The Twilight Sovereign: Sultan Mehmed V Reşad and the Dissolution of the Ottoman Empire (1909–1918)

Introduction: The Paradox of the Dervish Sultan

The reign of Sultan Mehmed V Reşad (r. 1909–1918) constitutes one of the most profound and tragic paradoxes in the long historiography of the Ottoman Empire. A man of gentle disposition, a devout adherent of the Mevlevi Sufi order, and a poet deeply immersed in the high culture of Persian literature, he presided over the most violent, radical, and cataclysmic decade the Empire had ever endured. His nine-year sultanate, frequently dismissed in superficial historical surveys as a period of mere puppetry under the iron fist of the Committee of Union and Progress (CUP), was in reality the crucible in which the modern Middle East and the Balkans were forged.

Ascending the throne at the advanced age of sixty-four, after more than three decades of forced seclusion in the *Kafes* (Cage), Mehmed Reşad was the Empire's first truly constitutional monarch in practice, if not in theory. He was not the architect of the era's policies—those prerogatives were seized by the Triumvirate of Enver, Talat, and Cemal—but he served as the symbolic linchpin that prevented the fracturing state from disintegrating sooner than it did. Under his nominal rule, the Empire was severed from its African territories in Libya, amputated from its European heartland in the Balkan Wars, committed the twentieth century's first industrialized genocide against its Armenian population, and finally collapsed under the crushing weight of the First World War.

To understand this era, one must bifurcate the analysis: examining the man, whose personal dignity, piety, and passivity defined the Palace's response to trauma; and examining the Empire, a leviathan thrashing in its death throes, driven by radical nationalism, total war, and demographic engineering. This report provides an exhaustive reconstruction of both the Sultan's inner world and the chaotic dominion he nominally ruled, utilizing primary accounts, diplomatic cables, and sociopolitical data to paint a portrait of a sovereign presiding over the apocalypse of his own house.

Part I: The Shadow of the Cage – The Making of Mehmed Reşad

1.1 Lineage and the Trauma of Early Loss

Born on November 2, 1844, at the Çırağan Palace in Constantinople, Mehmed Reşad was the son of Sultan Abdülmecid I and Gülcemal Kadın, a Circassian consort noted for her extraordinary beauty.[1] The Ottoman dynasty in the mid-19th century was an institution in transition, grappling with the reforms of the Tanzimat while trying to maintain the mystique of the Caliphate. Reşad's early life was marked by an immediate and defining tragedy; his mother succumbed to tuberculosis when he was only seven years old. This loss would haunt him throughout his life, creating a melancholic undercurrent to his personality that was evident to those close to him. In a poignant act of remembrance decades later, upon ascending the throne, he ordered a newly acquired ocean liner (originally the *Germanic*) to be renamed the *Gülcemal* in her honor—a vessel that would ironically play a significant role in the population exchanges that defined the end of his empire.[3]

Following his mother's death, he was raised by his father's senior wife, Servetseza Kadın, who treated him with maternal kindness, ensuring his education in the palace protocols.[2] However, the psychological landscape of the Ottoman dynasty was one of precariousness. As a young prince, he witnessed the deposition and mysterious death of his uncle, Sultan Abdülaziz, a robust ruler whose alleged suicide (or murder) at Feriye Palace sent shockwaves through the family. Shortly thereafter, he watched the mental collapse and deposition of his elder brother, Sultan Murad V, who reigned for only 93 days before being confined due to a nervous breakdown.[3] These events instilled in Reşad a profound survival instinct, manifesting not as ambition—which had destroyed his predecessors—but as withdrawal and invisibility.

1.2 The *Kafes* Years: Thirty Years of Seclusion

For over thirty years, during the long, absolutist reign of his brother Abdülhamid II (r. 1876–1909), Reşad lived as Crown Prince in the *Kafes* (Cage)—the designated apartments for male heirs, characterized by strict surveillance and isolation. Abdülhamid II, famously paranoid about usurpation and conspiracy, restricted Reşad's contact with the outside world to an extreme degree. "I am doing my brother a great favor by not showing him to the public," Abdülhamid reportedly justified, ostensibly protecting him from political intriguers who might use him as a figurehead for a coup.[4]

However, this seclusion had a dual, contradictory effect on the future Sultan:

Political Atrophy:

Reşad was systematically denied any administrative, diplomatic, or military experience. Unlike European monarchs-in-waiting who governed provinces, sat in councils, or led regiments, Reşad's world was confined to the palace gardens and his harem. He had no network of bureaucrats, no understanding of the empire's financial machinery, and no experience in the

cutthroat world of international diplomacy. This lack of "statecraft" muscle memory would prove fatal to his ability to check the aggressive ambition of the CUP leaders later in life.5 When he eventually took the throne, he was dependent entirely on the information fed to him by his ministers, lacking the independent channels of intelligence that his brother Abdülhamid had so obsessively cultivated.

