The Thunderbolt's Paradox: Sultan Bayezid I and the Forging of the Ottoman Empire (1389-1402)

Introduction: The Ghazi Sultanate at a Crossroads

At the close of the 14th century, the Ottoman state stood as a formidable power, yet one defined by a fundamental duality. Under Sultan Murad I (r. 1362-1389), it had evolved from a small Anatolian principality into a sprawling military enterprise. Murad had masterfully expanded Ottoman domains deep into the Balkans, creating a vast European territory known as *Rumeli* through a combination of direct conquest and the subjugation of Christian vassal states.[1] This expansion was driven by the ethos of the *ghazi*, the holy warrior for Islam, which provided the ideological impetus for the state's westward advance. While Murad had consolidated power and even adopted the formal title of 'sultan', his realm remained a decentralized, military-focused polity, reliant on a network of semi-autonomous vassals and marcher lords.[1] To the east, in Anatolia, the political landscape remained fractured, a mosaic of competing Turkish principalities, or *beyliks*, that challenged any notion of singular Turkish authority in the region. Looming over this scene was the ghost of the Byzantine Empire, its power having waned to little more than the city of Constantinople and its immediate environs, a coveted prize that symbolized ultimate imperial legitimacy.

The reign of Murad's son and successor, Bayezid I (r. 1389-1402), represents a pivotal, albeit premature, attempt to resolve this duality and transform the decentralized Ottoman *ghazi* state into a centralized, bureaucratic empire. This ambition, pursued with a characteristic speed that earned him the epithet *Yıldırım* (the Thunderbolt), led to spectacular military successes that cemented Ottoman dominance in the Balkans and nearly unified Anatolia under a single ruler. However, this same velocity created deep internal fractures, alienating the traditional Turkish warrior class and stretching the state's administrative capacity to its breaking point. The violent collapse of his project at the hands of the Turco-Mongol conqueror Timur in 1402 did not mark the end of the Ottoman enterprise. Instead, it paradoxically cleared the way for its more resilient "second founding" under his son, Mehmed I, who learned from his father's fatal overreach. Bayezid's reign, therefore, was a crucible in which the future form of the Ottoman Empire was forged through ambition, conquest, and catastrophic failure.

Part I: The Rise of the Thunderbolt

A Prince's Apprenticeship: Forging a Conqueror

Born circa 1360, Bayezid was the son of Sultan Murad I and Gülçiçek Hatun, a woman of Greek origin from Bithynia who had been brought into the Ottoman harem.[6] His upbringing in the Bursa Palace was that of an Ottoman prince destined for rule; he was educated by the foremost scholars of the period in Islamic philosophy, statecraft, and the arts of war.[7] This formal education was complemented by a practical apprenticeship in governance and military command. His appointment as governor of Kütahya was a formative experience, a post he acquired through his strategic marriage in 1381 to Devletşah Hatun, a princess of the neighboring Germiyanid dynasty.[7] The marriage was a significant political maneuver, as Devletşah's dowry included Kütahya and other substantial territories, effectively extending Ottoman influence deep into central Anatolia.[7] It was in this role, leading campaigns against the powerful Karamanid beylik, that his impetuous, aggressive, and rapid military style became apparent, earning him the moniker
Yıldırım from his father.[8]
Bayezid's posting to Kütahya was more than a standard princely training assignment; it was the crucible of his entire Anatolian policy. Murad I's acquisition of the territory through a marriage alliance was a strategic masterstroke, creating an Ottoman salient on the frontier with the Karamanids, the primary Turkish obstacle to Ottoman hegemony in the region.[7] By placing his ambitious and capable son in this volatile position, Murad tasked him with managing and expanding this critical frontier. Bayezid's early military successes and the earning of his famous nickname in this specific context demonstrate that his identity as a rapid and decisive commander was forged in the context of Anatolian, not Balkan, warfare.[8] His later, full-scale campaigns to unify Anatolia were therefore not a new policy direction but a dramatic escalation of the role he had been playing since his youth. His governorship in Kütahya was, in effect, a forward operating base for the empire's eastward expansion, shaping the strategic vision that would define his reign.

Ascension on the Battlefield: A Ruthless Consolidation

In 1389, Bayezid served as a commander in his father's army at the pivotal Battle of Kosovo. The engagement resulted in a decisive Ottoman victory over a coalition of Balkan forces led by Serbian Prince Lazar, but it came at a high cost: Sultan Murad I was killed on the battlefield.[1] In the chaotic aftermath, Bayezid acted with the swiftness that would become his hallmark. As stipulated in his father's will, he was enthroned on the battlefield at the age of

29.[9] His first act as Sultan was to secure his throne against any potential challenge. To this end, he ordered the immediate execution of his younger brother, Yakub Çelebi, who had also fought bravely and commanded a wing of the army at Kosovo.[8]
This act of fratricide must be understood within the context of the Turco-Mongol political tradition of "open succession," which historian Donald Quataert has described as "survival of the fittest, not eldest, son". In this system, all sons of a deceased ruler had a legitimate claim to the throne, a practice that frequently led to violent and destructive civil wars.[13] The succession was typically decided by a military struggle, with the princes marshaling forces from their provincial governorships and racing to the capital to seize the treasury and the court.[13] Bayezid's action, however, was a radical and brutal departure from this norm. By having Yakub strangled on the battlefield, before any formal challenge could be issued or any contest could begin, he bypassed the entire traditional process. This was not merely a pragmatic move to eliminate a rival; it was a clear and violent declaration of a new political order. It repudiated the traditional idea of shared family sovereignty inherent in the open succession model, asserting instead that the empire was not the patrimony of the dynasty to be contested, but the absolute and indivisible property of a single, autocratic ruler. This foundational act of fratricide was the first and clearest expression of the centralizing policy that would define his entire reign, prefiguring his later campaigns to eliminate the semi-independent beyliks and Christian vassals.

