# From Calamity to Recovery: The Demographic Transformation of Northern Syria under Early Ottoman Rule (c. 1400-1600)

## Introduction: A Land of Scarcity and Opportunity

At the dawn of the sixteenth century, the region of northern Syria, encompassing the strategic territories of Kilis and the Afrin highlands, presented a paradox. It was a land of immense agricultural potential and historic commercial importance, yet it was profoundly depopulated, its society fractured and its economy in ruins. The preceding century and a half under the Mamluk Sultanate had been an era of compounding calamities—recurrent plagues, devastating invasions, and systemic political decay had hollowed out the region, leaving a landscape where land was abundant but people were scarce. The Ottoman conquest of 1516, therefore, was not merely a military subjugation of a thriving province but the takeover and subsequent reconstruction of a strategic vacuum.

This report argues that the early Ottoman administration, inheriting this depleted territory, implemented a sophisticated and multi-faceted strategy of security provisioning, economic integration, and deliberate demographic engineering that fundamentally reshaped the social and ethnic fabric of northern Syria. The remarkable population boom and economic revival observed during the sixteenth century were not simply a natural recovery from past disasters. Rather, they were the direct result of effective state-building policies that provided a level of security and economic incentive that had been absent for generations. The imposition of the *Pax Ottomana* created a stable administrative and economic order that acted as a powerful catalyst for demographic recovery. This new order not only attracted voluntary migrants seeking security and livelihood but also enabled the success of state-directed settlement programs designed to repopulate the frontier.

By analyzing a combination of historical chronicles, secondary scholarship, and, most critically, the rich quantitative data found in Ottoman tax surveys (*tahrir defterleri*), this report will trace the region's transformation. It will first detail the catastrophic decline under the late Mamluks and then meticulously document the Ottoman methods of recovery. The analysis will demonstrate how the Ottoman state, through its very structure and policies, became the primary engine of demographic renewal, transforming a desolate frontier into a prosperous and vital component of its empire.

# Part I: The Mamluk Twilight – A Century and a Half of Calamity (c. 1348-1516)

The collapse of northern Syria's demographic and economic vitality was not a single event but a long and brutal process of attrition. A series of devastating shocks, from which the region never had the chance to recover, created the conditions of depopulation and insecurity that the Ottomans would inherit. The Mamluk Sultanate, the ruling power of the era, proved structurally incapable of mitigating these disasters or fostering a sustainable recovery.

## The Scythe of the Plague: The Black Death and Its Enduring Aftermath

The first and most devastating blow was the Black Death. Originating in the Central Asian steppes, the plague traveled westward along trade routes, reaching the port of Alexandria in 1347 and spreading rapidly throughout the Mamluk domains.[1] By 1348, it had reached Damascus, and by 1349, it had swept north into Aleppo and Anatolia.[1] The initial pandemic was a demographic catastrophe of unparalleled scale. Contemporary chroniclers and modern historians estimate that between one-third and one-half of the population of Egypt and Syria perished in the first wave.[3] The social consequences were immediate and profound. Widespread panic led to a chaotic breakdown of public order, as peasants fled their fields for the relative anonymity of cities, while urban dwellers simultaneously fled to the countryside, disrupting the agricultural cycle and shattering the social fabric.[4]

Crucially, the Black Death was not a singular event but the opening of a new and terrifying chapter in the region's epidemiological history. It marked the beginning of the "second plague pandemic," a period during which recurrent outbreaks became an endemic feature of life.[3] For the next century and a half, plague returned to Syria with horrifying regularity, with one study calculating an average of one outbreak every four years between 1347 and 1517.[3] This relentless cycle of disease prevented any meaningful demographic recovery. Each new generation was cut down before it could replace the losses of the last, trapping the region in a state of chronic depopulation.