Intellectual and Spiritual Incubation:

Denied the practice of politics, Reşad turned inward to the life of the mind and spirit. He became a scholar of high culture, fluent in Persian and Arabic, and deeply versed in the Masnavi of Jalaluddin Rumi.2 He cultivated a persona rooted in the aesthetic and spiritual traditions of the "Old Empire," contrasting sharply with the positivist, Francophone, and materialist worldview of the Young Turks who would eventually enthroning him. His reading list was not composed of Machiavelli or Clausewitz, but of Hafez and Rumi. This intellectual orientation meant that while he understood the moral duties of a Caliph, he was ill-equipped to understand the realpolitik of a world rushing toward industrial warfare.

1.3 The Mevlevi Connection and Spiritual Identity

Crucial to understanding Mehmed V's psychology was his deep affiliation with the Mevlevi Sufi order. Unlike the rigid orthodoxy often associated with the institution of the Caliphate, Reşad's spirituality was defined by the mystic traditions of the Whirling Dervishes. This was not merely a hobby but a political and social orientation. The Mevlevi order had historically enjoyed close ties to the Ottoman dynasty—Mevlevi sheikhs often girded the Sultans with swords—but Reşad elevated this connection to a central pillar of his identity.

Upon his accession, he broke with tradition by having the Chelebi (leader) of the Mevlevi order gird him with the Sword of Osman at the Eyüp Shrine, integrating the order directly into the coronation rituals in a way that emphasized his reliance on spiritual legitimacy over military might.[6] This Sufi disposition contributed to his "gentle, benign, and soft" reputation among the people and the palace staff.[4] He was a man who reportedly said, "I do not owe even one rak'ah of prayer to Allah Almighty," emphasizing his personal piety over his political acumen.[8]

However, in the ruthless arena of early 20th-century geopolitics, these virtues were political liabilities. His fatalism, derived from a life of waiting and prayer, led him to view the disasters of his reign—the loss of cities, the death of armies—with a resignation that his critics, and later historians, characterized as weakness or senility. He viewed his role as a spiritual anchor, a "Seal-Keeper" of the state, rather than its executive captain.

Part II: The Constitutional Sovereign and the Crisis of Legitimacy (1909–1913)

2.1 The Accession: "The First Elected Sultan"

The 31 March Incident (1909), a violent counter-revolutionary mutiny by Islamist elements and soldiers against the new constitutional government, resulted in the deposition of Abdülhamid II. The Action Army (*Hareket Ordusu*), led by Mahmud Şevket Pasha and staffed by Unionist officers like Enver Bey, crushed the mutiny and marched on Istanbul. The National Assembly, now dominated by the Young Turks, convened to decide the fate of the throne. They chose to depose Abdülhamid and elevate Mehmed Reşad.

This marked a fundamental constitutional rupture: Mehmed V was the first Sultan to be effectively "elected" by a parliamentary body rather than acceding purely through divine right or the death of a predecessor.[3] He took the regnal name Mehmed V, deliberately evoking Mehmed the Conqueror (Mehmed II) to symbolize a "reconquest" of the state by the forces of liberty and constitution.[3]

Yet, the power dynamic was clear from the very first day. The Constitution was amended in August 1909 to strip the Sultan of significant powers. The amendments abrogated his right to dissolve parliament unilaterally, removed his control over the appointment of the Grand Vizier and the Sheikh-ul-Islam, and made the cabinet responsible to the Parliament rather than the Palace.[9] Mehmed V was designed by the CUP to be an ornament—a symbol of continuity for the masses to ensure loyalty, but a blank check for the Committee's modernization agenda.

2.2 The Rumelia Tour of 1911: The Last Ottoman Summer

In June 1911, in a desperate bid to quell rising Albanian nationalism and reinforce the ideology of Ottomanism (*Osmanlılık*), Sultan Mehmed V embarked on a historic tour of the Balkans (Rumelia). This journey stands as the last great projection of imperial power in Europe and the final time an Ottoman Sultan would set foot in the provinces that had been the empire's heartland for five centuries.

The Itinerary and Logistics:

The tour was a massive logistical undertaking. Traveling first by the battleship Barbaros Hayreddin to Thessaloniki, the Sultan then boarded a train for a journey through the contested landscapes of Macedonia and Kosovo. He visited Skopje (Üsküp), Pristina, and Bitola (Manastir).11 Security was tight; the region was a powder keg of ethnic tension. A "guide train" ran ahead of the royal carriage to prevent sabotage, and thousands of troops were deployed along the tracks.13

The Great Prayer at Kosovo Polje:

The climax of the tour was a mass Friday prayer held at the Tomb of Sultan Murad I in Kosovo Polje (Gazimestan), the site of the 1389 battle that had cemented Ottoman rule in the Balkans.