Part II: The Two-Front Empire: Conquest and Consolidation

Securing Rumeli: The Balkan Campaigns

Upon ascending the throne, Bayezid moved to consolidate the gains made at Kosovo. Serbia, its leadership shattered, became a loyal Ottoman vassal state. This new relationship was cemented through a political marriage between Bayezid and Princess Olivera Despina, the daughter of the fallen Serbian leader, Prince Lazar.[8] With his western flank secured, Bayezid launched a series of campaigns that vastly expanded Ottoman power in the Balkans. He swept through the remnants of the Bulgarian kingdom, conquered northern Greece, and forced Wallachia into vassalage, continuing the work his father had begun but with even greater speed and decisiveness.[2]
The centerpiece of his European policy was the subjugation of the Byzantine Empire. In 1394, Bayezid initiated the first sustained Ottoman siege of Constantinople.[2] This was not a direct assault but a long-term strategic blockade designed to isolate and starve the city into submission. Ottoman forces controlled the surrounding lands, cutting off overland supply routes, while a naval presence patrolled the waters. To complete the encirclement and control

the vital Bosphorus strait, Bayezid constructed the formidable Anadolu Hisarı (Anatolian Fortress) on the waterway's eastern shore.[12] The eight-year siege brought immense hardship to the city's inhabitants and prompted the desperate Byzantine Emperor, Manuel II Palaiologos, to embark on a long and ultimately fruitless journey to the courts of Western Europe in search of military aid.[19]

The Last Crusade: Victory at Nicopolis (1396)

Bayezid's aggressive expansion and the tightening noose around Constantinople sent shockwaves through Christian Europe. Alarmed by the fall of Bulgaria and the existential threat to both Hungary and the Byzantine Empire, King Sigismund of Hungary and Pope Boniface IX issued a call for a grand crusade to drive the Ottomans from the Balkans.[2] The response was enthusiastic, particularly among the nobility of France and Burgundy, who envisioned a glorious campaign. A large, multinational army, replete with heavily armored knights, assembled in Hungary and marched down the Danube.[22]

From its inception, the Crusader army was plagued by arrogance, indiscipline, and bitter disputes over command and strategy.[22] The French and Burgundian knights, confident in their martial superiority, rejected King Sigismund's more cautious battle plan.[18] Upon reaching the fortified city of Nicopolis, they laid siege. When news arrived that Bayezid was approaching, the French knights insisted on leading the attack. They launched a reckless, unsupported charge against the Ottoman lines. After initial success against the Ottoman vanguard, the exhausted knights found themselves facing the full might of Bayezid's main force.[18] The Sultan, having rapidly marched his army north from the siege of Constantinople, lived up to his "Thunderbolt" reputation.[15] His elite Janissaries and Sipahi cavalry, supported by the disciplined heavy cavalry of his loyal Serbian vassal, Stefan Lazarević, enveloped and crushed the Crusaders.[23]

The defeat was a catastrophe for Christendom. Thousands were killed in the battle, and in the aftermath, Bayezid ordered the execution of thousands more prisoners in retaliation for an earlier Crusader massacre of Ottoman captives at Oryahovo. Only the highest-ranking nobles were spared to be held for enormous ransoms. The victory at Nicopolis cemented Ottoman control over the Balkans for the next five hundred years and left a deep psychological scar on Europe.

The battle's legacy also serves as a historiographical mirror, reflecting the contrasting self-perceptions and political needs of the participating powers. For the Burgundians and French, the humiliating defeat demanded an explanation. This led to an "explosion of narratives" that created a "literary myth on the 'invincible and barbarian Turk'". This narrative served a dual purpose: it excused their own tactical failures—such as pride and indiscipline—by inflating the enemy's perceived strength and ferocity, and it provided a framework for understanding the new, formidable power on Europe's doorstep.[22] In contrast, for the Ottomans, Nicopolis was a great victory but not the defining event of the era. Fifteenth-century Ottoman chronicles paid it relatively little attention, focusing instead on the

later, more traumatic defeat at the hands of Timur at Ankara.[28] This discrepancy reveals that for the Ottomans, the primary existential threat was not from the Christian West, which they had just decisively beaten, but from the Turco-Mongol East. For Europe, Nicopolis confirmed the Ottoman Empire as a formidable, existential threat that would shape its political and religious identity for centuries. The memory of the battle was thus constructed to fit the geopolitical realities and anxieties of each side.

Unifying Anatolia: The War Against the Beyliks

While prosecuting his wars in Europe, Bayezid pursued an equally aggressive policy in Anatolia. His primary goal was to dismantle the existing system of vassalage and replace it with direct Ottoman rule, thereby creating a unified, centralized Turkish state under his absolute authority.[7] This policy put him on a collision course with the other Turkish beyliks. To legitimize these wars against fellow Muslim rulers—a move that risked alienating his traditional *ghazi* supporters—Bayezid secured *fatwas* (legal rulings) from Islamic scholars.[8] Aware of the reluctance of his Turkish warriors to fight other Turks, he increasingly relied on his new Janissary corps and his Christian vassal troops, including Byzantines and Serbs, to carry out his Anatolian campaigns.[8] In a series of swift campaigns, he systematically annexed the rival principalities, demonstrating the same "Thunderbolt" speed that characterized his Balkan conquests.
The following table provides a consolidated timeline of these annexations, illustrating the rapid pace of his centralizing policy that ultimately led to the confrontation with Timur.