The long-term result was a landscape of decay. Agricultural land was abandoned as the rural population shrank, and the intricate irrigation systems necessary for cultivation fell into disrepair.[4] Travelers in the fifteenth century, such as the Venetian envoys, described vast tracts of Syria as empty and its agriculture as almost completely abandoned.[4] This historical testimony aligns with the archaeological evidence of the so-called "Dead Cities" of northern Syria. These hundreds of once-thriving rural settlements from the late Roman and Byzantine periods, located in the limestone massif west of Aleppo, appear to have been finally and completely abandoned during this era of plague and instability, their stone ruins standing as silent monuments to the region's demographic collapse.[5]

The plague's impact extended beyond the raw death toll; it fundamentally weakened the Mamluk state itself. The Mamluk economy was overwhelmingly agrarian, with state revenues derived from the *iqta'* system of land grants.[7] The demographic collapse crippled this system at its foundation. Fewer farmers meant less land under cultivation, which in turn meant a drastic reduction in tax revenues.[4] This fiscal crisis occurred at a time when the Mamluk military system, which relied on the continuous and expensive importation of new slave soldiers from the Caucasus and Black Sea steppes, required a steady stream of capital.[8] A state with a shrinking tax base and high fixed military costs was a state living on borrowed time. Thus, the plague did more than just kill peasants; it hollowed out the Mamluk regime from within, rendering it fiscally fragile and militarily vulnerable to the next major shock. One historian explicitly concludes that these demographic losses were a direct contributor to the Mamluks' inability to defend Syria from the invasion that was to come.[10]

## The Sword of the Conqueror: The Timurid Catastrophe (1400-1401)

In 1400, the weakened Mamluk Sultanate was confronted by the armies of the Turco-Mongol conqueror Timur (Tamerlane). Timur's invasion of Syria was not a war of attrition but a campaign of calculated terror and systematic destruction, from which northern Syria would not recover for over a century.[11]

After handing the Mamluk army a swift and decisive defeat outside Aleppo in November 1400, Timur's forces were unleashed on the city itself.[11] The sack that followed was an act of extreme brutality. For four days, the city was subjected to massacre and pillage.[13] This was not the chaotic looting of an undisciplined army but a deliberate policy of terror. Chroniclers such as Ibn Taghribirdi and Ibn Arabshah recorded horrific scenes of mass rape, often committed in mosques where women and children had sought refuge, and the wholesale slaughter of the civilian population.[13] As a grim warning to other cities, Timur ordered the construction of a tower made from the skulls of some 20,000 victims outside the city gates.[11] The scale of the violence was so vast that modern scholars have characterized his campaigns as genocidal.[12]

The long-term consequences of this single event were catastrophic. The invasion acted as a demographic and economic point of no return for Mamluk Syria. Layered upon the half-century of recurrent plagues, Timur's sack shattered what remained of Aleppo's urban society. The city's infrastructure was destroyed, its commercial networks were broken, and its skilled artisan class was either massacred or deported to Samarkand.[12] This combination of natural and man-made disasters ensured that northern Syria would remain an impoverished and underpopulated frontier for the remainder of Mamluk rule.

## The Fractured State: The Failures of Late Mamluk Governance

The Mamluk state was structurally incapable of overseeing a recovery. The Mamluk system

was predicated on the rule of a military elite composed of imported slaves, primarily Kipchak Turks in the earlier Baḥrī period (1250–1382) and Circassians in the later Burjī period (1382–1517).[10] This ruling class was a closed, non-hereditary corporation that was socially, culturally, and linguistically alienated from the local Arabic-speaking population.[9] Their primary political objective was the preservation of their corporate power and the extraction of revenue from the provinces to support the military garrisons in Cairo.[9] There was little incentive for long-term investment in provincial infrastructure, agricultural recovery, or local security.