- **The Scene:** Over 100,000 Albanians, Turks, and other Muslims gathered in the open field. The Sultan, wearing the uniform of a Field Marshal but carrying the aura of the

Caliph, prayed in the open air. It was a piece of supreme political theater designed to remind the Albanians that their allegiance to the Caliph superseded their ethnic nationalism.[11]

- **The Manaki Brothers' Record:** This event was captured on film by the Manaki brothers, the pioneering cinematographers of the Balkans. Their grainy, silent footage of the Sultan in Bitola—an elderly, somewhat frail figure moving through crowds of subjects who would soon be citizens of Serbia, Greece, or Albania—remains a haunting document of an empire on the precipice.[15]
- **The Amnesty:** In an attempt to win hearts, the Sultan declared a general amnesty for those who had participated in previous Albanian revolts, excluding only those guilty of murder. He distributed gold coins—the "Reşadiye" minted specifically for this visit—and pledged funds for Albanian-language schools.[17]

The Political Failure:
Despite the rhetoric of brotherhood and the visual grandeur, the visit failed its primary objective. The Young Turks' rigorous centralization and Turkification policies had already deeply alienated the Albanian elite. The "enthusiasm" captured in official reports was often manufactured, with local governors distributing food to ensure attendance.19 The deep structural rifts between the centralized state and the peripheral nationalisms could not be healed by a single visit, no matter how symbolic. Less than a year later, these same populations would assist the Balkan League in driving the Ottomans out of Europe.

2.3 The Trauma of the Balkan Wars (1912–1913)

The First Balkan War was a catastrophe that fundamentally altered the psyche of the Ottoman state and the Sultan himself. The loss of Rumelia—including Salonica (the birthplace of the CUP and the revolution) and Edirne (the empire's second capital and a city of immense symbolic importance)—was a spiritual amputation.

- **The Collapse:** The Ottoman army, plagued by politics and disorganization, collapsed on all fronts against the combined forces of Bulgaria, Greece, Serbia, and Montenegro. The Bulgarians advanced to the Çatalca lines, within artillery range of Istanbul.[20]
- **Refugee Crisis (Muhacir):** The war triggered a massive influx of Muslim refugees (*Muhacirs*) into Istanbul and Anatolia. Between 1912 and 1913, hundreds of thousands of refugees arrived, destitute and traumatized, bringing tales of massacre and dispossession.[21] They filled the courtyards of mosques and the streets of the capital, a visible reminder of the empire's contraction.
- **Palace Reaction:** Mehmed V was deeply affected. The loss of Edirne was a personal humiliation. He reportedly threatened to abdicate if the city was not retaken, a rare moment of assertiveness born of despair. When Enver Pasha eventually recaptured Edirne in the Second Balkan War (taking advantage of infighting among the Balkan allies), it granted the regime a temporary reprieve and solidified Enver's status as the "Hero of the Revolution," further eclipsing the Sultan.[23]

Part III: The CUP Dictatorship and the Eclipse of the Throne

3.1 The Bab-ı Ali Raid (1913)

The transition from constitutional monarchy to a de facto party dictatorship was sealed on January 23, 1913. Frustrated by the government's handling of the Balkan War and fearing the cession of Edirne, Enver Bey and a group of Unionist officers staged a violent coup. They raided the Sublime Porte (Bab-ı Ali), the seat of the government. In the melee, the Minister of War, Nazım Pasha, was shot dead, and the Grand Vizier Kâmil Pasha was forced to resign at gunpoint.[23]

Impact on Mehmed V:

The Sultan was presented with a fait accompli. He had no choice but to appoint the CUP's candidate, Mahmud Şevket Pasha, as Grand Vizier. From this point until his death, Mehmed V was a captive of the "Three Pashas"—Talat (Interior), Enver (War), and Cemal (Navy).

- **Loss of Executive Function:** The Sultan's role was reduced to signing *irades* (decrees) formulated by the Central Committee of the CUP. He was acutely aware of his powerlessness, lamenting to his private secretary Halid Ziya Uşaklıgil, "I am the seal-keeper of the state, nothing more".[1]
- **The "Puppet" Debate:** While historian Stanford Shaw and others classify him as a puppet [25], scholars like Feroz Ahmad argue for a more nuanced view. They suggest the dynasty adapted to survive, maintaining a symbiotic relationship with the CUP. The CUP needed the Sultan's religious legitimacy to hold the loyalty of the masses; the Sultan needed the CUP's force to keep the empire intact.[26]

3.2 The Rise of Turkism vs. Ottomanism

Under the CUP, the state ideology shifted dramatically. The inclusive "Ottomanism" of the 1911 tour, which sought to create a supra-national identity for all subjects regardless of religion, gave way to Turkism. This shift was driven by intellectuals like Ziya Gökalp, who served on the CUP's central committee.[27]

- **Cultural Impact:** The Palace, steeped in Persian and Arabic high culture, found itself culturally out of step with the new nationalist intelligentsia. Gökalp's theories of a "national economy" (*Milli İktisat*) and "national culture" began to reshape the empire's demographics and economy. The Sultan, who wrote Persian poetry, represented a cosmopolitan Islamic civilization that the nationalists viewed as archaic.

