The Conqueror of Baghdad and the Age of Iron: Sultan Murad IV and the Ottoman Empire in Crisis (1623-1640)

The reign of Sultan Murad IV (1623-1640) represents a critical and violent juncture in the 17th-century "Era of Transformation" for the Ottoman Empire.[1] Ascending to the throne as a child amidst a maelstrom of political anarchy, military mutiny, and foreign invasion, Murad IV's eventual assumption of absolute power in 1632 initiated a brutal, authoritarian restoration of central authority. This report provides an exhaustive analysis of this pivotal period, divided into two parts. Part I offers a deep biographical and psychological portrait of the Sultan, examining the forces that shaped his paradoxical character—a figure known for both his immense cruelty and his role as a restorer of state power. Part II analyzes the state of the Ottoman Empire during his rule, detailing the profound crises of the regency period and the administrative, military, and socio-religious landscape under Murad's iron-fisted governance. Murad IV's reign was a violent but temporarily effective "shock therapy" that arrested the empire's immediate disintegration and secured its eastern frontier. Yet, it failed to address the underlying structural issues, leaving a legacy of both restored order and profound brutality.

Part I: The Sultan – A Portrait of Absolute Power

The Shadow of the Throne: Forging a Sultan in an Age of Anarchy (1612-1632)

The later absolutism and legendary cruelty of Murad IV were not innate traits but a direct psychological response to the powerlessness, fear, and profound humiliation he experienced during his youth. His early life was a crucible of chaos that forged a ruler determined to wield absolute power by any means necessary, as a reaction against the very forces that had terrorized his childhood and threatened the dynasty's existence.

Ascension Amidst Chaos

Murad IV was born on July 27, 1612, the son of Sultan Ahmed I and his favored consort, Kösem

Sultan.[2] His path to the throne was paved with instability. He ascended on September 10, 1623, at the age of eleven, not through orderly succession but through a palace conspiracy that deposed his mentally unfit uncle, Mustafa I.[2] His early life was defined by trauma and confinement. Following the death of his father when he was just six years old, Murad and his brothers were sequestered in the *Kafes* (the Cage), a gilded prison within the palace designed to prevent succession struggles by keeping potential heirs under house arrest.[2] This environment fostered a constant fear of execution, a fear made terrifyingly real by the events of 1622. In that year, Murad witnessed the brutal regicide of his elder half-brother, Sultan Osman II, who was overthrown and murdered by the rebellious Janissary corps.[1] This shocking event, the first legally sanctioned murder of a reigning sultan by his own troops, shattered the aura of imperial inviolability and left an indelible mark on the young prince, instilling in him a deep-seated hatred for the insubordinate military and a thirst for vengeance that would later characterize his reign.[10]

The Regency of Kösem Sultan (1623-1632)

Due to Murad's minority, his mother, Kösem Sultan, assumed power as the first officially recognized female regent (*naib-i-sultanat*) in the empire's history, a position of unprecedented authority for a woman.[8] Her political acumen was widely acknowledged; both Venetian and English ambassadors predicted she would effectively govern the empire through her young son.[8] However, her nine-year regency was a period of profound state weakness and near-total anarchy.[16]

The true power in the capital lay not with the regent but with the shamelessly corrupt and mutinous military corps—the Janissaries and the Sipahis (cavalry).[3] These factions frequently stormed the Topkapı Palace, manipulated Kösem, and forced the execution of grand viziers and other high officials they disapproved of.[1] The young Murad was a powerless spectator to this degradation of imperial authority. This period of humiliation reached its nadir in 1632, when rebellious troops invaded the palace and demanded the heads of the Grand Vizier Hafız Ahmed Paşa and sixteen other top officials. The heads were delivered.[5] This final, visceral act of defiance was the direct catalyst for the twenty-year-old Murad to seize absolute power and end his mother's regency.[1] Kösem's influence had even extended into Murad's personal life; seeking to prevent the political machinations of a future harem, she reportedly encouraged her son's homosexual tendencies to neutralize the influence of concubines, a tactic aimed at avoiding the so-called "Sultanate of Women" that had weakened previous reigns.[3]

The regency of Kösem Sultan, while arguably necessary for the short-term survival of the dynasty, inadvertently created the conditions for Murad's later absolutism. Her primary objective was to maintain stability and preserve the throne for her son, which she achieved largely through negotiation, appeasement, and paying off the powerful military factions.[8] From Murad's perspective, however, this policy of accommodation was an abject failure. It resulted in an empty treasury, emboldened rebels who saw weakness in compromise, and the

repeated, personal humiliation of the sovereign.[3] He concluded that this style of governance, which relied on bargaining from a position of weakness, only invited further aggression. Consequently, upon seizing power, he adopted the diametrically opposite approach: absolute, non-negotiable authority enforced through overwhelming fear, a direct and violent rejection of the political model he had witnessed under his mother.

The Will of Iron: Personality, Prowess, and the Performance of Terror (1632-1640)

Upon seizing the reins of power, Murad IV cultivated a persona of absolute and terrifying authority. His complex personality—a blend of physical prowess, cultural refinement, and sadistic cruelty—was not merely a reflection of his character but a calculated political instrument. His legendary strength and his public use of terror were symbiotic elements in a deliberate performance of power, designed to awe and intimidate an empire that had grown accustomed to weak and ineffectual sultans.