Furthermore, the late Mamluk period was characterized by intense and debilitating internal factionalism. The transition from Turkish to Circassian dominance in the 1380s was seen by contemporary historians as the beginning of the sultanate's decline.[8] They argued that under the Burjī sultans, advancement within the military and administration became dependent on ethnic origin—specifically, being Circassian—rather than on proven military skill or competence. This led to a decay in the quality of governance and the effectiveness of the Mamluk army.[10]

Consumed by internal power struggles in Cairo and crippled by a dwindling treasury, the late Mamluk state was unable to project power effectively to its northern frontiers. It failed to control the nomadic Bedouin tribes, leaving the remaining settled agricultural population vulnerable to raids and further disrupting the caravan trade that was the lifeblood of cities like Aleppo.[18] This failure to provide the most basic function of a state—security—created a power vacuum on the Syrian frontier. It was this vacuum, in a land rich in potential but poor in people and governance, that the rising Ottoman Empire would decisively fill.

# Part II: The Ottoman Dawn – Conquest, Consolidation, and Growth (1516-c. 1600)

The Ottoman arrival in Syria in 1516 marked a fundamental turning point. The conquest was swift, but its most profound impact came in the decades that followed, as the Ottomans replaced the fractured and extractive Mamluk system with a centralized, bureaucratic imperial order that prioritized stability, economic integration, and demographic recovery.

## The Battle of Marj Dabiq (1516) and the Dawn of a New Era

The final confrontation between the two empires took place on August 24, 1516, on the plain of Marj Dabiq, just north of Aleppo.[20] The battle was a stark illustration of the new realities of early modern warfare. The Ottoman army, under Sultan Selim I, was a formidable combined-arms force, built around a core of Janissary infantry equipped with firearms ( *arquebuses*) and supported by a modern artillery train.[22] The Mamluk army, in contrast, remained a traditional force that prized the arts of cavalry warfare, relying on bows, arrows,

and lances, and tended to disdain the use of gunpowder weapons.[22]
The result was a decisive Ottoman victory. The Mamluk lines were shattered by Ottoman firepower, and the Mamluk Sultan, Qansuh al-Ghawri, was killed in the fighting.[20] The battle effectively destroyed the Mamluk field army and gave the Ottomans control over the entirety of Syria with a single blow, opening the path for their subsequent conquest of Egypt in 1517.[18]
For many of the local inhabitants of Syria, the change in rulers was met with relief, if not outright welcome. The Mamluk regime was widely seen as oppressive and unjust, and the Ottoman takeover was viewed by some as a liberation.[14] This sentiment was reflected in the actions of some Mamluk officials themselves; Khai'r Bey, the Mamluk governor of Aleppo, betrayed his sultan and defected to the Ottoman side, a factor that contributed to the Mamluk collapse.[20]

## Imperial Blueprints: Administrative and Economic Reorganization

Following the conquest, the Ottomans moved quickly to integrate Syria into their imperial system. While initially administered as a single large province (*eyalet*) from Damascus, the strategic and economic importance of Aleppo was soon recognized. By 1534, the city was made the capital of its own province, the Eyalet of Aleppo, which encompassed a vast territory including the districts (*sancaks*) of Kilis, Aintab (modern Gaziantep), Urfa, and Adana.[25] This administrative reorganization created a large, integrated economic and political unit in northern Syria.
This integration into the vast and secure Ottoman domestic market triggered a spectacular commercial revival. Freed from the chronic instability and internal barriers of the Mamluk era, and now securely connected to the great trade routes of Anatolia, the Balkans, and the Indian Ocean, Aleppo flourished. It quickly surpassed Damascus to become the principal market for goods arriving in the Mediterranean from the east.[26] The city became the vital terminus for the caravan trade, particularly in high-value commodities like Persian silk and Indian pepper.[27]
This economic boom quickly attracted international attention. The great European trading powers established a permanent presence in the city, with the Republic of Venice opening a consulate in 1548, followed by France (1562), England (1583), and the Netherlands (1613).[26] By the seventeenth century, Aleppo had become the third-largest city in the Ottoman Empire, surpassed only by the imperial capital of Constantinople and the ancient metropolis of Cairo, and was one of its wealthiest commercial centers.[26]
The economic revival of Aleppo was thus inextricably linked to the security of its rural hinterland, creating a virtuous cycle of growth that had been impossible under the Mamluks. Aleppo's prosperity was dependent on the safety of the long-distance caravan routes, which were highly vulnerable to raids by the nomadic tribes of the Syrian desert.[27] The Mamluks had proven incapable of controlling these groups.[18] The Ottomans, however, implemented a deliberate and effective strategy of pacification. They settled loyal Turkmen tribes from Anatolia in the countryside around Aleppo with the explicit task of securing the plains and

