Advanced Parallel Programming Exercise 8



Tim Beringer

Summer term 2025 17.07.2025

Please solve the following tasks by 17.07.2025. The results are not graded, but a solution is discussed on 17.07.2025.

Task 1

Please implement a single-producer, single-consumer lock-free queue. You may use the interface provided as a starting point for your implementation.

```
#pragma once
  #include <atomic>
  #include <memory>
5 template<typename T>
  class LockFreeQueue
7 {
  private:
8
      struct Node
10
           std::shared_ptr<T> data;
11
          Node* next;
13
          Node(): next(nullptr) {}
      };
16
17
      std::atomic<Node*> head;
      std::atomic<Node*> tail;
18
19
      Node* pop_head();
20
21
public:
23
      LockFreeQueue();
      LockFreeQueue(const LockFreeQueue& other)=delete;
24
      LockFreeQueue& operator=(const LockFreeQueue& other)=delete;
      ~LockFreeQueue();
26
27
      void pop(std::shared_ptr<T>& res);
      void push(T new_value);
29
30 };
```

Listing 1: Single-producer single-consumer queue interface

Solution:

```
#pragma once

#include <atomic>
#include <memory>

template<typename T>
class LockFreeQueue {
```

```
private:
      struct Node {
          std::shared_ptr<T> data;
10
          Node* next;
          Node() : next(nullptr) {}
13
      };
14
      std::atomic<Node*> head;
16
      std::atomic<Node*> tail;
17
18
      Node* pop_head() {
19
          Node* const old_head = head.load();
20
           if (old_head == tail.load()) {
21
               return nullptr;
22
23
          head.store(old_head->next);
24
           return old_head;
25
26
2.7
28 public:
      LockFreeQueue() : head(new Node{}), tail(head.load()) {}
29
      LockFreeQueue(const LockFreeQueue& other) = delete;
30
      LockFreeQueue& operator=(const LockFreeQueue& other) = delete;
31
32
      ~LockFreeQueue() {
33
           while (Node* const old_head = head.load()) {
34
               head.store(old_head->next);
35
36
               delete old_head;
37
      }
38
39
      void pop(std::shared_ptr<T>& res) {
40
          Node* old_head = pop_head();
41
42
           if (!old_head) {
               res = std::shared_ptr<T>();
43
44
               return;
45
           res = old_head->data;
46
47
          delete old_head;
48
49
      void push(T new_value) {
           std::shared_ptr<T> new_data(std::make_shared<T>(new_value));
51
52
           Node* p = new Node;
           Node* const old_tail = tail.load();
53
          old_tail->data.swap(new_data);
54
55
          old_tail->next = p;
          tail.store(p);
56
57
```

Listing 2: Thread-safe queue with conditional variables

Task 2: Progress Conditions

Progress conditions are useful to the liveness property. Two progress conditions are interesting to us:

Wait-free: A method is wait-free if it guarantees that every call to it finishes its execution in a finite number of steps. It is bounded wait-free if there is a bound on the number of steps a method call can take.

Lock-free: A method is lock-free if it guarantees that infinitely often some method call finishes in a finite number of steps. Clearly, any wait-free method is also lock-free, but not vice versa. Lock-free algorithms admit the possibility that some threads could starve.

• Consider the following rather unusual implementation of a method m. In every execution history, the i^{th} time a thread calls m, the call returns after 2^i steps. Is this method wait-free, bounded wait-free, or neither?

APP - Exercise 8

• Is the following property equivalent to saying that object x is lock-free?

For every infinite execution history of x, an infinite number of method calls are completed.

Solution:

- This method is wait-free because for any given i, 2^i is a finite number. However, this method is not bounded wait-free because 2^i does not converge.
- Yes. Suppose not, then there exist at least a method call of x which is not lock-free. That means for any call to this method, it takes infinite number of steps. Select a history that contains only a finite number of calls to this method, for example, two calls. Then the history is an infinite history, but it completes at maximum two calls, a contradiction.