Beylik	Approximate Date of Annexation	Key Context/Method
Germiyan (eastern parts)	c. 1381	Acquired as part of Devletşah Hatun's dowry.[7]
Aydin, Saruhan, Menteshe	1390	Conquered in a single summer/fall campaign.[8]
Hamid, Teke	c. 1391	Overwhelmed following the initial campaign.
Konya (from Karaman)	c. 1391	Captured, leading to a temporary peace treaty.
Amasya	1392	Voluntarily accepted Ottoman rule.
Kastamonu, Sinop (from Candar)	c. 1392	Conquered after the peace with Karaman.
Karaman (full annexation)	1397	Emir defeated and killed at the Battle of Akçay.
Kadi Burhaneddin's territory	1398	Conquered after the emir's death.

Beylik of Erzincan	1399	Annexed, directly challenging Timur's sphere of influence.

The Administrative Core: Building a Centralized State

Bayezid's project of centralization was not limited to military conquest. He undertook major reforms to strengthen the army and the central government, laying the institutional groundwork for a true empire.[9] He reorganized the Janissary corps, standardizing their ranks and uniforms, and, in a significant innovation, instituted regular salaries for all soldiers for the first time in Ottoman history. His overarching administrative goal was to replace the patchwork of vassal states he inherited with a system of direct rule. This was primarily achieved by surveying newly annexed territories and incorporating them into the *timar* system, a method of granting provincial land revenues to cavalrymen (*sipahis*) in exchange for military service, which tied the provincial military elite directly to the central state.[11] These administrative changes were accompanied by fiscal policies that greatly increased the economic power of the government.

A key instrument in this centralizing effort was the *devşirme* system. While the practice of using slave-soldiers (*kul*) had existed under his predecessors, the first explicit written reference to the *devşirme*—the systematic levy of Christian boys from the Balkans for state service—dates to 1395, during Bayezid's reign.[11] This institution was a direct and necessary response to the political crisis created by Bayezid's own dual-front expansionism. His wars in Christian Europe were supported by the traditional Turkish *ghazi* warriors, but these same warriors were ideologically and politically unwilling to fight fellow Turkish Muslims in Anatolia.[8] This created a critical manpower and loyalty deficit for his Anatolian campaigns, which were the cornerstone of his imperial vision. The *devşirme* provided the perfect solution: a corps of soldiers, the Janissaries, who were converted to Islam and raised in absolute loyalty to the Sultan's person. Having no ethnic, regional, or familial ties to the Turkish aristocracies of Anatolia, they were the ideal instrument for prosecuting the Sultan's unpopular wars of centralization.[11] While effective in the short term, the growing power of this slave-elite deepened the divide between the Sultan and the traditional Turkish notables—a fracture that would prove fatal at Ankara.

Part III: The Collision of Worlds: Bayezid and Timur

A War of Words: The Path to Conflict

The final years of Bayezid's reign were dominated by the collision of two immense, expanding empires. His rapid annexation of the eastern Anatolian beyliks brought him directly into

conflict with the sphere of influence of Timur (Tamerlane), the formidable Turco-Mongol conqueror who had built a vast empire stretching from India to the Mediterranean.[36] Timur, who styled himself as the restorer of the Mongol legacy, claimed suzerainty over the Anatolian rulers and saw Bayezid's conquests as a direct challenge to his authority.[7]

The immediate causes of the war were clear. Bayezid had demanded tribute from the ruler of Erzincan, an emir loyal to Timur, an act Timur viewed as a personal affront.[39] More significantly, Bayezid granted refuge to two of Timur's most persistent enemies: Qara Yusuf, ruler of the Qara Qoyunlu confederation, and Sultan Ahmad Jalayir of Baghdad.[38] This diplomatic shelter was an unforgivable provocation. The ensuing rivalry played out in a series of increasingly insulting letters exchanged between the two courts. Timur demanded that Bayezid acknowledge his superiority and hand over the fugitives, a demand the proud Ottoman Sultan, fresh from his victory at Nicopolis, flatly refused. The correspondence devolved into a war of words, filled with arrogant and inflammatory language. Timur reportedly referred to Bayezid as a mere "ant," while Bayezid is said to have called the aging conqueror an "old dog," making a military confrontation all but inevitable.[42]

The Battle of Ankara (1402): A Strategic Autopsy

The clash finally came on July 28, 1402, on the plains of Çubuk, near Ankara. The two armies were a study in contrasts. Timur's force was significantly larger, estimated at around 140,000 men, composed mostly of highly mobile cavalry and famously including 32 war elephants, a psychological weapon unfamiliar to the Ottomans. Bayezid commanded a smaller but formidable army of about 85,000, which included his elite Janissary infantry, Sipahi cavalry, and his loyal Balkan vassal troops.[36]

The battle was a showcase of Timur's strategic genius. Having invaded Anatolia, he first sacked the city of Sivas. Bayezid, breaking off his long-standing siege of Constantinople, force-marched his army across Anatolia during the blazing summer heat to meet the threat.[36] Timur, however, refused to give battle on Bayezid's terms. In a brilliant strategic maneuver, he secretly marched his army southwest, circling around the Ottoman force to get in its rear. He then besieged the vital city of Ankara, forcing Bayezid's exhausted and thirsty army to turn back and fight on ground of Timur's choosing.[36] To compound Bayezid's disadvantage, Timur's engineers dammed the Çubuk stream, the only water source for the Ottoman army, depriving them of desperately needed water before the battle even began.[36]

The battle itself was a catastrophe for the Ottomans. The critical turning point came not from a tactical maneuver, but from a political collapse. The Black Tatars and, crucially, the Sipahis from the recently annexed Anatolian beyliks, seeing their former lords fighting on Timur's side, deserted Bayezid en masse and switched their allegiance on the battlefield.[31] Bayezid was left with only his household troops, his Janissaries, and his Christian vassals. The Serbian knights under Stefan Lazarević fought with extraordinary courage and loyalty, repeatedly breaking through the Timurid lines in an attempt to save the Sultan.[31] Timur himself was said to have

admired their ferocity, remarking that they "fight like lions".[39] Despite their valiant efforts, the Ottoman army was overwhelmed. Bayezid attempted to flee with a small contingent of horsemen but was pursued, surrounded, and captured—the only Ottoman sultan ever to suffer such a fate.[36]