"keeping the Bedouin in check".[19] This state-sponsored security network made the trade routes safe, allowing commerce to flourish. The immense tax revenues generated by this trade, in turn, funded the Ottoman administrative and military presence required to maintain that security.[33] In this way, urban economic prosperity and rural security were mutually reinforcing pillars of the new Ottoman order.

### Peopling the Frontier: State-Directed Settlement Policies

To address the severe depopulation of their newly conquered lands, the Ottomans deployed a sophisticated and long-standing system of population management. This system had two main components: *sürgün*, or forced resettlement, and *iskan*, or planned settlement and sedentarization.[34]

*Sürgün* was often used to break up potentially rebellious populations or to transfer specific groups, such as skilled artisans or wealthy merchants, to key urban centers like Constantinople after its conquest in 1453.[35]

*Iskan*, on the other hand, was primarily a policy of settling nomadic or semi-nomadic tribes onto agricultural land. The goal was to convert them from a mobile, often disruptive element into a sedentary, tax-paying peasantry that would increase agricultural output and anchor the state's authority in frontier regions.[34]

These policies were applied strategically across the empire. In the Balkans, the Ottomans used *iskan* to settle Muslim Turkmen and Tatar groups from Anatolia in newly conquered Christian territories, aiming to Islamize the region and secure strategic routes.[37] In Anatolia and Syria, the focus was more often on managing and sedentarizing existing nomadic populations, both Turkmen and Kurdish, to reduce inter-tribal conflict, protect settled communities, and integrate them into the state's fiscal and military framework.[19]

In the specific context of the Eyalet of Aleppo, these settlement policies served several critical objectives. First and foremost was security: the settlement of loyal tribes created a human buffer protecting the agricultural heartlands and vital trade arteries from the uncontrolled Bedouin tribes of the Syrian desert. Second was economic recovery: the *iskan* policy aimed to bring abandoned or underutilized agricultural lands back into cultivation, which would increase the food supply for the growing cities and generate much-needed tax revenue. Finally, the policies had a clear demographic purpose: to repopulate a strategic frontier that had been ravaged by a century of plague and war, thereby consolidating the Ottoman state's control over the region.

## Part III: A Demographic Mosaic – Reconstructing the Population of Kilis and Afrin

The most direct evidence for the success of Ottoman policies comes from the empire's own

administrative records. The detailed tax registers of the sixteenth century allow for a quantitative reconstruction of the region's population, revealing a story of dramatic growth in both urban centers and the tribal countryside.

## Reading the Registers: An Introduction to the Tahrir Defterleri

The primary source for understanding the demography of the early Ottoman period is the *tahrir defterleri* (singular: *defter*). These were not modern censuses but comprehensive fiscal surveys conducted periodically by the central government to create a detailed inventory of all sources of taxable revenue within a province.[39] For each settlement—city, town, village, or nomadic encampment—the surveyors recorded the names of tax-paying adult males, their legal status, and an estimate of taxable resources, such as agricultural land, crops, livestock, and artisanal production.[41]