A Paradoxical Character

Murad IV was a physical specimen, a "dark-eyed giant" of immense and widely reported strength.[22] He was a master of martial sports, excelling in wrestling, javelin throwing, and archery.[6] While likely subject to exaggeration, contemporary accounts claim he effortlessly wielded a 60 kg (132 lbs) mace and a 50 kg (110 lbs) broadsword, weapons that are still on display in the Topkapı Palace Museum and serve as a testament to his formidable physique.[25] This physical prowess was not a private hobby but a core component of his public image as a warrior-sultan, a stark contrast to his immediate predecessors.[25]

This image of a brutish warrior, however, is complicated by his cultural pursuits. Despite being described by some as "uncultivated," Murad was a dedicated patron of arts and architecture and an artist in his own right.[22] He wrote poetry under the pen name "Muradi" and was considered a master composer.[2] His reign saw the construction of the elegant Baghdad and Revan Kiosks in the Topkapı Palace, monuments to his military victories.[4] He also surrounded himself with the leading intellectuals of his day, including the great poet Nef'i and the historian and political theorist Koçi Bey, and even commissioned a translation of Machiavelli's *The Prince*.[29]

This refined side was utterly eclipsed by his capacity for immense cruelty, which became legendary. Especially in his later years, he was known to kill people on a whim, for the slightest annoyance or out of sheer ill humor.[22] A Venetian ambassador grimly observed that "On those days he did not take a human life, he did not feel that he was happy".[3] Horrifying anecdotes abound: he reportedly shot arrows into crowds from his palace garden simply to watch them die, ordered a group of women drowned for singing in a meadow and disturbing his peace,

and commanded his gunners to sink a boat of ladies that sailed too close to the harem walls.[6]

The Reign of Terror

Murad's assumption of full power in 1632 was immediately followed by a systematic and brutal purge of all opposition. He ordered the execution of over 500 military leaders who had challenged his authority.[20] In a move of unprecedented audacity, he also ordered the execution of the *shaykh al-islām* (the highest religious dignitary in the empire), Ahîzâde Hüseyin Efendi, an act no previous sultan had dared to commit.[5]

He then turned his attention to social control, instituting a series of draconian prohibitions. He banned the consumption of coffee, tobacco, and alcohol, and ordered the closure of all coffeehouses and taverns, which he correctly identified as "nests of sedition" where dissent could fester.[4] The enforcement of these bans was swift and merciless. The penalty for being caught consuming a forbidden substance was often summary execution on the spot, without trial.[3] To ensure compliance, Murad himself would patrol the streets of Istanbul in disguise, his executioner at his side, personally slaying offenders he encountered.[12] This campaign of terror created a pervasive climate of fear, with some estimates suggesting that over 10,000 people were executed during his eight years of absolute rule.[3] The ultimate irony of his reign is that Murad, the enforcer of prohibition, was a notorious alcoholic himself, and his early death at 27 is widely attributed to cirrhosis of the liver.[2]

Murad's prohibitions were a masterstroke of political strategy, driven less by religious puritanism than by a cold calculation for control. Coffeehouses were a new and disruptive social phenomenon in the 17th-century empire. They were public spaces where men from different social classes could gather, exchange information, and discuss politics, all beyond the traditional oversight of the state.[31] Critically, these establishments were known haunts of the Janissaries, Murad's primary internal threat, who used them to plot coups and organize resistance.[12] The Sultan's own heavy drinking belies any claim of personal piety as the motive for his bans.[3] The rise of the puritanical Kadızadeli religious movement, which ideologically condemned coffee and tobacco as forbidden "innovations" (*bid'ah*), provided Murad with the perfect religious and social justification for his politically motivated crackdown.[37] By banning these substances, he simultaneously eliminated crucial centers of sedition and appeased a powerful and popular religious faction, consolidating his power on two fronts.

The Warrior Sultan: Personal Command and Military Glory

A cornerstone of Murad IV's restoration of sultanic authority was his revival of the tradition of the "Gazi Sultan"—the warrior-ruler who leads his armies in person. His personal command on the battlefield was not merely symbolic; it was a crucial tool for restoring military discipline, inspiring loyalty, and achieving his most significant and lasting geopolitical success: the final

stabilization of the empire's eastern frontier with Safavid Iran.

Reviving a Tradition

Murad IV was the last Ottoman sultan to personally command his army on the battlefield, a stark departure from the palace-bound rulers who preceded him.[28] This was a conscious and calculated decision to reassert the Sultan's authority over a military that had grown insubordinate and to rekindle the personal bond between the sovereign and his soldiers. He earned their respect and devotion by sharing their hardships—eating the same rations, sleeping on the ground alongside them in the trenches, and fighting at the forefront of battle.[3] This leadership style allowed him to bypass the corrupt and often disloyal officer corps, forging a direct connection with the rank-and-file troops through a combination of shared experience and terrifying retribution for any sign of indiscipline.

The Revan and Baghdad Campaigns

After consolidating his power domestically through his reign of terror, Murad launched his first major campaign against the Safavids in 1635 to recapture territories in the Caucasus that had been lost during the chaotic years of the regency.[3] The Ottoman army arrived before Erivan (Revan) in late July 1635. Murad personally directed the siege from a commanding hilltop position.[42] Following a 12-day siege characterized by heavy Ottoman bombardment, the city's garrison surrendered on August 8, 1635.[42] The victory was celebrated in Istanbul with the construction of the elegant Revan Kiosk in the Topkapı Palace.[29] The success, however, proved ephemeral, as the Safavids retook the city after the main Ottoman army withdrew for the winter.[45]

The centerpiece of Murad's military career was the campaign to recapture Baghdad. The city, a former capital of the Islamic Caliphate and a city of immense strategic and symbolic importance, had been lost to Shah Abbas the Great in 1624, a humiliating blow to Ottoman prestige.[47] In 1638, Murad led a massive army, numbering over 100,000 men, on a 110-day march from Istanbul to Mesopotamia.[6] The siege of Baghdad began on November 15, 1638.[49] The fighting was exceptionally fierce, with the well-prepared Safavid garrison mounting effective counter-attacks that inflicted heavy casualties on the Ottomans.[49] Murad was once again at the heart of the action, fighting alongside his soldiers and inspiring them with his personal bravery.[3] After 40 grueling days, an impatient Murad ordered a final, all-out assault, and on December 25, 1638, the city fell.[6]

The victory was immediately followed by an act of appalling brutality. Murad ordered the massacre of the entire captured Persian garrison. Estimates of the number of soldiers slaughtered in one or two days range as high as 30,000.[3] Some accounts claim that a second massacre of 30,000 civilians, mostly women and children, was ordered in retaliation for an accidental explosion in a powder magazine that killed some Ottoman troops, though this is

less consistently reported.[3] This brutal but decisive victory earned Murad the honorific he is known by in Turkish history: *Bağdat Fatihi*, the Conqueror of Baghdad.[44]

A Cruel End: Death, Succession, and a Paradoxical Legacy

The final days of Murad IV's life were as dramatic and violent as his reign. His premature death precipitated a succession crisis that pitted his absolute will against the dynastic imperatives embodied by his mother, Kösem Sultan. His deathbed order to execute his last remaining brother reveals a man obsessed with his own legacy, fearful that a weak successor would undo his brutal work of restoration.