- **The Palace and the Party:** Despite the ideological shift, the CUP maintained the external trappings of the Sultanate. They utilized his Caliphal title *Amir al-Mu'minin* extensively for propaganda, particularly to court loyalty among the empire's Arab subjects and Muslims abroad. The Sultan became the face of the "Holy War," even as the Party directed the actual war effort.[28]

Part IV: The Great War – Empire in Fire

4.1 Entry into World War I: The Sultan in the Dark

The Ottoman entry into World War I is a case study in the Sultan's marginalization. The secret alliance with Germany was signed on August 2, 1914, by the CUP leadership (Grand Vizier Sait Halim Pasha, Enver, Talat, and Halil Menteşe) without the full knowledge of the cabinet, let alone the Sultan.[29]
The Naval Provocation:
The entry was precipitated by the arrival of the German warships Goeben and Breslau in the Dardanelles. To avoid internment, they were fictitiously "purchased" by the Ottoman government and renamed Yavuz Sultan Selim and Midilli. On October 29, 1914, under Enver's orders, these ships bombarded Russian ports in the Black Sea. The Sultan was not informed of the attack until after it happened. When he learned of it, he was reportedly distraught, fearing the consequences for his exhausted empire. However, faced with a Russian declaration of war, he performed his constitutional duty. On November 11, 1914, he issued the formal declaration of war against the Triple Entente.1

4.2 The Jihad Proclamation (1914)

Three days later, on November 14, Mehmed V, in his capacity as Caliph, proclaimed *Jihad-i Ekber* (The Great Holy War).[31] This was the Sultan's primary contribution to the war effort, a spiritual mobilization intended to shake the foundations of the colonial powers.

- **The Logic:** This was a strategic move designed in coordination with Germany (often termed the "German Jihad" strategy by historians like Snouck Hurgronje) to incite Muslim revolts in the British (India, Egypt), French (North Africa), and Russian (Caucasus, Central Asia) empires.[32]
- **The Ritual:** The proclamation was read publicly at the Fatih Mosque in Istanbul. It was accompanied by five *fatwas* (religious rulings) issued by the Sheikh-ul-Islam, declaring it a religious duty for all Muslims to fight the Entente. Curiously, and clumsily, the fatwas had to exempt the Central Powers (Germany and Austria-Hungary) from being considered "infidels" in this context, creating a theological contortion that was not lost

on educated Muslims.[31]

- **The Failure:** The global uprising never materialized. Muslim troops in the British Indian Army largely remained loyal to the Raj, fighting against the Ottomans in Mesopotamia. The Sharif of Mecca, Hussein bin Ali, eventually revolted *against* the Ottoman Caliph in 1916, shattering the claim of Islamic unity. However, the Jihad was successful *domestically* in rallying Turkish and Kurdish populations to the defense of the homeland, framing the war as a defense of Islam against invader crusaders.[32]

4.3 Gallipoli: The "Gazi" and the Poet

The victory at Gallipoli (1915) was the zenith of Ottoman military performance in the war. Although Enver Pasha and field commanders like Mustafa Kemal (Atatürk) and Liman von Sanders were the military architects, the victory was attributed to the Sultan-Caliph to boost imperial morale. Mehmed V was awarded the title *Gazi* (Warrior for the Faith), a prestigious honor previously held by illustrious ancestors like Suleiman the Magnificent.[3]
The Gallipoli Ghazal:
In a rare moment of personal agency, Mehmed V composed a Ghazal (lyric poem) commemorating the victory. Written in high Persianate Ottoman, the poem praises the soldiers as "lions of the faith" and frames the defense of the Dardanelles as a divine mandate.33

- *Significance:* The poem reveals the Sultan's internal narrative. He saw the war not in terms of geopolitics or nationalism, but through a prism of Islamic chivalry and martyrdom. The poem was widely published in newspapers and set to music, becoming a piece of imperial propaganda that linked the gentle Sultan to the bloody heroism of the trenches.[33]

4.4 Diplomatic Theater: The Kaiser's Visit (1917)

In October 1917, Kaiser Wilhelm II visited Istanbul for the third time, but the first time during Mehmed V's reign.