For demographic purposes, the key units recorded in the *defters* are the *hane* and the *mücerred*.[43] A *hane* represents a household, generally understood as a taxable unit headed by a married male. A *mücerred* is an unmarried adult male bachelor who was taxed at a lower rate. While the subject of considerable scholarly debate, a standard convention used by historians to estimate the total population from these figures is to apply a multiplier of 5 to each *hane* (representing the head of household, his wife, and an average of three children) and then add the number of *mücerreds*.[40]

These registers are an unparalleled resource, providing a statistical snapshot of the empire's population and economy at a level of detail that is rare for the early modern period.[39] They do, however, have limitations. As fiscal documents, they systematically excluded tax-exempt groups, most notably the military-administrative class (*askeri*) and individuals associated with religious endowments (*vakıf*). They also did not record women or children directly.[39] Despite these caveats, the *tahrir defterleri* provide the best and most reliable quantitative evidence for tracking the demographic trends that transformed northern Syria in the sixteenth century.

## The Urban Boom: The Case of Kilis City

The Ottoman tax registers for the city of Kilis offer a striking and quantifiable case study of the region's rapid recovery and urbanization under the new imperial order. The data, spanning a seventy-year period, reveals a population explosion that can only be explained by significant in-migration driven by the new security and economic opportunities that Ottoman rule provided.

In 1519, just three years after the conquest, the first Ottoman *tahrir* of Kilis recorded a small urban settlement of 260 *hane* and 74 *mücerred*. Applying the standard multiplier, this suggests a taxable population of 1,374, with a total estimated population, accounting for

tax-exempt individuals, of around 1,500 people.[44] Subsequent surveys show a steady and then accelerating pace of growth. By 1536, the city had grown to an estimated 2,300 inhabitants.[44] The most dramatic increase occurred in the latter half of the century. The register from 1590, which used the term
*nefer* (taxable person) to count the population, recorded 1,095 *nefer*, which translates to an estimated total population of around 6,000.[44]
This represents a remarkable fourfold increase in the city's population in just over seventy years. Such rapid growth far exceeds what would be possible through natural increase alone, especially in an era of high mortality. It is clear evidence of a large-scale migration to the city, likely consisting of people from the surrounding countryside and perhaps from more distant regions, all drawn to Kilis as it developed into a secure and thriving Ottoman administrative and commercial center.

| Year | Hane (Households) | Mücerred (Bachelors) | Nefer (Taxpayers) | Estimated Taxable Population | Estimated Total Population | Source(s) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1519 | 260 | 74 | - | 1,374 | ~1,500 | [44] |
| 1526 | 392 | 36 | - | 1,996 | ~2,200 | [44] |
| 1536 | 392 | 122 | - | 2,082 | ~2,300 | [44] |
| 1590 | - | - | 1,095 | 5,475 (1,095 x 5) | ~6,000 | [44] |

## The Rural Landscape: Nomadic Tribes and Sedentarization in the Kilis Sancak

The demographic recovery was not confined to urban centers. The surrounding rural landscape, which included the Afrin valley, was also transformed through the management and settlement of its large nomadic and semi-nomadic tribal populations.
The Ottomans continued and expanded the pre-existing practice of settling Turkmen tribes from Anatolia into northern Syria.[19] The
*tahrir* registers for the Aleppo region list numerous Turkmen tribes, such as the Bayat, Avshar, and Begdilli, who were established in the countryside.[47] This policy was explicitly strategic: these loyal Turkmen groups served as a military buffer, tasked with securing the agricultural plains and caravan routes against raids from the more fractious Bedouin tribes of the desert fringe.[32]
The mountainous region to the west of Kilis, encompassing the Afrin valley, was a long-established Kurdish heartland. In Ottoman administrative documents, the area was consistently referred to as *Kurd-Dagh*, the "Mountain of the Kurds".[48] This region was the power base of the Emirate of Kilis, which was ruled by the formidable Kurdish Janbulad (or Canpolad) family.[50] The Janbulads, a powerful clan of possibly Yazidi origin, had governed the