Ultimately, the outcome of the Battle of Ankara was predetermined not by the tactics of the day, but by the fundamental flaws in Bayezid's decade-long state-building project. His empire was a hollow shell, built too quickly and held together by force rather than loyalty. It shattered upon its first contact with a superior external power that offered an alternative allegiance. Bayezid's Anatolian policy of rapid, forced annexation had created a large, resentful contingent within his own army. Timur astutely exploited this weakness by bringing the deposed Anatolian emirs with him, presenting himself not as a foreign invader but as a restorer of their legitimate rights.[31] The mass desertion of the Anatolian Sipahis was therefore not a simple act of betrayal but a predictable political realignment; they were not defecting from their nation but rejoining their traditional lords. The stark contrast between the disloyalty of the Anatolian Turks and the fierce loyalty of the Christian Serbian vassals perfectly illustrates the central contradiction of Bayezid's state: its most reliable military components were the very groups it was ostensibly designed to dominate. The battle was lost before the first arrow was fired.

Part IV: The Aftermath: Captivity, Death, and Chaos

The Sultan in Chains: Deconstructing the "Iron Cage"

The story of Sultan Bayezid's captivity is one of the most contested and mythologized episodes in Ottoman history. European sources, followed by some later chronicles, developed a lurid and dramatic narrative of his humiliation. In these accounts, Bayezid was displayed in a golden or iron cage, used as a footstool for Timur to mount his horse, and forced to watch his favorite wife, the Serbian princess Olivera Despina, serve naked at Timur's court.[36] This narrative of a fallen tyrant became a powerful trope in Western literature, most famously in Christopher Marlowe's play
Tamburlaine the Great.[47]

In stark contrast, writers from Timur's own court, such as Sharaf ad-Din Ali Yazdi, insisted that Bayezid was treated with the respect due to a fellow sovereign. These accounts claim Timur engaged him in conversation, lamented his fate, and even mourned his death.[29] The most compelling evidence against the more sensationalist story comes from the silence of contemporary European eyewitnesses. The Bavarian soldier Johann Schiltberger, who was captured at Nicopolis and was present at the Battle of Ankara, and the Castilian ambassador Ruy González de Clavijo, who visited Timur's court shortly after, both wrote detailed accounts of their experiences but make no mention of a cage or the other alleged humiliations. This

absence from the records of those who were there is significant evidence that the story was a later embellishment.
The story of the "iron cage" is best understood not as a literal historical event but as a powerful literary and political trope within European historiography. Its origins are primarily Western, connected to Renaissance Humanist themes of the turn of Fortune's wheel—the mighty ruler brought low by hubris.[42] The narrative provided a satisfying, albeit gruesome, conclusion to the career of the man who had crushed the last great crusade at Nicopolis, serving as a form of literary vengeance. The cage became a potent symbol of "oriental" cruelty and despotism, a theme that resonated in European literature for centuries. Analyzing the story of the cage reveals more about European anxieties and literary conventions than it does about Timur's actual treatment of Bayezid. It is a historiographical artifact, not a historical fact.
Bayezid died in captivity in Akşehir in March 1403, about eight months after the battle.[12] The cause of his death is also disputed. Accounts range from natural causes, such as illness or the "emotional and physical disturbances" of his defeat, to suicide, either by taking poison concealed in his ring or by dashing his head against the bars of his supposed cage.[12]

The Ottoman Interregnum (1402-1413): The Empire's Collapse

Following his victory, Timur swept through Anatolia, sacking the Ottoman capital of Bursa and pillaging the countryside. He systematically dismantled Bayezid's centralized state, restoring the dispossessed Turkish emirs to their former beyliks.[21] The Ottoman Empire was effectively fractured, reduced to its core territories in Rumeli and north-central Anatolia.
Bayezid's death in captivity created a power vacuum that his surviving sons rushed to fill. With one son, Mustafa, held captive by Timur, the other four—Süleyman, İsa, Musa, and Mehmed—immediately began a brutal, eleven-year civil war for control of the remnants of the empire.[36] This period, known as the Ottoman Interregnum (
Fetret Devri), was a war of all against all. The brothers formed and broke alliances with each other and with external powers, such as the Byzantine Empire and the Serbian Despotate, who skillfully played the Ottoman princes against one another to ensure their own survival and regain lost territory.[50] The conflict raged across both Anatolia and the Balkans, a chaotic struggle that saw rulers rise and fall with stunning rapidity. The long and bloody war finally culminated in the victory of Mehmed Çelebi at the Battle of Çamurlu in 1413, where he defeated and killed his last remaining brother, Musa.[43]

Conclusion: The Legacy of a Fallen Sultan

Sultan Bayezid I remains a ruler of profound contradictions. He was a brilliant and energetic military commander whose ambition far outstripped his political and administrative capacity.

His vision of transforming the Ottoman state from a frontier warrior principality into a centralized, bureaucratic empire was both prescient and foundational. Yet, this vision was pursued with a haste and brutality that ultimately ensured its own spectacular collapse.[52] He swallowed vast tracts of land which he could not assimilate, creating an empire that was impressive in scope but dangerously hollow at its core.