Illness and Death

Murad IV's health began to fail during his military campaigns. He suffered from gout, which reportedly started during the Revan campaign and worsened significantly after his return from the arduous Baghdad expedition.[24] He died on February 8 or 9, 1640, at the young age of 27.[3] The cause of death is widely reported to have been cirrhosis of the liver, a direct consequence of his excessive and notorious consumption of alcohol—a bitter irony for a ruler who had executed so many of his subjects for the same vice.[2]

The Succession Crisis

The succession was precarious. All of Murad's many sons had died in infancy, leaving his only surviving brother, Ibrahim, as the sole male heir of the Ottoman dynasty.[4] From his deathbed, in a final act of autocratic will, Murad ordered Ibrahim's execution.[3] This command was the ultimate expression of his absolutism: an attempt to control the fate of the empire even beyond his own death. Consumed by the narrative of being the empire's sole savior, he preferred the risk of dynastic extinction to the possibility of his legacy being tarnished by a successor he deemed mentally unstable and unfit to rule.[3]

It was at this critical moment that his mother, Kösem Sultan, intervened. Her entire political career had been dedicated to navigating crises to ensure the continuation of the dynasty through the sons of Ahmed I; her power was intrinsically tied to her role as Valide Sultan (Queen Mother).[8] She understood that if Ibrahim died, the Ottoman line would end, and her own influence would evaporate.[3] In a final confrontation of wills, she defied the dying Sultan's absolute command. She deceived Murad, assuring him that his order had been carried out. Upon hearing the false news of his brother's death, Murad reportedly smiled cruelly and then expired.[3] Kösem's defiance ensured the survival of the dynasty, but at the cost of placing a deeply troubled man on the throne.

The Accession of Ibrahim "the Mad"

Ibrahim's accession on February 9, 1640, was fraught with terror.[55] Having spent nearly his entire life in the *Kafes* under the constant threat of execution by Murad, he was so traumatized that he refused to believe his brother was truly dead, suspecting a trap.[55] It was only after Kösem Sultan and the Grand Vizier brought Murad's corpse to his chambers for personal inspection that Ibrahim was convinced to accept the throne.[55] His subsequent reign would be marked by the erratic behavior and instability that Murad had feared, yet Kösem's actions had secured the dynasty's continuation for another generation.

Part II: The Empire – A State in Transformation

The Unraveling of Order: The Ottoman State in the Regency Period (1623-1632)

The Ottoman Empire that the child-sultan Murad IV inherited was not merely in a state of decline but on the verge of complete disintegration. During the nine-year regency of Kösem Sultan, central authority was rendered almost meaningless by the convergence of military mutiny, provincial warlordism, fiscal collapse, and opportunistic foreign invasions. The various rebellions of this era were not isolated events but interconnected symptoms of a single, systemic crisis: the collapse of the classical Ottoman military-administrative system.

Political and Fiscal Collapse

The period was defined by pervasive anarchy, with a near-total breakdown of law and order, leaving life and property insecure throughout the empire.[16] The authority of the sultan, already severely weakened by the 1622 regicide of Osman II, was virtually non-existent under the child Murad.[19] The state treasury teetered on the brink of collapse, drained by the government's inability to pay the Janissaries their salaries and the frequent demands for accession bonuses (*Cülus Bahşişi*) that accompanied the rapid turnover of sultans.[3] This insolvency was exacerbated by provincial governors who openly defied Constantinople, pocketing tax revenues for themselves instead of remitting them to the central treasury.[3] Corruption, bribery, and nepotism were endemic at every level of the administration, from the palace eunuchs responsible for the sultan's education to the grand viziers appointed by the regent.[3]

Military Insubordination and Internal Rebellions

The Janissary and Sipahi corps had degenerated from elite military units into a corrupt, hereditary political class that held the capital hostage.[3] They engaged openly in trade, used their institutional power to block any attempts at reform, and repeatedly revolted to protect their interests.[11] Their insubordination reached its peak in 1632 with the lynching of Grand Vizier Hafız Ahmed Paşa, an event that unfolded before the young sultan's eyes.[1] Their power was so absolute that they even demanded to be shown the surviving princes to verify they were still alive, effectively holding the dynasty hostage.[16]

This breakdown of central authority fueled widespread rebellion in the provinces. The most formidable of these was the uprising of Abaza Mehmed Pasha, the governor of Erzurum. Beginning in 1622 as a quest for vengeance against the Janissary murderers of Osman II, his rebellion evolved into a full-fledged challenge to Istanbul's rule, with Abaza controlling large swathes of Eastern and Central Anatolia for years.[1] Although he was finally defeated in 1628, his long-running autonomy demonstrated the impotence of the central government.[9]

Simultaneously, the conditions of anarchy—the decay of the *Timar* land-grant system, widespread banditry, and the mass flight of peasants from the land known as the *Büyük Kaçgunluk* (Great Flight)—fueled the persistent Celali revolts across Anatolia.[59] Uprisings and challenges to Ottoman authority also erupted in the peripheral provinces of Lebanon, Yemen, Egypt, and Crimea.[3]

External Threats

The empire's internal chaos provided a golden opportunity for its primary foreign rival, Safavid Iran. In 1623, Shah Abbas the Great launched an invasion of Ottoman Iraq, capturing the prized city of Baghdad in January 1624.[1] The loss of this historically significant city was a devastating blow to Ottoman prestige, compounded by the Safavids' massacre of the city's Sunni population, which turned the conflict into a sectarian war.[16] On the northern frontier, Cossack sea raiders grew bolder, launching attacks along the Black Sea coast and even threatening the Bosporus in 1625, which compelled the regent Kösem to order the emergency construction of new defensive fortresses.[3]

The Forging of a New Order: Administrative and Economic Reforms

Upon seizing absolute power in 1632, Murad IV initiated a series of sweeping domestic policies aimed at reversing the decay he had witnessed. These actions were not institutional innovations but a brutal, reactionary restoration of traditional authority. His goal was to re-centralize all fiscal and military power by violently purging the corrupt elements that had

overgrown the system and eliminating the intermediary power brokers who challenged the supremacy of the throne.

