

To investigate how virtual reality can influence motor responses via deception of the sensory nervous system in order to aid navigation around a virtual plane.

O'Shea Douglas

*Lincoln, United Kingdom*

---

*Keywords:*

---

## 1. Introduction

Virtual reality is a new-wave medium, which at present provides visual experiences via optical immersion. For the future, it has a copious range of potential applications within i.e. the education, gaming and medical industries. Through analysis of participant estimation both within a real and virtual environment, I intend to discover how virtual reality can influence motor responses via deception of the sensory nervous system and aid navigation around a virtual plane. Evidently monetary barriers to virtual reality depreciate as cost of its hardware decreases, this suggests an increase in potential consumers. Yet, the emancipatory confounds of VR restrict exploration and varied motion, which in turn breaks the illusion of walking around a real domain. This problem has been addressed with add-on hardware such as the Virtuix Omni. However two key obstacles face a 3rd party hardware solution (such as the Omni). Metaphorically its integration with developed software and literally its potential size.

### 1.1. Aim

1. To investigate how virtual reality can influence motor responses via deception of the sensory nervous system and aid navigation around a virtual plane.

### 1.2. Objectives:

- Select a sensory system.

- 22 • Develop a scenario, which forces a participant to rely on the sensory  
23 system to achieve a given goal.
- 24 • Develop a VR system, which presents the scenario and manipulates  
25 environmental input to deceive the given sensory system.
- 26 • Construct one or a set of experiments, which utilise the system to  
27 gather participant results.

## 28 2. Literature Review

29 From a high level, visual input contributes greatly to sensory information.  
30 Utilization of VR's visual component to simulate scenarios and gather results  
31 seems logical and is evident in a vast amount of research.

32 Research, which uses visual stimuli to convey presence in a virtual envi-  
33 ronment, provides a coherent foundation that the project aim is achievable.  
34 Umeki and Doi (1997) suggest distance estimation in a virtual room is sim-  
35 ilar to that of in a real room. The 1997 experiment was conducted using  
36 a single 21-inch monitor to display virtual scenes. Yet, their research alone  
37 presents how a virtual environment can be perceived in a similar way to the  
38 real world. This indicates deception of the sensory nervous system can be  
39 achieved using basic hardware. From this, the use of Unity 5 the HTC Vive  
40 may produce results which, suggest a greater influence on a users perception.

41 Heydariana explores the performance of office space activities in both real  
42 and virtual environments. Even with increased complexity over distance esti-  
43 mation, results indicate differences in performance to be non significant. This  
44 re-enforces the idea of presence within VR. However participants described  
45 unrealistic navigation within their virtual environment. From this navigation  
46 seems like a theme within VR, which limits a higher sense of presence within  
47 VR.

48 Vection, according to Bernhard E.Riecke can significantly enhance self-  
49 motion perception within VR. His study suggests the illusion of self mo-  
50 tion can be facilitated via the use of low cost locomotive interfaces, without  
51 psychical walking or complex motion. However another study by Timofey  
52 Grechkin suggests a rotate and walk technique. In his study, if a user is  
53 attempting to reach an out of bounds target t the user is then prompted to  
54 go to a sub target I. As they walk to I the world rotates to encompass the  
55 t again. Both studies deceive a participants visual sensory information to