The paradoxical legacy of his reign is that his catastrophic defeat at Ankara, which seemed to spell the end of the Ottoman state, was in fact a necessary crucible. The destruction of his hastily built empire purged the Ottoman system of its flawed, over-centralized first iteration. The long and bloody Interregnum that followed was a brutal but formative period. It forced his son, Mehmed I, who is rightly hailed as the empire's "second founder," to rebuild the state on a more pragmatic and resilient foundation.[36] Mehmed and his successors learned from Bayezid's failure, pursuing consolidation with more caution and building a state that could withstand both internal and external pressures. Bayezid's reign, therefore, stands as a critical and tragic, yet ultimately foundational, chapter in Ottoman history. His architectural patronage, especially the magnificent Ulu Cami (Grand Mosque) in Bursa, built to commemorate the now-overshadowed victory at Nicopolis, remains a tangible symbol of the grandeur he achieved before his fall—a testament to an imperial vision that was both ahead of its time and the cause of its own ruin.[12]

Works Cited

Ankara Yıldırım Beyazit University. (n.d.). Sultan Bayezid I (Yıldırım Bayezid).
All About Turkey. (n.d.). Sultan Yildirim Bayezid I.
Encyclopedia.com. (2019). Murad I.
Fiveable. (n.d.). Murad I.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Şehzade Bayezid.
Fiveable. (n.d.). Bayezid I.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Ottoman dynasty.
Reddit. (2016). How were Ottoman sultans chosen?
Britannica. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.
Pitcher, D. E. (n.d.). The Conquests of Murad I and Bayezid I (1362–1402). Brill.
Warfare History Network. (n.d.). Crusader Disaster at Nicopolis.
Battles and Campaigns. (2016). Battle of Nicopolis 1396: Last Western Crusade.
Çelik, S. (2013). The Battle of Nicopolis (1396), Burgundian Catastrophe and Ottoman Fait Divers. ResearchGate.
EBSCO. (n.d.). Battle of Nicopolis.
De Re Militari. (2025). The Battle of Nicopolis (1396), according to Johann Schiltberger.
World History Encyclopedia. (n.d.). Battle of Nicopolis.
Cartwright, M. (2020). Battle of Nicopolis. World History Encyclopedia.
EBSCO. (n.d.). Reign of Bayezid II and the Ottoman Civil Wars.

Britannica. (n.d.). The peak of Ottoman power, 1481–1566.
Kastritsis, D. J. (2015). Bayezid I. In Encyclopaedia of Islam, THREE. Brill.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Grand Mosque of Bursa.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Grand Mosque of Bursa.
Quora. (n.d.). Was Sultan Bayezid I mistreated by Tamerlane when he was captured?
Reddit. (n.d.). Translated the letters of Bayezid and Timur.
Reddit. (n.d.). Translated the letters of Bayezid and Timur.
Quora. (n.d.). What were the causes of the Battle of Ankara (1402) between Timur Gurkani and Bayazid?
Timelinefy. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.
Medium. (2024). Introduction: Setting the Stage for the Battle of Ankara.
Al-Lārī, M. (2023). The Battle of Ankara (1402) in the Narrative of Muṣliḥ al-Dīn al-Lārī. DergiPark.
Kastritsis, D. (n.d.). The Battle of Ankara and Its Consequences. Brill.
Reddit. (2019). Was Ottoman Sultan Bayezid I really mistreated by Timur after his capture?
Şenlen, S. (n.d.). Dramatic Representation of the Battle of Ankara and Beyazid I's Captivation by Tamerlane. DergiPark.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Gülçiçek Hatun.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Gülçiçek Hatun.
Geni. (n.d.). Gülçiçek Gazi (Hatun) (1335 - 1417) - Genealogy.
Fab Pedigree. (n.d.). Pedigree: Gulcicek HATUN.
The Ottomans.org. (n.d.). Sultan Bayezid I.
The Collector. (2025). Bayezid the “Thunderbolt”, the Ottoman Sultan Who Died in Captivity.
EBSCO. (n.d.). Bayezid II.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Bayezid I.
Kastritsis, D. J. (2015). Bayezid I. In Encyclopaedia of Islam, THREE. Brill.
Phersu Atlas. (n.d.). Beylik of Erzincan.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Rise of the Ottoman Empire.
The Collector. (2025). The Tumultuous Ottoman Interregnum (Bayezid's Fall & Civil War).
Fiveable. (n.d.). Bayezid I.
Pitcher, D. E. (n.d.). The Conquests of Murad I and Bayezid I (1362–1402). Brill.
Medieval History.info. (n.d.). Crusade of Last Hopes.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Battle of Nicopolis.
Seven Swords. (n.d.). Battle of Nicopolis 1396.
EBSCO. (n.d.). Battle of Nicopolis.
Britannica. (n.d.). Battle of Nicopolis.
History of Croatia. (2022). Battle of Nicopolis (1396).
Papoulia, B. (n.d.). The Divinely-Protected, Well Flourishing Domain: The Establishment of the Ottoman System in the Balkan Peninsula.
EBSCO. (n.d.). Waning of the Devshirme System.
Belleten. (n.d.). The Devshirme System and the Levied Children of Bursa in 1603-4.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Devshirme.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.