Suppression of Corruption and Fiscal Restoration

Murad's foremost domestic policy was the eradication of corruption, which he pursued with single-minded ferocity. He unleashed a reign of terror against corrupt officials at all levels. Provincial governors who had withheld tax revenues and bureaucrats in Istanbul who had grown rich on bribes were summarily executed, often in public displays designed to instill fear.[5] Murad famously patrolled the streets of his capital in disguise, personally identifying and punishing wrongdoers on the spot, without recourse to trial.[20] This ruthless campaign, combined with the confiscation of illicitly gained wealth, was brutally effective. It quickly refilled the state treasury, providing the funds necessary to pay the army regularly and finance his ambitious military campaigns, thereby restoring the financial integrity of the empire.[5]

Disciplining the Military and Provincial Administration

Murad moved with equal decisiveness against the military corps that had dominated the regency. He ordered the execution of more than 500 Janissary and Sipahi leaders and systematically purged the state payrolls of "paper soldiers" who collected salaries without performing any military service.[18] By ensuring regular payment of salaries from the newly solvent treasury and instilling terror through summary executions for insubordination, he broke the power of the military factions and restored a semblance of discipline.[20] His reign also saw the effective end of the *devşirme* system of levying Christian boys, which was reportedly abolished around 1638, acknowledging the corps' de facto transformation into a force of free-born Muslims.[11]

To reassert central control over the provinces and strengthen the army's backbone, Murad initiated a comprehensive survey of the *timar* (fief) and *zeamet* (large fief) land grants between 1632 and 1634.[72] This administrative reform had two primary objectives: first, to weed out illegitimate landholders and ensure that those who held state lands fulfilled their military service obligations, and second, to prevent the rise of powerful provincial warlords like Abaza Mehmed Pasha.[72] He then redistributed confiscated and vacant lands, but only to followers who swore personal loyalty to him and committed to serving in his army, thereby tying provincial power directly to the person of the Sultan rather than to local or institutional interests.[3]

These policies represented a fundamentally conservative approach to reform. Faced with a state undergoing a structural transformation toward a more bureaucratic model with diffuse power centers, Murad's response was not to create new institutions to manage this new reality.[1] Instead, he used overwhelming force to destroy the new power centers—the Janissary

factions, the provincial notables—and reimpose the idealized, traditional model of a state where all power, revenue, and loyalty flowed directly and unconditionally to the Sultan.[3] His land reform was not about creating a new system but about violently forcing the old one to function as it was originally intended.[72] His reign was thus a reactionary project, not a modernizing one.

Policy Area	Objective	Key Actions & Methods	Relevant Snippets
Fiscal & Administrative	Restore State Solvency; Eradicate Corruption	Summary execution of corrupt officials; Confiscation of illicit wealth; Regularization of tax collection; Personal inspections in disguise.	[5]
Military	Subdue Janissary & Sipahi Corps; Restore Discipline	Execution of over 500 military leaders; Purging of payrolls; Ensuring regular salary payments; Personal command in campaigns.	[3]
Provincial Governance	Re-centralize Authority; Strengthen Army	Conducted a nationwide *timar* and *zeamet* survey (1632-34); Redistributed land based on loyalty and military service commitment; Publicly executed rebellious provincial leaders.	[3]
Social Control	Suppress Dissent & Public Disorder	Banned coffee, tobacco, alcohol; Closed coffeehouses and taverns; Imposed curfews; Enforced prohibitions with on-the-spot executions.	[2]
Religious Policy	Consolidate Ideological Support	Supported the puritanical Kadızadeli movement; Executed	[5]

		the *shaykh al-islām*; Maintained a balance with Sufi orders to prevent opposition.	

The Soul of the Empire: Society, Religion, and Culture

The socio-religious climate of Murad IV's reign was defined by the imposition of a new, stricter moral and social order, enforced by state terror. This effort was bolstered by a symbiotic relationship between the Sultan's authoritarian policies and the rise of the puritanical Kadızadeli movement, which provided religious justification for the suppression of public life and dissent.

Life in the City of Fear

Daily life in Istanbul and other urban centers was dramatically altered by Murad's draconian measures. His prohibitions on coffee, tobacco, and alcohol, coupled with strict curfews, led to the closure of the coffeehouses and taverns that had become the primary venues for male socialization, commerce, and public discourse.[6] This effectively shut down the burgeoning public sphere where political opinions were formed and dissent could be voiced. The social structure remained divided between the ruling *askeri* class and the tax-paying *reaya*, but Murad's purges were aimed at reasserting the Sultan's absolute power over the *askeri* class itself, which had grown too independent.[73] Above all, a pervasive "climate of fear" dominated society, born of the constant threat of summary execution for even the slightest infraction or suspicion.[3] People were reportedly so terrified that they dared not even speak the Sultan's name in the privacy of their own homes.[44]