Kilis-Afrin area as vassals under the Ayyubids and Mamluks.[50] The Ottomans, in a characteristic display of pragmatic frontier management, chose to co-opt this existing power structure rather than destroy it. Although Sultan Selim I initially had the ruling emir executed after the conquest, he soon confirmed the emir's son, Canpolat, as the hereditary governor of the region in 1515. The Janbulad family continued to rule Kurd-Dagh on behalf of the sultan until a rebellion in the early seventeenth century.[50] This arrangement provided stability and integrated the heavily Kurdish region into the broader Ottoman administrative and fiscal system. The descendants of this family would later migrate to Lebanon, where they founded the famous Jumblatt political dynasty.[53]

The *tahrir defterleri* for the rural parts of the Kilis *sancak*, which were collectively registered under the administrative heading *Ekrad-ı İzzeddinli* ("Kurds of İzzeddinli"), provide a detailed picture of this large tribal population. A register from 1536 lists dozens of distinct tribes (*taife*) and communities (*cemaat*), such as the Amiki, Balasanlu, and Çakallu, each with populations measured in the hundreds of households and bachelors.[55] A comparison of the 1536 register with a later one from 1570 reveals that many of these nomadic and semi-nomadic groups were experiencing population growth even more explosive than that seen in the city of Kilis, suggesting that the security and stability of Ottoman rule were fostering a rapid demographic recovery throughout the countryside.

| Tribal Group (Taife/Cemaat) | 1536 Population (Hane + Mücerred) | 1570 Population (Hane + Mücerred) | Percentage Change in Households (Hane) | Source |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Abdaliye Taifesi | 50 hane + 17 mücerred | 112 hane + [data missing] | +124% | [55] |
| Amiki Taifesi | 164 hane + 61 mücerred | 389 hane + 219 mücerred | +137% | [55] |
| Balasanlu Taifesi | 50 hane + 34 mücerred | 174 hane + 419 mücerred | +248% | [55] |
| Bubalanlu Taifesi | 30 hane + 13 mücerred | 252 hane + 152 mücerred | +740% | [55] |

# Conclusion: The Making of a New Society

The demographic history of northern Syria in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries is a stark tale of collapse and reconstruction. The region the Ottomans conquered in 1516 was a shadow of its former self, a depopulated and insecure frontier hollowed out by more than a century of plague, invasion, and misrule. The Mamluk Sultanate, an alienated military caste presiding over a decaying state, had been unable to provide the security or economic stability necessary for recovery.

The Ottoman state did not merely conquer a territory; it fundamentally reconstructed a

society. By imposing a centralized and stable administration, integrating the region into a vast imperial economy, and implementing deliberate policies of population management, the Ottomans reversed generations of decline. The result was a demographic and economic transformation. The city of Kilis quadrupled in size, while the commercial metropolis of Aleppo rose to become one of the great cities of the empire. In the countryside, both transplanted Turkmen tribes and the established Kurdish population of Kurd-Dagh experienced rapid growth under the new security umbrella.

The sixteenth-century recovery did not restore a past reality but forged a new and enduring one. The Ottoman policies of security, economic integration, and settlement created a complex social mosaic defined by a thriving international trade hub in Aleppo, growing administrative towns like Kilis, and a rural landscape managed through a pragmatic balance of power between settled villagers, loyal Turkmen militias, and co-opted Kurdish dynasties. These demographic patterns and power structures, established in the crucible of the sixteenth century, proved remarkably durable. They formed the bedrock of the region's social and ethnic fabric for the remainder of the Ottoman period and have left a profound legacy that continues to shape the identities, allegiances, and conflicts of northern Syria in the modern era.