- **The Encounter:** The meeting at Dolmabahçe Palace was a surreal tableau of dying empires. Wilhelm II, with his withered arm and aggressive Prussian militarism, met the diabetic, gentle, and aging Mehmed Reşad. They exchanged uniforms and field marshal batons.[34]
- **The Reality:** Behind the banquets and the public displays of the "German Fountain," both empires were starving. The visit was an admission of mutual desperation. Photographs from the Imperial War Museum show the two monarchs: Wilhelm projecting anxious energy, Mehmed V looking visibly frail, detached, and weary.[35] It was, as palace observers noted, "the final salutation between the captains of two sinking ships".[34]

Part V: The Darkest Chapter – Demographic Engineering and Genocide

5.1 The Armenian Genocide (1915–1916)

The most defining, controversial, and catastrophic event of the Ottoman Empire under Mehmed V was the systematic destruction of its Armenian population. While the Sultan did not conceive or direct the genocide—that responsibility lies with the CUP Central Committee, specifically Talat (Interior) and Enver (War)—his reign is indelibly stained by it.

The Sultan's Knowledge and Reaction:

- **Limited Intervention:** Evidence suggests Mehmed V was aware of the deportations but lacked the power—and perhaps the will—to stop them. He privately expressed disapproval, reportedly saying to his personal doctor that he was "ashamed" of the atrocities committed in his name.[4] However, his "shame" did not translate into effective political action.
- **The "Internal Foe" Narrative:** The CUP framed the deportations as a military necessity, citing Armenian assistance to Russian invaders in Van and the East.[36] The Sultan, fed intelligence reports by Enver, likely accepted the premise of rebellion, even if he recoiled at the scale of the "punishment."
- **The Fetvas:** The religious machinery of the state, headed by the Sheikh-ul-Islam (appointed by the CUP), sanctioned the actions against "traitors," giving the genocide a veneer of legality that the Caliph did not publicly contradict.[31]

The Machinery of Death:

Under the cover of World War I, the Ministry of Interior utilized the Teşkilat-ı Mahsusa (Special Organization) to execute the genocide.

- **Statistics:** Between 800,000 and 1.5 million Armenians perished through massacres, forced marches to the Syrian desert (Deir ez-Zor), and starvation.[37]
- **Dynastic Testimony:** Years later, Prince Mehmed VI (Vahideddin) and other dynastic members would characterize the massacres as the "greatest stain" on the empire, blaming the "thugs" of the CUP.[39] However, during 1915, the Palace remained largely silent, trapped in its golden cage while the empire's demographic fabric was violently ripped apart.

5.2 The Remaking of Anatolia: The *Muhacir* Factor

Simultaneously, the empire was engaged in a massive project of demographic homogenization. The *Muhacirin* Commission, reorganized under the Ministry of Interior,

settled hundreds of thousands of Muslim refugees from the Balkans and Caucasus into the homes, farms, and villages vacated by deported Armenians and Greeks.[40]

- **Goal:** The explicit goal of the CUP was to create a Turkish-Muslim demographic majority in Anatolia, which they considered the last "fortress" of the empire after the loss of the Balkans and the Arab provinces.[42]
- **Outcome:** By 1918, the multi-ethnic, multi-confessional empire of 1909 had been effectively destroyed, replaced by the demographic blueprint of a nation-state. The reign of Mehmed V, which began with the promise of multi-cultural Ottomanism, ended with the violent birth of Turkish nationalism.

Part VI: Social and Economic Collapse

6.1 The War Economy: Famine and Inflation

The empire's economy during Mehmed V's reign was characterized by total collapse. The "National Economy" (*Milli İktisat*) policies aimed at creating a Muslim bourgeoisie often resulted in cronyism and the looting of minority properties, rather than sustainable development.

- **Inflation:** The cost of living in Istanbul rose by a factor of **18** between 1914 and 1918.[43]
- **Bread:** The "War of Bread" became the daily reality for Istanbulites. The price of a loaf skyrocketed; potatoes, maize, and even sawdust were mixed into flour. Famine was not just a provincial issue; it stalked the capital. The poor starved in the streets of a city that had once fed the world.[44]
- **Logistics:** The lack of railways (the strategic Taurus tunnel was incomplete) meant that grain from Anatolia could not reach the cities or the fronts. The Entente blockade suffocated maritime trade, cutting off coal and supplies.[45]

Commodity	Price Index (1914)	Price Index (1918)
Bread	100	1,800+
Sugar	100	2,500+
Fuel (Coal)	100	Unavailable/Black Market

6.2 Daily Life in the Capital

Istanbul under Mehmed V was a city of fires, spies, and disease.

- **Fires:** Massive fires, often suspected to be arson or the result of negligence in a crumbling infrastructure, ravaged the wooden neighborhoods of Fatih and Cibali in 1918.