The Kadızadeli Movement and a Symbiotic Relationship

This period of social repression coincided with the rise of the Kadızadeli movement, a fundamentalist religious faction led by the charismatic preacher Kadızade Mehmed Efendi (d. 1635).[38] Inspired by the 16th-century scholar Birgivi and influenced by the teachings of Ibn Taymiyya, the Kadızadelis were fiercely opposed to what they deemed forbidden religious "innovations" (*bid'ah*), particularly those associated with popular Sufism.[1] They preached vehemently against practices such as visiting tombs, the use of music in religious services, and, crucially, the consumption of coffee and tobacco.[37] The movement gained a significant popular following through powerful sermons in Istanbul's major mosques and became increasingly militant, with its followers physically attacking dervish lodges.[78]
Murad IV, a shrewd political operator, recognized the utility of this burgeoning movement. He

offered his support to the Kadızadelis, using their religious fervor as the ideological justification for his own politically motivated crackdown on coffeehouses and other public gathering places.[37] In return, Kadızade Mehmed Efendi publicly supported the Sultan's bans, arguing that a ruler's prohibition was religiously binding.[38] However, Murad's support was pragmatic, not absolute. He did not fully embrace the Kadızadeli program, instead maintaining a careful political balance by also showing favor to the prominent Sufi leader Abdülmecid Sivasi. This strategy allowed him to leverage the popular religious conflict to his advantage while preventing any single faction from accumulating enough power to challenge his own.[37]

Intellectual and Cultural Life

Despite the repressive social atmosphere, the era was not devoid of intellectual and cultural activity. It saw the flourishing of the *nasihatname* ("advice for kings") genre, with scholars like Koçi Bey producing detailed memoranda for Murad that diagnosed the empire's ills and proposed solutions rooted in a return to traditional, idealized forms of governance.[1] Murad's own patronage of architecture, seen in the magnificent Revan and Baghdad Kiosks, and his personal interest in poetry and music, reflected the traditional role of the Sultan as a cultural benefactor, standing in stark contrast to his policies that suppressed public cultural life.[2]

The Eastern Question Resolved: The Ottoman-Safavid War and the Treaty of Zuhab

The defining foreign policy challenge and ultimate triumph of Murad IV's reign was the long and arduous war against the Safavid Empire (1623-1639). The successful conclusion of this conflict with the Treaty of Zuhab was Murad's most durable achievement, resolving a century and a half of costly warfare, securing the empire's vulnerable eastern frontier, and establishing a new, lasting geopolitical equilibrium in the Middle East.

War and Stalemate (1623-1632)

The war began as a direct consequence of the Ottoman Empire's internal weakness during the regency period. Seizing the opportunity presented by the chaos in Istanbul, the Safavid ruler Shah Abbas the Great invaded Mesopotamia in 1623, capturing the strategically and symbolically vital city of Baghdad in 1624.[1] This loss was a catastrophic blow to Ottoman prestige.[3] Throughout the regency, Ottoman attempts to retake the city were disorganized and futile, consistently hampered by a lack of funds, logistical failures, and the constant distraction of internal rebellions, most notably that of Abaza Mehmed Pasha in Anatolia.[46] The war became a costly stalemate, draining the empire's resources with no decisive result.[86]

Murad IV Takes Command (1635-1639)

Once he had brutally restored order within the empire, Murad IV turned his full attention and the state's revitalized resources to the Persian front. In stark contrast to the failed efforts of the regency, his campaigns were meticulously planned and personally led.[3] The 1635 campaign successfully retook Erivan (Revan) in the Caucasus, though this victory proved temporary.[41] The main effort was the massive 1638 Baghdad Campaign, a major logistical undertaking that saw the Sultan lead his army across Anatolia.[70] The brutal 40-day siege of Baghdad culminated in the city's recapture on December 25, 1638, a victory that decisively ended Safavid control over Mesopotamia and cemented Murad's reputation as a great military commander.[2]

The Treaty of Zuhab (Kasr-ı Şirin) and Its Significance

The war was formally concluded with the signing of the Treaty of Zuhab (also known as the Treaty of Kasr-ı Şirin) on May 17, 1639.[69] The treaty was a decisive Ottoman victory. Its key terms confirmed permanent Ottoman sovereignty over all of Mesopotamia (modern Iraq), including Baghdad, Basra, and Kirkuk.[69] In return, the Safavids retained control over Azerbaijan and Erivan.[92] The Zagros Mountains were established as the formal boundary between the two empires.[48]

The long-term significance of the treaty was immense. It brought an end to approximately 150 years of intermittent and ruinously expensive warfare between the two great Islamic powers.[47] More importantly, the border it established proved remarkably resilient, forming the basis for the modern frontiers between Turkey, Iran, and Iraq, and remaining largely unchanged for centuries.[48] The treaty ushered in a long period of relative peace and normalized diplomatic relations, marking a fundamental shift in Ottoman foreign policy.[97] It represented the culmination of the empire's 17th-century transformation, moving away from an ideology of relentless, universalist expansion toward a more pragmatic, state-based foreign policy focused on consolidating and securing defensible borders and accepting a permanent geopolitical balance of power in the region.

Conclusion

Sultan Murad IV was unequivocally a product of the chaos he inherited. His reign was a violent, intensely personalistic reaction to the anarchy, corruption, and humiliation that defined his youth and threatened to dissolve the Ottoman Empire. Through a systematic campaign of terror and an iron-willed assertion of absolute authority, he successfully

achieved his primary objectives. He reasserted the supremacy of the sultanate, crushed the insubordinate military factions, purged the administration of its most corrupt elements, restored the state's financial solvency, and, most significantly, achieved a decisive and lasting victory in the long-running war with Safavid Iran, securing the empire's eastern frontier for centuries to come.