**Works cited**


1. The Black Death and the Rise of the Ottomans (Chapter 1) - Natural ..., accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/natural-disasters-in-the-ottoman-empire/black-death-and-the-rise-of-the-ottomans/D83E412C0BB3C092E79683722AFFFC33
2. Bubonic Plague (article) | Khan Academy, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.khanacademy.org/humanities/world-history/medieval-times/disease-and-demography/a/disease-and-demograpy
3. The Black Death in the Middle East and Central Asia - Islamic Law Blog, accessed September 30, 2025, https://islamiclaw.blog/2020/05/29/stuart-borsch/
4. Black Death in the Middle East - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Black_Death_in_the_Middle_East
5. Ancient Villages of Northern Syria - UNESCO World Heritage Centre, accessed September 30, 2025, https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1348/
6. Dead Cities - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dead_Cities
7. Mamluk Sultanate - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mamluk_Sultanate
8. Full article: The Late Mamlūk Transition of the 1380s: The View from the North Caucasus, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09503110.2022.2135850
9. Mamluks, Property Rights, and Economic Development: Lessons from Medieval Egypt - Lisa Blaydes, accessed September 30, 2025, https://blaydes.people.stanford.edu/sites/g/files/sbiybj24621/files/media/file/land1.

pdf
10. Mamluk | History, Significance, Leaders, & Decline - Britannica, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/topic/Mamluk-soldier
11. Battle of Aleppo (1400) | Summary - Britannica, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/event/Battle-of-Aleppo-1400
12. Timur - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timur
13. Sack of Aleppo (1400) - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sack_of_Aleppo_(1400)
14. The Mamluks in Syria (1291-1517) - Chronicle Fanack.com, accessed September 30, 2025, https://fanack.com/syria/history-of-syria/the-mamluks-in-syria-1291-1517/
15. Who Were the Mamluks? - History Today, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.historytoday.com/miscellanies/who-were-mamluks
16. Mamluk - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mamluk
17. The Mamluks in History - Muslim Heritage, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.muslimheritage.com/uploads/Mamluks.pdf
18. Syria - Ottoman Rule, Conflict, Refugees | Britannica, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Syria/Ottoman-period
19. Syrian Turkmen - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syrian_Turkmen
20. Battle of Marj Dabiq - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Marj_Dabiq
21. Dabiq, Syria - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dabiq,_Syria
22. Ottoman–Mamluk War (1516–1517) - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman%E2%80%93Mamluk_War_(1516%E2%80%931517)
23. How the Mamluk Historians Welcomed the Ottomans - ResearchGate, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/274067492_How_the_Mamluk_Historians_Welcomed_the_Ottomans
24. What if the Mamluks won the battle of Marj Dabiq : r/HistoryWhatIf - Reddit, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/HistoryWhatIf/comments/18yb80b/what_if_the_mamluks_won_the_battle_of_marj_dabiq/
25. Ottoman Syria - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Syria
26. Aleppo Eyalet - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aleppo_Eyalet
27. Aleppo | Silk Roads Programme - UNESCO, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.unesco.org/silkroad/content/aleppo
28. Aleppo | History, Map, Citadel, Civil War, Population, & Facts | Britannica, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Aleppo