Geni. (n.d.). Bayezid I "the Thunderbolt", ottoman sultan.
CAMREA. (n.d.). Tag: Bayezid.
Deadliest Blogger. (n.d.). Tag: Bayezid.
Kastritsis, D. (n.d.). Chapter 4: Historical Writing in the Fifteenth-Century Ottoman World. University of St Andrews.
Mengüç, M. C. (2013). Histories of Bayezid I, historians of Bayezid II: Rethinking late fifteenth-century Ottoman historiography. Cambridge University Press.
Ozdemir, H. (2014). Sultan Yildirim Bayezid and Ottomans in the Letters of Manuel II Palaeologus. ResearchGate.
Mengüç, M. C. (2013). Histories of Bayezid I, historians of Bayezid II: Rethinking late fifteenth-century Ottoman historiography. ResearchGate.
Kings and Generals. (2020). How the Ottomans Almost Collapsed - Bayezid vs Timur. YouTube.
Fiveable. (n.d.). Bayezid I.
Daily Sabah. (n.d.). Mighty sovereigns of Ottoman throne: Sultan Bayezid the Thunderbolt.
Quora. (n.d.). Was Sultan Bayezid I mistreated by Tamerlane when he was captured?
Şenlen, S. (n.d.). Dramatic Representation of the Battle of Ankara and Beyazid I's Captivation by Tamerlane. DergiPark.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Fall of Constantinople.
History Time. (2019). Bayezid I and the First Ottoman Civil War. YouTube.
Necipoğlu, N. (2009). The first challenge: Bayezid I's siege of Constantinople (1394–1402). In Byzantium between the Ottomans and the Latins. Cambridge University Press.
Austrian Academy of Sciences Press. (2016). The Ottoman Conquest of the Balkans.
Pitcher, D. E. (n.d.). The Conquests of Murad I and Bayezid I (1362–1402). Brill.
Schmitt, O. J. (2016). Introduction: The Ottoman Conquest of the Balkans. Research Questions and Interpretations.
Google Books. (n.d.). The Ottoman Conquest of the Balkans: Interpretations and Research Debates.
Kastritsis, D. J. (2015). Bayezid I. In Encyclopaedia of Islam, THREE. Brill.
Britannica. (n.d.). Bayezid I.
DTIC. (n.d.). The Ottoman Empire: A Study of the Nişanci and the Kalemiye.
Cambridge University Press. (n.d.). Excerpt from a book on Ottoman history.
Pamuk, Ş. (n.d.). The Ottoman State and the Economy. London School of Economics.
Saharuddin, et al. (2021). Administration and Finance System of the Ottoman Empire. Neliti.
EBSCO. (n.d.). Reign of Bayezid II and the Ottoman Civil Wars.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Economic history of the Ottoman Empire.
ResearchGate. (2022). The Devshirme System in the Ottoman Empire.
Fiveable. (n.d.). Ottoman Empire's Devshirme System.
EBSCO. (n.d.). Waning of the Devshirme System.
Ekinci, E. B. (2015). The devşirme system: A ladder to the top of the state for non-Muslims.
Şenlen, S. (n.d.). Dramatic Representation of the Battle of Ankara and Beyazid I's Captivation by Tamerlane. DergiPark.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.
Historic Battles. (2023). Battle of Ankara 1402 - Timur vs Bayezid I. YouTube.

Quora. (n.d.). Was Sultan Bayezid I mistreated by Tamerlane when he was captured?
Al-Lārī, M. (2023). The Battle of Ankara (1402) in the Narrative of Muṣliḥ al-Dīn al-Lārī. DergiPark.
Kastritsis, D. (n.d.). The Battle of Ankara and Its Consequences. Brill.
Uludağ University. (n.d.). The Aftermath of the Battle of Ankara and the Relations between the Byzantine and Ottoman Empires.
Historical Quest. (n.d.). The Battle of Ankara (1402).
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Ottoman Interregnum.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Ottoman Interregnum.
Almuqaddima. (n.d.). The Ottoman Civil War.
The Collector. (2025). The Tumultuous Ottoman Interregnum (Bayezid's Fall & Civil War).
Mengüç, M. C. (2013). Histories of Bayezid I, historians of Bayezid II: Rethinking late fifteenth-century Ottoman historiography. Cambridge University Press.
Mengüç, M. C. (2013). Histories of Bayezid I, historians of Bayezid II: Rethinking late fifteenth-century Ottoman historiography. ResearchGate.
Milwright, M. (2012). Bayezid's Cage: A Re-examination of a Venerable Academic Controversy. ResearchGate.
Milwright, M. (2012). Bayezid's Cage: A re-examination of a venerable academic controversy. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.
Love Meditation Naqshbandi. (2021). Sultan Bayezid I.
Iranica Online. (n.d.). Historiography xiv. The Ottoman Empire.
Daily Sabah. (n.d.). Mighty sovereigns of Ottoman throne: Sultan Bayezid the Thunderbolt.
Google Books. (n.d.). Cross and Crescent in the Balkans: The Ottoman Conquest of Southeastern Europe.
Goodreads. (n.d.). Cross and Crescent in the Balkans: The Ottoman Conquest of Southeastern Europe by David Nicolle.
International History. (2012). Book Review of Cross and Crescent in the Balkans.
AbeBooks. (n.d.). Cross & Crescent in the Balkans: The Ottoman Conquest of Southeastern Europe.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Bayezid I.
The Collector. (2025). Bayezid the “Thunderbolt”, the Ottoman Sultan Who Died in Captivity.
Şenlen, S. (n.d.). Dramatic Representation of the Battle of Ankara and Beyazid I's Captivation by Tamerlane. DergiPark.
Britannica. (n.d.). Bayezid I.
Pamuk, Ş. (2014). Fiscal Centralisation and the Rise of the Modern State in the Ottoman Empire. ResearchGate.
Saharuddin, et al. (2021). ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE SYSTEM OF THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE. ResearchGate.
Bilkent University Repository. (n.d.). A Fiscal Reform in the Reign of Mehmed II.
Simsek, V. (2015). Ottoman Grand Strategy in the Age of Revolutions, 1826-1841. McMaster University.
Papoulia, B. (n.d.). The Divinely-Protected, Well Flourishing Domain: The Establishment of the Ottoman System in the Balkan Peninsula.