However, these remarkable achievements were built upon a foundation of breathtaking brutality and summary justice, not on sustainable institutional reform. Murad IV treated the symptoms of the empire's 17th-century crisis with an iron fist, but he did not—and perhaps could not—cure the underlying disease of structural decay. His methods were reactionary, aimed at violently restoring an idealized past rather than building new institutions capable of navigating the empire's transformation. The fragility of his achievement was laid bare at his death. His premature demise left the restored but deeply traumatized empire in the hands of his mentally unstable brother, Ibrahim, demonstrating the ultimate vulnerability of a system rebuilt on the will and terror of a single, formidable man. The legacy of Murad IV is therefore profoundly ambiguous: he was the brutal savior who arrested a catastrophic collapse, but his methods ensured that the empire's long-term journey toward modernization would continue to be fraught with instability and violence. He left behind an empire of renewed vigor and financial integrity, but also a demoralized populace that, by many accounts, rejoiced at his death.[6]

Works cited

1. Transformation of the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transformation_of_the_Ottoman_Empire
2. Murad IV Legacy - Confinity, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.confinity.com/legacies/murad-iv
3. Murad IV | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/murad-iv
4. Murad IV - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murad_IV
5. Murad IV | Reign, Military Campaigns & Succession | Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/biography/Murad-IV
6. Reign of Murad IV | Research Starters | EBSCO Research, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/reign-murad-iv
7. Murad IV, (1612-1640) | The National Library of Israel, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.nli.org.il/en/a-topic/987007265777605171
8. Kösem Sultan - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K%C3%B6sem_Sultan
9. Abaza rebellion - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abaza_rebellion
10. Who was the Ottoman Sultan, Murad the Fourth? - Quora, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.quora.com/Who-was-the-Ottoman-Sultan-Murad-the-Fourth
11. Janissary Revolt of 1622 | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/janissary-revolt-1622

12. TIL that Ottoman sultan Murad IV often personally wandered the streets of Istanbul, in search of coffee drinkers to behead : r/todayilearned - Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/todayilearned/comments/eu04yu/til_that_ottoman_sultan_murad_iv_often_personally/
13. How did Kösem Sultan come to power? - Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/question/How-did-Kosem-Sultan-come-to-power
14. What was the extent of Kösem Sultan's power? Was she really a Sultana in disguise and if so, how did she manage to be one? - Quora, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.quora.com/What-was-the-extent-of-K%C3%B6sem-Sultans-power-Was-she-really-a-Sultana-in-disguise-and-if-so-how-did-she-manage-to-be-one
15. Kosem Sultan - The Last Influential Female Ruler of the Ottoman Empire | Ancient Origins, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ancient-origins.net/history-famous-people/strength-kosem-sultan-last-influential-female-ruler-ottoman-empire-006178
16. IV. Murad - Vikipedi, accessed October 14, 2025, https://tr.wikipedia.org/wiki/IV._Murad
17. Tarihte bugün: Sultan IV. Murad 11 yaşında tahta çıktı - GDH, accessed October 14, 2025, https://gdh.digital/haber/tarihte-bugun-sultan-iv-murad-11-yasinda-tahta-cikti-znu1wwqu2o
18. The Politics of Decline: Authority and Leadership in an Unstable Empire—Kosem Sultan vs Murad IV in Magnificent Century: Kosem Season 2 : r/MagnificentCentury - Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/MagnificentCentury/comments/1iq4bt7/the_politics_of_decline_authority_and_leadership/
19. OSMANLI DEVLETİ'NDE SULTAN IV. MURAD DÖNEMİ YENİÇERİ ..., accessed October 14, 2025, https://acikerisim.sakarya.edu.tr/bitstream/20.500.12619/92616/1/T03314.pdf
20. Murad IV — Badass of the Week, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.badassoftheweek.com/murad
21. Murad 4, accessed October 14, 2025, https://walter-us.net/Turkey/history/ottomans/murad_4.htm
22. Murad IV of Turkey | PDF | Ottoman Empire - Scribd, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/525473619/Murad-IV-of-Turkey
23. Biography of the Ottoman Sultan Murad IV (1612-1640), accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.madmonarchs.nl/madmonarchs/murad4/murad4_bio.htm
24. IV. Murad - Fikriyat Gazetesi, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.fikriyat.com/kimdir/osmanli-padisahi/iv-murad
25. Which Ottoman sultan was the strongest, and by that I do not mean ..., accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.quora.com/Which-Ottoman-sultan-was-the-strongest-and-by-that-I-do-not-mean-who-had-the-most-power-but-who-were-the-strongest-physic