These fires left thousands homeless and added to the refugee crisis.[46]

- **Disease:** The Spanish Flu pandemic hit Istanbul in 1918, killing thousands who were already weakened by malnutrition. Typhus was rampant in the army, killing more soldiers than enemy bullets in some sectors.[47]
- **Social Change:** The absence of men (conscripted to the front) forced women into the workforce. The "First World War" was the catalyst for the emergence of Ottoman women in public administrative roles, factories, and hospitals—a shift the conservative Sultan likely viewed with trepidation, but which was necessitated by the logic of total war.[48]

6.3 Cultural Legacy: The Reşadiye

Despite the ruin, the reign left tangible marks on the culture.

- **The Anthem:** The "Reşadiye Marşı" (March of Reşad), composed by Italo Selvelli, served as the imperial anthem. It was a melancholic, European-style march that lacked the martial vigor of previous anthems, perhaps fitting for the Sultan it honored.[49]
- **Numismatics:** The "Reşat Altını" (Reşad Gold) coins, minted in 22k gold, became a standard of value amidst the collapse of the paper currency (*kaime*). Ironically, these coins are still traded and gifted in Turkey today as symbols of wealth, a strange legacy for a Sultan who presided over economic ruin.[51]
- **Architecture:** The Tomb of Mehmed V in Eyüp is a significant neo-classical Ottoman structure. By choosing to be buried in Eyüp (the burial place of the Prophet's companion Abu Ayyub al-Ansari) rather than the dynastic mausoleums near Hagia Sophia, Reşad emphasized his piety and his connection to the sacred history of Islam.[53]

Conclusion: The Dignity of the Vanquished

Sultan Mehmed V Reşad died on July 3, 1918, at the age of 73, due to heart failure complicated by diabetes.[1] He passed away just four months before the Armistice of Mudros, which would formally end the Ottoman participation in the war and signal the partition of the empire. He was spared the final humiliation of seeing Allied warships anchor in the Bosphorus and occupy his capital.

The Assessment of Agency

Historiography has been unkind to Reşad, often reducing him to a caricature of feebleness. It is true that he was not a ruler in the mold of his ancestors; he commanded no armies, crafted no laws, and executed no rivals. He was a "Constitutional Caliph," a role for which there was

no successful precedent in Islamic history.
However, a deeper reading suggests that his passivity was not merely weakness, but a conscious adaptation to the reality of the CUP dictatorship. By remaining on the throne, he preserved the dynasty for another decade. His refusal to abdicate, his tours of the provinces, and his poetry provided a spiritual anchor for a population undergoing traumatic modernization and war. He acted as a shock absorber for the state, absorbing the humiliation of defeat so that the institution of the Sultanate might survive.
He was the "Dervish Sultan"—a man who sought to rule through moral authority in an age of brute force. That he failed to stop the CUP's crimes or save his empire is a tragedy of history, but his wish to "die with dignity" [4] was, in the end, one of the few desires the 20th century granted him.
His successor, Mehmed VI Vahideddin, would inherit a crown that had become a funeral wreath. Reşad's legacy remains that of the last Ottoman sovereign to rule an empire that still stretched from the Danube to the Euphrates, however tenuously, before the maps were redrawn in blood.

Statistical Appendix: The Cost of Empire (1914–1918)

Table 1: Ottoman War Casualties and Demographics

Category	Statistic	Source
Mobilized Troops	~2,850,000	[55]
Military Deaths (KIA + Disease)	~770,000 - 800,000	[55]
Armenian Civilian Deaths	800,000 – 1,500,000	[37]
Muslim Civilian Deaths	~2,500,000 (Anatolia)	[56]
Istanbul Cost of Living Increase	1,800%	[43]
Rail Network (1914)	5,759 km	[45]

Table 2: Key Political Figures of the Reşadian Era

Name	Role	Relationship to Sultan
Enver Pasha	Minister of War	De facto ruler; married Sultan's niece (Naciye Sultan); "Vice-Sultan".
Talat Pasha	Grand Vizier / Interior Min.	Architect of internal policy and deportation; dominated administration.
Mahmud Şevket Pasha	Grand Vizier (1913)	Installed after 1913 coup; assassination led to total CUP control.

Said Halim Pasha	Grand Vizier (1913-1917)	Islamist prince; signed German alliance; largely a figurehead later.

End of Report.