EBSCO. (n.d.). Waning of the Devshirme System.
Belleten. (n.d.). The Devshirme System and the Levied Children of Bursa in 1603-4.
ERIC. (2017). The Devshirme System in Turkish and Serbian History Textbooks.
EBSCO. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.
De Re Militari. (2018). Sources on Tamerlane (1336-1405), including the Battle of Angora (1402).
Britannica. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.
Uludağ University. (n.d.). The Aftermath of the Battle of Ankara and the Relations between the Byzantine and Ottoman Empires.
DergiPark. (n.d.). Byzantine Short Chronicles and Turkish Onomastics.
Aymenn Jawad Al-Tamimi. (2020). The Byzantine-Arabic Chronicle: Full Translation.
Scribd. (n.d.). The Sons of Bayezid by Dimitris J. Kastritsis.
Brill. (n.d.). Histories of Bayezid I, Historians of Bayezid II.
Mengüç, M. C. (2013). Histories of Bayezid I, historians of Bayezid II: Rethinking late fifteenth-century Ottoman historiography. Cambridge University Press.
Mengüç, M. C. (2013). Histories of Bayezid I, historians of Bayezid II: Rethinking late fifteenth-century Ottoman historiography. ResearchGate.
Association for Iranian Studies. (n.d.). Refashioning Persianate Historiography in the Late Medieval and Early Modern Periods.
Kastritsis, D. (n.d.). Chapter 4: Historical Writing in the Fifteenth-Century Ottoman World. University of St Andrews.
University of Chicago. (n.d.). Anonymous (Chronicle of the Turkish Sultans).
İnalcık, H. (n.d.). The Ottoman Empire: The Classical Age 1300-1600.
McGill University. (n.d.). Ottoman Visions of the West (15th-17th Centuries).
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Battle of Nicopolis.
Çelik, S. (2013). The Battle of Nicopolis (1396), Burgundian Catastrophe and Ottoman Fait Divers. ResearchGate.
Medievalists.net. (2017). The Battle of Nicopolis (1396): Burgundian Catastrophe and Ottoman Fait Divers.
University of St Andrews. (2007). The Sons of Bayezid: Empire Building and Representation in the Ottoman Civil War of 1402-13.
Acta Orientalia. (2008). Dimitris J, Kastritsis: The Sons of Bayezid.
Turkish Archaeological News. (2007). The Sons of Bayezid: Empire Building and Representation in the Ottoman Civil War of 1402-13.
Kastritsis, D. (n.d.). The Battle of Ankara and Its Consequences. Brill.
Encyclopedia.com. (2019). Murad I.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Ottoman dynasty.
Britannica. (n.d.). Battle of Ankara.
Pitcher, D. E. (n.d.). The Conquests of Murad I and Bayezid I (1362–1402). Brill.
Kastritsis, D. J. (2015). Bayezid I. In Encyclopaedia of Islam, THREE. Brill.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Grand Mosque of Bursa.
Quora. (n.d.). What were the causes of the Battle of Ankara (1402) between Timur Gurkani and

Bayazid?
Medium. (2024). Introduction: Setting the Stage for the Battle of Ankara.
Reddit. (2019). Was Ottoman Sultan Bayezid I really mistreated by Timur after his capture?
Kastritsis, D. (n.d.). The Battle of Ankara and Its Consequences. Brill.
Necipoğlu, N. (2009). The first challenge: Bayezid I's siege of Constantinople (1394–1402). In Byzantium between the Ottomans and the Latins. Cambridge University Press.
The Collector. (2025). Bayezid the "Thunderbolt", the Ottoman Sultan Who Died in Captivity.
Kastritsis, D. J. (2015). Bayezid I. In Encyclopaedia of Islam, THREE. Brill.
Pitcher, D. E. (n.d.). The Conquests of Murad I and Bayezid I (1362–1402). Brill.
Çelik, S. (2013). The Battle of Nicopolis (1396), Burgundian Catastrophe and Ottoman Fait Divers. ResearchGate.
Wikipedia. (n.d.). Devshirme.
CAMREA. (n.d.). Tag: Bayezid.
The Collector. (2025). The Tumultuous Ottoman Interregnum (Bayezid's Fall & Civil War).
Mengüç, M. C. (2013). Histories of Bayezid I, historians of Bayezid II: Rethinking late fifteenth-century Ottoman historiography. Cambridge University Press.
Necipoğlu, N. (2009). The first challenge: Bayezid I's siege of Constantinople (1394–1402). In Byzantium between the Ottomans and the Latins. Cambridge University Press.

Works cited

1. Murad I | Encyclopedia.com, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.encyclopedia.com/reference/encyclopedias-almanacs-transcripts-and-maps/murad-i
2. Crusader Disaster At Nicopolis - Warfare History Network, accessed September 15, 2025, https://warfarehistorynetwork.com/article/crusader-disaster-at-nicopolis/
3. Murad I - (World History – Before 1500) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed September 15, 2025, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/murad
4. Gülçiçek Hatun - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%BCl%C3%A7i%C3%A7ek_Hatun
5. Bayezid the "Thunderbolt", the Ottoman Sultan Who Died in Captivity | TheCollector, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.thecollector.com/bayezid-thunderbolt-ottoman-sultan-died-captivity/
6. Bayezid I - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bayezid_I
7. Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt Üniversitesi, accessed September 15, 2025, https://aybu.edu.tr/aybu/en/sayfa/7555
8. Ottoman, accessed September 15, 2025, http://www.theottomans.org/english/family/beyazid.asp
9. Bayezid I - Brill - Reference Works, accessed September 15, 2025, https://referenceworks.brill.com/display/entries/EI3O/COM-24544.xml