ally
26. TIL that Sultan Murad IV was the strongest ruler of the Ottoman empire. His favorite weapon was a huge mace, weighing more than 60 kg (132 lbs), which he wielded effortlessly with a single hand. His weapons are today displayed at the Topkapı Palace Museum in Istanbul, intact and well preserved. : r/todayilearned - Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/todayilearned/comments/1fcqun/til_that_sultan_murad_iv_was_the_strongest_ruler/
27. Ottoman, accessed October 14, 2025, http://www.theottomans.org/english/family/murat4.asp
28. About: Murad IV - DBpedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://dbpedia.org/page/Murad_IV
29. Mighty sovereigns of Ottoman throne: Sultan Murad IV | Daily Sabah, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.dailysabah.com/arts/portrait/mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne-sultan-murad-iv
30. Historic Attempts to Ban Coffee, accessed October 14, 2025, https://kaucoffeemill.com/blogs/news/historic-attempts-to-ban-coffee
31. Coffee drinking punishable to death during the Ottoman Empire in 1600s, accessed October 14, 2025, https://coffeegeography.com/2023/01/11/coffee-drinking-punishable-to-death-during-the-ottoman-empire-in-1600s/
32. Lemmon Drop Coffee Co. — Coffee Origins and Bans, accessed October 14, 2025, https://lemmondropcoffee.com/coffee-guides/contraband-coffee-and-the-bans-of-coffee-throughout-history
33. The History of Coffee Prohibition: Attempts to Ban the World's Beloved Brew - Specialty Kava, accessed October 14, 2025, https://specialtykava.si/en/the-history-of-coffee-prohibition-attempts-to-ban-the-worlds-beloved-brew/
34. Rulers' Fears and the Resilience of Coffee Houses Throughout History - 海岸線的咖啡詩, accessed October 14, 2025, https://classico-setouchi-coffee.com/en/8007-2/
35. TIL that Ottoman Sultan Murad IV banned coffee, tobacco & alcohol, making consumption a capital offence. He would patrol the streets himself, personally killing offenders. Murad was a habitual drinker himself & died of cirrhosis. : r/todayilearned - Reddit, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/todayilearned/comments/2xrzyf/til_that_ottoman_sultan_murad_iv_banned_coffee/
36. Ottoman coffeehouse - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_coffeehouse
37. (PDF) 6. “The Kadizadeli Movement as a Social and Political Phenomenon: The Rise of a 'Mercantile Ethic'?”, in A. Anastasopoulos (ed.), Political Initiatives from the Bottom-Up in the Ottoman Empire. (Halcyon Days in Crete VII, A Symposium Held in Rethymno, 9- - ResearchGate, accessed October 14, 2025,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292616944_6_The_Kadizadeli_Movement_as_a_Social_and_Political_Phenomenon_The_Rise_of_a_'Mercantile_Ethic'_in_A_Anastasopoulos_ed_Political_Initiatives_from_the_Bottom-Up_in_the_Ottoman_Empire_Halcyon_Days_in_Cr
38. Kadızade Mehmed - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kad%C4%B1zade_Mehmed
39. 15 maddede Kadızadeliler Hareketi'nin bilinmeyenleri - Galeri ..., accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.fikriyat.com/galeri/tarih/osmanlidaki-kadizadeliler-hareketinin-bilinmeyenleri/5
40. KADIZÂDELİLER - TDV İslâm Ansiklopedisi, accessed October 14, 2025, https://islamansiklopedisi.org.tr/kadizadeliler
41. Atatürk Üniversitesi Türkiyat Araştırmaları Enstitüsü Dergisi » Makale ..., accessed October 14, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/ataunitaed/issue/52452/667216
42. Siege of Erivan (1635) - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siege_of_Erivan_(1635)
43. Revan 1635 Siege Area • Location, Photos and Information About It • Cultural Inventory, accessed October 14, 2025, https://kulturenvanteri.com/en/yer/revan-1635-kusatmasi-alani/
44. Great Fire of Constantinople | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/great-fire-constantinople
45. IV. Murad'ın Bağdat Seferi Hakkında Yazılmış Farsça Manzum Bir Eser, accessed October 14, 2025, https://ttk.gov.tr/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/158-MuzafferKilic.pdf
46. Sultan IV Murad 'ın Bağdat Seferi ve Kasr-ı Şirin - DSpace@AKÜ, accessed October 14, 2025, https://acikerisim.aku.edu.tr/xmlui/handle/11630/3478
47. Ottoman-Safavid Wars | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/ottoman-safavid-wars
48. IV. Murad - TYT AYT 2023 (YKS 2023) Uzaktan Eğitim, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.canlidershane.net/iv-murad
49. Siege of Baghdad (1638) - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Siege_of_Baghdad_(1638)
50. This Day In Iraqi History Dec 22 Ottoman Sultan Murad IV began siege of Baghdad - MUSINGS ON IRAQ, accessed October 14, 2025, http://musingsoniraq.blogspot.com/2022/12/this-day-in-iraqi-history-dec-22.html
51. Bağdat Fatihi: 4. Murad - Anadolu Ajansı, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.aa.com.tr/tr/turkiye/bagdat-fatihi-4-murad/2137255
52. Murad IV - Simple English Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murad_IV
53. Sultan Murad IV - Biyografya, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.biyografya.com/tr/biographies/sultan-murad-iv-259e9245
54. Sultan I. İbrahim - Osmanlı Araştırmaları Vakfı, accessed October 14, 2025, https://osmanli.org.tr/sultan-i-ibrahim/
55. İbrahim (Osmanlı padişahı) - Vikipedi, accessed October 14, 2025, https://tr.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C4%B0brahim_(Osmanl%C4%B1_padi%C5%9Fah%

C4%B1)
56. tr.wikipedia.org, accessed October 14, 2025, https://tr.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C4%B0brahim_(Osmanl%C4%B1_padi%C5%9Fah%C4%B1)#:~:text=%C4%B0slam%20halifesiydi.,padi%C5%9Fah%20olarak%20Osmanl%C4%B1%20taht%C4%B1na%20%C3%A7%C4%B1kt%C4%B1.
57. I. İbrahim kimdir? I. İbrahim dönemi olayları ve 1. İbrahim'in hayatı - Hürriyet, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.hurriyet.com.tr/egitim/i-ibrahim-kimdir-i-ibrahim-donemi-olaylari-ve-1-ibrahimin-hayati-41684705
58. Ibrahim of the Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ibrahim_of_the_Ottoman_Empire
59. Ottoman Empire - Decline, Reforms, Fall | Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire/The-decline-of-the-Ottoman-Empire-1566-1807
60. Janissary - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Janissary
61. Decline of the Ottoman Empire | Reasons, Collapse, & Maps - Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/decline-of-the-Ottoman-Empire-2230672
62. About: Abaza rebellion, accessed October 14, 2025, https://dbpedia.org/page/Abaza_rebellion
63. Abaza Mehmet's Revolt in Anatolia | PDF | History - Scribd, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/498670331/Abaza-rebellion
64. Abaza Mehmed Pasha - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abaza_Mehmed_Pasha
65. Asiden Valiye: Rumeli'de Abaza Mehmed Paşa - isamveri.org, accessed October 14, 2025, https://isamveri.org/pdfdrg/D00096/2021_58/2021_58_CEVRIOGLU.pdf
66. Celâlî isyanları - Vikipedi, accessed October 14, 2025, https://tr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cel%C3%A2l%C3%AE_isyanlar%C4%B1
67. Sosyal Hareketler Olarak Celâli Ayaklanmaları | Ağustos 1996, Cilt 60 - Sayı 228 | Belleten, accessed October 14, 2025, https://belleten.gov.tr/tam-metin/2387/tur
68. CELÂLÎ İSYANLARI - TDV İslâm Ansiklopedisi, accessed October 14, 2025, https://islamansiklopedisi.org.tr/celali-isyanlari
69. Ottoman–Safavid War (1623–1639) - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman%E2%80%93Safavid_War_(1623%E2%80%931639)
70. dördüncü murad'ın bagdat seferi masraf defteri - DergiPark, accessed October 14, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/33064
71. Waning of the Devshirme System | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/waning-devshirme-system
72. IV. Murad Zamanında Timar Sisteminde Reform ve İhya ... - DergiPark, accessed October 14, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/1526322
73. en.wikipedia.org, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Social_class_in_the_Ottoman_Empire#:~:text=Ottom

