily debased European coins with minimal precious metal content that circulated at values far exceeding their intrinsic worth.³² This reliance on foreign currency was a clear signal of the state's failure to manage its own

monetary system. The monetary chaos disrupted commerce, impoverished salaried groups like soldiers and bureaucrats, and was a significant source of social unrest.³³ During the 1690s, Ahmed II's government attempted to stabilize the situation by carrying out monetary reforms and issuing new silver and copper currency, but the underlying fiscal problems remained.³²

5.3 A Multi-Ethnic Society Under Strain

Ottoman society was a complex mosaic of religious and ethnic communities. It was formally structured around the *millet* system, which granted non-Muslim subjects—primarily Greek Orthodox Christians, Armenians, and Jews—a significant degree of legal and administrative autonomy over their own communities.³⁶ While this system was designed to maintain social harmony in a heterogeneous state, the strains of war and economic decline exacerbated inter-communal tensions.³⁷

More pressingly for Ahmed II, his reign was marked by significant internal unrest in the empire's Arab provinces, specifically in Syria, the Hejaz, and Iraq.¹⁹ Although the precise causes of these disturbances are not detailed in available sources, they point to a dangerous weakening of central authority in the imperial periphery. This erosion of control was likely a consequence of the state's preoccupation with its European wars, which diverted military and administrative resources away from the provinces, creating opportunities for local grievances to fester and erupt. At the same time, the Janissary corps in the capital remained a volatile element, prone to rebellion when their pay was in arrears or rendered worthless by debasement.³⁹

The crises of Ahmed II's reign were not separate problems but were locked in a destructive, self-perpetuating cycle. The immense cost of the war necessitated desperate economic measures like currency debasement.³² This debasement fueled inflation and angered key groups like the Janissaries, undermining military morale and effectiveness.³³ Military defeats, such as the loss of Hungary, then shrank the empire's tax base, which deepened the financial crisis.¹⁹ This, in turn, forced the state to extract more revenue from its remaining provinces, leading to the unrest seen in Syria and Iraq, which further weakened the state's ability to prosecute the war.¹⁹ Ahmed II was trapped in this downward spiral, where every challenge was both a cause and an effect of another.

Conclusion: The Pious Sultan and the Overwhelming Storm

The reign of Sultan Ahmed II represents a tragic historical conjuncture, a moment when a ruler's personal virtues were rendered insufficient by the overwhelming force of structural crises. A man of piety, diligence, and artistic sensibility, Ahmed II possessed a character

cultivated in the artificial and traumatic isolation of the *Kafes*. He ascended the throne with a profound sense of duty, only to inherit an empire caught in a perfect storm of military disaster, fiscal collapse, and internal fragmentation.

His brief rule was defined by the catastrophic loss of his indispensable Grand Vizier, Fazıl Mustafa Pasha, at Slankamen. This single event exposed the fatal flaw of a succession system that produced sultans utterly dependent on their advisors. Left to preside over a rudderless state, Ahmed II's administration made the fateful decision to implement the *malikâne* system—a short-term solution to an immediate cash crisis that mortgaged the empire's future and accelerated its long-term decentralization.

Ultimately, Ahmed II's four-year reign was a microcosm of the Ottoman Empire's broader trajectory in the late 17th century. It was a period of unremitting military defeats that made the humiliating territorial concessions of the Treaty of Karlowitz in 1699 all but inevitable. He was a well-intentioned and conscientious sovereign who inherited a tempest he could not possibly navigate. His reign stands as a poignant testament to the debilitating legacy of the *Kafes* and marks a definitive end to the era of Ottoman expansion, setting the stage for the centuries of defensive struggle and decline that would follow.

Works cited

- 1. Sultans Raised in 'Cages': Overprotection Turned to Madness | Ancient Origins, accessed October 14, 2025,
 - https://www.ancient-origins.net/history-ancient-traditions/sultan-0013392
- 2. Kafes Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kafes
- 3. TIL that heirs to the throne of the Ottoman Empire were kept under house-arrest in the "Cage" from puberty until death (or becoming sultan), and it was common for a new sultan to have his brothers killed, including infants, sometimes dozens at once, to reduce the number of claimants to the throne. Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025,
 - https://www.reddit.com/r/todayilearned/comments/1duj968/til_that_heirs_to_the_t hrone of the ottoman/
- 4. Reign of Sultan Ahmed I | Research Starters EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/reign-sultan-ahmed-i
- 5. The Ottoman Sultans Who Were Raised in Cages | Amusing Planet, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.amusingplanet.com/2019/09/the-ottoman-sultans-who-were-raised-in.html
- 6. Did Ottoman princes really live in extreme seclusion in their "Golden Cages"? Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistorians/comments/3d9rx6/did_ottoman_princes_really-live-in-extreme/
- 7. Did anyone (at the time) realize the damage "The Cage" was doing to the Ottoman Empire?: r/history Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/history/comments/jpxpts/did_anyone_at_the_time_realizethe_damage_the/