10. Sultan Yildirim Bayezit | All About Turkey, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.allaboutturkey.com/yildirim.html
11. Ottoman dynasty - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_dynasty
12. How were Ottoman Sultans chosen? : r/AskHistorians - Reddit, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistorians/comments/59i2it/how_were_ottoman_sultans_chosen/
13. Crusade of Last Hopes - Medieval History, accessed September 15, 2025, https://medievalhistory.info/crusade-of-last-hopes/
14. Battle of Nicopolis - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Nicopolis
15. Book Review of Cross and Crescent in the Balkans: The Ottoman Conquest of South-Eastern Europe (14th-15th Centuries) | International History, accessed September 15, 2025, https://internationalhistory.wordpress.com/2012/08/08/book-review-of-cross-and-crescent-in-the-balkans-the-ottoman-conquest-of-south-eastern-europe-14th-15th-centuries/
16. Battle of Nicopolis - World History Encyclopedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.worldhistory.org/Battle_of_Nicopolis/
17. The first challenge: Bayezid I's siege of Constantinople (1394–1402 ..., accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/byzantium-between-the-ottomans-and-the-latins/first-challenge-bayezid-is-siege-of-constantinople-13941402/05F4C239780F984CE630DB692864AB42
18. Fall of Constantinople - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fall_of_Constantinople
19. BYZANTINE-OTTOMAN RELATIONS IN EARLY 1420'S, accessed September 15, 2025, https://acikerisim.uludag.edu.tr/server/api/core/bitstreams/104e8daa-8469-44ff-9013-2ed43c3d23fb/content
20. Battle of Nicopolis - EBSCO, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/military-history-and-science/battle-nicopolis
21. BATTLE OF NICOPOLIS 1396 – LAST WESTERN CRUSADE - Site Title - WordPress.com, accessed September 15, 2025, https://battlesandcampaigns.wordpress.com/2016/12/19/battle-of-nicopolis-1396-last-western-crusade/
22. Battle of Nicopolis (1396): Everything We Know - Seven Swords -, accessed September 15, 2025, https://sevenswords.uk/battle-of-nicopolis-1396/
23. Battle of Nicopolis (1396) | Description & Significance - Britannica, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/event/Battle-of-Nicopolis
24. Battle of Nicopolis, 1396 - HISTORY OF CROATIA and related history, accessed September 15, 2025, https://historyofcroatia.com/2022/03/21/battle-of-nicopolis-1396/

25. The Battle of Nicopolis (1396), Burgundian Catastrophe and Ottoman Fait Divers, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289967926_The_Battle_of_Nicopolis_1396_Burgundian_Catastrophe_and_Ottoman_Fait_Divers
26. Bayezid I - Ottoman Rulers #4 - YouTube, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f_xWG7TCcMo
27. Sultan Bayezid I - URS 13 Shaban (AH 805) - Naqshbandi Rabbani Group, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.lovemeditation-naqshbandi.com/sultan-bayezid-i-4th-ottoman-sultan-from-1389-1402-2021/
28. introduction the battle of ankara and its consequences - Brill, accessed September 15, 2025, https://brill.com/downloadpdf/display/book/9789047422471/Bej.9789004158368.i-250_002.pdf
29. ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE SYSTEM OF THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE - Neliti, accessed September 15, 2025, https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/457515-administration-and-finance-system-of-the-13e826d1.pdf
30. Waning of the Devshirme System | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/waning-devshirme-system
31. Devshirme - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Devshirme
32. Battle of Ankara (1402) | Description, Casualties, & Significance | Britannica, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/event/Battle-of-Ankara-1402
33. In the shadow of Tīmūr: Revisitng the battle of Ankara with Muṣlıḥ Al-Dīn Al-Lārī - DergiPark, accessed September 15, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/4328802
34. What were the causes of the Battle of Ankara 1402 between Timur ..., accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.quora.com/What-were-the-causes-of-the-Battle-of-Ankara-1402-between-Timur-Gurkani-and-Bayazid
35. Battle of Ankara - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Ankara
36. Battle of Ankara - Timelinefy, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.timelinefy.com/events/1234
37. Translated the letters of Bayezid and Timur : r/islamichistory - Reddit, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/islamichistory/comments/1lj8mih/translated_the_letters_of_bayezid_and_timur/
38. Was Sultan Bayezid I mistreated by Tamerlane when he was captured? - Quora, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.quora.com/Was-Sultan-Bayezid-I-mistreated-by-Tamerlane-when-he-was-captured

39. The Tumultuous Ottoman Interregnum (Bayezid's Fall & Civil War) - TheCollector, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.thecollector.com/ottoman-empire-interregnum-bayezid-fall-civil-war/
40. Battle of Ankara | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/military-history-and-science/battle-ankara
41. Introduction: Setting the Stage for the Battle of Ankara | by A Small ..., accessed September 15, 2025, https://medium.com/@managing2024/introduction-setting-the-stage-for-the-battle-of-ankara-73a5ff96440a
42. Bayezid – War and Civilization, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.camrea.org/tag/bayezid/
43. Dramatic Representation of the Battle of Ankara and Bayezid I's Captivation by Tamerlane - DergiPark, accessed September 15, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/114063
44. Bayezid I "the Thunderbolt", ottoman sultan (1346 - 1403) - Genealogy - Geni, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.geni.com/people/Bayezid-I-ottoman-sultan/385217766960003428
45. en.wikipedia.org, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Interregnum#:~:text=The%20Ottoman%20Interregnum%2C%20or%20Ottoman,Ankara%20on%2028%20July%201402.
46. Ottoman Interregnum - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Interregnum
47. The Ottoman Civil War - al Muqaddima, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.almuqaddima.com/post/the-ottoman-civil-war
48. Bayezid I - (World History – Before 1500) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed September 15, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/bayezid
49. The Conquests of Murad I and Bayezid I (1362-1402) - Brill, accessed September 15, 2025, https://brill.com/downloadpdf/display/book/9789004659780/B9789004659780_s008.pdf
50. Bayezid I - (World History – Before 1500) - Vocab, Definition, Explanations | Fiveable, accessed September 15, 2025, https://fiveable.me/key-terms/world-history-to-1500/bayezid
51. Grand Mosque of Bursa - Wikipedia, accessed September 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Grand_Mosque_of_Bursa
52. Mighty sovereigns of Ottoman throne: Sultan Bayezid the Thunderbolt | Daily Sabah, accessed September 15, 2025, https://www.dailysabah.com/arts/portrait/mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne-sultan-bayezid-the-thunderbolt