an%20society%20was%20divided%20into.Ottoman%20Empire%20were%20rural%20farmers.
74. SOCIAL STRATIFICATION IN OTTOMAN ISTANBUL | History of ..., accessed October 14, 2025, https://istanbultarihi.ist/468-social-stratification-in-ottoman-istanbul
75. The Dynamics of Ottoman Society and Administration : - 168. #ottomansocietyandadministration #upsc - YouTube, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fa99AD2IZY8
76. Ottoman Empire Society and Structure - ThoughtCo, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.thoughtco.com/social-structure-of-the-ottoman-empire-195766
77. Ottoman Empire - Classical Society, Administration, Reforms | Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/place/Ottoman-Empire/Classical-Ottoman-society-and-administration
78. Kadizadeli - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kadizadeli
79. Untitled - isamveri.org, accessed October 14, 2025, https://isamveri.org/pdfdkm/11/DKM110176d.pdf
80. THE KADIZADELİ-SİVASİ DEBATE | History of Istanbul, accessed October 14, 2025, https://istanbultarihi.ist/532-the-kadizadeli-sivasi-debate
81. Kadizadeli Ottoman Scholarship, Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Wahhāb ..., accessed October 14, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/jis/article/26/3/265/709610
82. (PDF) Kadizadeli Ottoman Scholarship, Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Wahhāb, and the Rise of the Saudi State - ResearchGate, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282184394_Kadizadeli_Ottoman_Scholarship_Muhammad_ibn_Abd_al-Wahhab_and_the_Rise_of_the_Saudi_State
83. Rūmī's Book Seven of the Mathnawī: Intra-Sufi Debates in the Seventeenth Century Ottoman Empire - Maydan, accessed October 14, 2025, https://themaydan.com/2020/03/rumis-book-seven-of-the-mathnawi-intra-sufi-debates-in-the-seventeenth-century-ottoman-empire/
84. THE OTTOMANS ALSO STRUGGLED WITH ... - Ekrem Buğra Ekinci, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.ekrembugraekinci.com/article/?ID=1507&the-ottomans-also-struggled-with-salafis----kadizade-movement
85. PDF - DergiPark, accessed October 14, 2025, https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/102072
86. Ottoman-Safavid War (1623-1639) - Google Books, accessed October 14, 2025, https://books.google.com/books/about/Ottoman_Safavid_War_1623_1639.html?id=mEfeuQAACAAJ
87. About: Ottoman–Safavid War (1623–1639), accessed October 14, 2025, https://dbpedia.org/page/Ottoman%E2%80%93Safavid_War_(1623%E2%80%931639)
88. IV. Murat Devri Doğu Seferlerinde Nehir Taşımacılığı ve 1638 Bağdat Seferinde Fırat Üzerinden Yapılan Nakliyat, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.historystudies.net/river-transport-in-the-eastern-campaigns-in-the-

age-of-murad-iv-and-the-transport-performed-over-euphrates-river-in-1638-baghdad-campaign_1661
89. en.wikipedia.org, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman%E2%80%93Safavid_War_(1623%E2%80%931639)#:~:text=The%20Treaty%20of%20Zuhab%2C%20concluded,Achaemenids%2C%20was%20thereby%20irrevocably%20lost.
90. Treaty of Zuhab - Wikipedia, accessed October 14, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Treaty_of_Zuhab
91. Treaty of Zuhab - Ottoman Empire - Historydraft, accessed October 14, 2025, https://historydraft.com/story/ottoman-empire/treaty-of-zuhab/820/20201
92. 1639 Kasr-ı Şirin Antlaşması Nedir, accessed October 14, 2025, https://1639-kasr-i-sirin-antlasmasi.nedir.org/
93. Kasrı Şirin Antlaşması Sonuçları, Nedeni, Tarihi, En Önemli ... - Sabah, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.sabah.com.tr/fotohaber/yasam/kasri-sirin-antlasmasi-onemi-ve-tarihi-kasri-sirin-antlasmasi-sonuclari-nedeni-taraflari-ve-maddeleri-e1
94. Kasr-ı Şirin antlaşması ile hangi topraklar kaybedildi? - Aradığınız cevap YaCevap'ta, accessed October 14, 2025, https://yandex.com.tr/yacevap/c/hukuk/q/kasr-i-sirin-antlasmasi-ile-hangi-topraklar-kaybedildi-3081826618
95. Kasr-ı Şirin antlaşması neden kalıcı oldu? - Aradığınız cevap YaCevap'ta - Yandex, accessed October 14, 2025, https://yandex.com.tr/yacevap/c/hukuk/q/kasr-i-sirin-antlasmasi-neden-kalici-oldu-1317927421
96. Treaty of Qaṣr-i Shīrīn | Iraq, 1639 - Britannica, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.britannica.com/topic/Treaty-of-Qasr-i-Shirin
97. Treaty of Zohab, 1639: Foundational Myth or Foundational Document? | Iranian Studies | Cambridge Core, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/iranian-studies/article/treaty-of-zohab-1639-foundational-myth-or-foundational-document/C8FA40528FB686EEC0626193599907AC
98. Kasr-ı Şirin Antlaşması - Vikipedi, accessed October 14, 2025, https://tr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kasr-%C4%B1_%C5%9Eirin_Antla%C5%9Fmas%C4%B1
99. The Ottoman Empire and Safavid Iran, 1639 – 1682 - Middle East Monitor, accessed October 14, 2025, https://www.middleeastmonitor.com/20240516-the-ottoman-empire-and-safavid-iran-1639-1682/