- 8. Sultan Ahmed II | All About Turkey, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.allaboutturkey.com/ahmet2.html
- 9. Ep 244 The Ottoman Kafes or the Princely Cage YouTube, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6beUgKGc2uY
- Ahmed II Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ahmed II
- 11. MIGHTY SOVEREIGNS of OTTOMAN THRONE: SULTAN AHMED II Ekrem Buğra Ekinci, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ekrembugraekinci.com/article/?ID=1229&mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne:-sultan-ahmed-ii
- 12. Mighty sovereigns of Ottoman throne: Sultan Ahmed II | Daily Sabah, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.dailysabah.com/arts/portrait/mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne-sultan-ahmed-ii
- 13. Ahmed li Facts For Kids | AstroSafe Search DIY.ORG, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.diy.org/article/ahmed_ii
- 14. 1911 Encyclopædia Britannica/Ahmed II. Wikisource, the free online library, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/1911 Encyclop%C3%A6dia Britannica/Ahmed II.
- 15. www.britannica.com, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Ahmed-II#:~:text=Ahmed%20II%20(born %20Aug.,the%20Austrians%20at%20Slankamen%2C%20Hung.
- 16. Great Turkish War New World Encyclopedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Great Turkish War
- 17. Great Turkish War (1683-1699) | Military History Books Helion & Company, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.helion.co.uk/conflicts/great-turkish-war.php
- 18. Battle of Slankamen Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle of Slankamen
- 19. Ahmed II | Reign, Succession, Grand Vizier | Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Ahmed-II
- 20. Morean War Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morean_War
- 21. Ahmed 2, accessed October 14, 2025, https://walter-us.net/Turkey/history/ottomans/ahmed_2.htm
- 22. Holy League of 1684-1699 | Research Starters EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/holy-league-1684-1699
- 23. Polish-Ottoman War (1683–1699) Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polish%E2%80%93Ottoman_War_(1683%E2%80%931699)
- 24. Ottoman-Polish Wars | Research Starters EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/military-history-and-science/ottoman-polish-wars
- 25. Russo-Turkish War (1686–1700) Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russo-Turkish War (1686%E2%80%931700)

- 26. Köprülüzade Fazıl Mustafa Pasha Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K%C3%B6pr%C3%BCI%C3%BCzade_Faz%C4%B1I_Mustafa_Pasha
- 27. Köprülü era Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K%C3%B6pr%C3%BC era
- 28. Sultans and Viziers of the Ottoman Empire TransAnatolie Tour, accessed October 14, 2025,
 - https://www.transanatolie.com/english/turkey/turks/ottomans/ottoman_viziers.htm
- 29. The Ottoman Empire, 1700–1870 (Chapter 7) The Cambridge ..., accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-economic-history-of-the-mo
 - dern-world/ottoman-empire-17001870/959242AE1899EE1E65604E39DE555F80
- 30. Economy of the Ottoman Empire Modern Diplomacy, accessed October 14, 2025, https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2023/01/12/economy-of-the-ottoman-empire/
- 31. Economic history of the Ottoman Empire Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economic history of the Ottoman Empire
- 32. Transformation of the Ottoman Empire Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transformation_of_the_Ottoman_Empire
- 33. The Great Ottoman Debasement, A Political Economy Framework The Ataturk Institute for Modern Turkish History, accessed October 14, 2025, https://ata.bogazici.edu.tr/sites/ata.boun.edu.tr/files/faculty/sevket.pamuk/pamuk_sevket_the_great_ottoman_debasement_1808_1844.pdf
- 34. In the Absence of Domestic Currency: Debased European Coinage in the Seventeenth-Century Ottoman Empire Cambridge University Press & Assessment, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/C18
 <a href="https://www.cambridge.org/core/se
- 35. In the Absence of Domestic Currency: Debased European Coinage ..., accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-economic-history/article/in-the-absence-of-domestic-currency-debased-european-coinage-in-the-sevente-enthcentury-ottoman-empire/C18FF2BB6F6CDA8337F00926230B33C7
- 36. Ottoman Empire Society and Structure ThoughtCo, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.thoughtco.com/social-structure-of-the-ottoman-empire-195766
- 37. Ottoman Empire Classical Society, Administration, Reforms | Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire/Classical-Ottoman-society-a nd-administration

N-THE-OTTOMAN-EMPIRE-DURING-THE-15TH-16TH-CENTURIES-INTERACTION-OF-CIVILIZATIONS.pdf

- 39. Ahmed II: The Sultan of the Ottoman Empire During the War of the Holy League YouTube, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d4PSEFdducc
- 40. The Great Turkish War: The Holy League Against the Ottomans YouTube, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JDouwB6EMpl
- 41. Treaty of Karlowitz (World History 1400 to Present) Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed October 14, 2025, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-since-1400/treaty-karlowitz