29. Disputed Land: Aleppo - Ottoman Monuments - Rome Art Lover, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.romeartlover.it/Aleppo3.html
30. A GENERAL OVERVIEW OF JANISSARY SOCIO-ECONOMIC PRESENCE IN ALEPPO (1700-1760S) - DergiPark, accessed September 30, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/2487805
31. Syrian Turkmen - Wikiwand, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.wikiwand.com/en/articles/Syrian_Turkmen
32. Syrian Turkmen Join Opposition Forces in Pursuit of a New Syrian Identity - Jamestown, accessed September 30, 2025, https://jamestown.org/program/syrian-turkmen-join-opposition-forces-in-pursuit-of-a-new-syrian-identity/
33. Population fi gures (households) in Ottoman cities, 1450-1500. - ResearchGate, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Population-fi-gures-households-in-Ottoman-cities-1450-1500_fig1_335832280
34. The Reşwan Kurds and Ottoman Tribal Settlement in ... - SciSpace, accessed September 30, 2025, https://scispace.com/pdf/the-reswan-kurds-and-ottoman-tribal-settlement-in-syria-1683-4qm9mow23u.pdf
35. Forced migration (sürgün) in Constantinople after the Ottoman conquest - Μεγάλη διαδικτυακή εγκυκλοπαίδεια της Κωνσταντινούπολης, accessed September 30, 2025, http://constantinople.ehw.gr/Forms/fLemmaBodyExtended.aspx?lemmaID=11349
36. The Surgun System and Jewish Society in the Ottoman Empire during the 15th to 17th Centuries - ResearchGate, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369062820_The_Surgun_System_and_Jewish_Society_in_the_Ottoman_Empire_during_the_15th_to_17th_Centuries
37. Population transfer in the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Population_transfer_in_the_Ottoman_Empire
38. (PDF) THE OTTOMANS IN THE BALKANS - ResearchGate, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394102885_THE_OTTOMANS_IN_THE_BALKANS
39. (PDF) Ottoman tax registers (tahrir defterleri) - ResearchGate, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289966243_Ottoman_tax_registers_tahrir_defterleri
40. (PDF) Ottoman Tax Registers (Tahrir Defterleri) - ResearchGate, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/23780312_Ottoman_Tax_Registers_Tahrir_Defterleri
41. Ottoman Tax Registers (Tahrir Defterleri) - Digital Commons @ UConn - University of Connecticut, accessed September 30, 2025, https://digitalcommons.lib.uconn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1295&context=econ_wpapers

42. The Ottoman Tahrir Defters as a Source for Historical Geography | Aralık 2008, Cilt 72, accessed September 30, 2025, https://belleten.gov.tr/tam-metin/71/eng
43. MISCELLANEA OTTOMAN DEMOGRAPHIC HISTORY (14th-17th CENTURIES) SOME CONSIDERATIONS 1. Introduction The role and the importance of - Brill, accessed September 30, 2025, https://brill.com/view/journals/jesh/35/2/article-p187_5.pdf
44. Kilis Şehri'nin Demografik Yapılanması Üzerine Bazı Görüşler (16-20. Yüzyıllar) Some Perspectives - DergiPark, accessed September 30, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/3039840
45. Demographics of the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_the_Ottoman_Empire
46. (PDF) Kilis'in Sosyal ve İdari Yapısı (Nüfus, Yerleşme ve İdari Yapı) - ResearchGate, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377589729_Kilis'in_Sosyal_ve_Idari_Yapisi_Nufus_Yerlesme_ve_Idari_Yapi
47. The Turkmen of Syria: exposed early to assimilation and deportation policies, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.turkmen.nl/1A_soitm/Art.1-A1512.pdf
48. Kurds in Syria - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds_in_Syria
49. The Kurdish Presence in Syria: Early Historical References : r/kurdistan - Reddit, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/kurdistan/comments/1hq06o1/the_kurdish_presence_in_syria_early_historical/
50. Emirate of Kilis - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emirate_of_Kilis
51. Emirate of Kilis - Wikiwand, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.wikiwand.com/en/articles/Emirate_of_Kilis
52. History of the Kurds - The Kurdistan Memory Programme, accessed September 30, 2025, https://kurdistanmemoryprogramme.com/history-of-the-kurds/
53. Jumblatt family | Encyclopedia.com, accessed September 30, 2025, https://www.encyclopedia.com/humanities/encyclopedias-almanacs-transcripts-and-maps/jumblatt-family
54. Jumblatt family - Wikipedia, accessed September 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jumblatt_family
55. TAHRİR DEFTERLERİNE GÖRE 16. YÜZYıLDA KİLİs SANCAGINDAKİ AşİRETLERİN İDARELERİ - DergiPark, accessed September 30, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/782485