Works cited

1. Mehmed V - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mehmed_V
2. Mehmed V Facts for Kids, accessed November 21, 2025, https://kids.kiddle.co/Mehmed_V
3. The Forgotten Reign: Sultan Mehmed Reşad Between Two Empires - Politurco.com, accessed November 21, 2025, https://politurco.com/the-forgotten-reign-sultan-mehmed-resad-between-two-empires.html
4. Mighty sovereigns of Ottoman throne: Sultan Mehmed V | Daily Sabah, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.dailysabah.com/arts/portrait/mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne-sultan-mehmed-v
5. Mehmed V | Reign, Succession & Abdication | Britannica, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Mehmed-V
6. Mevlevi Order - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mevlevi_Order
7. Mevlevi Order Facts for Kids, accessed November 21, 2025, https://kids.kiddle.co/Mevlevi_Order
8. MIGHTY SOVEREIGNS of OTTOMAN THRONE: SULTAN MEHMED V - Ekrem Buğra Ekinci, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.ekrembugraekinci.com/article/?ID=1257&mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne:-sultan-mehmed-v
9. LAW 104 Turkish Constitutional Law / English - ANAYASA.GEN.TR, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.anayasa.gen.tr/law104-english.htm
10. Papers Relating to the Foreign Relations of the United States, With the Annual Message of the President Transmitted to Congress December 7, 1909 - Historical Documents - Office of the Historian, accessed November 21, 2025, https://history.state.gov/historicaldocuments/frus1909/d565
11. jan 1, 1911 - Sultan Mehmed V Visits Skopje and Prishtina (Timeline) - Time Graphics, accessed November 21, 2025, https://time.graphics/event/8668888
12. Spring, 1911: The Sultan one day in Pristina - KOHA.net, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.koha.net/en/shtojca-kulture/pranvere-1911-sulltani-nje-dite-ne-prishtine
13. Sultan Mehmed Reşad's Historic Journey to Rumelia: Bridging Empires and Cultures with Bediüzzaman Said Nursi - Politurco.com, accessed November 21, 2025,

https://politurco.com/sultan-mehmed-resads-historic-journey-to-rumelia-bridging-empires-and-cultures-with-bediuzzaman-said-nursi.html
14. On June 16, 1911, Sultan Mehmet Reshad performed the Friday prayer with thousands of Albanians in Prishtina, modern day capital of Kosova ➡️ : r/islamichistory - Reddit, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/islamichistory/comments/1hnktds/on_june_16_1911_sultan_mehmet_reshad_performed/
15. Macedonian Cinema History - "Turkish Sultan visits Bitola" - YouTube, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QdTv_q7TEpQ
16. The Turkish Sultan Mehmed V Resad Visiting Bitola (1911) - MUBI, accessed November 21, 2025, https://mubi.com/en/us/films/the-turkish-sultan-mehmed-v-resad-visiting-bitola
17. Albanian revolt of 1912 - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Albanian_revolt_of_1912
18. File:Lira of Mehmed V, 1911.jpg - Wikimedia Commons, accessed November 21, 2025, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Lira_of_Mehmed_V,_1911.jpg
19. Albanian dances, sacrifices, rejection, prayers, amnesty and propaganda: How did Sultan Mehmet V's visit to Kosovo unfold in 1911 - KOHA.net, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.koha.net/en/shtojca-kulture/valle-shqiptaresh-kurbane-refuzim-namaz-amnisti-dhe-propagande-si-rrodhi-vizita-e-sulltan-mehmetit-v-ne-kosove-me-1911
20. First Balkan War - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First_Balkan_War
21. The Muslim Emigration in Western Anatolia - OpenEdition Journals, accessed November 21, 2025, https://journals.openedition.org/ceb/922
22. Muhacir - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muhacir
23. 1913 Ottoman coup d'état - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1913_Ottoman_coup_d%27%C3%A9tat
24. Work in Progress, accessed November 21, 2025, https://pluto.huji.ac.il/~eginio/Work.html
25. Possessors and Possessed: Museums, Archaeology, and the Visualization of History in the Late Ottoman Empire 9780520928565 - DOKUMEN.PUB, accessed November 21, 2025, https://dokumen.pub/possessors-and-possessed-museums-archaeology-and-the-visualization-of-history-in-the-late-ottoman-empire-9780520928565.html
26. Contextualising the Ottoman Dynasty: Sultan Mehmed V Reşad and the Ottoman Princes in the Great War | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328242789_Contextualising_the_Ottoman_Dynasty_Sultan_Mehmed_V_Resad_and_the_Ottoman_Princes_in_the_Great_War
27. (PDF) The Sociological Foundations of Turkish Nationalism - ResearchGate, accessed November 21, 2025,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320289192_The_Sociological_Foundations_of_Turkish_Nationalism
28. Feroz Ahmad THE YOUNG TURKS Struggle for the Ottoman Empıre, 1914-1918, accessed November 21, 2025, https://bilgiyay.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/young-turks-%C3%B6ns%C3%B6z.pdf
29. The Entry of the Ottoman Empire into World War I | December 2004, Volume 68 - Belleten, accessed November 21, 2025, https://belleten.gov.tr/eng/full-text/37/eng
30. Ottoman Empire in World War I - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Empire_in_World_War_I
31. 1914 Ottoman jihad proclamation - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1914_Ottoman_jihad_proclamation
32. Jihad, Holy War (Ottoman Empire), accessed November 21, 2025, https://encyclopedia.1914-1918-online.net/article/jihad-holy-war-ottoman-empire/
33. Double palimpsest: History and myth in the poetry of the Gallipoli campaign - White Rose Research Online, accessed November 21, 2025, https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/176173/9/00472441211033411.pdf
34. The Last Guests in the Twilight: Visits of World War I Allies to Dolmabahçe Palace, accessed November 21, 2025, https://dolmabahcepalace.com.tr/article/the-last-guests-in-the-twilight/
35. THE GERMAN-TURKISH COOPERATION DURING THE FIRST WORLD WAR, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.iwm.org.uk/collections/item/object/205315665
36. World War I and the Armenian Genocide | Holocaust Encyclopedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://encyclopedia.ushmm.org/content/en/article/world-war-i-and-the-armenian-genocide
37. Armenian genocide - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Armenian_genocide
38. Talaat Pasha | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/talaat-pasha
39. Witnesses and testimonies of the Armenian genocide - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Witnesses_and_testimonies_of_the_Armenian_genocide
40. Ministry of the Interior (Ottoman Empire) - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ministry_of_the_Interior_(Ottoman_Empire)
41. The Muslim Emigration in Western Anatolia - OpenEdition Journals, accessed November 21, 2025, https://journals.openedition.org/ceb/922?gathStatIcon=true&lang=en
42. Minorities (Ottoman Empire/Middle East), accessed November 21, 2025, https://encyclopedia.1914-1918-online.net/article/minorities-ottoman-empiremiddle-east-1-1/
43. Ottoman Empire/Middle East, accessed November 21, 2025, https://encyclopedia.1914-1918-online.net/article/ottoman-empiremiddle-east/

44. COST OF LIVING IN OTTOMAN EMPIRE DURING THE ARMISTICE PERIOD: A CASE OF ISTANBUL1 - DergiPark, accessed November 21, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/430179
45. Organization of War Economies (Ottoman Empire/Middle East), accessed November 21, 2025, https://encyclopedia.1914-1918-online.net/article/organization-of-war-economies-ottoman-empiremiddle-east/
46. Occupied City: Politics and Daily Life in Istanbul, 1918–1923 Exhibition Opened - Suna ve İnan Kıraç Vakfı, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.sunaveinankiracvakfi.org.tr/en/news/Occupied-City-%20Politics%20and%20Daily%20Life%20in%20Istanbul-%201918%E2%80%931923%20Exhibition%20Opened/%20100
47. Turkey lost 15% of its population in WWI, and Serbia lost 20%. In comparison, France and Germany lost 4.3% and 4%, respectively. What led to such massive death tolls in the east? : r/AskHistorians - Reddit, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskHistorians/comments/pjo3r5/turkey_lost_15_of_its_population_in_wwi_and/
48. Civilian and Military Power (Ottoman Empire) - 1914-1918 Online, accessed November 21, 2025, https://encyclopedia.1914-1918-online.net/article/civilian-and-military-power-ottoman-empire/
49. Imperial Anthem of the Ottoman Empire: "Reşadiye Marşı" (1909-1918) [4K] - YouTube, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dWwSmo4ZEWk
50. Reşadiye Marşı - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Re%C5%9Fadiye_Mar%C5%9F%C4%B1
51. Historic Ottoman 22k Gold Coin – Year 7 (1915) – Sultan Mehmed V – Minted in Constantinople - Jawhara Jewellery, accessed November 21, 2025, https://jawharajewellery.com/en/product/22k-yellow-gold-historic-ottoman-coin-dated-year-7-1915
52. 5. Mehmed Reşad · 100 Kuruş Meskûk · (1327/1) · Constantinople | Ottoman Coin, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.ottomancoin.com/product-page/5-mehmed-re%C5%9Fad-100-kuru%C5%9F-mesk%C3%BBk-1327-1-constantinople
53. The Tomb of Eyup Sultan and The Eyup District - Bosphorus Tour, accessed November 21, 2025, https://www.bosphorustour.com/the-tomb-of-eyup-sultan-and-the-eyup-district.html
54. Category:Tomb of Mehmed V - Wikimedia Commons, accessed November 21, 2025, https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:Tomb_of_Mehmed_V
55. Ottoman casualties of World War I - Wikipedia, accessed November 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_casualties_of_World_War_I
56. War Losses (Ottoman Empire/Middle East) | 1914-1918 Online, accessed November 21, 2025, https://encyclopedia.1914-1918-online.net/article/war-losses-ottoman-empiremid

dle-east/?format=pdf